

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Douglas A. Ducey, Governor

Joseph E. La Rue, Chair
Deanna Beaver, Vice Chair
William Cuthbertson, Member
Jack W. Sellers, Member
Michael S. Hammond, Member
Steven E. Stratton, Member
Arlando S. Teller, Member

Welcome to a meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. The Transportation Board consists of seven private citizen members appointed by the Governor, representing specific transportation districts. Board members are appointed for terms of six years each, with terms expiring on the third Monday in January of the appropriate year.

BOARD AUTHORITY

Although the administration of the Department of Transportation is the responsibility of the director, the Transportation Board has been granted certain policy powers in addition to serving in an advisory capacity to the director. In the area of highways the Transportation Board is responsible for establishing a system of state routes. It determines which routes are accepted into the state system and which state routes are to be improved. The Board has final authority on establishing the opening, relocating, altering, vacating or abandoning any portion of a state route or a state highway. The Transportation Board awards construction contracts and monitors the status of construction projects. With respect to aeronautics the Transportation Board distributes monies appropriated to the Aeronautics Division from the State Aviation Fund for planning, design, development, land acquisition, construction and improvement of publicly-owned airport facilities. The Board also approves airport construction. The Transportation Board has the exclusive authority to issue revenue bonds for financing needed transportation improvements throughout the state. As part of the planning process the Board determines priority planning with respect to transportation facilities and annually adopts the five year construction program.

CITIZEN INPUT

Citizens may appear before the Transportation Board to be heard on any transportation-related issue. Persons wishing to protest any action taken or contemplated by the Board may appear before this open forum. The Board welcomes citizen involvement, although because of Arizona's open meeting laws, no actions may be taken on items which do not appear on the formal agenda. This does not, however, preclude discussion of other issues.

MEETINGS

The Transportation Board typically meets on the third Friday of each month. Meetings are held in locations throughout the state. In addition to the regular business meetings held each month, the Board also conducts three public hearings each year to receive input regarding the proposed five-year construction program. Meeting dates are established for the following year at the December organization meeting of the Board.

BOARD MEETING PROCEDURE

Board members receive the agenda and all backup information one week before the meeting is held. They have studied each item on the agenda and have consulted with Department of Transportation staff when necessary. If no additional facts are presented at the meeting, they often act on matters, particularly routine ones, without further discussion. In order to streamline the meetings the Board has adopted the "consent agenda" format, allowing agenda items to be voted on en masse unless discussion is requested by one of the board members or Department of Transportation staff members.

BOARD CONTACT

Transportation Board members encourage citizens to contact them regarding transportation-related issues. Board members may be contacted through the Arizona Department of Transportation, 206 South 17th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, Telephone (602) 712-7550.

NOTICE OF BOARD MEETING OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will conduct a board meeting open to the public on Friday, July 15, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. at the Town of Camp Verde, Council Chambers, 473 S. Main Street, Suite 106, Camp Verde, AZ 86322. The Board may vote to go into Executive Session to discuss certain matters, which will not be open to the public. Members of the Transportation Board will attend either in person or by telephone conference call. The Board may modify the agenda order, if necessary.

EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation of legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, July 15, 2016, relating to any items on the agenda. Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A), the Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

CIVIL RIGHTS

Pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona State Transportation Board does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, gender or disability. Citizens that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT Civil Rights at (602) 712-8946 or civilrightsoffice@azdot.gov. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the state has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Personas que requieren asistencia o una adaptación razonable por habilidad limitada en inglés o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con la Oficina de Derechos Civiles de ADOT al (602) 712-8946 or civilrightsoffice@azdot.gov. Las solicitudes deben hacerse tan pronto como sea posible para asegurar que el estado tiene la oportunidad de abordar el alojamiento.

AGENDA

A copy of the agenda for this meeting will be available at the office of the Transportation Board at 206 South 17th Avenue, Room 135, Phoenix, Arizona at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

ORDER DEFERRAL AND ACCELERATIONS OF AGENDA ITEMS, VOTE WITHOUT DISCUSSION

In the interest of efficiency and economy of time, the Arizona Transportation Board, having already had the opportunity to become conversant with items on its agenda, will likely defer action in relation to certain items until after agenda items requiring discussion have been considered and voted upon by its members. After all such discussional items have been acted upon, the items remaining on the Board's agenda will be expedited and action may be taken on deferred agenda items without discussion. It will be a decision of the Board itself as to which items will require discussion and which may be deferred for expedited action without discussion.


The Chairman will poll the members of the Board at the commencement of the meeting with regard to which items require discussion. Any agenda item identified by any Board member as one requiring discussion will be accelerated ahead of those items not identified as requiring discussion. All such accelerated agenda items will be individually considered and acted upon ahead of all other agenda items. With respect to all agenda items not accelerated. i.e., those items upon which action has been deferred until later in the meeting, the Chairman will entertain a single motion and a single second to that motion and will call for a single vote of the members without any discussion of any agenda items so grouped together and so singly acted upon. Accordingly, in the event any person desires to have the Board discuss any particular agenda item, such person should contact one of the Board members before the meeting or Mary Beckley, at 206 South 17th Avenue, Room 135, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, or by phone (602) 712-7550. Please be prepared to identify the specific agenda item or items of interest.

Dated this 8th day of July, 2016

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

By: Mary Beckley

Arizona Highways, Airports, and Railroads



State Highway System

— Interstate

— State Route

— US Highway

Airports

Railroads (In Service)

Cities and Towns

County Boundaries



Prepared by:
 Arizona Department of Transportation
 Multimodal Planning Division
 Data Bureau GIS Section
 (602) 712-7333
 July 2009

0 20 40 80 Miles

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

9:00 a.m., Friday, July 15, 2016

Town of Camp Verde

Council Chambers

473 S. Main Street, Suite 106

Camp Verde, AZ 86322

Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will hold a board meeting open to the public on Friday, July 15, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. at the Town of Camp Verde, Council Chambers, 473 S. Main Street, Suite 106, Camp Verde, AZ 86322. The Board may vote to go into Executive Session, which will not be open to the public. Members of the Transportation Board will attend either in person or by telephone conference call. The Board may modify the agenda order, if necessary.

EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03 (A)(3), notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation for legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, July 15, 2016. The Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

PLEDGE

The Pledge of Allegiance

ROLL CALL

Roll call by Board Secretary Mary Beckley

OPENING REMARKS

Opening remarks by Chairman Joseph La Rue

CALL TO THE AUDIENCE (Information and discussion)

An opportunity for citizens to discuss items of interest with the Board. Please fill out a Request for Public Input Form and turn in to the Secretary if you wish to address the Board. A three minute time limit will be imposed.

- ITEM 1: Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization (CYMPO) Safety Video regarding Distracted Driving and General Safety Discussion**
(For information and discussion only - CYMPO Chairman Craig Brown and CYMPO Transportation Planner Vincent Gallegos)

ITEM 2: Director's Report

The Director will provide a report on current issues and events affecting ADOT.

(For information and discussion only — John Halikowski, Director)

A) Individual Topics

- 1) Overview of the Arizona-Mexico Commission Annual Summit held June 23-24, 2016 in Scottsdale, AZ.

B) Last Minute Items to Report

(For information only. The Transportation Board is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action on any matter under "Last Minute Items to Report," unless the specific matter is properly noticed for action.)

***ITEM 3 Consent Agenda**

Page 7

Consideration by the Board of items included in the Consent Agenda. Any member of the Board may ask that any item on the Consent Agenda be pulled for individual discussion and disposition. (For information and possible action)

Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board Meeting
- Minutes of Special Board Meeting
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
 - Low bidder is no more than 15% under state estimate
 - Low bidder is no more than 10% over state estimate
- Programming changes for items that are a part of the approved scope of the project if they exceed 15% or \$200,000, whichever is lesser.

ITEM 4: Legislative Report

Staff will provide a report on State and Federal legislative issues.

(For information and discussion only — Kevin Biesty, Deputy Director for Policy)

ITEM 5: Financial Report

Staff will provide an update on financing issues and summaries on the items listed below:

(For information and discussion only — Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Executive Officer)

- Revenue Collections for Highway User Revenues
- Maricopa Transportation Excise Tax Revenues
- Aviation Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- HELP Fund status
- Federal-Aid Highway Program
- HURF and RARF Bonding
- GAN issuances
- Board Funding Obligations
- Contingency Report

ITEM 6: Multimodal Planning Division Report

Staff will present an update on the current planning activities pursuant to A.R.S. 28-506.
(For information and discussion only — Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Executive Officer)

ITEM 7: State Engineer's Report**Page 117**

Staff will present a report showing the status of highway projects under construction, including total number and dollar value. Staff will provide an update on I-17 and SR 260 projects, as well as a proposed safety initiative under development.

(For information and discussion only — Steve Boschen, Infrastructure Delivery & Operations [IDO] Division Director)

ITEM 8: Construction Contracts*Page 127**

Staff will present recommended construction project awards that are not on the Consent Agenda.

(For information and discussion only — Steve Boschen, Infrastructure Delivery & Operations [IDO] Division Director)

ITEM 9: Suggestions

Board Members will have the opportunity to suggest items they would like to have placed on future Board Meeting agendas.

***Adjournment**

*ITEMS that may require Board Action

Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board Meeting
- Minutes of Special Board Meeting
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
 - Low bidder is no more than 15% under state estimate
 - Low bidder is no more than 10% over state estimate
- Programming changes for items that are a part of the approved scope of the project if they exceed 15% or \$200,000, whichever is lesser.

MINUTES APPROVAL

- Public Hearing and Board Meeting Minutes, May 20, 2016

RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS (action as noted)

- ITEM 3a: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
 PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
 HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
 SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
 ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
 ENG. DIST.: Southwest
 COUNTY: Yuma
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state highway to facilitate the imminent construction phase of this roundabout traffic interchange project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.
- ITEM 3b: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
 PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
 HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD – CAMP VERDE – MOGOLLON RIM
 SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
 ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
 ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
 COUNTY: Yavapai
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state highway to facilitate the imminent construction phase of this roundabout project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

- ITEM 3c: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
 PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
 HIGHWAY: TOPOCK – KINGMAN HIGHWAY
 SECTION: Haviland – Yucca
 (EB MP 21.2 – 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
 ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
 ENG. DIST.: Northwest
 COUNTY: Mohave
 PARCEL: 8-1913
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to facilitate the imminent construction phase of emergency repairs to a breached dike necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.
- ITEM 3d: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
 PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
 HIGHWAY: GLOBE – LORDSBURG
 SECTION: Bylas
 ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
 ENG. DIST.: Southeast
 COUNTY: Graham
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to be utilized for highway improvements necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.
- ITEM 3e: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
 PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
 HIGHWAY: PHOENIX – CORDES JUNCTION
 SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
 ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
 ENG. DIST.: Northwest
 COUNTY: Yavapai
 DISPOSAL: D – P – 046
 RECOMMENDATION: Abandon to the County of Yavapai right of way acquired for the Cordes Junction T. I. improvement project that is no longer needed for the State Transportation System.
- ITEM 3f: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
 PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
 HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS – YUMA – QUARTZSITE
 SECTION: Avenue 9E – Fortuna Wash Bridge
 ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
 ENG. DIST.: Southwest
 COUNTY: Yuma
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to accommodate design change for this bridge replacement project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.


ITEM 3g: RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
 PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and 202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 010-D(200)S
 HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
 SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway – Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
 ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
 ENG. DIST.: Central
 COUNTY: Maricopa
 RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a controlled access state route and state highway, effective on August 01, 2016, to facilitate the construction phase of the South Mountain Freeway, necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

CONTRACTS: (Action As Noted)

Federal-Aid (“A” “B” “T” “D”) projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 3h: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 4 Page 134

BIDS OPENED: June 10, 2016
 HIGHWAY: TOWN OF FLORENCE
 SECTION: MAIN STREET: RUGGLES STREET TO BUTTE AVENUE
 COUNTY: PINAL
 ROUTE NO.: LOCAL
 PROJECT : TRACS: TEA-FLO-0(004)T : 0000 PN FLO SL53201C
 FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE
 LOW BIDDER: AJP ELECTRIC, INC.
 LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 308,946.00
 STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 340,966.40
 \$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 32,020.40)
 % UNDER ESTIMATE: (9.4%)
 PROJECT DBE GOAL: N/A
 BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: N/A
 NO. BIDDERS: 6
 RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 3i:

BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 3

Page 138

BIDS OPENED: June 24, 2016

HIGHWAY: SANTA CRUZ COUNTY

SECTION: MULTIPLE UNPAVED ROADS IN RIO RICO AREA

COUNTY: SANTA CRUZ

ROUTE NO.: LOCAL

PROJECT : TRACS: CMAQ-SSC-0(208)T : 0000 SC SSC SZ16401C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% LOCAL

LOW BIDDER: EARTH RESOURCES CORPORATION

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 437,455.00

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 506,418.00

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 68,963.00)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (13.6%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: N/A

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 0.71%

NO. BIDDERS: 4

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 3j: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 6

BIDS OPENED: June 3, 2016

HIGHWAY: YUMA COUNTY

SECTION: SOMERTON AVENUE AND COUNTY 18TH STREET

COUNTY: YUMA

ROUTE NO.: LOCAL

PROJECT : TRACS: HSIP-YYU-0(207)T : 0000 YU YYU SH58601C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% LOCAL

LOW BIDDER: CEMEX CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS SOUTH, LLC

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 334,834.20

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 327,857.55

\$ OVER ESTIMATE: \$ 6,976.65


% OVER ESTIMATE: 2.1%

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 5.13%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 9.07%

NO. BIDDERS: 3

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 3k: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 1

BIDS OPENED: June 24, 2016

HIGHWAY: EHRENBERG-PHOENIX HIGHWAY (I-10)

SECTION: SR 85 TO VERRADO WAY (WB)

COUNTY: MARICOPA

ROUTE NO.: I-10

PROJECT : TRACS: NH-010-A(226)T : 010 MA 112 H878701C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 3,097,871.45

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 3,401,454.00

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 303,582.55)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (8.9%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 3.42%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 5.27%

NO. BIDDER: 5

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 3I: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 4

BIDS OPENED: June 24, 2016

HIGHWAY: TUCSON-ORACLE JUNCTION-GLOBE HIGHWAY (SR-77)

SECTION: HARTFORD RD - MP 145

COUNTY: GILA

ROUTE NO.: SR 77

PROJECT : TRACS: STP-077-A(213)T: 077 GI 134 H867901C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 2,619,162.00

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 2,742,929.00

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 123,767.00)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (4.5%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 4.08%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 4.09%

NO. BIDDERS: 6

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 3m: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 5

BIDS OPENED: June 10, 2016

HIGHWAY: HOLBROOK-SPRINGERVILLE HIGHWAY (US 180)

SECTION: GENERATING STATION – JUNCTION US 60

COUNTY: APACHE

ROUTE NO.: US 180

PROJECT : TRACS: STP-180-B(206)T : 180 AP 386 H812701C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 2,794,999.00

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 3,249,448.73

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 454,449.73)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (14.0%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 5.24%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 8.63%

NO. BIDDERS: 6

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



MINUTES
STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING AND BOARD MEETING
9:00 a.m., Friday, May 20, 2016
Flagstaff City Hall
City Council Chambers
211 W. Aspen Avenue
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Board member Arlando Teller.

Roll call by Board Secretary Mary Beckley

In attendance: Joe La Rue, Deanna Beaver, William Cuthbertson, Jack Sellers, Michael Hammond, Steve Stratton and Arlando Teller.

Absent: None.

Opening Remarks

Chairman La Rue reminded all in attendance this is the last public hearing prior to the Study Session, and appreciates all work done by staff to the public hearing and board meeting. Chairman announced prior board members in attendance: Jack Husted, Bill Feldmeier and Victor Flores.

Call to the Audience for the 2017-2021 ADOT Tentative Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program:

The following members of the public addressed the Board:

1. Jerry Nabours, Flagstaff Mayor, re: welcome to Board; pinch point on SR 180/Humphries Street, new hotel sold eight feet along Humphries Street to City and City has right of way, Ms. Merrick applied for and awarded a grant to expand Humphries Street in front of City Hall; funds planned for rehab of deck bridge over I-40, and City applied for Tiger Grant to expand bridge; coordinating with ADOT on timing to widen bridge; City to ask taxpayers to renew portion of sales tax for transportation in area.
2. Art Babbott, Coconino County Supervisor District 1, re: welcome to board; best small transit system in the US; recognize the good working relationship with ADOT NC district staff; appreciates 89 north in Cameron project and 89 south to begin; regional transportation planning (RTP) process out with project list to City/Bd of Supervisors to focus our advocacy and work together with ADOT delivery system for highway projects.
3. Tommie Martin, Gila County Supervisor, re: thank you for Silver King project but now time for Lion Springs/SR 260 to be included in the five year plan; speeding through Star Valley; submitted resolution from Gila Co. in support of Lion Springs.
4. Dawnafe Whitesinger, Navajo Co. Supervisor, re: thank you for funding for local communities, maintenance and preservation and understand financial constraints; advocates for Lion Springs/SR 260 in five year plan; also represents White Mountain Regional Transportation Council, many townships and cities feel it is important to have Lion Springs completed; also US 60 and rocks falling needs attention in community; looks forward to strengthening relationships with ADOT.
5. Tom White, Apache County Supervisor District 2, re: thank you for construction to widen of Hwy 264; appreciates Lynn Johnson, DE in area; working with ADOT on traffic study Fort Defiance to try to get traffic lights/solar street lights in a one mile area, now need funding for it; sidewalks needed on side of Hwy 264 so school children and pedestrian can walk safely along the highway; remember Navajo Nation with state funding.
6. Craig Brown, Yavapai County Supervisor and CYMPO Chair, re: 2014 Strategic Highway Safety Plan had a strong recommendation to address distracted driving, so county has developed program involving law enforcement to participate in 10-12 public service messages for cable television; Yavapai has younger families and older folks, to address other activities other than cell phones, but distracted driving (eating, dogs, etc.).

7. Kee Allen Begay Jr., Navajo Nation Council, re: submitted letter to advocate for Highway 191 between Many Farms and Chinle, northeastern part of AZ; requesting ADOT address needs on Navajo Nation; how to assist Navajo Nation to learn the process to get funding for their projects.
8. Christian Price, Maricopa Mayor, re: thank you for SR 347 overpass as part of the plan; completion of budget for \$15 million to add to Tiger Grant application for the total of \$30 million committed to project; City concerns on five fatalities in last eight days on SR 347 with median crossovers; requesting added DPS patrols in this area; Pinal Co. placing half-cent sales tax for SR 347 on ballot this November; working closely with Gila River community to collaborate on funding to push projects through RTA.
9. Billie Orr, Prescott Councilmember and CYMPO board re: consider accelerating the Hwy 69 safety and capacity project in FY 2019 in five year program; partnership opportunity for City of Prescott, Yavapai County and CYMPO contribution to cover design costs of Hwy 69 project up to \$1 million; busy corridor through town of Prescott Valley into Prescott, at entry into mall, wide six lane corridor and suddenly six lanes to four and next one mile unsafe situation on Hwy 69 until it widens up again to six lanes, last year over 582 accidents in this one mile area; would like to have final design in 2017; invite you to Prescott in January for the Rural Transp Summit.
10. Josh Wright, Wickenburg Town Manager, re: appreciate work of board and staff, esp Dallas Hammit and Alvin Stump, working on funding ideas for GAP portion of US 93; encouraged for window of opportunity for variety of funding sources, money from federal government and active private investment of 25% from developer to build this corridor that connects two large markets, Phoenix and Las Vegas; need economic development to see return of investment for future transportation projects.
11. Chris Bridges, CYMPO Administrator, re: SR 89 update completion of final design on project for construction in spring; \$6 million realigning Willow Creek Road to finish in summer; requests I-17 be placed in five year program as Sunday backup for 15 miles - \$125 million estimate for two way reversible lanes to assist traffic from Black Canyon City to Sunset Point would reduce delay by 75%, improvement to commerce in region and residents' travel; ADOT twitter is phenomenal.
12. Steve Sanders, Gila County Public Works Director, re: requests Lion Springs section of US 260, east of Payson, last two lane section of roadway, creates a bottleneck to the gateway to the White Mountains, to move the project up and/or into the five year plan would assist the area; appreciates investment in US 60 W of Globe, divided Highway between Superior/Miami with passing lanes, climbing lanes and US 60 bridge replacement.
13. Michael Lopez, Chino Valley Public Works Director/Town Engineer, re: thank you for efforts on widening US 89 between Prescott and Chino Valley will increase safety and capacity; thanks CYMPO to partner with getting projects to fruition; appreciates Dallas Hammit and Alvin Stump found innovative ways to keep projects moving with financial constraints; Road 1 North in Chino Valley last intersection not improved, state began design and has been shelved; partnering with Yavapai County to continue with design and get it in the five year program in the next few years.
14. Derrick Watchman, Navajo Nation Gaming Enterprise, re: consider additional interchange overpass by Twin Arrows AZ near Exit 219; develop western Navajo from Twin Arrows to Leupp and Cameron, looking to grow and create jobs, develop better transportation, benefit tourism; opens opportunities to Navajos.
15. Guillermo Valencia, Chairman Greater Nogales and Santa Cruz Co. Port Authority, re: provided testimony to add SR 189 to five year plan; ADOT applied for Tiger Grant application \$25 million; met Victor Mendez in Washington DC to get support; urge board to keep SR 189 in the five year plan.
16. Alan Abare, Wickenburg resident, re: requests US 93 Gap Project, important to include in the five year plan; starts in Wickenburg north, with money from private party, to take advantage of funding; thank you to Board members La Rue and Beaver for coming out to tour the area.
17. Woody Grantham, Wickenburg resident, re: support US 93 Gap Project, north of Wickenburg, to alleviate traffic issues from Wickenburg to Las Vegas.
18. Jeff Burt, Director Economic Initiatives for City of Prescott, re: Prescott Airport for FY17 ACIP, letter submitted by Mayor to defer current obstruction/mitigation project to FY 19 and to add an environmental assessment for existing airport terminal (built in 1947) to FY17 ACIP; reflecting improvements to provide service and safety to Prescott Municipal Airport; reason for change by FAA grant for new airport master plan which will start in FY17, to delay the obstruction/mitigation to FY19; ADOT aeronautics have been a great support to Prescott airport.
19. John Moffatt, Pima County Strategic Planning Director, re: support for SR 189 in five year plan, attended the Transportation and Trade Corridor Alliance (TTCA) meeting, stated \$5 billion of state produced goods and \$9 billion of nationally produced goods go out through port, encouraged to find funding through Tiger Grant or

supplemental funding for project; Space Port Tucson, appreciate consideration of planning and design is now complete, building has arrived, 700 foot diameter launch pad poured next week; finishing rules and regulations that this is county property; Goldwater Institute filed a lawsuit, project will be done prior to dismissal; requests acceleration of Sonoran Corridor in the program; moving forward with re-routing Nogales line to S. of airport.

