## Arizona Department of Transportation State Transportation Board 206 South Seventeenth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3213

Janice K. Brewer Governor

## John S. Halikowski

Director

William J. Feldmeier
Chairman
Bobbie Lundstrom
Vice Chairwoman
Felipe A. Zubia Victor Flores Stephen W. Christy Kelly Anderson Hank Rogers

Welcome to a meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. The Transportation Board consists of seven private citizen members appointed by the Governor, representing specific transportation districts. Board members are appointed for terms of six years each, with terms expiring on the third Monday in January of the appropriate year.

## BOARD AUTHORITY

Although the administration of the Department of Transportation is the responsibility of the director, the Transportation Board has been granted certain policy powers in addition to serving in an advisory capacity to the director.
In the area of highways the Transportation Board is responsible for establishing a system of state routes. It determines which routes are accepted into the state system and which state routes are to be improved. The Board has final authority on establishing the opening, relocating, altering, vacating or abandoning any portion of a state route of a state highway. The Transportation Board awards construction contracts and monitors the status of construction projects.
With respect to aeronautics the Transportation Board distributes monies appropriated to the Aeronautics Division from the State Aviation Fund for planning, design, development, land acquisition, construction and improvement of publicly-owned airport facilities. The Board also approves airport construction.
The Transportation Board has the exclusive authority to issue revenue bonds for financing needed transportation improvements throughout the state. As part of the planning process the Board determines priority planning with respect to transportation facilities and annually adopts the five year construction program.

## CITIZEN INPUT

Citizens may appear before the Transportation Board to be heard on any transportation-related issue. Persons wishing to protest any action taken or contemplated by the Board may appear before this open forum. The Board welcomes citizen involvement, although because of Arizona's open meeting laws, no actions may be taken on items which do not appear on the formal agenda. This does not, however, preclude discussion of other issues.

## MEETINGS

The Transportation Board typically meets on the third Friday of each month. Meetings are held in locations throughout the state. In addition to the regular business meetings held each month, the Board also conducts three public hearings each year to receive input regarding the proposed five-year construction program. Meeting dates are established for the following year at the December organization meeting of the Board.

## BOARD MEETING PROCEDURE

Board members receive the agenda and all backup information one week before the meeting is held. They have studied each item on the agenda and have consulted with Department of Transportation staff when necessary. If no additional facts are presented at the meeting, they often act on matters, particularly routine ones, without further discussion.
In order to streamline the meetings the Board has adopted the "consent agenda" format, allowing agenda items to be voted on en masse unless discussion is requested by one of the board members or Department of Transportation staff members.

## BOARD CONTACT

Transportation Board members encourage citizens to contact them regarding transportation-related issues. Board members may be contacted through the Arizona Department of Transportation, 206 South 17th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85007;
Telephone (602) 712-7550.

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD 

Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will hold a meeting open to the public, on Friday, December 16, 2011, beginning at 9:00 a.m. (MST), at the Yavapai County Administrative Building, Supervisor's Room, 1015 Fair St., Prescott, AZ 86302.<br>Members of the Transportation Board will attend either in person or by telephone conference call. The Board may vote to go into Executive Session to discuss certain matters, which will not be open to the public.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation of legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, December 16, 2011, relating to any items on the agenda. Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A), the Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

## AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Department must make a reasonable accommodation to allow a person with a disability to take part in a program, service or activity. For example, this means that if necessary, the Department must provide sign language interpreters for people who are deaf, a wheelchair accessible location, or enlarged print materials. It also means that the Department will take any other reasonable action that allows you to take part in and understand a program or activity, including making reasonable changes to an activity. If you believe that you will not be able to understand or take part in a program or activity because of your disability, please let us know of your disability needs in advance if at all possible. Please contact the ADA Coordinator at (602) 712-7761.