20. Bill Bess, Navajo Co. Public Works Asst. Director, re: thank you for funding the pavement preservation in the program, to extend the life of the pavement.
21. Alicyn Gitlin, Sierra Club/Gr Canyon Program Manager, re: modernization of current terminal at the Grand Canyon National Park Airport and destination for major airlines; considering all projects vying for funding and shortages of funding, concerned with the modernization of existing terminal - noise, light air quality and water issues associated with major airport expansion/ modernization; new water well should not be sole source of water for airport; declining springs and all time low groundwater in area; reach agreement for appropriate water supply.
22. Kevin Todacheenie, VP of Leupp Chapter on behalf of Navajo Gaming Enterprise, re: requests new Twin Arrows interchange east of existing I-40 and Exit 219 for safety, no running water, electricity and currently takes 1 to 1-1/2 hours emergency response for emergency vehicles on I-40 to Cameron; to keep Leupp community with water in area – takes 15 miles to haul water to the nearby communities – will minimize time spent in travel.
23. Marc Fuller, Big Park Regional Coordinating Council Chairman Transportation Committee, re: request consideration of modernization and rehabilitation of overpass at I-17 and SR 179 near Oak Creek and Big Park, previously on a five year plan and taken off and is not on the current proposed five year plan; business and population growth and tourism, rehab of overpass is very important to the area and requests inclusion in five year plan.

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING – MAY 20, 2016

I N D E X

PAGE

PUBLIC HEARING ON FY 2017-2021 FIVE-YEAR TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM (Michael Kies)3

ITEM 1: DISTRICT ENGINEER’S REPORT (Audra Merrick, Northcentral District Engineer)23

ITEM 2: DIRECTOR’S REPORT (Floyd Roehrich, Jr.)35
Bob Montoya memorial plaque

ITEM 3: CONSENT AGENDA40
ACTION TAKEN
MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA.....40

ITEM 4: LEGISLATIVE REPORT (William Fathauer)41

ITEM 5: FINANCIAL REPORT (Kristine Ward)47

ITEM 6: MULTIMODAL PLANNING DIVISION REPORT (Michael Kies)50

ITEM 7: PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PPAC) (Michael Kies)50
ACTION TAKEN
MOTION TO APPROVE PROJECT MODIFICATIONS ITEMS 7a through 7ac.....51
MOTION TO APPROVE NEW PROJECTS ITEMS 7ad through 7bl52

ITEM 8: STATE ENGINEER’S REPORT (Dallas Hammit)53

ITEM 9: CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS (Dallas Hammit)56
ACTION TAKEN
MOTION TO APPROVE (Contingent Award) ITEM 9a.....58
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9b59
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9c.....61
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9d62
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9e63
MOTION TO REJECT ALL BIDS FOR ITEM 9f63
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9g.....64
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 9h65

ITEM 10: SUGGESTIONS.....66

1 (Beginning of excerpt.)

2 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: So now we will move to the
3 presentation of the 2017-2021 Tentative Five-Year Plan. Just to
4 tip off Board members, because I'll ask the question once we get
5 through the presentation, as we wrap that up whether we want to
6 call for a small break before we get in -- move into the rest of
7 the agenda. (Inaudible) be thinking about that.

8 And so Mike Kies, you'll lead us in providing the
9 presentation for the Five-Year Transportation Facilities Plan.
10 Mike, given this is, like, your fourth time to do this, we
11 expect this one just to be really -- just be phenomenal,
12 polished and (inaudible) this time, right?

13 MR. KIES: (Inaudible.)

14 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Only shorter.

15 MR. KIES: Oh, shorter. I was ready to do what
16 you asked until you added in shorter.

17 Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm Mike Kies with the
18 Planning Division director for ADOT, and I just wanted to remind
19 the Board and the public of the contents of the tentative five-
20 year program that's currently up for comment. So just a quick
21 overview of the background of the tentative program.

22 First, we're going to -- we're going to talk
23 about the background and then review some of our asset
24 conditions.

25 I do want to give a call out to the gentleman

1 from Navajo County who came up and said he supports pavement
2 preservation. Usually I feel like the only voice that's talking
3 about an asset condition and the importance of preservation.

4 Then going to the details of the five-year
5 highway program, a quick review of the PAG and MAG program,
6 which are those programs that are done independently in the
7 Tucson area and the MAG -- and the Phoenix metro area, and then
8 a brief overview of the airport program.

9 So the background of the tentative program is
10 that we -- as soon as the -- we -- the program is approved in
11 June, we start yet again on the next tentative program, and it's
12 a collaboration between various parts of ADOT and our regional
13 partners, and this program demonstrates how ADOT intends to
14 spend federal and state dollars over the next five years. It is
15 something that's done annually, and so this new program is in
16 anticipation of our new fiscal year that starts July 1st.

17 One of the most important things about the five-
18 year tentative program and eventually the final program is that
19 it must be fiscally constrained. So we work with our financial
20 management group in ADOT each year to refine the revenue
21 forecasts and only have the number of projects in the program
22 that can -- can be funded with that revenue stream.

23 So before I get into the details of the five-year
24 program, I do want to review the condition of our assets that
25 are out there in the -- on the state highway system. Each year

1 we do reevaluate the value of the system that ADOT owns and
 2 maintains out in the state of Arizona. This year, the assessed
 3 value is \$20.2 billion of existing assets that are out there.
 4 This is why our pavement preservation and preservation in
 5 general is so important in the five-year program. If we fail to
 6 maintain the existing system that we have out there, we estimate
 7 that it would cost over \$200 billion to replace that system
 8 that's currently valued at \$20 billion. So therefore, some of
 9 the emphasis on preservation.

10 So with that, we'll look quickly at some of the
 11 conditions of our assets out in the field. This is a slide that
 12 shows our bridge condition. The green dots on the map show
 13 bridges that are in good -- that we rated good condition, yellow
 14 is fair and red is poor. You can see by the bar graph that's on
 15 the upper part of this slide that a ten-year -- or a 15-year
 16 trend of our bridge conditions shows that we are slowly losing
 17 ground on the good, the number of bridges that are considered in
 18 good condition, and more of our bridges are what we call poor
 19 condition.

20 Again, I would like to remind the Board and the
 21 public that a bridge that's in -- considered in poor condition
 22 is just a heads up to us that it's a bridge that we need to do
 23 some maintenance and rehabilitation on. It does not mean in any
 24 way that those bridges are unsafe condition.

25 Secondly -- oops. Secondly, I highlight the

1 condition of our pavement. We do spend a lot of money and
 2 effort in our five-year program maintaining the pavement that we
 3 have out in the field, and again, you can see a ten-year history
 4 on this graph of the condition of our pavement condition. The
 5 top graph is the interstate system, separate from the bottom
 6 graph, which is all those state highways that are not part of
 7 the interstate system. You can see, again, green is the number
 8 of miles of pavement that are in good condition, yellow is fair,
 9 and the red is poor. And we, again, over a ten-year history, we
 10 see that we are slowly losing ground on the condition of our
 11 pavement -- of our pavement.

12 With that, I want to highlight the elements of
 13 the five-year program. First, our long range plan gives us
 14 suggestions on how much we should be giving to our program in
 15 three major categories: Preservation, which is, again, the
 16 preservation of our existing system; modernization, which is
 17 those projects that are typically tailored towards safety
 18 improvements and improving the existing highways in their
 19 current condition; and then expansion of our system. A lot of
 20 the comments that you heard earlier really focused on projects
 21 that are in what we call the expansion category where people are
 22 asking for additional lanes, additional improvements at
 23 expanding intersections and so on and so forth.

24 This slide shows that in the last five-year
 25 program, the five-year program we're currently operating under,

1 we had -- are operating under 29 percent of that program being
 2 towards preservation, and 59 percent towards expansion. This
 3 five-year program -- tentative program that you have in front of
 4 you shows more emphasis towards preservation. 41 percent of
 5 this tentative program is dedicated towards preservation, and 44
 6 percent for expansion, which is a slight change over the last
 7 five-year program.

8 With that, the next thing I would like to
 9 highlight is what we call the five-year program for Greater
 10 Arizona. This is the activity that is outside the program that
 11 is programmed by MAG and PAG in the Phoenix metro area and the
 12 Tucson metro area. This is primarily where all of our
 13 preservation activity occurs, and you see that the Greater
 14 Arizona Five-Year Tentative Program is 61 percent of the dollars
 15 that are -- are proposed are towards preservation, with 14
 16 percent towards expansion, and 25 percent for modernization.

17 So one of the things I do want to highlight is
 18 the -- as we go through this five-year program is those few
 19 expansion projects that we're able to put into this program, the
 20 Greater Arizona program, because of the high emphasis on
 21 preservation and modernization. And again, those modernization
 22 projects are those that are typically tailored towards safety
 23 improvements.

24 So the way I like to present the tentative
 25 program for each of the five years is with these bar graphs.

1 Again, the colors are consistent. Green is preservation, red is
 2 the modernization category, and the blue is those expansion --
 3 the funds that are dedicated to expansion projects. Some of the
 4 other funds on this graph is how much the department spends on
 5 developing the projects that are in the program, such as design
 6 and planning. And then the -- and so on and so forth.

7 So with that, I do want to highlight the green
 8 bar that goes across this bar graph. That is, as you know, five
 9 years ago when we did our long range plan for transportation for
 10 the State of Arizona, we looked at the preservation needs around
 11 the state and came up with a number of -- for funding that we
 12 need to expend each year to just keep up the maintenance of our
 13 system at the existing condition that it is today. I showed you
 14 those slides that show that there was -- there is some
 15 degradation in the conditions of our existing system, and that
 16 number that we calculated that we needed to spend each year to
 17 keep that condition as is is \$260 million a year. We are
 18 proposing that this five-year program, that we do get to that
 19 spending level in 2019 and then beyond, 2020 and 2021. Still
 20 not spending at that level in '17 and '18.

21 I also want to highlight some of the expansion
 22 projects that are locate -- that are part of this tentative
 23 program. So I'll go through each of the five years and
 24 highlight some of those expansion projects that we have fund --
 25 proposed for funding. First, in 2017, 15 million for the State

1 Route 89 Deep Well Ranch project. That -- this is an expansion
 2 from two lanes to four lanes between Prescott and Chino Valley.
 3 This has been in the five-year program for quite some time, and
 4 it's still proposed for 2017 to go to construction.

5 Another expansion project in the program is US-60
 6 in the Show Low area. This is a project that's proposed to
 7 widen the road from two lanes to an urban section, which will
 8 include a left turn lane, and that's estimated at \$6 million.

9 The largest project that's proposed in the five-
 10 year program in 2017 is State Route 347. This proposes a new
 11 overpass over the Union Pacific railroad within the community of
 12 Maricopa. It's shown on this slide as a \$19 million project,
 13 because that's the amount of funding that this program would
 14 dedicate to that project. As you heard, the mayor from Maricopa
 15 talked about earlier, this is 15 million that is anticipated
 16 from the local community to go to this project, and then we have
 17 been awarded a \$15 million TIGER Grant, which helps the funding.
 18 So this is a -- nearly a \$50 million project in its totality.

19 When we get to year 2018, we are proposing an
 20 expansion project on I-10 between Phoenix and Tucson at the
 21 community of Picacho. This would be a project that widens I-10
 22 from a four-lane interstate to a six-lane interstate, meaning
 23 three lanes in each direction, and it's one of those missing
 24 pieces between Phoenix and Tucson where the six-lane section
 25 doesn't exist today.

1 And then also, in 2018, we intend to start the
 2 development process on the State Route 189 project, which is
 3 down in Nogales, and starting the final design of that project.

4 When we advance to 2019, we have another
 5 Interstate 10 project that we propose as an expansion project.
 6 It's another project between Phoenix and Tucson that is proposed
 7 to widen the interstate from four lanes to six lanes. This is
 8 in the area of Casa Grande between junction I-8 and Earley Road.
 9 Another one of those missing sections -- or sections that hasn't
 10 been widened to a six-lane section. And then we want to start
 11 the process on one of our US-93 projects at Cane Springs and
 12 start the design of that project.

13 Next year is 2020, and we proposed another US-93
 14 project to go to construction. That's from Carrow to Stephens,
 15 and this is a project north of Wickenburg. Well, between
 16 Wickenburg and I-40 that is proposed to widen the corridor from
 17 two lanes to a four-lane divided highway.

18 Lastly, when we get to year 2021, the tentative
 19 program proposes the construction of an enhancement to SR-189 at
 20 Mariposa Road in the Nogales area, and that's estimated at \$64
 21 million, and we suggest the construction of a second project on
 22 US-93 at Cane Springs to, again, widen from two lanes to a
 23 four-lane divided highway.

24 Really quick, if people are not familiar with
 25 where these projects are located, you can see on this map all of

1 those expansion projects, highlighting the two I-10 projects
 2 between Phoenix and Tucson. You can see where the two US-93
 3 projects are proposed for widening and all the other projects
 4 that are mentioned.

5 MR. SELLERS: Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Yes.

7 MR. SELLERS: Mike, quick question. On the
 8 Interstate 10 expansion between Phoenix and Tucson, would that
 9 expansion include median barrier?

10 MR. KIES: I don't believe we have the details of
 11 that yet. Do we have -- it looks like Dallas is ready to jump
 12 up.

13 MR. HAMMIT: If we designed it, we would design
 14 it with a corridor wide enough where it would not warrant median
 15 barrier.

16 MR. SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. KIES: Thank you, Mr. Sellers.

18 One of the expansion projects that I did want to
 19 highlight, which I talked about earlier, is the State Route 347
 20 project. You can see a depiction of it on this slide. The
 21 current cost estimate is \$55 million. Again, 6 million of that
 22 has already been obligated by this board in this current fiscal
 23 year to go to design and advance some of the right-of-way
 24 activities. So this tentative program includes \$19 million of
 25 program funds, but in addition to that, again, there's \$15

1 million of a local contribution. Some of that could go to
 2 relocating the Amtrak station that is currently located in
 3 Maricopa, and some of the local contribution goes to the final
 4 phases of the project. And then, again, a \$15 million TIGER
 5 Grant has been awarded for this project. So again, this is a --
 6 one of those great partnerships between the local community and
 7 the State to bring funding together and deliver a \$55-million
 8 project without that amount of funding really hitting the
 9 program.

10 Another project I do want to highlight is State
 11 Route 189. That has been talked about in a lot of detail
 12 recently. We are currently going -- we are currently working on
 13 a design concept report and environmental assessment, which will
 14 clear this project for environment -- which will provide
 15 environmental clearance for this project. That's anticipated
 16 later in this calendar year to be completed. Then we are moving
 17 directly into 30 percent plans to keep the development of this
 18 project going, and that 30 percent design activity is for what's
 19 referred to as the "ultimate plan." So all of the improvements
 20 that are intended for this corridor between I-19 and the border
 21 with Mexico. Then this tentative does highlight \$4 million for
 22 final design in the year 2018, and then the construction project
 23 of an interim phase or one phase of this ultimate plan that you
 24 see in front of you at an estimation of \$64 million. And that's
 25 in year 2021.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Mike, just -- I got -- Board
2 Member Stratton.

3 MR. KIES: Sorry.

4 MR. STRATTON: On the 189 project, as we heard,
5 there's a TIGER Grant that has been applied for, and there's
6 obviously time frames associated with those. The way we have
7 this scheduled, would that coincide with the TIGER Grant if they
8 do (inaudible).

9 MR. KIES: Mr. Stratton, no. If -- so one of the
10 reasons that we applied for the TIGER Grant was because that
11 would provide a funding -- more federal funding to the program,
12 and that would allow us to accelerate this project. So if the
13 TIGER Grant is awarded, we anticipate that this project will be
14 accelerated to the year 2019, and that is the time frame that is
15 required by the TIGER Grant.

16 MR. STRATTON: That was my final question
17 (inaudible). Thank you.

18 MR. KIES: You're welcome.

19 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Stratton, if I
20 could maybe add on to that, we don't expect that we will know
21 about the TIGER Grant or whether it will be awarded before the
22 Board needs to act on the five-year program in June. Therefore,
23 you're going to see the plan that we have in place based upon
24 current funding, but we as staff have been looking at options
25 that would happen when the TIGER Grant comes available, and if

1 that happens, it will be after the five-year program is
2 approved, which means you're not (inaudible) just like we do
3 every other -- every month, we bring those forward.

4 So we are looking at options, but we can't put
5 those options in the program, because they're not fiscally
6 constrained, and I don't need Kristine coming into my office
7 every day and telling me how ignorant I am, because I know the
8 process. I'm just trying to figure out a way around it. So
9 we're going to put in the process (inaudible), but we have
10 options in place given whether we're successful or not.

11 MR. STRATTON: Thank you.

12 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: And so my -- Floyd -- I think
13 Floyd just answered it, but one of things that was jumping out
14 at me is we're going to 30 percent design in 2017, which I
15 understand you want to kind of do a check there and do some
16 evaluation, but then final design in 2018, and I just want to
17 make sure we weren't losing valuable time between 30 percent
18 design and final design, and there's not a lag there for any
19 other reason than we're just checking ourselves and making sure
20 the path is the path we want to take.

21 MR. KIES: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

22 So the 30 percent design, again, is to keep
23 moving forward on the ultimate plan. And it is -- it's an
24 opportunity for us to see how the ultimate plan weighs out and
25 verify the right-of-way that would be required for that. If

1 there is -- if the TIGER Grant is awarded, then we do have some
 2 options for delivery. So the fact that we're going forward with
 3 the 30 percent design provides some options for us to maybe go
 4 design build and maybe -- and not even go to the final design
 5 step, but those are all of the options that we're going to be --
 6 that we're reviewing and would be ready to kick in place if
 7 needed if the TIGER Grant is awarded.

8 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Excellent. Thank you.

9 Board Member Hammond.

10 MR. HAMMOND: (Inaudible) to you, but I want to
 11 think the statewide support that we've received on this project.
 12 It's refreshing to see the recognition of how important this is
 13 to them (inaudible) the whole state in a time of limited
 14 resources. It's been very, very noticed by southern Arizona and
 15 much appreciate (inaudible).

16 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Board Member Hammond, thank you
 17 (inaudible). I, too, -- the time I've been around, I've not
 18 seen that much support up and down and across the entire state
 19 for one project, and so that -- it's actually what was driving
 20 my question about let's not lose any time, because I think the
 21 State has spoken that's the highest project -- highest priority
 22 project. So we just need to make sure it gets done. I thank
 23 you.

24 MR. KIES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

25 The next slide is to highlight our preservation

1 project. Again, 61 percent of the statewide program is proposed
 2 to be dedicated towards preservation. This map shows the spread
 3 of preservation projects all across the state on our state
 4 highway system, and the table here is just an example of a few
 5 of the projects that are projects that are highlighted in the
 6 tentative program. You can see that they're mostly centered
 7 around pavement preservation and bridge replacements or bridge
 8 rehabilitation around the state.

9 Next is our modernization program, and again, the
 10 map here is intended to show that there are modernization
 11 projects proposed around -- fairly distributed around the state.
 12 These are the projects that typically deal with safety
 13 improvements such as intersection improvements, shoulder
 14 widening and other aspects of modernization of our system.

15 So with that, I just want to do a quick summary
 16 of the tentative program from fiscal year '17 to '21 that is
 17 currently out for comment. Again, we focused on the expansion
 18 projects that -- some of those from the previous five-year
 19 program remain in that -- in this five-year program, but we are
 20 focusing more funding towards preservation, and within this
 21 five-year program, we get near to our goal of 260 million a year
 22 each year being spent on preservation.

23 Some of the funding opportunities that -- with
 24 the TIGER Grant for 347, the passing of the FAST Act, which
 25 Congress passed in December, has allowed us to provide more

1 expansion projects in this five-year program than the previous
2 one, and I highlighted those projects on Interstate 10, US-93,
3 and again, US -- State Route 189.

4 Again, just really quick, I want to highlight the
5 PAG tentative program, which is also included in the document
6 that we call the tentative program. This is done as a separate
7 process, but we do coordinate with PAG quite a bit. You can see
8 that a lot of the projects -- excuse me -- a lot of the projects
9 in the Tucson area are looking at expansions of interchanges
10 along our interstate system, such as I-10 and I-19.

11 And then really quickly, on the PAG -- or the MAG
12 system in the Phoenix metro area, again, a lot of expansion
13 projects in the Phoenix metro area, with the largest project
14 being the South Mountain corridor, which is almost a billion
15 dollars in this five-year program.

16 And now I'm going to have to, you know, quickly
17 wrap up, because -- oh, thank you, Mary. I was go to say, I'm
18 losing my voice.

19 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Board Member Hammond.

20 MR. HAMMOND: Is it appropriate to comment on the
21 attempt to stop the South Mountain Freeway and any update on
22 that?

23 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Hammond, I think
24 it's important to know that the South Mountain's not in this
25 five-year program. That's a current project, and that this

1 hearing, the discussion is supposed to be on -- it's agendaed
2 for this tentative five-year program. In general, if you would
3 want to have a discussion of the South Mountain, I think we need
4 to agenda it as a separate item. It's not part of this item.
5 Michelle, fair? Is that...

6 MS. KUNZMAN: Yes.

7 MR. KIES: Just a point of clarification. There
8 is funding in this proposed five-year program to -- because
9 South Mountain is a public/private partnership, and there's
10 funding that would be provided to the private venture on
11 numerous fiscal years. So just to --

12 MR. ROEHRICH: So with this funding being spread
13 out, so then it is in this five-year program.

14 MR. KIES: Correct.

15 MR. ROEHRICH: So that you can talk about the
16 South Mountain.

17 MR. HAMMOND: Okay.

18 MR. KIES: Yeah. \$973 million of the -- I think
19 it's about \$1.7 billion for that project is in this tentative
20 program.

21 MR. HAMMOND: So then, Mr. Chair (inaudible).

22 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Board Member Hammond, do you
23 have a question on that?

24 MR. HAMMOND: Obviously if that (inaudible),
25 there would be a lot of money (inaudible) in Maricopa County.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I can assure that those that
2 are in Maricopa County that I met for (inaudible). But it looks
3 like it's going forward.

4 MR. KIES: Dallas, (inaudible) just -- we just
5 had a conversation. Dallas will be covering the status of the
6 lawsuit regarding South Mountain in his state engineer's report.

7 And then last is the airport program. The State
8 does have an aviation fund, which is funded by license fees and
9 jet fuel taxes that goes towards improvement of our state
10 airports, and each year the Board approves the dedication of
11 funding from that fund towards different programs for the
12 airports. The first is each year we set aside a portion of
13 funding that can match federal grants. So the airports request
14 federal funding from the FAA, and then the State Aviation Fund
15 provides part of that match to those federal funds. So some of
16 those projects that were commented on earlier that the Grand
17 Canyon would be requests that that airport could make from the
18 federal government. Those funds would then be -- in an example,
19 could be used to match those federal fund requests.

20 The second program is our state loan -- state and
21 local grants. These are state aviation funds that go -- could
22 go directly to airports for improvements around the state that
23 ADOT makes those grants to the local airports.

24 And then the third program is our pavement
25 preservation program. Again, airports, it's important to

1 maintain those assets that are out there. So the State Aviation
2 Fund is proposing over \$6 million in the next fiscal year to be
3 awarded to local airports for pavement preservation. And then
4 there's a loan program, and then the State also does planning
5 services such as the State Aviation Plan, and that's proposed at
6 about \$4 million for next fiscal year.

7 With that, I would just conclude with what the
8 next steps are for the tentative program. We have been holding
9 public hearings since March. Today is the last public hearing,
10 here in Flagstaff. We had a previous one in the Tucson area,
11 last month in Phoenix. We have been compiling all the comments,
12 and you've been getting reports on those comments as we -- each
13 month as we get together here at the board meeting.

14 So this is all leading up to a study session on
15 May 31st, which will be held in Phoenix, where staff will come
16 back, and based on all the comments we've heard, bring to you
17 recommendations on changes to the tentative program that if you
18 concur, then could become the final program. We then bring back
19 the final program to you at the board meeting in June, which
20 will be in Holbrook this year, for your -- to request that you
21 approve it as the final program. If you do approve it as the
22 final program, we then send it over to the governor's office for
23 signature, and we need to do that by June 30th, because then the
24 program will be available when our next fiscal year starts,
25 which is July 1st.

1 With that, that's the overview I had of the
2 tentative five-year program.

3 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Okay, Mike.

4 Board Member Stratton.

5 MR. STRATTON: I have a comment and a request.

6 First, I'd like to thank the entities that realize how short we
7 are on money and the needs that we have, and those entities that
8 have stepped up and offered partnerships with ADOT, especially
9 those that have budgeted specific amounts or given us specific
10 amounts so that the staff has something hard dollar wise to work
11 with.

12 Having said that, I would ask staff to speak to
13 Gila County. They gave a commitment today, but it was very
14 loose. There was not a dollar amount associated with it. And
15 looked at the feasibility of moving the Lion Springs sign
16 project forward in the five-year plan. Hopefully we can have
17 that for the study session.

18 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any other board member?
19 Questions? Comments? Concerns?

20 Board Member Teller.

21 MR. TELLER: Going back to the state aviation
22 program, in 2013, Navajo Nation championed an amendment to
23 consider tribal airports be part of this opportunity to improve
24 the tribal airports, and it passed, and we're now involved two
25 years, three years into this program. There has been

1 discussions of an aviation sweep again, and my concern is,
2 especially for tribal airports, now that we're in the program,
3 Navajo Nation's involved, (inaudible) involved in getting -- the
4 State Aviation Fund, we do appreciate it. I know the other --
5 there's other tribal programs that are going to take advantage
6 of this opportunity, and their concern is this discussion of
7 that sweep again. So that is a concern of mine.

8 MR. KIES: Mr. Chairman and Board Member Teller,
9 I'm happy to report that the budget process is over this year.
10 The legislature did propose a budget and it was approved, and
11 there are no sweeps from the aviation fund. Last year there was
12 a \$15 million sweep, but there were no sweeps this year from the
13 aviation fund.

14 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Seeing no other questions, I
15 would entertain a motion to adjourn the public hearing on the
16 2017 to 2021 Tentative Five-Year Transportation Facilities
17 Construction Program.

18 MR. STRATTON: So moved.

19 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have a motion by Board
20 Member Stratton.

21 MR. TELLER: Second.

22 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have a second by -- we'll go
23 with Board Member Teller.

24 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."

25 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.
 2 Now the question. We've been going for about an
 3 hour and 45 minutes. Do you guys want a five- or ten-minute
 4 break or -- we have about an hour, probably, in this agenda.
 5 Your pleasure.

6 I see that nod. Yes, a five-minute break. Let's
 7 take a five-minute break. We'll come back at about five of
 8 11:00.

9 (Short break taken.)

10 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Call this meeting back to
 11 order, regular board meeting.

12 First item on the agenda is call to the audience.
 13 I do not have any speaker cards for the call to the audience,
 14 and therefore we'll go to the next agenda item, which is the
 15 district engineer's report, and Audra Merrick is up.

16 MS. MERRICK: Good morning, Chair and members of
 17 the Board. My name is Audra Merrick. I'm the ADOT North
 18 Central District Engineer. We're excited to have you here in
 19 Flagstaff, and thanks for being here today. Welcome to
 20 Flagstaff.

21 I'm going to talk to you today in the interest of
 22 your time about four construction projects of interest. I'll
 23 talk a little bit about district operations since generally we
 24 seem to focus on construction projects, but some of the
 25 maintenance side of the house is interesting as well.

1 We do have a -- we are anticipating administering
 2 28 fiscal year '16 projects alone. It's going to be totaling
 3 over \$36 million. That is a combination of local projects and
 4 ADOT projects, just an FYI. So two of those four projects I'll
 5 talk to you about today are the fiscal year '16 projects, and
 6 then the other two are rollovers from years past.

7 This is the district map. I do apologize. It's
 8 the old district map. It's not that we don't welcome the new
 9 area into our district. I had some computer challenges and
 10 couldn't get the new district map in. So my apologies there.

11 The first project I'll be talking to you about is
 12 the I-17 northbound rock scaling project. I've been traveling
 13 north above Flagstaff on 89, the US Cameron Bridge project, and
 14 I spoke to you about this project last year, but we're finishing
 15 it up.

16 And then onward to the northwest corner of the
 17 state and talk about I-15, Bridge Number 6, and then a pavement
 18 preservation project we have up there from Nevada state line,
 19 which is Milepost 0 to Milepost 13.

20 So this is the first project. It's I-17
 21 northbound. It's a rock scaling project. There's also some
 22 debris removal, some W beam guardrail, and some concrete barrier
 23 in this project. It was just awarded in February of this year.
 24 It's about one-and-a-half million dollars. It is a 100-working
 25 day contract, so probably will be about -- probably be about

1 five months long. So I just tossed maybe a fall completion
 2 estimation. The contractor's Fann. It is night work, and so
 3 here's what rock scaling looks like in the evening hours on
 4 I-17.

5 This is the second project. It's US-89, the
 6 Cameron Bridge replacement project. It was awarded back in
 7 October of '13. This is the one I presented to you last year.
 8 \$37 million contract. It's nearing completion now. We'll have
 9 it completed this summer, and the contractor is Vastco.

10 The structure on the right was the old steel
 11 truss bridge. It went over the Little Colorado River, and this
 12 is what it looks like today. We've replaced it with two
 13 concrete (inaudible) bridges.

14 And then in addition, you can see how massive
 15 these structures are. In that red circle, there's actually a
 16 man painting the bridge, and you can barely see him. The
 17 structure depth, which is measured from the roadway service that
 18 we drive on to the bottom of the girders, is roughly 7 feet.
 19 It's actually 6 feet, 11 inches. And those pier caps, which is
 20 the horizontal element that sits on top of the columns, are
 21 four-and-a-half feet tall. So this just gives you an idea of
 22 the magnitude of the structures.

23 This is still the US-89 project. US-89, before
 24 we had this project, was a lane of traffic in each direction,
 25 one northbound, one southbound lane. We've now made it a

1 divided, two-lane section. So the photo on the left is looking
 2 southbound on US-89. Cameron Trading Post is there on the
 3 right. You can see some of the signage.

4 And this photo shows the pedestrian pathways that
 5 were put in on this project, along with some of the roadway
 6 lighting. We also put in four pedestrian tunnels that go under
 7 US-89, and the top right photo shows one of those pedestrian
 8 tunnels. They're 10 feet by 12 feet boxes. So they're 12 feet
 9 wide, 10 feet tall concrete boxes, and you can see some of the
 10 architectural treatment that was placed inside.

11 This is the third project of interest. This is
 12 I-15, (inaudible) Bridge Number 6. This is the last time I'll
 13 be presenting to you on this project, because we're pleased to
 14 announce that we should have this completed July of this year.
 15 It was awarded in January of '14. It's a \$27 million contract.
 16 I don't know if you remember, there was TIGER Grant money in
 17 this. The contractor's (inaudible) Wadsworth, and we should
 18 have it open to traffic for Memorial Day weekend, but it has
 19 intermittent lane closures between there and July. But then
 20 we'll complete the project in July.

21 The fourth project of interest was (inaudible) on
 22 the I-15 corridor. It's a pavement preservation project from
 23 Nevada state line to Milepost 13. Milepost 13 is just to the
 24 south entrance of the gorge. It was awarded in October of '15.
 25 It's a \$10-and-a-half million contract. It's 70 percent

1 complete. It's actually a three-inch mill and a two-inch
 2 overlay. We completed that last week, and we started the
 3 friction course paving this week. So expect that to be
 4 completed this summer. Again, this is (inaudible), and so those
 5 are some photos of what paving actually looks like in the
 6 evening hours.

7 So that completes my -- the four projects of
 8 interest.

9 Moving into some of our district operations,
 10 which you don't see a lot of necessarily during these meetings,
 11 I just wanted to share a few minutes with you. We're a seasonal
 12 district up here in the North Central District. So during the
 13 winter months, we spend a long time in snow operations. When
 14 the season breaks, when the weather breaks, we're out there
 15 instantly working on roads, filling potholes. When we have
 16 better weather, you'll see us put overlays out on the roads,
 17 repairing guardrail from the winter months, repairing fence,
 18 those types of items. And then normally, about mid summer, you
 19 see us start preparing again for that next snow season.

20 In the midst of all that, we have other things
 21 which I actually call the fun stuff, and this is all the
 22 incident management that we have, and we'll talk some about that
 23 as well. Here's a photo of a tow plow that we purchased this
 24 year, and what it allows us to do is plow two lanes at the same
 25 time. This was used for the first time this season on

1 Interstate 40. I believe this is -- I think this is westbound,
 2 and it's out in the Williams area.

3 This is a picture of the plow from behind. The
 4 truck itself has a plow on the front of it. It's plowing the
 5 left lane. The tow plow, which articulates from the back of the
 6 truck, is a plow on the right lane. We anticipate getting
 7 another one of these plows this summer. We'll use that in east
 8 Flagstaff out on the I-40 area.

9 We do have a road that we close during the winter
 10 months. It's State Route 67, Jacobs Lake to the north rim. The
 11 north rim of the Grand Canyon is closed in the winter months,
 12 and so as a result, we don't put as many resources on that
 13 roadway. And here is an example of when the weather breaks,
 14 they go out and try to clear the road and have it open for the
 15 Grand Canyon National Park opening day, which is usually mid
 16 May.

17 Some of our spring, summertime operations is --
 18 usually starts with the potholes, because we have a lot of
 19 (inaudible) spot conditions up in this area. And so the picture
 20 on the left is the I-15 bridge number three. This bridge since
 21 has been rehabbed, and we've -- we worked on the deck of this
 22 bridge, and thank you to you all for that project. And then the
 23 other picture is I-40, just parts, just example of a pothole on
 24 the road.

25 So these are our all-year operations, what I call

1 kind of our incident management. Really, the -- kind of the fun
2 stuff of the district, the things that just happen, and we need
3 to quickly respond. We're getting really good at it. I'm not
4 sure if that's a good thing or not up in this district.

5 This is just a small taste of recent events in
6 the North Central District. So up on 180, we see winter
7 congestion in our winter months, and we have vehicles that park
8 on the side of the road, then we have difficulty getting our
9 plows through. We use law enforcement to help with those
10 situations. We've also done a lot of communications this year
11 to educate the public not to park on the sides of the roads, and
12 that's helped.

13 We have dust storms up in the North Central
14 District. Here is an example of I-40 out in the Winslow area.

15 We actually have earthquakes. We've had a few up
16 on the I-15 area and Littlefield this year, and then last year
17 we had some down on State Route 89A between Flagstaff and
18 Sedona.

19 I don't know of anything wrong with this bridge.
20 It was just a picture of a bridge. Really, it's just to remind
21 me. When we have these earthquakes, generally what we do from a
22 district standpoint is we send people out to take a look at
23 bridges to see if they see anything obvious that's wrong, and
24 we'll call in additional resources if we need to. We haven't
25 had an issue so far.

1 We have lots of fires up in this area. We're
2 very fire prone. Two years ago, we had the State Route 89A
3 (inaudible) fire, which is the top picture, and that was when we
4 had State Route 89A closed for a period of time from Sedona to
5 Flagstaff. We actually had an active construction project at
6 that time, and we held off on that project and had the
7 contractor wait, and then we slid the closure from the fire
8 right into the construction closure. And we were able just to
9 have the fire and pave, and we were out of there. It worked out
10 great, from that side of it, anyway.

11 I-15, this was just two months ago on I-15. This
12 is north of I-15. You're actually sitting on, I believe, Bridge
13 1 or close to it. We had a fire up there.

14 Floods, we have -- we're starting to get more
15 floods. This is US-89A, House Rock. This was August of last
16 year. The top right is an aerial. That is the roadway, and the
17 water came across the road. You can see the vehicle up close,
18 to the top of the slide. You can see the white vehicle below.
19 There was no injuries.

20 And then the bottom photo is that same flood,
21 US-89A, House Rock, and it shows two men standing there. You
22 can see the scale of those boulders that we had on the road, and
23 so we brought up district resources from all over the district,
24 and we were boulder blasting, trying to get the boulders off the
25 road because they were so massive.

1 About a month after this, that's when we had the
2 State Route 389 flood, the Utah flooding. We had some minor
3 damage to that bridge structure up there. Our maintenance staff
4 went out and put a temporary fix in, and we're working on a
5 project for a permanent fix.

6 We have landslides. You're familiar with the
7 US-89 landslide, various rock fall. There was mention of that
8 earlier. This is just an example of the rock down on State
9 Route 89A.

10 Sinkholes, we have sinkholes up in this district,
11 too, and that's an example, State Route 89A.

12 Various vehicle fires. I think most districts
13 probably have these around the state.

14 And this one is actually interesting. It's a
15 tornado. And I'm really happy to say this is something I
16 haven't seen as district engineer yet, but this is a photo out
17 from (inaudible) 2010 in the Belmont area on I-40.

18 And so that's all I have for you today, and thank
19 you for having me. I appreciate it. And I'd take any questions
20 if anybody has any.

21 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you.

22 Board Member Teller.

23 MR. TELLER: Thank you, Chairman.

24 Ms. Merrick, thank you very much for your hard
25 work and your district's hard work. We do appreciate it in this

1 region of Arizona, and I commend your efforts for working with
2 Navajo Nation and Hopi Nation as well in our partnership
3 efforts. It is -- it really helps us work together in a
4 streamlined fashion, and you -- you begin to understand us, and
5 "us" meaning Navajo DOT and Hopi DOT, and we're beginning to
6 understand the process a bit more. And so you see today we saw
7 some tribal members here advocating for some projects, and
8 that's through the efforts of yourself, Mr. Johnson and the
9 Board members sharing and encouraging and, I guess, instructing
10 how to do -- how to put in projects into the process. Your
11 effort is (inaudible). Thank you very much.

12 MS. MERRICK: Thank you. Appreciate that.

13 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any other Board members?

14 MR. ROEHRICH: Just -- Mr. Chair, the director's
15 not here, but I know if he was, he would really express his
16 appreciation, Audra, of you and the whole North Central team,
17 everything that you do. When he goes to board meetings and
18 hears all the great comments from the speakers -- we talked
19 about how great it is to work with the district engineer and
20 district staff. He really -- it solidifies in his mind the
21 importance of he can't be everywhere, he's got such a big state,
22 but he knows he's got the right professionals out there.

23 We heard great comments here. Mr. Teller, your
24 comments now are so appreciative. But it really starts with
25 you, Audra, you and the whole team in the district. So thank

1 you for that. I know if he was here, he would want to express
2 that appreciation, especially looking at the magnitude of what
3 you have to do day in and day out. So thank you.

4 MS. MERRICK: Thanks. Appreciate it.

5 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you. On behalf of the
6 entire Board, thank you. We receive a lot of great comments
7 about all the great work that you and your team are doing here,
8 and again, this presentation, all the efforts and all the things
9 that you deal with, it's just amazing. And I drive many of
10 these roads through a lot of this different weather, and it's
11 nice, safe travel. I feel very comfortable (inaudible).

12 MS. MERRICK: Thank you. Appreciate it.

13 MS. BEAVER: I might -- I don't know if Audra
14 could help me on this, but in one of the speakers'
15 presentations, they were talking about what I'm assuming had to
16 do with a -- like a -- a new road maybe between Cameron and --
17 it was a loop.

18 MS. MERRICK: Yes.

19 MS. BEAVER: Is that what that -- the interest
20 was, was seeing kind of a shorter route rather than having to go
21 clear around to I-40?

22 MS. MERRICK: Yes. And Mr. Teller can probably
23 answer this as well. Navajo DOT has been communicating now with
24 ADOT. They're interested in putting a route in that essentially
25 goes -- about the Twin Arrows Casino area on I-40, up north, to

1 loop up into Cameron.

2 MS. BEAVER: Okay. So Cameron is back over on
3 the -- where they're doing that road construction that you --

4 MS. MERRICK: Yes.

5 MS. BEAVER: I've been up there, by the way, and
6 I've seen it.

7 MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh.

8 MS. BEAVER: And it's -- it even looks nicer than
9 what (inaudible).

10 MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh. Yeah. It's -- it will --
11 they are -- they're running a feasibility study now. My
12 understanding is it could be potentially in a draft form. I
13 haven't seen it. And so that new highway will come into --
14 connect into 89 somewhere in the Cameron area.

15 MS. BEAVER: Is there already a dirt road?
16 (Inaudible) road, I guess, is the term we use?

17 MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh.

18 MR. TELLER: Mr. Chair, (inaudible), thank you
19 very much.

20 Vice Chair, Chair and Ms. Merrick, the route that
21 Navajo Gaming provided in a brief statement this morning is a
22 route that is in feasibility form, and it's a corridor that
23 starts in Cameron. Then it goes on the western side of Navajo
24 following some dirt roads. There's a collection of dirt roads
25 that are up there.

1 As you may recall, Mr. (Inaudible) did mention
 2 that that particular part of Navajo and Hopi (inaudible) area.
 3 So the infrastructure still is very primitive and basic, and
 4 there's a series of dirt roads that are within the Navajo DOT's
 5 inventory, as well as some Hopi inventory. When I say
 6 "inventory," the routes are collected into the EIA's road
 7 infrastructure, road inventory database. So there's a lot of
 8 roads up in that area that then meets at the Grand Falls area,
 9 and then it comes down south to Tribal Route 15 where that
 10 connects to the loop, then southward to Twin Arrows. So it's
 11 approximately a 60- -- I want to say 60-mile corridor route that
 12 goes from I-40 up to Cameron.

13 MS. MERRICK: Thanks.

14 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you. And I might
 15 encourage maybe in the future, I might get (inaudible) in the
 16 future. Thank you, Audra.

17 MS. MERRICK: Thank you. Appreciate it.

18 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Let's move on to Item Number 2.