## AGENDA

A copy of the agenda and agenda background material for this meeting will be available for public inspection at the office of the Transportation Board at 206 S. $17^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Room 135, at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

## ORDER DEFERRAL AND ACCELERATIONS OF AGENDA ITEMS, VOTE WITHOUT DISCUSSION.

In the interest of efficiency and economy of time, the Arizona Transportation Board, having already had the opportunity to become conversant with items on its agenda, will likely defer action in relation to certain items until after agenda items requiring discussion have been considered and voted upon by its members. After all such discussional items have been acted upon, the items remaining on the Board's agenda will be expedited and action may be taken on deferred agenda items without discussion. It will be a decision of the Board itself as to which items will require discussion and which may be deferred for expedited action without discussion.

The Chairman will poll the members of the Board at the commencement of the meeting with regard to which items require discussion. Any agenda item identified by any Board member as one requiring discussion will be accelerated ahead of those items not identified as requiring discussion. All such accelerated agenda items will be individually considered and acted upon ahead of all other agenda items. With respect to all agenda items not accelerated. i.e., those items upon which action has been deferred until later in the meeting, the Chairman will entertain a single motion and a single second to that motion and will call for a single vote of the members without any discussion of any agenda items so grouped together and so singly acted upon. Accordingly, in the event any person desires to have the Board discuss any particular agenda item, such person should contact one of the Board members before the meeting or Kay Alberty, located at 206 South 17th Avenue, Room 135, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, or by phone (602) 712-7550. Please be prepared to identify the specific agenda item or items of interest.

Dated this 8th day of December, 2011
STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
By: Kay Alberty

## Arizona Highways, Airports, and Railroads



AGENDA
STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING
9:00 a.m. (MST), Friday, December 16, 2011
Yavapai County Administrative Building
Supervisor's Room
1015 Fair St.
Prescott, AZ 86302
Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will hold a meeting open to the public on Friday, December 16, 2011, 9:00 a.m. (MST), at the Yavapai County Administrative Building, Supervisor’s Room, 1015 Fair St., Prescott, AZ 86302.

The Board may vote to go into Executive Session, which will not be open to the public. Members of the Transportation Board will attend either in person or by telephone conference call.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03 (A)(3), notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation for legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, December 16, 2011. The Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

## Pledge

Chairman Feldmeier.

## Record of Board Member Attendance

Chairman Feldmeier.

## Opening Remarks <br> Chairman Feldmeier.

Call to the Audience (Information and discussion)
An opportunity for citizens to discuss items of interest with the Board.
Please fill out a Request for Public Input Form and turn in to the Secretary if you wish to address the Board. Please limit your comments to 3 minutes, so everyone is given the chance to speak.

## ITEM 1: District Engineer's Report

Staff will provide an update on construction projects, maintenance activities and current transportation issues of District and regional significance.
(For information and discussion only - Greg Gentsch, Prescott District Engineer)

## ITEM 2: Director's Report

The Director will provide a report on current issues and events affecting ADOT. (John Halikowski, Director)

## A) Individual Topics

1. Update on the Border Master Plan activities
2. Overview of Public Affairs Initiatives highlighting transportation needs
3. Present certificates of appreciation to Mr. Feldmeier and Mr. Zubia (For information and discussion only)

## B) Last Minute Items to Report

(For information only. The Transportation Board is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action on any matter under "Last Minute Items to Report", unless the specific matter is properly noticed for action.)

## *ITEM 3: Consent Agenda

Consideration by the Board of items included in the Consent Agenda.
Any member of the Board may ask that any item on the Consent Agenda be pulled for individual discussion and disposition.
(For information and possible action)
Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board and PPAC meetings
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
- Low bidder is no more than $15 \%$ under state estimate
- Low bidder is no more than $10 \%$ over state estimate


## ITEM 4: Financial Report

Staff will provide summary reports on revenue collections for Highway User Revenues, Maricopa Transportation Excise Tax Revenues, and Aviation Revenues comparing fiscal year results to last year’s actuals and forecasts, and report on interest earnings, HELP Fund status, the Federal-Aid Highway Program, and other financial information relative to the Board and Department.
(For information and discussion only - Lisa Danka, Deputy Chief Financial Officer)

## ITEM 5: Financing Program

Staff will provide an update on financing issues affecting the Board and the Department, including HURF and RARF Bonding, GAN issuances and Board Funding Obligations.
(For information and discussion only - Lisa Danka, Deputy Chief Financial Officer)