19 MR. ROEHRICH: Good morning, Mr. Chair and
 20 members of the Board. The director, again, could not be here.
 21 He had that conflict that he hopes this might be the last month.
 22 So he still sends his regrets. The only item that we have was a
 23 recognition of a past Board member, Board chair, Mr. Bob
 24 Montoya. I'm actually going to defer to past Board chair and
 25 Board Member Bill Feldmeier to talk about the actions that I

1 think this board has contributed to, but past Board members have
 2 to honor the memory of Mr. Montoya and what he's meant to the --
 3 to ADOT, the transportation and to this region.

4 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Floyd, as Mr. Feldmeier's
 5 coming down, Mr. Feldmeier filled out a form. Does he need to
 6 fill one of these out?

7 MR. ROEHRICH: Well, I saw Mary get her phone
 8 out, so I think you get three minutes.

9 MR. FELDMER: I thought I had some kind of
 10 executive privilege here. I guess when it's over, it's over.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Do we need to hear from legal
 12 counsel to see if (inaudible)?

13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

14 MR. FELDMER: You have legal counsel here?

15 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Always.

16 MR. FELDMER: We used to do it without.

17 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Well, yeah. That was the good
 18 old days.

19 MR. FELDMER: That was the good old days.

20 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: (Inaudible), you know, moved
 21 on. They attach legal counsel to us and stuff. We can't turn
 22 on the mics without legal.

23 MR. FELDMER: I think I understand why.

24 Well, Mr. Chairman, staff members and members of
 25 the public that are here today for this item specifically, I

1 want you all to know that it is indeed my pleasure, and really
2 my sad pleasure, to recognize Robert, and Bob, Montoya for his
3 time on the State Transportation Board.

4 I was on this board the year after Bob came on.
5 So I followed him for five years. And then better than that,
6 because he lived in Flagstaff and I lived in Verde Valley, and a
7 lot in Prescott as well, we would link up in either (inaudible)
8 Junction on I-17 or here in Flagstaff and then drive together to
9 both study sessions and board meetings throughout the state. So
10 I got -- I got to know Bob really, really well, and it was my
11 pleasure to know him, spend a great deal of time with him during
12 his tenure on the Board, and then some periods of time after as
13 well.

14 When we're on the Board, we always recognize
15 there are certain projects that are special to us that we wanted
16 to accomplish during the tenure that we have. For Bob, it was
17 the bridge -- the bridges and the construction project attached
18 to both bridges at Cameron on 89. Bob used to riddle me on the
19 way down to meetings and on the way back. He says, "On the
20 Board, I want to get that in the five-year plan, and I want to
21 see that thing completed." Well, to his credit, that project is
22 now under construction and close to completion. But it's sad to
23 say he didn't make it to cut the ribbon.

24 While I was passing over that bridge construction
25 a number of months ago, maybe it was probably a year ago, I had

1 this flash and the memories of the conversations I had with Bob
2 about the importance of that project. And I thought, you know,
3 maybe we ought to remember Bob and his efforts by creating a
4 plaque and putting it on one of the bridge (inaudible) there.

5 So I let that work in my mind for a period of
6 time, and I pitched some other board members, Victor Flores, in
7 particular, and we discussed it and all thought it was kind of a
8 good idea. So we milled that around a little bit and talked to
9 some family members, and everyone was on except ADOT, because we
10 hadn't run the idea by them yet.

11 So we met with John Halikowski, the director, a
12 number of months ago, probably six, seven months ago, and
13 expressed the idea to him. And right there everybody was on,
14 and it was off to the races with the commitment that no public
15 money is going to be involved in this. So it's all going to be
16 privately funded by friends and family.

17 So what we ended up with was the plaque that we
18 see up here. That -- this thing is heavy. This is it right
19 here. And it comes with -- it comes with (inaudible) bolts and
20 all, and your ADOT staff, through Audra's district, has offered
21 to mount this for us. So here it is, for all of you back there
22 to see. I'll set it down before I drop it on my toe.

23 And then I want to recognize, before I move on,
24 that there's some members of the family that are here today.
25 First, I want to recognize Bob's wife, Linda, who unfortunately

1 couldn't be here today through -- due to a prior commitment out
2 of state, but she's here, nevertheless, in spirit and knew this
3 was going to take place.

4 We also have Veronica and Henry Ortiz, who are
5 back here. Just raise your hands for us so the folks up here
6 can see who you are. And then -- and Veronica is Bob's sister.

7 And then Jerry and Theresa Montoya. Jerry was in
8 a sling. He had to go to some shoulder therapy just a few
9 minutes ago, and so he's not here, but Theresa is here. So
10 please raise your hand. Thank you.

11 And then I thought maybe that Ernie and Kathy
12 Montoya, Bob's brother and sister-in-law, would be here today,
13 but they didn't make it. So we had contributions from them as
14 well as Bob -- one of Bob's sons, Ryan.

15 In addition to family, a whole host of friends
16 that paid for the -- this plaque, and then in addition to that,
17 a bench that I don't have a picture of, except on my iPad, and
18 we're working through -- we've collected all the money necessary
19 for that \$1,500 expenditure. And when we get that ordered, that
20 will be here and sent to Audra's office, and at the appropriate
21 time, they'll take care of the installation of both the plaque
22 and bench. And for that, I'm grateful to ADOT and the fine way
23 that you all have helped and for the contributions that came in,
24 especially for Bob Montoya and for his family and for the fine
25 things that he did for this area. Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: This is really about -- really
2 goes to the heart, because you know, you're so right in that
3 Board members spend a lot of time and energy on certain projects
4 to conceptualize them, to get them, you know, launched, get them
5 in the plan, get the scope in before (inaudible) construction,
6 and I think this is a phenomenal way to recognize all of these
7 efforts, and, well, thank you for that. It's very nice.

8 MR. FELDMEIERS: You're welcome. It was a
9 pleasure, a sad pleasure, as I said. Okay. Thank you all.

10 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Feldmeier.

11 MR. FELDMEIERS: I'm going to leave this in your
12 capable hands.

13 MR. ROEHRICH: We will take care of that,
14 Mr. Feldmeier. Yes, sir.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

16 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, that's all for the
17 director's report.

18 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Let's move on to Agenda Item
19 Number 3, which is the consent agenda.

20 You received all the materials in your package.
21 Is there anyone that -- any Board member that would like to pull
22 something off the consent agenda?

23 Seeing no requests, I would entertain a motion to
24 approve the consent agenda as presented.

25 MR. HAMMOND: So moved.

1 MR. TELLER: Second.

2 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I have a motion by Board Member
3 Hammond, second by Board Member Teller.

4 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."

5 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

6 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.
7 Item Number 4 is the legislative report.

8 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Bill Fathauer will be
9 giving the legislative report. John Carlson did not make the
10 trip. An issue came up that needed his attention today.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you, Bill.

12 MR. FATHAUER: Mr. Chairman, Board members, thank
13 you. My name is Bill Fathauer. I'm the legislative liaison
14 with the Government Relations Office at ADOT. I wanted to give
15 you a brief update of some of the key federal issues that are
16 going on currently, as well as a summary of some of the
17 important impacts that resulted from the recent legislative
18 sessions.

19 First, our -- the re-authorization of the Federal
20 Aviation Administration. Haven't had too much movement on that
21 since last month, but the Senate has approved their
22 re-authorization bill, and the House's version is being held up
23 currently. There's some bipartisan disagreement about the
24 privatization of air traffic control, and that's been holding
25 that up for a little bit. The deadline for the re-authorization

1 is July 15th of this year, and we're expecting a short-term
2 resolution to be reached around that time until a bill for a
3 long-term solution can be determined and introduced in the
4 House.

5 As you heard earlier, there were several federal
6 grants that the department is waiting approval, including the
7 \$60 million fast lane grant for several widening projects on the
8 I-10, specifically near the Picacho and Casa Grande area.
9 There's also a \$25 million TIGER Grant for the acceleration of
10 improvement projects on the 189, State Route 189 from the
11 Interstate 19 to Mariposa Port of Entry. There's also a
12 24-and-a-half million dollar TIGER Grant for rehabilitation of
13 (inaudible) number one on Interstate 15 in the northwest corner
14 of the state.

15 We are expecting to hear from the US Department
16 of Transportation in August on the two TIGER grants and the fast
17 lane grant for the I-10. We expect to hear a little bit earlier
18 than that.

19 Finally, the federal appropriations bill for
20 Transportation, Housing and Urban Development was passed by the
21 US Senate on Wednesday, and we anticipate getting a full
22 analysis of that completed sometime in the middle of next week.
23 I'd be happy to provide that to you.

24 The House is a little bit further behind on their
25 version of the Appropriations Committee. Heard that on the

1 morning of Wednesday, May 18th, and we'll provide further
2 analysis as we go along for that.

3 As far as the state legislative update, the
4 legislature has continued the Department of Transportation for a
5 further eight years, which is always a good thing, and the state
6 budget took roughly \$96 million from the State Highway Fund to
7 go towards DPS, enforcement, highway patrol, which is usually
8 the case. However, this year there was an appropriation of
9 roughly 86-and-a-half million dollars from the State General
10 Fund, \$30 million of which is a one-time appropriation to the
11 counties, cities and towns.

12 Another 56-and-a-half million dollars to the
13 department will be used on various -- various state projects,
14 and you've heard about those. But it's \$30 million for the
15 widening projects on Interstate 10. That money will be combined
16 with any fast lane grant money that we receive later this year
17 as part of that. If the -- if it is not -- if we do not get the
18 grant money from the fast lane grant, that \$30 million would be
19 placed in a state highway fund where instead, it can be used for
20 highway construction on projects that are part of the five-year
21 program.

22 Similarly, the \$25 million that we were
23 appropriated for the acceleration of the State -- State Route
24 189 project, if we do not get the TIGER Grant, that money will
25 go towards that acceleration. If we do get the TIGER Grant

1 money or for some other reason the \$25 million appropriation is
2 not able to accelerate the 189 project to year --

3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: '19.

4 MR. FATHAUER: -- 2019, that money will also be
5 placed in a similar subaccount and can be used for projects in
6 the five-year plan. In addition, in fiscal year 2018 -- 2017
7 and 2018, you will see another \$30 million from the -- HURF for
8 that normal distribution process is undertaking. You'll see \$30
9 million distributed to the cities, counties and towns as well to
10 match the one-time General Fund appropriation from this current
11 year.

12 In addition, we also have a \$1.5 million
13 appropriation to the department that will be distributed to the
14 Navajo Nation for the purpose of a Route 60 construction
15 project. That appropriation is under the budget, not subject to
16 a review by the Joint Committee on Capital Review.

17 Also, we had a \$5.3 million appropriation for the
18 construction of vehicle wash systems, ten of them across the
19 state, which will go a long way towards ensuring that ADOT's
20 fleet of snow plows and other heavy equipment are not further
21 corroded, and that will extend their life -- life span and save
22 the department a pretty significant amount of money in the long
23 run towards replacing them.

24 We also saw a -- the creation of a surface
25 transportation task force, which will consist of nine members,

1 three of them chosen by the governor, including one each
 2 employed by a trucking company, a highway user group that
 3 represents the motoring public, and a statewide business
 4 organization. The other six members will be appointed -- will
 5 be split between the governor, the senate resident and the House
 6 -- speaker of House of Representatives.

7 Those appointments will be made within 30 days of
 8 the general effective date of August 6th of this year, and they
 9 will review previous analyses of transportation needs and
 10 funding, revenue sources available to the State, as well as
 11 recommend new dedicated sources of revenue for the next 20 years
 12 of expected interstate capacity, needs, as well as potential
 13 incremental sources of revenue for the HURF fund. They will
 14 meet at least once a month and will present a final report to
 15 the governor and legislative leadership by the end of this year.

16 A couple of other department priorities. We now
 17 are allowed statutory authority to conduct fingerprint
 18 background checks on employees and our authorized third-party
 19 providers, which is important, because they often have access to
 20 customers' financial information and other personal information.
 21 So that protection for our Motor Vehicle Division customers is
 22 very important.

23 The program's also established to allow the
 24 department to offer sponsorship and advertising opportunities on
 25 our department assets, both non-highway and facilities. It

1 would be a way to generate a small amount of additional revenue
 2 to be used on transportation projects and other operational
 3 costs. As well, we have made various changes to gross wage
 4 length restrictions and modified requirements for the
 5 disqualification of CDL permits, and this simply just gets us
 6 into line with federal rules on those matters.

7 Another big -- along with the transportation
 8 funding task force, one of the big priorities that the
 9 department had this year was allowing ADOT to establish a
 10 completely electronic highway system, which is -- it goes along
 11 with our other efforts to provide as many of department services
 12 online and electronic as possible. It's going to go a long way
 13 to increase the efficiency and customer service quality that we
 14 provide for our customers. It's going to allow much greater
 15 flexibility for Motor Vehicle Division customers to do a whole
 16 wider range of transactions online without having to go into a
 17 field office, and it will also create a much easier system of
 18 electronic transfer of information between license companies and
 19 the Motor Vehicle Division.

20 Oh, and one more thing I forgot to mention about
 21 the State budget. There was also an \$80,000 appropriation for
 22 -- from the State Air Quality Fund to the department to cover
 23 our 20 percent match that will allow us to draw down 400,000 in
 24 federal funding to cover our statutory responsibilities on
 25 (inaudible) the safety oversight or the valley's light rail

1 system and Tucson streetcar system as well.

2 That is all I have on legislative update. I
3 appreciate your time, and I'll be happy to answer any questions.

4 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you.

5 Board, any questions?

6 Bill, that was a lot of information, and I will
7 suggest if there's a matrix that you could put together that
8 shares ADOT priorities, what the legislature gave us, and those
9 key points that you kind of laid out there, because I saw a
10 different work and was taking some quick notes on --

11 MR. FATHAUER: Sure.

12 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: -- things that piqued their
13 interest, but there's so much coming at us, it escaped me quite
14 a bit.

15 MR. FATHAUER: Of course, Mr. Chairman, members
16 of the Board. I'd be happy to provide you with a more in-depth
17 breakdown of both the appropriations and budget and also the
18 other priorities that were passed as well.

19 MR. ROEHRICH: Bill, if you get that to Mary,
20 she'll handle the distribution.

21 MR. FATHAUER: Perfect. Will do.

22 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you.

23 MR. FATHAUER: Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Financial report. Kristine.
25 Floyd, I told Kristine (inaudible).

1 MR. ROEHRICH: She talks to me very politely and
2 professionally.

3 MS. WARD: (Inaudible.) I'm very appropriate,
4 Floyd. I don't know what you're referring to.

5 All right. Well, I have a very brief report for
6 you today. Bill covered a lot of what's been going on
7 budgetarily, so I won't redo that.

8 All right. HURF is doing well. We are -- we
9 (inaudible) report this one. I'm right on the edge of my
10 forecast. Do I want to be right, or do I want more money?

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We want more money.

12 MS. WARD: We want more money.

13 We are right where -- we are just barely on
14 target. We're about 2 percent above forecast. Largely, that is
15 fueled by fuel, which is running -- which is driving these
16 numbers. Still thankful for the lower gas prices. So when you
17 see that, just as a point of reference, when you see that 2
18 percent above forecast, try to compute that to what does that
19 mean in actual money? You can simply do it as about 50 percent,
20 we're looking at technically it's 47. So it's about a
21 \$10 million gain to the State Highway Fund that then goes
22 through similar distributions. So that's what it equates to.

23 Moving on to RARF -- oops. Moving on to RARF, we
24 are a little behind forecast. This is what happens when you try
25 to forecast the future when legislative changes take place, but

1 we're still within target. We've got about 4 percent growth
 2 year to date. There were -- I wanted to let you know that some
 3 of the bills that got dropped this year at the legislature, got
 4 (inaudible) the process were TPT exemption bills, things that we
 5 were watching very closely. I'll actually be -- we'll be
 6 reviewing the impacts of what actually did get through, but
 7 every time we see those, we put forward a comment that says,
 8 keep in mind you're eroding transportation funding, and
 9 (inaudible) speak to the impacts of that. So yeah, we're at
 10 about 4 percent year to date on RARF.

11 On our federal aid program, I was going to cover
 12 some items with regards to what Bill was talking about. There
 13 are a few things that are a little concerning. There are some
 14 rescissions built into some of the federal bills being
 15 considered, and we're watching those closely. Every time we see
 16 those types of things, it's -- what's being discussed is a
 17 rescission of -- let's see how to put this -- apportionments.
 18 What that means to actual operations is it reduces our
 19 flexibility. Kind of takes federal policy and fences the State
 20 in, says, You will build these types of projects of pending more
 21 towards safety or what have you, but we never like to see
 22 rescissions. It limits our flexibility. So we're watching this
 23 closely and actually issued a letter, I think it was last week
 24 or the week before, expressing our concern about those potential
 25 rescissions.

1 With that, that covers my financial report, and
 2 if you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them.

3 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Questions of Board members?
 4 No.

5 Thank you, Kristine.

6 Sorry. Vice chair.

7 MS. BEAVER: I just wanted to notate the date of
 8 that letter was May 13th.

9 MS. WARD: Thank you very much. Now, see, I need
 10 to be that precise.

11 MS. BEAVER: (Inaudible.)

12 MS. WARD: (Inaudible.) All right. Thank you
 13 very much. Have a great day.

14 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: The next item, Item 6,
 15 Multimodal Planning Division. Mike.

16 MR. KIES: Mr. Chair, I don't have any further
 17 updates under the multimodal update item, unless the Board has
 18 questions about our activities.

19 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Very good work. Any questions?
 20 Item 7, PPAC.

21 MR. KIES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

22 You'll notice that there's been a lot of activity
 23 this month in the PPAC committee, over 60 projects either
 24 modified or proposed new projects. I just want to remind the
 25 Board that this is the time of the year where we're coming close

1 to the end of our fiscal year. So this is where we look for
 2 projects that need to be deferred to a future fiscal year
 3 because maybe for some various reasons the schedule is slipping,
 4 and then we also accelerate projects from future fiscal years to
 5 this fiscal year to help with the contingency fund that builds
 6 up throughout the year. So that's where a lot of the activity
 7 comes from.

8 With that, Mr. Chair, there are items 7A through
 9 7AC, 29 projects that are proposed to be modified by PPAC. Lynn
 10 prepares a map each month of all of the projects, and this month
 11 there were so many projects, it fits on two maps. So we can go
 12 back and forth between the map if you have any questions. But
 13 if there aren't any questions or comments on any projects,
 14 Mr. Chair, I ask the Board to approve Item 7A through 7C.

15 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any Board member want to pull a
 16 particular item?

17 MR. KIES: Excuse me. Through Item 7AC.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

19 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: If not, I would entertain a
 20 motion to accept and approve project modifications Items 7A
 21 through 7AC as presented.

22 MR. SELLERS: Move for approval.

23 MR. HAMMOND: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have a motion by Board
 25 Member Sellers, second by Board Member Hammond. Is there any

1 further discussion by any Board member?

2 I will just mention that there's a lot of
 3 movement in this PPAC, as Mike has just mentioned, which impacts
 4 what we're doing here. So at the study session be prepared to
 5 think about what this vote means as impacts and how that impacts
 6 our discussion for the study session.

7 No further discussion, all those in favor signify
 8 by saying "aye."

9 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

10 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

11 Mary, did you get the motion and the second?

12 Good.

13 Mike.

14 MR. KIES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

15 Items 7AD through Items 7BL are 35 projects that
 16 are new projects in the program that came through PPAC. Again,
 17 35 projects fit on two maps, for your information. So we can
 18 toggle between the maps if you have any question.

19 If there are no questions or comments on any of
 20 these items, I ask the Board to approve Items 7AD through 7BL.

21 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Does the Board desire to pull
 22 any of those items for further discussion?

23 If not, we'd entertain a motion to accept and
 24 approve new project Items 7AD through 7BL.

25 MR. TELLER: So moved.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I have a motion by Board Member
2 Teller.

3 MR. SELLERS: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Second by Board Member Sellers.
5 Do we have any further discussion?

6 Hearing none, all those in favor signify by
7 saying "aye."

8 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

10 MR. KIES: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you, Mike.

12 Item 8, the state engineer's report. Dallas.

13 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

14 As Lynn gets set up, currently we have 122
15 projects under construction totaling about \$1.85 billion.
16 Again, that includes the South Mountain project. Fourteen
17 projects were finalized in the month of March, totaling \$47.7
18 million, and year to date, we've finalized 143 projects.

19 A question was asked about the legal status of
20 the South Mountain project. Michelle said I had to keep it
21 really tight. I said, "That's good, because that's about all I
22 know." But in detail, when we got a record of decision from the
23 Federal Highway Administration on our EIS, we were sued by two
24 groups. We work with FHWA. Each of us have counsel, and we've
25 gone through briefs.

1 A week ago last Wednesday, our attorneys were --
2 met in court and pled our cases. Both sides have requested a
3 summary judgment. In other words, you state your case, and the
4 judge makes the decision. Each side is intended to be about two
5 -- two-and-a-half hour hearing. It went over five hours. Both
6 sides were asked very challenging questions, and we are waiting
7 to hear back from the judge. So we could get a decision. We
8 could have a request for another hearing to get more
9 information, but we're waiting to hear from the judge on that at
10 this time.

11 At any time our developer -- we have a record of
12 decision, then we're moving forward until we hear something
13 different. We will not start turning dirt until we get a
14 decision from the judge, so...

15 Further questions on that?

16 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Further questions from Board
17 members?

18 And if I remember right, didn't they not, when
19 they filed, seek some kind of preliminary injunction which was
20 denied?

21 MR. HAMMIT: That is correct. They did ask that
22 just stop all the work, and that was denied.

23 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Denied. And so work is
24 progressing. So it's going to be an interesting day if they
25 come and rule against, you know, building the facility. That's

1 (inaudible).

2 MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chairman, the work so far is in
3 the development stages. We're not turning dirt yet.

4 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Well, no, but we've bought the
5 right-of-way.

6 MR. HAMMIT: Yes, sir.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Demolished homes. We've done a
8 lot of things. I mean, so we're -- we're progressing as though
9 we're (inaudible).

10 MR. HAMMIT: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Interesting. Interesting to
12 see how it comes out.

13 Any other questions on that item?

14 MR. HAMMOND: First of all, I drive through that
15 area a lot. I can't wait for it to get done, but just a
16 question that's (inaudible) to do with the legalities of it. I
17 know the right-of-way's being cleared right now. Are there --
18 do you have to (inaudible)?

19 MR. HAMMIT: We do not. We have over half the
20 parcels, but there's some very high dollar, more in the
21 industrial area, closer to I-10 that we don't have access to.
22 One of the interesting things in this -- in a P3, our developer
23 is now taking the lead on some of the right-of-way acquisitions
24 moving forward. ADOT will still do the final end of it, but the
25 developer has control of getting some of those areas done.

1 MR. HAMMOND: Are there any legal challenges that
2 might delay the start of construction (inaudible) right-of-way
3 acquisition (inaudible)?

4 MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair, Mr. Hammond, there will
5 be some condemnation, but nothing unusual to construction
6 projects.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Thank you.

8 Ready to move on?

9 MR. HAMMIT: I thank you for approving the five
10 projects in the consent agenda. There is eight projects that we
11 have some discussion, and again, we did summarize our -- both
12 this month and our year to date. And as you see year to date,
13 we had awarded \$418 million worth of projects. The State's
14 estimate on those projects was about 430-31 million, or 3
15 percent under. So we're about -- some are high, some are low,
16 but on average, we're about 3 percent. So our estimates
17 haven't been too far off.

18 The first one that needs discussion today would
19 have been on the consent agenda if we looked only at the dollar
20 amount, but in this case, this is a project in Flagstaff. It's
21 a sign panel upgrade. The low bid was \$180,533.52. The State's
22 estimate was \$201,522, or \$20,988.48 under the State's estimate,
23 or 10.4 percent. The contractor, Trafficade Signs and Sales,
24 does not have the required license from the State of Arizona.
25 Our rules do allow you to bid, as long as you can get that

1 license before we award the contract. So what staff is
 2 recommending, we did review and believe this is a responsible
 3 and reasonable bid, and would recommend a contingency award to
 4 Trafficade Signs and Sales contingent that they get their
 5 licensing (inaudible) contractors.

6 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any questions by Board members?

7 MR. HAMMOND: Is there a deadline on that?

8 MR. HAMMIT: They have 90 days from the time of
 9 bid.

10 MR. STRATTON: I didn't hear it. I'm sorry.

11 MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, 90 days
 12 from the time of bid.

13 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: So because of the contingent
 14 award, I'll read and propose the motion, and then we'll
 15 entertain a motion, see if the Board so desires.

16 So the proposed motion is: Motion to accept and
 17 approve staff's recommendation to award the contract for Item 9A
 18 to Trafficade Signs and Sales, Inc., contingent upon Trafficade
 19 Signs and Sales, Inc. showing the department on or before close
 20 of business on June 28, 2016 it has obtained the appropriate --
 21 I think it says ROC in -- register of contractor licensing award
 22 can be effective the date of the notification to the department
 23 the contractor obtained the appropriate license; and that the
 24 bid of Trafficade Signs and Sales, Inc. be rejected if it does
 25 not show the department has obtained the ROC licensing by close

1 of business on June 28th, 2016. In the event the bid of
 2 Trafficade Signs and Sales, Inc. is rejected, the department
 3 will bring this project back to a future board meeting.

4 That's the proposed motion.

5 MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman, I have one more
 6 question.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Can we get a second on that
 8 motion? We have -- did we have a --

9 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, nobody motioned it.

10 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have Bill Cuthbertson moved
 11 for a motion, and seconded by Board Member Hammond. And let's
 12 take further discussion.

13 MR. STRATTON: Dallas, will waiting this period
 14 of time have a negative impact on any of the projects that they
 15 would be utilized on?

16 MR. HAMMIT: No. They're holding their bid
 17 values for this project. So it will not change what the bid
 18 would be, if that's your question.

19 MR. STRATTON: My question being would it have a
 20 negative impact waiting that long? If we waited until June 28th
 21 until they got their license, would it have a negative impact on
 22 any projects?

23 MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair, Mr. Stratton, no. In
 24 this case, it's a sign panel. So there's not a season of
 25 (inaudible) with this project.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Great. Thank you.
 2 We have a motion. We have a second. Do we have
 3 further discussion?
 4 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."
 5 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 6 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.
 7 MR. HAMMIT: The second project that we have
 8 today is a intersection improvement project in the town of Show
 9 Low. In this project, the low bid was \$455,862.30. The State's
 10 estimate was \$392,602. It was over the State's estimate by
 11 \$63,260.30, or 16.1 percent.
 12 Like a lot of these local projects, very small
 13 work area. We saw higher-than-expected prices in excavation, in
 14 the retaining wall. The survey and layout was also a little
 15 higher. There was a little bit of extra work that the
 16 contractor felt they needed to do. But after review, the
 17 department believes the bid is reasonable and responsive and
 18 would recommend award to Tiffany Construction Company.
 19 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any discussion by Board
 20 members?
 21 If not, I'd entertain a motion to accept and
 22 approve staff's recommendation to award the contract for Item 9B
 23 to Tiffany Construction Company.
 24 MR. TELLER: So moved.
 25 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I have a motion by Board Member

1 Teller.
 2 MR. STRATTON: Second.
 3 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Second by Board Member
 4 Stratton. Any further discussion?
 5 Hearing none, all those in favor signify by
 6 saying "aye."
 7 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 8 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.
 9 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 10 Item 9C, this is a project out on I-40 at the
 11 Haviland rest area. The low bid was \$1,360,194.50. The State's
 12 estimate was \$1,031,395.62, or being over the State's estimate
 13 by \$328,798.88, or 31.9 percent.
 14 This rest area is -- if you know where the
 15 turnoff is to go to Lake Havasu off of I-40, it's still west of
 16 there. So it's a remote location. We have longer hauls for
 17 concrete than expected, and one of the other things in this
 18 project, we're rebuilding part of the parking lot. You see a
 19 lot of truck traffic in the parking lot. It was in rough shape,
 20 and so it is concrete paving. There's production rates of being
 21 in the rest areas. So we did see higher-than-expected costs,
 22 but we did review the bids and believe they're responsible and
 23 responsive and would recommend award to Southwest Concrete
 24 Paving, Inc. -- or Company.
 25 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any Board member, questions?

1 If not, proposed motion, I'd entertain to accept
2 and approve staff's recommendation to award the contract for
3 Item 9C to Southwest Concrete Paving Company.

4 MS. BEAVER: Chairman, move so.

5 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have a motion by Vice Chair
6 Beaver. Do we have a second? Second by Board Member
7 Cuthbertson. Any further discussion?

8 Hearing none, all those in favor signify by
9 saying "aye."

10 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

12 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

13 Item 9D (inaudible) on I-40. This is in the
14 Kingman area at the intersection of Interstate 40 and US-93. It
15 is some improvements, putting in (inaudible) and landscaping.
16 The low bid was \$314,159.26. The State's estimate was
17 \$236,214.60, or \$77,944.66 over the estimate, or 33 percent.

18 As we've talked with the contractor, this work
19 will require multiple phases, which resulted in higher cost in a
20 number of areas, (inaudible) and lots of phases. We did review
21 the bid and believe it's responsible and reasonable and would
22 recommend award to C and S Construction, Inc.

23 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any Board member, discussion,
24 questions? Hearing none.

25 MS. BEAVER: Chairman La Rue, I move that we

1 approve this.

2 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I have a motion by Vice Chair
3 Beaver to accept and approve staff's recommendation to award the
4 contract for Item 9 D to CS Construction, Inc. Do I have a
5 second?

6 MR. HAMMOND: Second.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Second by Board Member Hammond.

8 All those -- any further discussion?

9 All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

10 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

11 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

12 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

13 Item 9E is on State Route 64. This is a safety
14 project. Shoulder widening, (inaudible) shoulders on State
15 Route 64 up near the Grand Canyon Airport. The low bid was
16 \$2,088,755. The State's estimate was \$1,619,119.60. The
17 project was over the estimate by \$469,535.40, or 29 percent.

18 Remote location. Our haul distance for this, for
19 the material source was 60 miles. We didn't estimate it to be
20 that far, so we did see higher-than-expected prices in the
21 aggregate base and the concrete. And in addition to the
22 materials coming in, the materials going out also had to travel
23 that same -- the waste site was at 60 miles. After review, we
24 do believe it was a responsible and reasonable bid and would
25 recommend award to Fann Construction (sic), Inc.

1 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any Board member, questions? I
2 would entertain a motion to accept and approve staff's
3 recommendation to award the contract for Item 9E to Fann
4 Contracting, Inc.

5 I have a motion by Board Member Teller.

6 MS. BEAVER: Yes.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Yes?

8 MS. BEAVER: Second.

9 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: And a second by Board Member
10 Beaver. All -- any further discussion?

11 All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

12 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

13 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

14 MR. HAMMIT: The next item is 9F. This is a
15 project in the city of Kingman at the visitor center, and if you
16 remember, we did postpone this the last board meeting. The low
17 bid was \$339,800. The State's estimate was \$195,000, or 144,800
18 over the State's estimate, 74 percent. In discussion with the
19 City of Kingman, the decision is they don't want to move
20 forward, and so staff's recommendation is to reject all bids.

21 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any discussion?

22 MS. BEAVER: Chairman La Rue, I believe that we
23 can reject all bids for Item 9F.

24 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Vice Chair Beaver has made a
25 motion to accept and approve staff's recommendation to reject

1 all bids in connection with Item 9F. Do we have a second?

2 MR. CUTHBERTSON: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Second by Bill Cuthbertson,
4 Board Member Cuthbertson. Any further discussion?

5 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."

6 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

8 I tell you, it seems like every contract so far
9 is either in Deanna's district or Board Member Teller's
10 district. Hopefully (inaudible).

11 MR. HAMMIT: I think this is still Mr. Teller's
12 district, so...

13 This one is on State Route 77. This is a rock
14 fall project. The low bid was \$2,975,000. The State's estimate
15 was \$2,427,959.37. The project was over the State's estimate by
16 \$547,040.63, or 22.5 percent.

17 This is a rock project. We saw a difference
18 higher than expected in the rock excavation. The material can't
19 be used outside. It has to be trucked off. In review, the
20 department believes it was a reasonable and responsive bid and
21 would recommend award to Fisher Sand & Gravel, doing business as
22 Southwest Paving.

23 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any Board member, questions?

24 MR. STRATTON: So moved.

25 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: We have a motion to accept and

1 approve staff's recommendation to award the contract for Item 9G
2 to Fisher Sand & Gravel, DBA Southwest Asphalt by Board Member
3 Stratton. Do we have a second?

4 MS. BEAVER: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Second by Vice Chair Beaver.
6 Any further discussion?

7 All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

8 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

9 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

10 MR. KIES: And our last project (inaudible) Item
11 9H is on State Route 84. This is some miscellaneous paving and
12 crack sealing. The low bid was \$388,999. The State's estimate
13 was \$511,821.71. The project was under the State's estimate by
14 \$122,822.71, or 24 percent.

15 Again, on some of these others, we saw better-
16 than-expected pricing in oil. We have been nervous to lower our
17 oil prices in our bids. So I'd rather -- this is the only one I
18 got -- justified that was under the State's estimate, but it was
19 all in the oil prices. The department does believe it is a
20 reasonable and responsive bid and would recommend award to
21 Sunland paving construction company.

22 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any questions by Board members?
23 Do we have a motion?

24 MR. STRATTON: Move for approval.

25 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Well, you know I'm going to say

1 Board Member Stratton moved to accept and approve staff's
2 recommendation to award the contract for Item 9H to Sunland
3 Asphalt & Seal Coating. I've got a second by Board Member
4 Sellers. Any further discussion?

5 Yes, Mary, he waves his hand at the same time, so
6 (inaudible) his conduct.

7 Any further discussion?

8 All those in favor signify by saying "aye."

9 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

10 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Any opposed? The ayes have it.
11 I saw Mary (inaudible). But I did see the hand
12 wave.

13 We'll go on to the next item. Item 10 is the
14 suggestions. Are there any suggestions by Board members for
15 future agendas, future meetings?

16 MR. SELLERS: Mr. Chairman.

17 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Board Member Sellers.

18 MR. SELLERS: We -- I think everyone knows that
19 Chandler has been selected as one of the test sites for the
20 Google autonomous vehicle. Our counsel had a briefing on --
21 them on this vehicle and what they're doing on that, and I think
22 at some future study session, it would be instructive for our
23 board to hear that briefing. And I could get the information on
24 (inaudible).

25 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: I agree. I think that is an

1 industry changer, and I think -- and I think ADOT's involved in
2 some of that as well.

3 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, that is correct. The
4 director has had some conversations with some people. People
5 have come in and talked to us. We recently met with a group out
6 of the San Francisco area, Bay area that wants to talk about
7 doing something with trucks, semi trucks.

8 So there's a lot of activity here, and our
9 governor's signaled that he wants to be a part of leading
10 technology in those discussions. So I absolutely think that
11 that would be good (inaudible) study session item. I would
12 recommend not the May study session. That's going to be
13 (inaudible) the five-year program, and we've got two more later
14 this year, and we'll be working on getting those scheduled and
15 done. (Inaudible) reach out to you (inaudible) make that
16 happen.

17 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Excellent. Perfect.

18 Mr. Teller, Board Member Teller.

19 MR. TELLER: Thank you, very much.

20 Consideration for another item for a study
21 session would be the Grand Falls corridor feasibility study that
22 was mentioned twice by Ms. Merrick and also by Mr. Watchman.
23 (Inaudible.)

24 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: If it's appropriate,
25 absolutely.

1 Any other items?

2 MR. HAMMOND: (Inaudible.) I don't know if this
3 would be an appropriate expansion of what they're suggesting,
4 but I know -- I think ADOT's aware that the Sonoran corridor
5 (inaudible) I-19 to I-10 is being kind of looked at as a -- as a
6 brand-new (inaudible) that has all kinds of options for testing
7 some of this new technology, because it's a short stretch
8 (inaudible). Within the context of the State's competitiveness
9 (inaudible) of driverless vehicles, it might help just to keep
10 that in mind as far as accelerating the funding from the federal
11 (inaudible) for something like this as we (inaudible) and how do
12 we test them and how do we make sure that they're safe when they
13 get on the highway. So if something in that study session
14 (inaudible) that context?

15 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Yeah. I think (inaudible) is
16 how do we adopt a highway system to take advantage of this
17 technology, and what do we need to do from a build and
18 environment? We can add that to the study session and what are
19 our opportunities in our five-year plan (inaudible).

20 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair Mr. Hammond, we could
21 look at and (inaudible) brief conversation. And specific to the
22 Sonoran corridor, I did want to remind you that PAG is funding
23 and ADOT is kicking off a study on that. So we're going to
24 specifically study that corridor with this type of improvement
25 within that. So a general discussion of a policy would be good,

1 but I'd hesitate about specifically (inaudible) Sonoran study,
2 because if they come out (inaudible) until the study progresses
3 to the point where that comes in as a discussion point to the
4 study.

5 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: Yeah. My comments --

6 (Speaking simultaneously.)

7 CHAIRMAN LA RUE: -- then look at our five- and
8 ten-year plans leveraging that technology, not calling out any
9 specific project in that five- or ten-year plan, because that
10 would be premature at this time.

11 Okay. Anything else?

12 (End of excerpt.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn the May 20, 2016 Board meeting was made by Michael Hammond and seconded by Deanna Beaver. In a voice vote, the motion carries.

Meeting adjourned at 12:07 p.m. MST.

Joseph E. La Rue, Chairman
State Transportation Board

Floyd Roehrich, Executive Officer
Arizona Department of Transportation

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state highway for the improvement of Interstate Route 8 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state route and state highway, designated U. S. Route 80, by Resolution of the Arizona State Highway Commission, dated September 09, 1927, entered on Page 26 of its Official Minutes, and depicted on its Official Map of State Routes and State Highways, incorporated by reference therein. The Resolution of October 10, 1927, shown on Page 40 of the Official Minutes established additional rights of way for various state highways, including U. S. Route 80. The Resolution dated November 03, 1944, on Page 22 of the Official Minutes included the route in the Inter-Regional Highway to San Diego, California. Entries in the Official Minutes dated July 10, 1945, on Page 157, and dated September 02, 1947, on Page 218, disclose approval for inclusion of said Route 80 in the National System of Interstate Highways. Resolution 65-14, dated February 04, 1965, established Interstate Route 8 along this alignment as a state highway. Resolution 68-26, dated April 26, 1968, established as a controlled access state highway additional right of way for improvements. Thereafter, State Transportation Board Resolution 77-16-A-48, dated September 16, 1977, eliminated the overlapping U. S. Route 80 designation from the California State Line to Benson, Arizona. Resolution 2015-11-A-053, dated November 20, 2015, established new right of way as a controlled access state route under the above referenced project.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of the Giss Parkway T.I. roundabout project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the YUMA - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY, Giss Parkway T. I., Project 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state highway for the improvement of Interstate Route 8, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of the Giss Parkway T.I. roundabout project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the YUMA - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY, Giss Parkway T. I., Project 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T".

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

WHEREAS establishment as a state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access control, exchanges, donations and material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state highway by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state highway herein; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated - with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-034
PROJECT: 008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
HIGHWAY: YUMA - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Giss Parkway T. I.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 8
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma


CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

APPROVED



Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation

Date

6/29/16


21 + 22

Mid-Sec Line

(22)

CITY

INTERSTATE 8



Southwest District

OF

6th Street

Harold C. Giss Parkway

Rio Vista Drive

7th Street

YUMA

Existing R/W

Existing R/W

AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT

21 | 22
28 | 27

Section Line

22
27

008 YU 001 H8619 / 008-A(220)T
YUMA - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY
Giss Parkway T.I.
Yuma County

2016-07-A-034

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state highway for the improvement of State Route 260 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment, previously a regional highway known as the General Crook Trail, was established a state route and state highway, and designated as State Route 260, by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 89-08-A-68, dated August 18, 1989; and was thereafter included in the expanded State Route Plan for State Route 260, adopted, approved and established as a state route by Resolution 99-01-A-005, dated January 15, 1999; and by Resolution 2000-12-A-096, dated December 15, 2000, authorizing advance acquisition. It was subsequently established as an access controlled state highway by Resolution 2002-03-A-009, dated March 15, 2002. Additional right of way for further improvement was established as an access controlled state route and state highway by Resolution 2002-09-A-048, dated September 20, 2002. Thereafter, Resolution 2014-07-A-025, dated July 11, 2014, established new right of way as a state route for the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of this roundabout project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

The new right of way to be established as a state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM HIGHWAY, Industrial Drive Roundabout, Project 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state highway for the improvement of State Route 260, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of this roundabout project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM HIGHWAY, Industrial Drive Roundabout, Project 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T".

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

WHEREAS establishment as a state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access control, exchanges, donations and material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state highway by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state highway herein; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated - with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-035
PROJECT: 260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
HIGHWAY: COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE - MOGOLLON RIM
SECTION: Industrial Drive Roundabout
ROUTE NO.: State Route 260
ENG. DIST.: Northcentral
COUNTY: Yavapai

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.


JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

APPROVED



Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation

Date 6/29/16



AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT

260 YV 218 H8625 / 260-A(207)T
 COTTONWOOD - CAMP VERDE -
 MOGOLLON RIM HIGHWAY
 Industrial Drive Roundabout
 Yavapai County

2016-07-A-035

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of Interstate Route 40 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state highway when U. S. Route 66 was realigned to this location by a Resolution of the Arizona State Highway Commission, dated December 30, 1948, found on Page 89 of its Official Minutes; and was therein designated the Topock - Kingman Highway. Resolution 65-71, dated August 26, 1965, established additional right of way for improvement of the highway. It was therein established as a controlled access state highway and became a part of Interstate Route 40, under the above referenced Project I-40-1(25)20. Thereafter, Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 84-10-A-63, dated October 26, 1984, eliminated the overlapping U. S. Route 66 designation throughout Mohave County.

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of emergency repairs to a breached dike to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plan of the TOPOCK - KINGMAN HIGHWAY, Haviland - Yucca Section, Project I-40-1(25)20, Parcel 8-1913", and on those entitled: "60% Design Plans, dated April, 2016, TOPOCK-KINGMAN HIGHWAY, EB MP 21.2-21.3 Breached Earthen Dike, Project 040 MO 020 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state route and state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of Interstate Route 40, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to facilitate the imminent construction phase of emergency repairs to a breached dike to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plan of the TOPOCK - KINGMAN HIGHWAY, Haviland - Yucca Section, Project I-40-1(25)20, Parcel 8-1913", and on those entitled: "60% Design Plans, dated April, 2016, TOPOCK-KINGMAN HIGHWAY, EB MP 21.2-21.3 Breached Earthen Dike, Project 040 MO 020 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T".