## ITEM 6: Legislative Report

Staff will provide a report on State and Federal legislative issues.
(For information and discussion only-Kevin Biesty)

## ITEM 7: Multimodal Planning Division Report

Staff will present an update on statewide planning and programming activities pursuant to A.R.S. 28-506.
(For information and discussion only - Scott Omer, Assistant Director Multimodal Planning Division)
*ITEM 8: Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC)
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Staff will present recommended PPAC actions to the Board including consideration of changes to the FY2012-2016 Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program.
(For discussion and possible action - Scott Omer, Assistant Director Multimodal Planning Division)

ITEM 9: $\quad$ State Engineer's Report
Staff will present a report showing the status of highway projects under construction, including total number and dollar value. Staff will provide an update on the Nogales Country Club and Ruby Road frontage roads; also an update on the Dust Taskforce.
(For information and discussion only - Jennifer Toth, State Engineer)
*ITEM 10: Construction Contracts
Staff will present recommended construction project awards that are
PAGE 107 not on the Consent Agenda.
(For discussion and possible action - Jennifer Toth, State Engineer)
*ITEM 11: 2012 Draft Board Meeting and Public Hearing Dates and Locations (For discussion and possible action - Floyd Roehrich)

| Month | Date | Location | Function |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| January | 20 | Yuma | Board Meeting |
| February | 17 | Douglas | Board Meeting |
| March | 16 | Tucson | Board Meeting \& Public Hearing |
| April | 20 | Flagstaff | Board Meeting \& Public Hearing |
| May | 18 | Phoenix | Board Meeting \& Public Hearing |
| June | 15 | Show Low | Board Meeting |
| July | 20 | Kingman | Board Meeting |
| August | 17 | Camp Verde | Board Meeting |
| September | 21 | Wickenburg | Board Meeting |
| October | 19 | Springerville | Board Meeting |
| November | 16 | Maricopa | Board Meeting |
| December | 21 | Nogales | Board Meeting |

## *ITEM 12: Organization

Selection of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson, [in accordance with
A.R.S., Section 28-303 (B)].
(For discussion and possible action)
ITEM 13: Comments and Suggestions
Board Members will have the opportunity to suggest items they would like to have placed on future Board Meeting agendas.

The next regular meeting will occur on January 20, 2012 in Yuma, AZ.
*Adjournment
*ITEMS that may require Board Action

Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board and PPAC meetings
- Highway Program Monitoring Report
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
- Low bidder is no more than $15 \%$ under state estimate
- Low bidder is no more than $10 \%$ over state estimate


## MINUTES APPROVAL

- PPAC Minutes, November 2, 2011
- Board Meeting Minutes, October 21, 2011
- Special Board Meeting Minutes, December 6, 2011


## RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS

| ITEM 3a: | RES. NO: | 2011-12-A-072 PAGE 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROJECT: | 010MA151H744101R |
|  | HIGHWAY: | PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE |
|  | SECTION: | Salt River - Baseline Rd. |
|  | ROUTE NO. | Interstate Route 10 |
|  | ENG. DIST. | Phoenix |
|  | COUNTY: | Maricopa |
|  | PARCELS: | 7-10366, 7-10657 \& 7-10716 |
|  | RECOMMENDATION: | Establish new right of way as a state route by Early Acquisition. |
| ITEM 3b: | RES. NO: | 2011-12-A-073 PAGE 52 |
|  | PROJECT: | 077-B (206) A / 077NA357H7667 |
|  | HIGHWAY: | SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK |
|  | SECTION: | Taylor City Limits - Snowflake |
|  | ROUTE NO. | State Route 77 |
|  | ENG. DIST. | Globe |
|  | COUNTY: | Navajo |
|  | RECOMMENDATION: | Establish existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway to facilitate the construction of pavement improvements. |
| ITEM 3c: | RES. NO: | 2011-12-A-074 PAGE 61 |
|  | PROJECT: | STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713 |
|  | HIGHWAY: | TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS |
|  | SECTION: | Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension |
|  | ROUTE NO. | U.S. 160 |
|  | ENG. DIST. | Holbrook |
|  | COUNTY: | Apache |
|  | RECOMMENDATION: | Establish a T.C.E. for construction access. |

## CONSENT AGENDA

## RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS

## ITEM 3d: RES. NO:

2011-12-A-075
PAGE 68
PROJECT:
HIGHWAY:
SECTION:
ROUTE NO.
ENG. DIST.
COUNTY:
PARCEL:
089AYV402.6H5551
PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF
Jct. I-40 - Flagstaff
State Route 89A (McDonald's)
Flagstaff
Coconino
3-1640
RECOMMENDATION: Establish land donation right of way as a state route and state highway for highway improvements constructed by a Developer under an ADOT permit.

This space left blank intentionally.

## CONSENT AGENDA

## CONTRACTS

Interstate - Federal-Aid ("A" "B") projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations)

| ITEM 3e: | BIDS OPENED: | November 18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | HIGHWAY: | CITY OF AVONDALE |
|  | SECTION: | Avondale Municipal \& Operations Service Center |
|  | COUNTY: | Maricopa |
|  | ROUTE NO.: | N/A |
|  | PROJECT: | ARRA-AVN-0(203)A 0000 MA AVN SS67901C |
|  | FUNDING: | $100 \%$ Federal |
|  | LOW BIDDER: | ITS Engineers and Constructors of Utah, Inc. |
|  | AMOUNT: | $\$ \quad 156,800.00$ |
|  | STATE AMOUNT: | $\$ \quad 181,100.00$ |
|  | $\$$ UNDER: | $\$$ |
|  | \% UNDER: | $13.4 \%$ |
|  | NO. BIDDERS: | 4 |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |  |



## CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 3f: BIDS OPENED:
HIGHWAY:
SECTION:
COUNTY:
ROUTE NO.:
PROJECT:
FUNDING:
LOW BIDDER:
AMOUNT:
STATE AMOUNT:
\$ UNDER:
\% UNDER:
NO. BIDDERS:
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD


## CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 3g: BIDS OPENED:
November 4
PAGE 118

HIGHWAY:

SECTION:
COUNTY:
ROUTE NO.:
PROJECT:
FUNDING:
LOW BIDDER:
AMOUNT:
STATE AMOUNT:
\$ UNDER:
\% UNDER:
NO. BIDDERS:
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD


## CONSENT AGENDA

ITEM 3h: BIDS OPENED:
November 10
PAGE 122

HIGHWAY:
SECTION:
COUNTY:
ROUTE NO.:
PROJECT:
FUNDING:
FUNDING:
LOW BIDDER:
AMOUNT:
STATE AMOUNT:
\$ OVER:
\% OVER:
NO. BIDDERS:
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD


# MINUTES OF THE <br> ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE <br> 206 S. $17^{\text {TH }}$ AVE., PHOENIX, ARIZONA <br> TRANSPORTATION BOARD ROOM <br> 10:00 A.M., WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2011 

The meeting of the Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC) was held on November 2, 2011, at 10:00 AM with Chairman Scott Omer presiding.

Other committee members were present as follows:
Lisa Danka, Michael Klein, Eileen Colleran, Sally Stewart for Matt Burdick, Terry Conner, Don Mauller for Michael Kies, Dallas Hammit, and Jennifer Toth.

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

A quorum being present, Chairman Scott Omer called the Priority Planning Advisory Committee Meeting to order at 10:00 AM.

## 2. ROLL CALL

Lynn Sugiyama conducted a Roll Call of the committee members. Roc Arnett, Mike Normand, and Robert Samour were not present.

## 3. CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

Chairman Omer requested a Call to the Audience for any comments and issues to be addressed. There was none.

## 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF OCTOBER 5, 2011

The minutes of the meeting held in October 5, 2011 were approved.
Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve minutes of the meeting of October $5^{\text {th }}$ and Lisa Danka made the motion to approve.
Jennifer Toth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

## 5. DISCUSSION ON HIGHWAY CONTINGENCY FUND REPORTING

Chairman Omer asked the committee what was relevant to show in the Highway Program Monitoring report. Jennifer Toth made a suggestion that the total amount of bid savings should be reported and program amount for the year. There was a need to know the current status of what has been programmed and what has been spent for the fiscal year. Scott Omer would like to see what projects are advertised and what projects are planned for advertisement. Jennifer Toth also suggested that the report could be on a quarterly basis showing anticipated dollar amounts to produce a variance schedule on when projects are ready for advertisement.