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access control, exchanges, donations and material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-036
PROJECTS: I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
HIGHWAY: TOPOCK - KINGMAN
SECTION: Haviland - Yucca
(EB MP 21.2 - 21.3 Breached Earthen Dike)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 40
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Mohave
PARCEL: 8-1913

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation


APPROVED



Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation

Date

6/29/16




AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT
SEE SHEET 2 OF 2
FOR DETAILS

I-40-1(25)20; and 040 M0 021 H8858
/ ER-040-A(226)T
TOPOCK - KINGMAN HIGHWAY
Haviland - Yucca (EB MP 21.2 - 21.3
Breached Earthen Dike)
Mohave County

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 1 OF 2

APPENDIX "A"

2016-07-A-036



I-40-1(25)20; and 040 MO 021 H8858 / ER-040-A(226)T
 TOPOCK - KINGMAN HIGHWAY
 Haviland - Yucca (EB MP 21.2 - 21.3
 Breached Earthen Dike)
 Mohave County

AREA OF 
 ESTABLISHMENT

APPENDIX "A"

2016-07-A-036

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of U. S. Route 70 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state route and state highway, designated U. S. Route 180, by Resolution of the Arizona State Highway Commission, dated September 09, 1927, entered on Page 26 of its Official Minutes, and depicted on its Official Map of State Routes and State Highways, incorporated by reference therein. The highway was renumbered and redesignated as U. S. Route 70, by the Resolution dated June 17, 1935, as shown on Page 300 of the Official Minutes. Entries on Page 157 of the Official Minutes dated July 10, 1945, and on Page 218 of those dated September 02, 1947 disclose a motion by the Highway Commission and the subsequent approval of the United States Public Roads Administration for the inclusion of U. S. Route 70 in the national system of Interstate Highways. Additional right of way for the location, relocation, alteration and widening of the roadway was established as a state highway by Resolution 50-11, dated July 07, 1950; and thereafter by Resolution 55-8, dated March 08, 1955.

New right of way is now needed for highway improvements to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the GLOBE - LORDSBURG HIGHWAY, Bylas, Project 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A"; and on those entitled: "T.C.E. Exhibit, GLOBE - LORDSBURG HIGHWAY, Bylas, Project 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges, donations or such other interest as is required, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans.

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a state route and state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of U. S. Route 70, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed for highway improvements to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the GLOBE - LORDSBURG HIGHWAY, Bylas, Project 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A"; and on those entitled: "T.C.E. Exhibit, GLOBE - LORDSBURG HIGHWAY, Bylas, Project 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A".

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges and donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges and donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated - with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-037
PROJECT: 070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
HIGHWAY: GLOBE - LORDSBURG
SECTION: Bylas
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 70
ENG. DIST.: Southeast
COUNTY: Graham

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation


APPROVED



Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation

Date

6/29/16



070 GH 294 H7637 / 070-A(209)A
 GLOBE - LORDSBURG HIGHWAY
 Bylas
 Graham County

AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT

APPENDIX "A"

2016-07-A-037

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the abandonment of right of way to Yavapai County acquired for the improvement of the Interstate Route 17 Cordes Junction Traffic Interchange within the above referenced project.

The right of way to be abandoned was previously established as a controlled access state route by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 2003-04-A-019, dated April 18, 2003; and by Resolution 2008-04-A-015, dated April 18, 2008; by Amended Resolution 2008-12-A-067, dated December 19, 2008; and by Amended Resolution 2009-04-A-024, dated April 17, 2009. Thereafter, the right of way was established as a controlled access state route and state highway by Resolution 2010-03-A-024, dated March 19, 2010; and by Amended Resolution 2011-02-A-012, dated February 18, 2011.

The right of way to be abandoned is no longer needed for state transportation purposes. The County of Yavapai has agreed to accept jurisdiction, ownership and maintenance of the right of way for a continued public transportation use in accordance with that certain Waiver of Four-Year Advance Notice of Abandonment and Pavement Quality Report, dated September 02, 2014. Accordingly, I recommend that the State's interest in the right of way be abandoned, subject to appurtenant, existing access control, which shall remain intact.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

The right of way to be abandoned is delineated on the maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION HIGHWAY, Cordes Jct. T. I., Project 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805" between the engineering stations shown in Appendix "A" attached hereto. The abandoned right of way is subject to appurtenant, existing access control, which shall remain intact, as depicted in Appendix "A" and on said maps and plans.

Should the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, at any time contemplate abandonment or sale of any portion of the right of way being disposed herein, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation shall be obtained, and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to any change of usage from that of a continued public transportation purpose.

I further recommend that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be removed from the State Highway System and abandoned to the County of Yavapai, as provided in Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7207 and 28-7209, and Code of Federal Regulations 23CFR 620 Subpart B and 23CFR 710 Subpart D, and subject to appurtenant, existing access control, which shall remain intact.

Should the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, later contemplate elimination of any portion of the existing access control, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration shall be obtained and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to the elimination thereof.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

All other rights of way, easements and appurtenances thereto, subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7210, shall continue as they existed prior to the disposal of the right of way depicted in Appendix "A".

The abandonment becomes effective upon recordation in the Office of the County Recorder in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7213.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend that the Arizona State Transportation Board adopt a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

RESOLUTION OF ABANDONMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the abandonment of right of way to Yavapai County acquired for the improvement of the Interstate Route 17 Cordes Junction Traffic Interchange within the above referenced project.

The right of way to be abandoned is no longer needed for state transportation purposes. The County of Yavapai has agreed to accept jurisdiction, ownership and maintenance of the right of way for a continued public transportation use in accordance with that certain Waiver of Four-Year Advance Notice of Abandonment and Pavement Quality Report, dated September 02, 2014. Accordingly, it is recommended that the State's interest in the right of way be abandoned.

The right of way to be abandoned is delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION HIGHWAY, Cordes Jct. T. I., Project 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805" between the engineering stations shown in Appendix "A" attached hereto. The abandoned right of way is subject to appurtenant, existing access control, which shall remain intact, as depicted in Appendix "A" and on said maps and plans.

WHEREAS said right of way is no longer needed for state transportation purposes; and

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

WHEREAS the County of Yavapai has agreed to accept jurisdiction, ownership and maintenance of the right of way in accordance with that certain Waiver of Four-Year Advance Notice of Abandonment and Pavement Quality Report, dated September 02, 2014; and

WHEREAS if the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, at any time contemplate abandonment or sale of any portion of the right of way being disposed herein, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation shall be obtained, and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to any change of usage from that of a continued public transportation purpose; and

WHEREAS should any part of the area of abandonment contain existing access control as depicted on the maps and plans, the access control shall be retained as shown; and

WHEREAS if the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, contemplate elimination of any portion of the existing access control, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration shall be obtained and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to the elimination thereof; and

WHEREAS this Board finds that public safety, necessity and convenience will be served by accepting the Director's report; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby removed from the State Highway System and abandoned to the County of Yavapai for a continued public transportation use, as provided in Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7207, 28-7209 and 28-7210, and Code of Federal Regulations 23CFR 620 Subpart B and 23CFR 710 Subpart D, and subject to appurtenant, existing access control, which shall remain intact; be it further

RESOLVED that if the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, at any time contemplate abandonment or sale of any portion of the right of way being disposed herein, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation shall be obtained, and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to any change of usage from that of a continued public transportation purpose; be it further

RESOLVED that if any part of the abandoned area contains existing access control as depicted on the maps and plans, the access control shall be retained as shown; be it further

RESOLVED that if the County of Yavapai, its successors and/or assigns, contemplate elimination of any portion of the existing access control, written approval from the Arizona Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration shall be obtained and any provisions and requirements related to the request shall be complied with prior to the elimination thereof; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

RESOLVED that the abandonment becomes effective upon recordation in the Office of the County Recorder in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7213; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director provide written notice to the County of Yavapai evidencing the abandonment of the State's interest.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-038
PROJECT: 017 YV 262 H4269 01R / I-17-2-805
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CORDES JUNCTION
SECTION: Cordes Jct. T. I. (Stagecoach Trail)
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 17
ENG. DIST.: Northwest
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISPOSAL: D - P - 046

CERTIFICATION


I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

APPROVED

Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation
Date 6/29/16



2015-07-A-038

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of U. S. Route 95 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state route, running from Yuma to Quartzsite to Bouse, by a Resolution of the Arizona State Highway Commission, dated March 29, 1938, entered on Page 512 of its Official Minutes; and was soon after established as a state highway, designated State Route 95, by the Resolution dated June 20, 1938, shown on Page 620 of the Official Minutes. Additional right of way was established as a state highway for its location, relocation, alteration, and widening by Resolutions dated July 23, 1956, found on Page 279; and dated August 10, 1956, on Page 310 of the Commission's Official Minutes. An entry dated July 26, 1960 in said Minutes disclosed that a wire from United States Senator Carl Hayden had been received reporting that approval had been given by the numbering committee of the American Association of State Highway Officials for the redesignation of State Route 95 as U. S. Route 95. Thereafter, new right of way was established as a state route under the above referenced project by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 2014-11-A-043, dated November 14, 2014.

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change for the Fortuna Wash Bridge Replacement Project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for necessary improvements is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE HIGHWAY, Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge, Project 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges, donations or such other interest as is required, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans.

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a state route and state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2015, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of U. S. Route 95, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change for the Fortuna Wash Bridge Replacement Project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE HIGHWAY, Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge, Project 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A".

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges and donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, exchanges and donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated - with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

July 15, 2015

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-039
PROJECT: 095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS - YUMA - QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
ROUTE NO.: U. S. Route 95
ENG. DIST.: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2015.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2015.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation


APPROVED



Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation

Date





AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT
SEE SHEET 2 OF 2
FOR DETAILS

095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
SAN LUIS-YUMA-QUARTZSITE HWY
Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
Yuma County


2016-07-A-039

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 1 OF 2



Southwest District



UNION

AREA OF 
ESTABLISHMENT

095 YU 032 H4599 01R / 095-B(201)A
SAN LUIS-YUMA-QUARTZSITE HWY
Avenue 9E - Fortuna Wash Bridge
Yuma County

2016-07-A-039

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 2 OF 2

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the State Route 202 Loop within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a State Route Plan for the Southwest Loop Freeway, and designated State Route 218 by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 85-04-A-33, dated April 26, 1985. Advance acquisition was provided for by Resolution 86-05-A-37, dated May 16, 1986; and by Resolution 86-12-A-77, dated November 21, 1986. The State Route Plan corridor for the Southwest Outer Loop was refined by Resolution 87-08-A-68, dated August 21, 1987; and was thereafter further refined by Resolution 87-11-A-98, dated November 20, 1987. This portion was renumbered and redesignated as part of the State Route 101 Loop in Resolution 87-11-A-105, dated December 18, 1987. It was subsequently renumbered and redesignated as part of the State Route 202 Loop in Resolution 91-07-A-56, dated July 19, 1991. Thereafter, Resolution 2011-09-A-062, dated September 15, 2011, and Resolution 2015-01-A-005, dated January 09, 2015, allowed for early acquisition of particular parcels necessary for new right of way, and established them as a state route. Transportation Board Resolution 2015-03-A-018, dated March 20, 2015, established the alignment as a state route.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

New right of way is needed to facilitate the construction phase of the South Mountain Freeway, to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project. The establishment shall become effective on the 17th day after this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. Therefore, this resolution action is effective on August 01, 2016.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY, Jct. I-10 Maricopa-17th Avenue Segment; 17th Avenue-51st Avenue Segment; 51st Avenue-Salt River Segment; and Salt River-Jct. I-10 Papago Segment, Project 202L MA 000 H5439". Right of way acquisition is being done under Project 202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S, as noted thereon.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway, and that access is controlled. The establishment shall become effective on the 17th day after this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. Therefore, this resolution action is effective on August 01, 2016.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.

I further recommend the establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state route and state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective on August 01, 2016, the 17th day after the signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 South 17th Avenue
R/W Titles Section, MD 612E
Phoenix, AZ 85007-3212

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on July 15, 2016, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment and acquisition of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of State Route 202 Loop, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is needed to facilitate the construction phase of the South Mountain Freeway, to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project. The establishment shall become effective on the 17th day after this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. Therefore, this resolution action is effective on August 01, 2016.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: "Right of Way Plans of the SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY, Jct. I-10 Maricopa-17th Avenue Segment; 17th Avenue-51st Avenue Segment; 51st Avenue-Salt River Segment; and Salt River-Jct. I-10 Papago Segment, Project 202L MA 000 H5439". Right of way acquisition is being done under Project 202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S, as noted thereon.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access control, exchanges, donations and material for construction, haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state route and state highway on August 01, 2016, the 17th day following this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board, by this resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action, effective on August 01, 2016, the 17th day following this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated - with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

July 15, 2016

RES. NO. 2016-07-A-040
PROJECTS: 202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
HIGHWAY: SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
SECTION: Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway - Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
ROUTE NO.: State Route 202 Loop
ENG. DIST.: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on July 15, 2016.


THE EFFECTIVE DATE of this action shall be on the 17th day after this meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. Therefore, this Resolution of Establishment is effective on August 01, 2016.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on July 15, 2016.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

APPROVED

Assistant Attorney General
Attorney for Department
of Transportation
Date 6/29/16



Central District

AREA OF ESTABLISHMENT

GILA RIVER
INDIAN COMMUNITY

202L MA 000 H5439; and
202L MA 056 H8827 01R / 202-D(200)S
SOUTH MOUNTAIN FREEWAY
Jct. I-10 Maricopa Freeway -
Jct. I-10 Papago Freeway
Maricopa County

2016-07-A-034

APPENDIX "A"

DATE: JULY 15, 2016 SHEET 1 OF 1

STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT
June 2016

The Status of Projects Under Construction report for June 2016 shows 137 projects under construction valued at \$1,775,571,219.51. The transportation board awarded 13 projects during June valued at approximately \$67.1 million.

During June the Department finalized 19 projects valued at \$56,659,513.38. Projects where the final cost exceeded the contractors bid amount by more than 5% are detailed in your board package.

Year to date we have finalized 175 projects. The total cost of these 175 projects has exceeded the contractors bid amount by 5.8%. Deducting incentive/bonus payments, revisions, omissions and additional work paid for by others, fiscal year to date reduces this percentage to 2.7%.

MONTHLY CONSTRUCTION REPORT

June 2016

PROJECTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION	137
MONETARY VALUE OF CONTRACTS	\$1,775,571,219.51
PAYMENTS MADE TO DATE	\$611,820,818.04
INTERSTATE	38
PRIMARY	44
LOCAL GOVERNMENT	50
NON-FEDERAL AID	5
OTHER	0
CONTRACTS EXECUTED IN JUNE 2016	7
MONETARY AMOUNT OF CONTRACTS EXECUTED	\$22,860,544.28

FIELD REPORTS SECTION

EXT. 7301

Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2016
 June, 2016

Project Number	Location District	State Estimate	Contractor	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
STP A89-B(002) H413401C	SR 89A / J W POWELL BLVD TI Flagstaff District						
	Working Days: 222 = 210 + 5 + 7 Days Used: 220		FANN CONTRACTING, INC	Low Bid = \$960,769.60 or 18.16% over State Estimate			
		5,289,230.40		\$6,250,000.00	\$5,996,272.04	(\$253,727.96)	-4.1 %
089-C-(201)T H705601C	SOUTH OF GRAY MOUNTAIN Flagstaff District						
	Working Days: 100 Days Used: 98		GREY MOUNTAIN CONSTRUCTION, LLC	Low Bid = \$118,229.75 or 4.40% over State Estimate			
		2,686,459.45		\$2,804,689.20	\$2,991,019.56	\$186,330.36	6.6 %
015-A-(207)A H843501C	VIRGIN RIVER TO UTAH STATE LIN Flagstaff District						
	Working Days: 150 = 130 + 11 + 9 Days Used: 149		FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = \$130,337.75 or 1.14% over State Estimate			
		11,426,056.00		\$11,556,393.75	\$13,486,048.63	\$1,929,654.88	16.7 %
040-C-(210)T H840201C	EAST ASH FORK TI - WEST WILLIA Flagstaff District						
	Working Days: 195 = 135 + 60 Days Used: 190		FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = \$799,845.60 or 8.26% over State Estimate			
		9,686,739.30		\$10,486,584.90	\$12,129,821.29	\$1,643,236.39	15.7 %

Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2016
 June, 2016

Project Number	Location District	State Estimate	Contractor	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
077-B-(205)T H728601C	FIVE MILE DRAW BRIDGE Holbrook District						
	Working Days: 274 = 200 + 22 + 26 + 3 + 8 + 11 + 4 Days Used: 271						
			C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = (\$76,567.66) or 2.32% under State Estimate \$3,221,000.00	\$3,457,645.17	\$236,645.17	7.3 %
260-B-(219)T H863701C	TONTO CREEK BR TO WILDLIFE UND Prescott District						
	Working Days: 327 = 270 + 57 Days Used: 326						
			AMES CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = (\$207,946.50) or 6.58% under State Estimate \$2,952,401.60	\$3,304,940.03	\$352,538.43	11.9 %
303-A-NFA H833201C	SR 303 WADDELL RD-MOUNTAIN VW Phoenix District						
	Working Days: 264 = 260 + 4 Days Used: 264						
			VALLEY CREST LANDSCAPE DEVELOPMENT, INC.	Low Bid = (\$276,146.00) or 10.04% under State Estimate \$2,474,983.00	\$2,335,307.33	(\$139,675.67)	-5.6 %
SLW-0(204)A SH53901P	TOWN OF SHOW LOW -VAR LO Globe District						
	Working Days: 365 Days Used: 236						
			CITY OF SHOW LOW	Low Bid = (\$0.00) or 0.00% under State Estimate \$39,665.42	\$45,310.55	\$5,645.13	14.2 %

Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2016
June, 2016

Project Number	Location District	State Estimate	Contractor	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
GLN-0-(220)T SL67101C	MARYLAND AVE 67-69 & 79-83RD Phoenix District						
Working Days: 65 Days Used: 61							
			COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	Low Bid = \$4,896.08 or 1.81% over State Estimate \$275,959.08	\$259,062.09	(\$16,896.99)	-6.1 %
010-A(218)T H851101C	MP 42 TO HOVATTER RD Yuma District						
Working Days: 200 = 150 + 5 + 30 + 15 Days Used: 153							
			FANN CONTRACTING, INC	Low Bid = \$254,727.80 or 3.22% over State Estimate \$8,154,000.00	\$8,584,617.22	\$430,617.22	5.3 %
090-A(204)T H831301C	SCHOOL DR. TO PATTON ST. Safford District						
Working Days: 80 Days Used: 79							
			BRISTON CONSTRUCTION, LLC	Low Bid = (\$14,465.88) or 4.05% under State Estimate \$342,888.62	\$360,403.61	\$17,514.99	5.1 %
017-A-(234)T H814601C	I17 @ BUCKEYE RD, GRANT ST, JEFF Phoenix District						
Working Days: 152 = 125 + 19 + 8 Days Used: 152							
			C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = \$250,566.00 or 18.00% over State Estimate \$1,642,469.00	\$1,724,662.13	\$82,193.13	5.0 %

Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2016
 June, 2016

Project Number	Location District	State Estimate	Contractor	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
060-E-(208)T H835201C Working Days: 100 Days Used: 97	SUMMIT TRAIL-SR 260 Globe District		SHOW LOW CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = \$73,305.95 or 14.08% over State Estimate \$593,795.00	\$578,231.50	(\$15,563.50)	-2.6 %
520,489.05							
GDY-0-(209)T SZ11901C Working Days: 210 Days Used: 107	SR 303; MCDOWELL RD TO CAMELBA Phoenix District		C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = (\$18,812.40) or 11.70% under State Estimate \$142,000.00	\$108,082.00	(\$33,918.00)	-23.9 %
160,812.40							
CM-PEO-0(219)T SZ06301P Working Days: 130 = 120 + 10 Days Used: 126	CITY OF PEORIA Phoenix District		C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = or under State Estimate \$499,142.00	\$488,651.00	(\$10,491.00)	-2.1 %
YYU-0(209)T SI164901C Working Days: 40 Days Used: 37	VARIOUS LOCATIONS Yuma District		SUNLINE CONTRACTING, LLC	Low Bid = (\$82,199.50) or 25.54% under State Estimate \$239,630.50	\$211,401.80	(\$28,228.70)	-11.8 %
321,830.00							

Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2016
 June, 2016

Project Number	Location District	State Estimate	Contractor	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
CSG-0(205)T SF04701C	CASA GRANDE SAFE ROUTES TO SCH Tucson District						
Working Days: 60 Days Used: 30			FALCONE BROS & ASSOCIATE INC.	Low Bid = \$34,847.00 or 63.70% over State Estimate \$89,549.00	\$101,831.58	\$12,282.58	13.7 %
		54,702.00					
GIL-0(213)T SZ13201P	TOWN OF GILBERT Phoenix District						
Working Days: 150 Days Used: 136			C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = or under State Estimate \$117,547.27	\$100,931.13	(\$16,616.14)	-14.1 %
S95-A(204)T H878201P	PARKER PORT OF ENTRY Yuma District						
Working Days: 126 = 120 + 6 Days Used: 108			LPC CONSTRUCTION, INC.	Low Bid = or under State Estimate \$334,545.29	\$395,274.73	\$60,729.44	18.2 %

Completed Contracts (Fiscal Year 2016)

June, 2016

<u>Totals</u>	<u>No. of Contracts</u>	<u>State Estimate</u>	<u>Bid Amount</u>	<u>Final Cost</u>
# of Projects: 19	19		\$52,217,243.63	\$56,659,513.38
		<u>Monetary</u>		<u>Monetary</u>
				\$4,442,269.75


Accumulation to Date (Fiscal Year 2016 ONLY)

No. of Contracts	Accumulative State Estimate	Bid Amount	Final Cost	Monetary	Percent
167	\$671,658,406.06	\$636,645,217.95	\$673,698,560.49	\$37,053,342.54	5.8%

Prepared By:

Yvonne Navarro
Field Reports Unit, X6849

Checked By:


Lenyne Hicks, Manager
Field Reports Unit, X7301

FINAL COST VS BID ADJUSTED
FISCAL YEAR 2016

MONTH	CUMULATIVE FINAL COST	LESS ADJUSTMENTS FOR			CUMULATIVE ADJ	CUMULATIVE BID AMOUNT	ADJUSTED FINAL COST	ADJ CUM
		REVISIONS/ OMISSIONS #4 & #5	INCENTIVE/ BONUS #7	ADD'L WORK PD OTHERS #3				
Jul-15	\$ 17,361,297	\$ 244,860	\$ 105,603	\$ 64,289	\$ 414,752	\$ 17,607,487	\$ 16,946,544	-3.8%
Aug-15	\$ 69,795,533	\$ 1,678,322	\$ 1,032,092	\$ 69,503	\$ 3,194,670	\$ 64,360,960	\$ 66,600,863	3.5%
Sep-15	\$ 89,888,763	\$ 362,260	\$ 430,072	\$ -	\$ 3,987,002	\$ 85,263,768	\$ 85,901,761	0.7%
Oct-15	\$ 96,743,585	\$ 88,169	\$ 1,178	\$ -	\$ 4,076,349	\$ 92,111,609	\$ 92,667,236	0.6%
Nov-15	\$ 104,291,647	\$ 33,101	\$ 123,620	\$ 5,225	\$ 4,238,295	\$ 99,694,884	\$ 100,053,352	0.4%
Dec-15	\$ 113,226,104	\$ 211,682	\$ 41,067	\$ -	\$ 4,491,044	\$ 108,273,671	\$ 108,735,060	0.4%
Jan-16	\$ 154,558,914	\$ 411,972	\$ 994,717	\$ 249,401	\$ 6,147,134	\$ 148,813,933	\$ 148,411,781	-0.3%
Feb-16	\$ 299,575,217	\$ 2,097,502	\$ 708,967	\$ 11,889	\$ 8,965,492	\$ 291,071,787	\$ 290,609,726	-0.2%
Mar-16	\$ 532,678,613	\$ 5,253,226	\$ 1,661,200	\$ 3,436	\$ 15,883,354	\$ 504,300,856	\$ 516,795,259	2.5%
Apr-16	\$ 580,409,832	\$ 812,584	\$ 588,701	\$ 33,512	\$ 17,318,151	\$ 549,903,279	\$ 563,091,682	2.4%
May-16	\$ 617,039,047	\$ 409,949	\$ 157,563	\$ -	\$ 17,885,663	\$ 584,427,974	\$ 599,153,384	2.5%
Jun-16	\$ 673,698,560	\$ 1,489,193	\$ 775,160	\$ -	\$ 20,150,017	\$ 636,645,218	\$ 653,548,544	2.7%
		\$ 13,092,820	\$ 6,619,941	\$ 437,255	\$ 20,150,017			
e-mail to Barb Domke at year end								
G:\ITD\FIELDREPORTS\F REPTS\BOARD REPORT\Board Report FY '16\Final Cost Summary FY 15-16\Final Cost Summary FY16.xlsx								

CONTRACTS: (Action As Noted)

Federal-Aid (“A” “B” “T” “D”) projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 8a: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 5

Page 158

BIDS OPENED: June 17, 2016

HIGHWAY: CITY OF WINSLOW

SECTION: 2ND & 3RD STREET FROM BERRY AVENUE TO PRAIRIE AVENUE AND
WILLIAMSON AVENUE TO SNIDER AVENUE

COUNTY: NAVAJO

ROUTE NO.: LOCAL

PROJECT : TRACS: TEA-WIN-0(202)T : 0000 NA WIN SL70501C

FUNDING: 51% FEDS 49% LOCAL (CITY OF WINSLOW)

LOW BIDDER: MCCAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 930,360.70

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 799,805.00

\$ OVER ESTIMATE: \$ 130,555.70


% OVER ESTIMATE: 16.3%

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 6.85%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 13.22%

NO. BIDDERS: 6

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 8b: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 2

BIDS OPENED: June 17, 2016

HIGHWAY: TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION HIGHWAYS (IRR 15, 19 & 34)

SECTION: TOHONO O’ODHAM NATION, VARIOUS LOCATIONS

COUNTY: PIMA

ROUTE NO.: IRR 15, 19, 34

PROJECT : TRACS: ITO-0(207)T : 0000 PM ITO SH64201C

FUNDING: 100% FEDS

LOW BIDDER: SUNLINE CONTRACTING, LLC

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 1,699,988.27

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 2,097,303.30

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 397,315.03)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (18.9%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 3.86%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 3.86%

NO. BIDDERS: 3

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 8c: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 6

BIDS OPENED: June 17, 2016

HIGHWAY: YUMA-CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY (I-8)

SECTION: MOHAWK REST AREA

COUNTY: YUMA

ROUTE NO.: I 8

PROJECT : TRACS: IM-NHPP-008-A(224)T : 008 YU 056 H870701C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: FANN CONTRACTING, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 4,665,822.84

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 3,604,360.90

\$ OVER ESTIMATE: \$ 1,061,461.94


% OVER ESTIMATE: 29.4%

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 4.79%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 4.95%

NO. BIDDERS: 5

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 8d: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 1

Page 170

BIDS OPENED: June 17, 2016

HIGHWAY: PHOENIX-CORDES JUNCTION HIGHWAY I 17

SECTION: NEW RIVER BRIDGES, STR #1290 & #1291

COUNTY: MARICOPA

ROUTE NO.: I 17

PROJECT : TRACS: BR-017-A(226)T : 017 MA 231 H826801C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: N.G.U. CONTRACTING, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 443,237.35

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 546,381.00

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 103,143.65)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (18.9%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 6.61%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 10.34%

NO. BIDDERS: 10

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 8e: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 5

Page 174

BIDS OPENED: June 3, 2016

HIGHWAY: CITY OF WINSLOW

SECTION: HISTORIC ROUTE 66 PLAZA, KINSLEY AVENUE AND 2ND STREET

COUNTY: NAVAJO

ROUTE NO.: OLD US 66

PROJECT : TRACS: SB-AZ1-1(001)T: 066 NA 042 H844701C

FUNDING: 80% FEDS 20% LOCAL

LOW BIDDER: CS CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 894,066.00

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 472,011.00

\$ OVER ESTIMATE: \$ 422,055.00


% OVER ESTIMATE: 89.4%

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 7.00%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 7.78%

NO. BIDDERS: 3

RECOMMENDATION: REJECT ALL BIDS



*ITEM 8f: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 5

Page 177

BIDS OPENED: July 1, 2016

HIGHWAY: SPRINGVILLE-ALPINE STATE LINE HIGHWAY (US-180)

SECTION: CORREJO CROSSING TO FH 275 (CR2116)

COUNTY: APACHE

ROUTE NO.: US 180

PROJECT : TRACS: STP-180-C(202)T : 180 AP 407 H812801C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: FANN CONTRACTING, INC.

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 1,977,423.27

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 2,348,047.77

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 370,624.50)

% UNDER ESTIMATE: (15.8%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 4.37%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 4.37%

NO. BIDDERS: 5

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



*ITEM 8g: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 6

Page 181

BIDS OPENED: July 1, 2016

HIGHWAY: SHORT CREEK-FREDONIA HIGHWAY

SECTION: COLORADO CITY-MAGLES ROAD

COUNTY: MOHAVE

ROUTE NO.: SR 389

PROJECT : TRACS: STP-389-A(204)T : 389 MO 000 H875101C

FUNDING: 94% FEDS 6% STATE

LOW BIDDER: EARTH RESOURCES CORPORATION

LOW BID AMOUNT: \$ 1,528,721.93

STATE ESTIMATE: \$ 1,817,431.00

\$ UNDER ESTIMATE: (\$ 288,709.07)


% UNDER ESTIMATE: (15.9%)

PROJECT DBE GOAL: 2.96%

BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 3.21%

NO. BIDDERS: 4

RECOMMENDATION: AWARD



ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

80 Calendar Days

The proposed project is located in Pinal County, along Main Street between Ruggles Street and Butte Avenue within the Town of Florence. The proposed work consists of removing existing lighting, installing new pedestrian lighting and site furniture, and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/10/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Do David

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
0000 PN FLO SL53201C TEA FLO-0(004)T	TOWN OF FLORENCE	MAIN STREET; RUGGLES STREET TO Tucson District	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$308,946.00	AJP ELECTRIC, INC.	11250 N. CAVE CREEK RD. PHOENIX, AZ 85020
	\$340,966.40	DEPARTMENT	
2	\$361,514.24	ROADWAY ELECTRIC, LLC	2035 W. MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85021
3	\$412,242.00	C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	22023 N 20TH AVE SUITE A PHOENIX, AZ 85027
4	\$436,832.80	CONTRACTORS WEST, INC.	1830 W. BROADWAY RD. MESA, AZ 85202
5	\$454,344.20	J. BANICKI CONSTRUCTION, INC.	6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
6	\$478,521.82	TLL ELECTRIC, INC.	107 W. WADE LANE STE 5 PAYSON, AZ 85541-4872

Apparent Low Bidder is 9.4% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$32,020.40))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 0000 PN FLO SL53201C
PROJ NO TEA-FLO-0(004)T
TERMINI TOWN OF FLORENCE
LOCATION MAIN STREET; RUGGLES STREET TO BUTTE AVENUE

ROUTE NO. MILEPOST DISTRICT ITEM NO.
N/A N/A SOUTHCENTRAL LOCAL

The amount programmed for this contract is \$430,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Pinal County, along Main Street between Ruggles Street and Butte Avenue within the Town of Florence. The proposed work consists of removing existing lighting, installing new pedestrian lighting and site furniture, and other related work.

Table with 3 columns: REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS, UNIT, QUANTITY. Includes items like Pole (Special), Electrical Conduit, Conductor, Luminaire, etc.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 80 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$15.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	David Do	(602) 712-7445
Construction Supervisor:	Elsa Tellez	(520) 209-4525

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

0000 PN FLO SL53201C
TEA-FLO-0(004)T
PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 5/12/16

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

60 Calendar Days

The proposed chip seal application project is located in Rio Rico area within Santa Cruz County. This project includes residential and local streets. The work consists of placing chip seals on existing dirt and gravel roadways, and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/24/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Vian Rashid

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
0000 SC SAN SZ16401C SSC-0-(208)T	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	MULT. UNPAVED RD IN RIO RICO Tucson District	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$437,455.00	EARTH RESOURCES CORPORATION	14655 East Cielo Vista Dewey, AZ 86327
2	\$457,999.00	SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING	3002 S. PRIEST DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282
3	\$470,415.34	CACTUS TRANSPORT, INC.	8211 WEST SHERMAN STREET TOLLESON, AZ 85353
4	\$503,747.00	SOUTHERN ARIZONA PAVING & CONSTRUCTION, CO.	4102 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714
	\$506,418.00	DEPARTMENT	

Apparent Low Bidder is 13.6% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$68,963.00))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 24, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 0000 SC SSC SZ164 01C
PROJ NO CMAQ-SSC-0(208)T
TERMINI SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
LOCATION MULTIPLE UNPAVED ROADS IN RIO RICO AREA

ROUTE NO. MILEPOST DISTRICT ITEM NO.
N/A N/A SOUTHCENTRAL LOCAL

The amount programmed for this contract is \$ 644,067.00. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed chip seal application project is located in Rio Rico area within Santa Cruz County. This project includes residential and local streets. The work consists of placing chip seals on existing dirt and gravel roadways, and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Cover Material	CU.YD.	1675
Emulsified Asphalt (CRS-2P)	TON	441
Flagging Services (Civilian)	HOUR	544
Construction Surveying and Layout	L.SUM	1

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 60 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$12, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$ 5 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: <http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Vian Rashid	(602) 712-8260
Construction Supervisor:	Daniel Casmer	(520) 780-4109

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

0000 SC SSC SZ164 01C
CMAQ-SSC-0(208)T
PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 05/24/2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

100 Working Days

The proposed work is located in Yuma County in the City of Somerton on Somerton Avenue and County 18th Street. The work consists of realigning Somerton Avenue and installing 4-way intersection overhead flashing beacon. Additional work includes intersection lightings, signing, pavement marking, and other miscellaneous work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/3/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Patwary Mohammed

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
999 YU YUM SH58601C YYU-0-(207)T	YUMA COUNTY	YUMA COUNTY - SOMERTON AVE & C Yuma District	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
	\$327,857.55	DEPARTMENT	
1	\$334,834.20	CEMEX CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS SOUTH, LLC.	2088 East 20TH STREET YUMA, AZ 85365-2507
2	\$391,130.25	DPE CONSTRUCTION, INC.	1636 E 20TH STREET YUMA, AZ 85365
3	\$397,741.30	INTERMOUNTAIN WEST CIVIL CONSTRUCTORS, INC.	1564 N. ALMA SCHOOL RD, SUITE #200 MESA, AZ 85201

Apparent Low Bidder is 2.1% Over Department Estimate (Difference = \$6,976.65)

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 03, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 0000 YU YYU SH58601C
PROJ NO HSIP-YYU-0(207)T
TERMINI YUMA COUNTY
LOCATION SOMERTON AVENUE AND COUNTY 18TH STREET

ROUTE NO. N/A MILEPOST N/A DISTRICT SOTHWEST ITEM NO. LOCAL

The amount programmed for this contract is \$487,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located in Yuma County in the City of Somerton on Somerton Avenue and County 18th Street. The work consists of realigning Somerton Avenue and installing 4-way intersection overhead flashing beacon. Additional work includes intersection lightings, signing, pavement marking, and other miscellaneous work.

Table with 3 columns: REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS, UNIT, and QUANTITY. Rows include Roadway Excavation (Cu. Yd. 1,310), Aggregate Base, Class 2 (Cu. Yd. 1,500), Asphaltic Concrete (Ton 910), Sign Post (L. Ft. 170), Pavement Marking (Paint) (L. Ft. 3,400), Pavement Marking (Thermoplastic) (L. Ft. 5,300), Pole (Type R) (Each 1), Electrical Conduit (3") (PVC) (L. Ft. 370), Control Cabinet (Type IV) (Each 1), and Construction Surveying And Layout (L. Sum 1).

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 100 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 5.13.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F,

Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$16.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:

<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read.
No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Mohammed Patway	(602) 712-8187
Construction Supervisor:	Jaime Hernandez	(928) 317-2158.

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

MP: mp: UVA PROJECTS\SH58601C\ADVERTISE: Long AD SH58601C
DATE: 03/15/2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

1 Working Days

The proposed pavement rehabilitation project is located in Maricopa County within the City of Buckeye on Interstate 10 approximately half mile west of SR 85 Interchange. The project begins at MP 112.20 and extends east to MP 120 for an approximate length of 7.8 miles on I-10 WB. The work consists of variable depth milling from 1 Inch to 4 ½ inches and replacing existing pavement with AC and AR-ACFC, and placement of shoulder buildup with milled AC material on both sides of the pavement. In addition, the work includes crack repair in existing asphaltic concrete pavement, pavement markings and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/24/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Mahdi Ghalib

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
010 MA 112 H878701C 010-A-(226)T	I-10	SR 85 - VERRADO (WB)	11617

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$3,097,871.45	COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	P.O. BOX 10789 GLENDALE, AZ 85318
2	\$3,238,158.20	FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	115 S. 48TH STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281
3	\$3,299,000.00	SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING	3002 S. PRIEST DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282
	\$3,401,454.00	DEPARTMENT	
4	\$3,537,396.75	NESBITT CONTRACTING CO., INC.	100 SOUTH PRICE ROAD TEMPE, AZ 85281
5	\$3,600,000.00	FISHER SAND & GRAVEL CO. DBA SOUTHWEST ASPHALT PAVING	1302 W. DRIVERS WAY TEMPE, AZ 85284

Apparent Low Bidder is 8.9% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$303,582.55))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 24, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 010 MA 112 H878701C
 PROJ NO NH-010-A(226)T
 TERMINI EHRENBURG-PHOENIX HWY (I-10)
 LOCATION SR 85 TO VERRADO WAY (WB)

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
I-10	112.20 – 120.00	CENTRAL	11617

The amount programmed for this contract is \$6,000,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed pavement rehabilitation project is located in Maricopa County within the City of Buckeye on Interstate 10 approximately half mile west of SR 85 Interchange. The project begins at MP 112.20 and extends east to MP 120 for an approximate length of 7.8 miles on I-10 WB. The work consists of variable depth milling from 1 Inch to 4 ½ inches and replacing existing pavement with AC and AR-ACFC, and placement of shoulder buildup with milled AC material on both sides of the pavement. In addition, the work includes crack repair in existing asphaltic concrete pavement, pavement markings and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
REMOVE BITUMINOUS PAVEMENT (MILLING) (1" - 4 ½")	SQ. YD	142,039
SHOULDER BUILD-UP (MILLED AC)	L.FT	88,733
BITUMINOUS TACK COAT	TON	90
ASPHALTIC BINDER (PG 76-16)	TON	1,300
ASPHALTIC CONCRETE FRICTION COURSE (ASPHALT-RUBBER)	TON	4,150
ASPHALT RUBBER MATERIAL	TON	395
ASPHALTIC CONCRETE (¾" MIX) (END PRODUCT) (SPECIAL MIX)	TON	26,000
BRIDGE REPAIR (PENETRATING CRACK SEAL)	SQ. YD	766
LOOP DETECTOR	EACH	3
SEEDING	ACRE	13
PAVEMENT MARKING (WHITE & YELLOW) (THERMOPLASTIC)	L.FT	163,600
MISCELLANEOUS WORK (RUBBER CRACK SEALANT)	LB	14,718
CONTRACTOR QUALITY CONTROL	L.SUM	1
CONSTRUCTION SURVEYING AND LAYOUT	L.SUM	1

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be **120** calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 6.12.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$31, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of

Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: <http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Ghalib Mahdi	(602) 712-7618
Construction Supervisor:	Mike Rigby	(602) 712-3540

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer- Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

010 MA 112 H878701C
NH-010-A(226)T
MAY 26, 2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

100 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Gila County on SR 77, northeast of Winkelman, from milepost 134.80 to milepost 145.20. The proposed work consists of milling the existing pavement and replacing it with asphaltic concrete; and asphaltic concrete friction course. Additional work includes reconstructing and replacing guardrail and guardrail end treatments, replacing embankment curb, replacing pavement markings, and other miscellaneous work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/24/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Shah Manish

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
077 GI 134 H867901C 077-A-(213)T	TUCSON - ORACLE JCT. - GLOBE HIGHWAY (SR 77)	HARTFORD ROAD TO MP 145 Globe District	21815

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$2,619,162.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714
2	\$2,672,327.20	COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	P.O. BOX 10789 GLENDALE, AZ 85318
3	\$2,691,162.85	N.G.U CONTRACTING, INC.	2320 E. BASELINE ROAD, SUITE 148-459 PHOENIX, AZ 85042-6951
4	\$2,719,881.10	FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	115 S. 48TH STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281
	\$2,742,929.00	DEPARTMENT	
5	\$3,020,100.00	FISHER SAND & GRAVEL CO. DBA SOUTHWEST ASPHALT PAVING	1302 W. DRIVERS WAY TEMPE, AZ 85284

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
6	\$3,038,000.00	SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING	3002 S. PRIEST DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282

Apparent Low Bidder is 4.5% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$123,767.00))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 24, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 077 GI 134 H867901C
 PROJ NO STP-077-A(213)T
 TERMINI TUCSON – ORACLE JCT. – GLOBE HIGHWAY (SR 77)
 LOCATION SR 177 – MP 145

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
SR 77	134.80 to 145.20	SOUTHEAST	21815

The amount programmed for this contract is \$4,200,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Gila County on SR 77, northeast of Winkelman, from milepost 134.80 to milepost 145.20. The proposed work consists of milling the existing pavement and replacing it with asphaltic concrete; and asphaltic concrete friction course. Additional work includes reconstructing and replacing guardrail and guardrail end treatments, replacing embankment curb, replacing pavement markings, and other miscellaneous work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Remove Bituminous Pavement (Milling)(Various Depth)	Sq.Yd.	114,800
Asphaltic Concrete Friction Course (Asphalt-Rubber)	Ton	3,300
Asphaltic Concrete (3/4" Mix) (End Product) (Special Mix)	Ton	16,800
Pavement Marking (Extruded Thermoplastic, 0.090")	L.Ft.	220,000
Permanent Pavement Marking (Painted)	L.Ft.	280,000
Guard Rail, W-Beam, Single Face	L.Ft.	375
Guard Rail Terminal (Tangent Type)	Each	80
Reconstruct Guardrail With Existing Materials	L.Ft.	1,050
Embankment Curb	L.Ft.	2,260
Provide On-The-Job Training	Hour	500
Contractor Quality Control	L. Sum	1
Construction Surveying and Layout	L. Sum	1

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 100 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 4.08%.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$36.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:

<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Manish Shah	(602) 712-7216
Construction Supervisor:	Mindy Teague	(928) 402-5627

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: June 1, 2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

120 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 180, approximately 6 miles from the Town of Springerville. The work consists of asphaltic concrete overlay, chip seal application, guardrail reconstruction, pavement marking replacement and other miscellaneous work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/10/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Jedidiah Young

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
180 AP 386 H812701C 180-B-(206)T	HOLBROOK-SPRINGERVILLE HWY (US 180)	GENERATING STATION - JCT US 60 Globe District	14715

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$2,794,999.00	SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING	3002 S. PRIEST DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282
2	\$3,142,241.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714
3	\$3,177,433.83	FANN CONTRACTING, INC	PO BOX 4356 PRESCOTT, AZ 86302
4	\$3,190,153.64	COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	P.O. BOX 10789 GLENDALE, AZ 85318
5	\$3,200,055.00	N.G.U CONTRACTING, INC.	2320 E. BASELINE RD, SUITE #148-459 PHOENIX, AZ 85042-6951
	\$3,249,448.73	DEPARTMENT	

Printed: 6/13/2016

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
6	\$3,335,964.61	FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	115 S. 48TH ST TEMPE, AZ 85281

Apparent Low Bidder is 14.0% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$454,449.73))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 180 AP 386 H812701C
PROJ NO STP-180-B(206)T
TERMINI HOLBROOK-SPRINGERVILLE HWY (US 180)
LOCATION GENERATING STATION – JCT US 60

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
US 180	386.20 to 394.30	NORTHEAST	14715

The amount programmed for this contract is \$5,200,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 180, approximately 6 miles from the Town of Springerville. The work consists of asphaltic concrete overlay, chip seal application, guardrail reconstruction, pavement marking replacement and other miscellaneous work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Asphaltic Concrete (SHRP) (EP) (3/4" Miiix)	Ton	28,800
Asphaltic Concrete (Misc. Structural)	Ton	2,600
Cover Material (Special) (Precoated)	Cu.Yd.	1,400
Pavement Marker, Recessed, Type D	Each	1,100
Permanent Pavement Marking (Painted)	L. Ft.	270,000
Dual Component Pavement Marking (Epoxy)	L. Ft.	206,000
Guard Rail, W-Beam Single Face	L. Ft.	2,500
Reconstruct Guardrail	L. Ft.	6,800
Guard Rail Terminal	Each	40
Ground-In Rumble Strip	L.Ft	130,000
Contractor Based On-The-Job Training	Hour	500
Contractor Quality Control	L. Sum	1
Construction Surveying And Layout	L. Sum	1

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 120 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 5.24.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$22.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications.