Marisa Walker presented Item 6.
6.

COUNTY: Santa Cruz
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: Mariposa Port of Entry Border Waits Analysis
TYPE OF WORK: Border Wait Technology Analysis
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: Underway
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 243,744
PROJECT MANAGER: Marisa Walker
PROJECT: PNPOE 02P
REQUESTED ACTION: Project is Underway. Increase the planning project by $\$ 129,522$ to $\$ 373,266$. See funding sources below.
FY 2012 Coordinated Border Infrastructure Program \#79612
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 129,522
\$ 373,266
Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 6.
Dallas Hammit made the motion to approve.
Jennifer Toth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

John Hoang presented Item 7a.
7 a.
ROUTE NO: SR 51 @ MP 7.0 Page 40
COUNTY: Maricopa
DISTRICT: Phoenix Construction
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Northern to Bell
TYPE OF WORK: Sign Replacement
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 11/18/2011
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: John Hoang
PROJECT: H774801C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new project for $\$ 1,100,000$ in the FY 2012 Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the FY 2012 Sign Rehabilitation Fund \#78312. MAG Regional Council approved on October 26, 2011.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 1,100,000

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7a.
Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve.
Dallas Hammit seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Farzana Yasmin presented Items 7b, 7c and 7d.

7 b.
ROUTE NO: SR 51 @ MP 5.7
COUNTY: Maricopa
DISTRICT: Phoenix Construction
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: Bell Road - SR 101L, Pima
TYPE OF WORK: Design FMS
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: Underway
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 220,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Farzana Yasmin
PROJECT: H823901D, Item \#45611
REQUESTED ACTION: Increase the design project by $\$ 52,000$ to \$272,000 in the Highway Construction Program. Change the project name to Glendale to SR 101L. Change Project Length to 9 miles. Funds are available from the RTP Cashflow. MAG Regional Council approved on October 26, 2011.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 272,000

7 c.
COUNTY: Maricopa
Page 43
DISTRICT: Phoenix Construction
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: MAG Regionwide
TYPE OF WORK: Evaluation of the Ramp Meters in the MAG
Region
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: Underway
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 224,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Farzana Yasmin
PROJECT: RH00301X, Item \#45811
REQUESTED ACTION: Increase the evaluation project by \$99,000 to $\$ 323,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the RTP Cashflow. MAG Regional Council approved on October 26, 2011.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

ROUTE NO: I-17 @ MP 209.0
Page 44
COUNTY: Maricopa
DISTRICT: Phoenix Construction
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: Arizona Canal -Loop 101
TYPE OF WORK: Design FMS
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: Underway
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 600,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Farzana Yasmin
PROJECT: H822501D, Item \#40311
REQUESTED ACTION: Decrease the design project by $\$ 151,000$ to $\$ 449,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Project is fully designed and additional funding is not needed. Return funds to the RTP Cash Flow. MAG Regional Council approved on October 26, 2011.

NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 449,000

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7b, 7c and 7d. Dallas Hammit made the motion to approve.
Lisa Danka seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Mafiz Mian presented Item 7e.
7 e.
COUNTY: Maricopa
Page 45
DISTRICT: Phoenix Construction
SCHEDULE: FY 2011
SECTION: Phoenix District Wide
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 525,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Mafiz Mian
PROJECT: H819901C, Item \# 18411
REQUESTED ACTION: Delete the pavement preservation project for $\$ 525,000$ from the FY 2012 Highway Construction Program. Return funds to FY 2012 Preventative Pavement Preservation Fund \#77312. MAG
Regional Council approved on October 26, 2011.

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7e.
Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve.
Lisa Danka seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
After discussion the PRB form will be revised to reflect that Phoenix Maintenance District has already performed the work and the project is no longer needed.