The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: <http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Jedidiah Young	(602) 712-8117
Construction Supervisor:	Carl Ericksen	(928) 524-5421

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

This project was advertised on Friday May 6, 2016.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

100 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Navajo County on 2nd Street & 3rd Street (Historic Rte 66) in Winslow, starting at Prairie Avenue to Berry Avenue and from Williamson Avenue to Snider Avenue. The proposed work consists of roadway excavation, constructing sidewalk, sidewalk ramps, installing decorative pavers, placing asphaltic concrete pavement, replacing existing street lights, replacing pavement marking and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/17/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Jalal Kamal

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
0000 NA WIN SL70501C WIN-0-(202)T	CITY OF WINSLOW	2ND&3RD ST BERRY TO PRAIRI AVE Holbrook District	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
	\$799,805.00	DEPARTMENT	
1	\$930,360.70	MCCAULEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	206 WEST FIRST STREET WINSLOW, AZ 86047
2	\$1,049,666.55	HUNTER CONTRACTING COMPANY	701 N COOPER ROAD GILBERT, AZ 85233
3	\$1,272,711.50	AJP ELECTRIC, INC.	11250 N. CAVE CREEK RD. PHOENIX, AZ 85020
4	\$1,288,609.00	J. BANICKI CONSTRUCTION, INC.	6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283
5	\$1,302,203.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
6	\$1,395,009.55	VASTCO, INC.	425 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE CHINO VALLEY, AZ 86323

Apparent Low Bidder is 16.3% Over Department Estimate (Difference = \$130,555.70)

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 0000 NA WIN SL705 01C
 PROJ NO TEA-WIN-0(202)T
 TERMINI CITY OF WINSLOW
 LOCATION 2ND & 3RD ST FROM BERRY AVE. TO PRAIRIE AVE. AND
 WILLIAMSON AVE. TO SNIDER AVE.

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
N/A	N/A	NORTHCENTRAL	LOCAL

The amount programmed for this contract is \$969,000.00. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Navajo County on 2nd Street & 3rd Street (Historic Rte 66) in Winslow, starting at Prairie Avenue to Berry Avenue and from Williamson Avenue to Snider Avenue. The proposed work consists of roadway excavation, constructing sidewalk, sidewalk ramps, installing decorative pavers, placing asphaltic concrete pavement, replacing existing street lights, replacing pavement marking and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Roadway Excavation	CU.YD.	290
Aggregate Base (Class 2)	CU.YD.	485
Asphaltic Concrete (Miscellaneous Structural)	TON	130
Dual Component Pavement Marking (White Epoxy)	L.FT.	8,260
Electrical Conduit (3") (PVC)	L.FT.	8,700
Concrete Curb & Gutter (C-05.10)	L.FT.	345
Concrete Valley Gutter	SQ.FT.	6,250
Concrete Sidewalk (C-05.20)	SQ.FT.	5,800
Concrete Sidewalk Ramp (C-05.30) (Type A & B)	EACH	40
Concrete Driveway	SQ.FT.	4,300
Standard And Heavy Brick Pavers	SQ.FT.	10,050
Contractor Quality Control	L.SUM	1
Construction Surveying and Layout	L.SUM	1

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be **100** working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be **6.85**.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$34, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona

Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: <http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Planholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	JALAL KAMAL	(602) 712-6920
Construction Supervisor:	TOM GOODMAN	(928) 468-5063

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

J.K.SL70501C: Advertised on May 23, 2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

125 Calendar Days

The project is located within the Tohono O'odham Nation (Pima and Pinal County) with proposed work along Indian Reservation Highways (IRR) 15, 19 and 34. The project consists of replacing existing pavement markings, replacing existing signs, installing new signs, and other miscellaneous work. Improvements on IRR 15 will also include obliterating existing edge line pavement markings, replacing existing pavement markings, and installing ground-in rumble strips by the edge lines.

Bid Opening Date : 6/17/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Patton Samuel James

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
0000 SW ITO SH64201C ITO-0-(207)T	TOHONO OODHAM NATION HIGHWAYS (IRR 15, 19 & 34)	T. O. NATION VARIOUS LOCATIONS Tucson District	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$1,699,988.27	SUNLINE CONTRACTING, LLC	820 N 17TH AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85007
	\$2,097,303.30	DEPARTMENT	
2	\$2,105,105.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714
3	\$3,180,410.35	PAVEMENT MARKING, INC.	8949 SOUTH BECK AVE TEMPE, AZ 85284

Apparent Low Bidder is 18.9% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$397,315.03))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO	0000 PM ITO SH64201C
PROJ NO	ITO-0(207)T
TERMINI	TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION HIGHWAYS (IRR 15, 19 & 34)
LOCATION	TOHONO O'ODHAM NATION, VARIOUS LOCATIONS

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
IRR 15, 19, 34	VARIES	SOUTHCENTRAL	LOCAL-FA

The amount programmed for this contract is \$2,520,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The project is located within the Tohono O'odham Nation (Pima and Pinal County) with proposed work along Indian Reservation Highways, IRR 15, 19 and 34. The project consists of replacing existing pavement markings, replacing existing signs, installing new signs, and other miscellaneous work. Improvements on IRR 15 will also include obliterating existing edge line pavement markings, replacing existing pavement markings, and installing ground-in rumble strips by the edge lines.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Warning, marker, or regulatory sign panel	SQ.FT.	2,966
Obliterate pavement markings (stripe)	L.FT.	510,100
Pavement marking (white thermoplastic)(0.090)	L.FT.	1,867,500
Pavement marking (yellow thermoplastic)(0.090)	L.FT.	743,200
Ground-in rumble strip (8 inch)	L.FT.	382,600

This project is located on a Native American Reservation, in the Tohono O'odham Nation area, which may subject the contractor to the laws and regulations of the Tohono O'odham Nation and its TERO office. Contractors are advised to make themselves aware of any taxes, fees or any conditions that may be imposed by the Tohono O'odham Nation on work performed on the Reservation.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 125 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 3.86.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$43, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:

<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Sam Patton	(602) 712-8261
Construction Supervisor:	Chris Page	(520) 209-4544

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

0000 PM ITO SH64201C
ITO-0(207)T
PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 5/16/2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

180 Calendar Days

The proposed project is located in Yuma County along I-8 at milepost 56, approximately 11 miles west of Dateland. The work includes upgrading equipment in the rest rooms, caretaker residence, ramadas, and vendor kiosks. A new pump house, new reservoir, new water transmission line, and well equipment for a new water campus are also included in the work. Additional work includes lining sewer pipes, replacing septic tanks, rehabilitating waste disposal pits, rehabilitating existing water and wastewater systems, milling and replacing asphaltic concrete, replacing pavement marking, and other miscellaneous work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/17/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : William Nanni

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
008 YU 056 H870701C 008-A-(224)T	YUMA - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY (I-8)	MOHAWK REST AREA Yuma District	18716

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
	\$3,604,360.90	DEPARTMENT	
1	\$4,665,822.84	FANN CONTRACTING, INC	PO BOX 4356 PRESCOTT, AZ 86302
2	\$4,833,473.15	J. BANICKI CONSTRUCTION, INC.	6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283
3	\$4,848,484.00	K E & G CONSTRUCTION, INC.	1601 PASEO SAN LUIS - STE #202 SIERRA VISTA, AZ 85635
4	\$5,492,224.38	DPE CONSTRUCTION, INC.	1636 E 20TH STREET YUMA, AZ 85365
5	\$5,909,646.90	FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	115 S. 48TH STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281

Apparent Low Bidder is 29.4% Over Department Estimate (Difference = \$1,061,461.94)

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 3, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 008 YU 056 H8707 01C
PROJ NO IM-NHPP-008-A(224)T
TERMINI YUMA - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY (I-8)
LOCATION MOHAWK REST AREA

ROUTE NO. MILEPOST DISTRICT ITEM NO.
I - 8 56 SOUTHWEST 18716

The amount programmed for this contract is \$4,700,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Yuma County along I-8 at milepost 56, approximately 11 miles west of Dateland. The work includes upgrading equipment in the rest rooms, caretaker residence, ramadas, and vendor kiosks. A new pump house, new reservoir, new water transmission line, and well equipment for a new water campus are also included in the work. Additional work includes lining sewer pipes, replacing septic tanks, rehabilitating waste disposal pits, rehabilitating existing water and wastewater systems, milling and replacing asphaltic concrete, replacing pavement marking, and other miscellaneous work.

Table with 3 columns: REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS, UNIT, QUANTITY. Items include Mineral Aggregate Type III, Asphaltic Concrete, Pavement Marking, Well Site, Pump House, Reservoir, Sewer System Rehabilitation, etc.

A site visit will be held from 10:00 am to Noon, on Tuesday, May 17, 2016. The initial meeting location will be at the westbound Mohawk Rest Area restroom building. An ADOT representative will be on site to unlock buildings for contractors. All questions shall be sent to William Nanni at wnanni@azdot.gov.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Construction Phase of the contract will be 225 calendar days.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Landscape Establishment Phase of the contract will be 180 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 4.79%.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$76.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$10.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:
<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Bill Nanni	(602) 712-6899
Construction Supervisor:	Jamie Hernandez	(928) 317-2158

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

W.N. 080 YU 056 H870701C
April 15, 2016

Printed: 6/17/2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

120 Working Days

The proposed work is located on I-17 in Maricopa County between MP 231.00, and MP 232.00 at New River Bridges (STR # 1290 & # 1291). The work consist of constructing concrete floors underneath the existing bridges (STR. # 1290 & # 1291), shotcrete, riprap bank protection and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/17/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Ghorbani Mahmood

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
017 MA 231 H826801C 017-A(226)T	PHOENIX-CORDES JCT HIGHWAY (I-17)	NEW RIVER BRIDGES, #1290 & #12 Phoenix District	13514

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$443,237.35	N.G.U CONTRACTING, INC.	2320 E. BASELINE RD, SUITE #148-459 PHOENIX, AZ 85042-6951
2	\$453,324.70	PULICE CONSTRUCTION, INC.	2033 W. MOUNTAIN VIEW RD. PHOENIX, AZ 85021
3	\$470,000.00	K.A.Z. CONSTRUCTION, INC.	1138 S. SANTA RITA AVENUE TUCSON, AZ 85719
4	\$498,096.31	VASTCO, INC.	425 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE CHINO VALLEY, AZ 86323
	\$546,381.00	DEPARTMENT	
5	\$558,558.00	C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	22023 N 20TH AVE SUITE A PHOENIX, AZ 85027
6	\$629,019.35	HUNTER CONTRACTING COMPANY	701 N COOPER ROAD GILBERT, AZ 85233
7	\$646,646.00	J. BANICKI CONSTRUCTION, INC.	6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
8	\$691,436.50	FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.	115 S. 48TH ST TEMPE, AZ 85281
9	\$714,964.75	TECHNOLOGY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	5430 SIDE ROAD PRESCOTT, AZ 86301
10	\$786,012.60	TIFFANY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2800 N. 24TH STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85008

Apparent Low Bidder is 18.9% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$103,143.65))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 017 MA 231 H826801C
PROJ NO BR-017-A(226)T
TERMINI PHOENIX - CORDES JCT HIGHWAY (I-17)
LOCATION NEW RIVER BRIDGES , STR # 1290 & # 1291

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
I-17	231 - 232	NORTHCENTRAL	13514

The amount programmed for this contract is \$700,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located on I-17 in Maricopa County between MP 231.00, and MP 232.00 at New River Bridges (STR # 1290 & # 1291). The work consist of constructing concrete floors underneath the existing bridges (STR. # 1290 & # 1291), shotcrete, riprap bank protection and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Structural Excavation	CU.YD	5,820
Structure Concrete(Class S)(f'c= 3000)	CU.YD	745
Reinforcing Steel	LB.	61,125
Seeding (Class II)	ACRE	1
Shotcrete(4")	SQ.YD	605

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 120 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 6.61.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is \$12.00, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: <http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Intermodal Transportation Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Mahmood B. Ghorbani	(602) 712-6093
Construction Supervisor:	Dylan Cardie	(602) 712-5844

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

017 MA 231 H826801C
BR-017-A(226)T
Project Advertised on: 05-12-2016

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

60 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Navajo County, on Old US 66 in the City of Winslow, at approximate Milepost 42.7. The proposed work consists of landscaping, constructing a covered performance stage and sidewalk, installing an irrigation system and lighting and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 6/3/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Do David

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
066 NA 042 H844701C AZ1-1-(001)T	CITY OF WINSLOW	HISTORIC ROUTE 66 PLAZA, KINSL	LOCAL

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
	\$472,011.00	DEPARTMENT	
1	\$894,066.00	C S CONSTRUCTION, INC.	22023 N 20TH AVE SUITE A PHOENIX, AZ 85027
2	\$914,925.55	PAUL R. PETERSON CONSTRUCTION, INC.	1119 W. INDIAN SCHOOL ROAD PHOENIX, AZ 85013-3106
3	\$915,284.31	HUNTER CONTRACTING COMPANY	701 N COOPER ROAD GILBERT, AZ 85233

Apparent Low Bidder is 89.4% Over Department Estimate (Difference = \$422,055.00)

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JUNE 3, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 066 NA 042 H844701C
PROJ NO SB-AZ1-1(001)T
TERMINI CITY OF WINSLOW
LOCATION HISTORIC ROUTE 66 PLAZA, KINSLEY AVE. AND 2ND ST.

ROUTE NO. MILEPOST DISTRICT ITEM NO.
OLD US 66 42.7 NORTHCENTRAL LOCAL

The amount programmed for this contract is \$600,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Navajo County, on Old US 66 in the City of Winslow, at approximate Milepost 42.7. The proposed work consists of landscaping, constructing a covered performance stage and sidewalk, installing an irrigation system and lighting and other related work.

Table with 3 columns: REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS, UNIT, QUANTITY. Includes items like Electrical Conduit, Conductors, Trees, Landscaping Establishment, Pipe (PVC), Fence, Concrete Sidewalk, Retaining Wall, Performance Stage I-Beam Structure, and Construction Surveying and Layout.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Construction Phase of the contract will be 60 working days.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Landscape Establishment Phase of the contract will be 365 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 7.00.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$21.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at: http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	David Do	(602) 712-7445
Construction Supervisor:	Steve Monroe	(928) 714-2290

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

066 NA 042 H844701C
SB-AZ1-1(001)T
PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 5/3/16

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:

95 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 180. The work begins at MP 407.00 and extends to MP 411.96. The work includes milling the existing pavement and replacing it with asphaltic concrete end product and a rubberized chip seal coat, jacking of a bridge approach slab, construction of erosion control features, removing and replacing guardrail, applying pavement markings, traffic control and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 7/1/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Patton Samuel James

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
180 AP 407 H812801C 180-C-(202)A	SPRINGERVILLE-ALPINE STATE LINE HWY (US 180)	CORREJO CROSSING TO FH 275 Globe District	14815

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$1,977,423.27	FANN CONTRACTING, INC	PO BOX 4356 PRESCOTT, AZ 86302
2	\$1,986,665.00	N.G.U CONTRACTING, INC.	2320 E. BASELINE ROAD, SUITE 148-459 PHOENIX, AZ 85042-6951
3	\$2,072,672.33	COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.	P.O. BOX 10789 GLENDALE, AZ 85318
4	\$2,149,000.00	SUNLAND, INC. ASPHALT & SEAL COATING	3002 S. PRIEST DRIVE TEMPE, AZ 85282
5	\$2,167,167.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714
	\$2,348,047.77	DEPARTMENT	

Apparent Low Bidder is 15.8% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$370,624.50))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JULY 1, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 180 AP 407 H812801C
PROJ NO STP-180-C(202)T
TERMINI SPRINGVILLE-ALPINE STATE LINE HWY (US 180)
LOCATION CORREJO CROSSING TO FH 275 (CR2116)

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
US 180	407.00 to 411.96	NORTHEAST	14815

The amount programmed for this contract is \$3,200,000. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 180. The work begins at MP 407.00 and extends to MP 411.96. The work includes milling the existing pavement and replacing it with asphaltic concrete end product and a rubberized chip seal coat, jacking of a bridge approach slab, construction of erosion control features, removing and replacing guardrail, applying pavement markings, traffic control and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Remove Bituminous Pavement (Mill 3")	SQ.YD.	75,300
Cover Material	CU.YD.	890
Asphalt Binder (PG 64-28 TR+)	TON	182
Asphaltic Concrete (3/4")(End Product)	TON	12,198
Misc. Work (Slab Jacking)	LB.	2500

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 95 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 4.37.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$27, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a

subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:

<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read.
No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Sam Patton	(602) 712 8261
Construction Supervisor:	Elaine Leavens	(928) 532-2345

STEVE BEASLEY,
Manager
Contracts & Specifications

180 AP 407 H812801C
STP-180-C(202)T
PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 5/31/16

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
 CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:
 75 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Mohave County in the Northeast District on State Route 389 from Short Creek to Fredonia Highway, near the Colorado City limits. The project limits begin at MP 0.00 and proceeds northeasterly to MP 27.00. The work consists of a Double Application Polymer Seal Coat to the existing pavement surface to extend the pavement service life and to improve safety. The work also includes pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

Bid Opening Date : 7/1/2016, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Rene Teran

Project No.	Highway Termini	Location	Item
389 MO 001 H875101C 389-A-(204)S	SHORT CREEK - FREDONIA HIGHWAY SR 389	COLORADO CITY TO MAGLES RD. Flagstaff District	74816

Rank	Bid Amount	Contractor Name	Address of Contractor
1	\$1,528,721.93	EARTH RESOURCES CORPORATION	14655 East Cielo Vista Dewey, AZ 86327
2	\$1,531,196.89	CACTUS TRANSPORT, INC.	8211 WEST SHERMAN STREET TOLLESON, AZ 85353
3	\$1,740,389.00	INTERMOUNTAIN SLURRY SEAL, INC.	520 NORTH 400 WEST NORTH SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84054
	\$1,817,431.00	DEPARTMENT	
4	\$1,928,286.75	GENEVA ROCK PRODUCTS, INC.	1565 West 400 North Orem, UT 84057

Apparent Low Bidder is 15.9% Under Department Estimate (Difference = (\$288,709.07))

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, JULY 1st, 2016, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 389 MO 000 H8751 01C
PROJ NO STP-389-A(204)T
TERMINI SHORT CREEK – FREDONIA HIGHWAY
LOCATION COLORADO CITY – MAGLES RD

ROUTE NO.	MILEPOST	DISTRICT	ITEM NO.
SR 389	0.00 to 27.00	NORTHCENTRAL	74816

The amount programmed for this contract is \$ 2,600,000.00. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Mohave County in the Northeast District on State Route 389 from Short Creek to Fredonia Highway, near the Colorado City limits. The project limits begin at MP 0.00 and proceeds northeasterly to MP 27.00. The work consists of a Double Application Polymer Seal Coat to the existing pavement surface to extend the pavement service life and to improve safety. The work also includes pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS	UNIT	QUANTITY
Emulsified Asphalt (CRS-2P)	TON	1,142
Fog Coat	TON	343
Cover Material	CU.YD.	6,582
Blotter Material	TON	2,194
Dual Component Pavement Marking (White Epoxy)	L.FT.	435,963
Dual Component Pavement Marking (Yellow Epoxy)	L.FT.	139,164
Construction Surveying and Layout	L.SUM	1
Ground-In Rumble Strip	L.FT.	339,751

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 75 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252.42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 2.96% .

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased in paper format from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. The cost is \$7.00, payable at time of order by cash, check, or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. We cannot guarantee mail delivery. No refund will be made for plans or specifications returned.

Contract documents and other project documents are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Contracts and Specifications website, pursuant to Subsection 102.02 of the specifications. The Contracts and Specifications Current Advertisements website is located at:

<http://www.azdot.gov/business/ContractsandSpecifications/CurrentAdvertisements>.

Documents should be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets in paper format shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:	Rene Teran	(602) 712-8264
Construction Supervisor:	Steve Monroe	(928) 853-5700

For 

STEVE BEASLEY,
Engineer-Manager
Contracts & Specifications Section

389 MO 000 H8751 01C
STP-389-A(204)T
June 08, 2016