Mafiz Mian presented Item 7f.
7 f.
ROUTE NO: SR 71 @ MP 91.0
Page 46
COUNTY: Yavapai
DISTRICT: Prescott
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Maricopa County Line to Congress Jct.
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 01/03/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Mafiz Mian
PROJECT: H836001C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new pavement preservation project for $\$ 1,300,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the FY 2012 Preventative Pavement Preservation Fund \#77312.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 1,300,000

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7f.
Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve.
Lisa Danka seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Nasreen Hasan presented Item 7g.
7 g.
ROUTE NO: US 89 @ MP 478.0
Page 47
COUNTY: Coconino
DISTRICT: Flagstaff
SCHEDULE: FY 2013
SECTION: Cameron - Hidden Springs
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: To Be Determined
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 3,593,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Nasreen Hasan
PROJECT: H811601C, Item \# 13313
REQUESTED ACTION: Increase the pavement preservation project by $\$ 3,685,000$ to $\$ 7,278,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Change the project name to Moenkopi Wash Hidden Springs. See funding sources below.
FY 2013 Pavement Preservation Fund \#72513
FY 2013 District Minor Fund \#73313
\$ 3,349,000
\$ 336,000
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7g.
Dallas Hammit made the motion to approve.
Jennifer Toth seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Stephen Bach presented Item 7h.

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7h.
Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve.
Dallas Hammit seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

7 i.
Stephanie Wilhardt-Smith presented Item 7i.
ROUTE NO: SR 87 @ MP 250.9
COUNTY: Gila
DISTRICT: Prescott
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Green Valley Parkwy / BIA 101
TYPE OF WORK: Relocate Signal Pole
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 02/01/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Stephanie Wilhardt-Smith
PROJECT: HX17001C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new signal project for $\$ 125,000$ in the Highway Construction Program.
Funds are available from the FY 2012
Traffic Signals Fund \#71212.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

Page 50
\$ 125,000

Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7i.
Dallas Hammit made the motion to approve.
Lisa Danka seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Mehran Salehi presented Item 7j.
7 j.
ROUTE NO: SR 87 @ MP 346.0
Page 51
COUNTY: Navajo
DISTRICT: Holbrook
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: MP 346 to MP 364
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 02/01/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: \$ 7,752,000
PROJECT MANAGER: Mehran Salehi
PROJECT: H765601C, Item \# 13612
REQUESTED ACTION: Increase the pavement preservation project by $\$ 1,548,000$ to $\$ 9,300,000$ in the
Highway Construction Program. Funds are
available from the FY 2012 Pavement
Preservation Fund \#72512.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
\$ 9,300,000

## Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Item 7j. <br> Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve. <br> Dallas Hammit seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

Holly Hawkins presented Items 8a through 8f.
8 a. AIRPORT NAME:
SPONSOR:
AIRPORT CATEGORY:
SCHEDULE:
Phoenix-Mesa Gateway
Page 53

PROJECT \#:
Phoenix-Mesa Gateway Airport Authority
Commercial Service
FY 2012-2016
PROGRAM AMOUNT:
PROJECT MANAGER: Tammy Martelle
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Expand West Terminal Building (MAP), Phase 4
REQUESTED ACTION: Recommend STB approval. FUNDING SOURCES:

| FAA |  | $\$ 1,231,317$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Sponsor |  | $\$ 32,403$ |
| State |  | $\$ 32,403$ |
|  | Total Program | $\$ 1,296,123$ |

Total Program \$1,296,123



Chairman Omer called for a motion to approve Items 8a through 8f. Jennifer Toth made the motion to approve.
Dallas Hammit seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.
9. Next regular scheduled meeting of the Priority Planning Advisory committee (PPAC). Times and dates of meetings could vary and will be announced at the time of agenda distribution.

- November 30, 2011 - 10:00 AM Wed.


## WEB LINKS

Priority Programming http://www.azdot.gov/MPD/Priority_Programming/Index.asp PPAC:
http://www.azdot.gov/MPD/Priority_Programming/PPAC/Index.asp
9. Adjourn Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC) Meeting

## Chairman Omer called for a motion to adjourn the meeting at 10:34 AM. Lisa Danka made the motion to adjourn. <br> Jennifer Toth seconded the motion. Motion carried. <br> Meeting adjourned.

# STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING MINUTES <br> 9:00 a.m. (MST), Friday, October 21, 2011 <br> Beaver Dam Lodge <br> 452 Old Highway 91 North <br> Littlefield, Arizona 86432 

## Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance led by Chairman Feldmeier.

## Record of Board Member Attendance

Attendance noted by Chairman Feldmeier.
Members of the Board in attendance were Bill Feldmeier, Bobbie Lundstrom, Hank Rogers, Steve Christy, Felipe Zubia and Victor Flores via telephone.
Members of the Board absent were Kelly Anderson.

## Opening Remarks

Chairman Feldmeier: Thank you to the Beaver Dam Lodge for hosting the State Transportation Board meeting. Yesterday the Board had the opportunity to tour the Virgin River Gorge and look at the bridges. They enjoyed getting acquainted with the community and enjoyed a good dinner, the hospitality was great. They plan on returning in the future.

## Call to the Audience

The following members of the public addressed the Board:
Jennifer Maples: President, Arizona Airports Association. She thanks the Board for the opportunity to speak. The Arizona Airports Association has been in existence for over 32 years. Their mission is to advocate for airports and aviation within the state. They educate the public on the importance of airports today - the infrastructure for transportation as well as the economic impact they have on the communities. She supports item 10 on the agenda, the State Transportation Aviation Policies. She publicly acknowledges those working for the last 3 years on the policy, within ADOT and their Association. This was a collaborative process and they are grateful to have been a part of it: from Arizona Airports Association - Michael Johnson and Tina Moore with Tucson International Airport, Jeff Trip with Mesa Falcon Field Airport, Bill Harvey with Stantec and Casey Denny with Phoenix/Mesa Gateway Airport; within ADOT - the entire Aeronautics Division has been actively involved in this led by Mike Klein and the leadership of Jennifer Toth has been instrumental. The policies are more flexible and usable and she asks for the support of the Board for this agenda item.

John Salem: Mayor, City of Kingman. Welcome to the Beaver Dam area and on the behalf of the City of Kingman, welcome to Mohave County. He appreciates the relationship the City of Kingman has had with the Board. He also appreciates that the Rancho Santa Fe interchange,
formerly known as Rattlesnake Wash, was on the 5 Year Plan for so long. There is potential for the financing of the City's portion of the interchange. He is an advocate for the Rancho Santa Fe interchange which upon completion, within a 5 to 10 year period would double the number of jobs in the Kingman Airport Industrial Park. The Park is the industrial hub of the county with approximately 2500 full time positions. It is the economic engine that drives the community. He asked the Board to consider placing the interchange back on the 5 Year Plan.

Gary Watson: Supervisor District \#1, Mohave County. He welcomed the Board to Mohave County and provided Chairman Feldmeier a hand out from the Mohave County Board of Supervisors, a Resolution requesting the I-40 Rancho Santa Fe Parkway traffic interchange project be programmed in the Arizona Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program. He pointed out a photograph on the fireplace mantel as Arthur Black, a member of the Arizona Highway Commission in the 1950's and 1960's and on the Commission Board at the time that the bridge on the gorge was constructed. Mr. Black's grandson will speak later to offer some history of the area. Mr. Watson spoke on the importance of the Rancho Santa Fe interchange to Mohave County and ADOT. The TI will decrease traffic on residential streets and provide access to I-40. The TI is pivotal to complete their transportation plan beltway around Kingman.

Jack Kramer: City Manager, City of Kingman. He requests the Board consider placing the Rancho Santa Fe Parkway TI back in the 5 year plan. The property owners have asked to move forward with a P3 program financing tool. The TI will help relieve congestion at the east Kingman TI and on SR66. It will provide a direct link from I-40 to the industrial park. He anticipates the new TI could generate another 2500 jobs. The TI will provide transportation, public safety and economic development to the Kingman area and improve the efficiency of the state transportation system. The City of Kingman, Mohave County and the property owners look forward to working with ADOT staff in implementing the P3 program.

Barbara Ricca: Founding Member and first President of the Kingman Airport Authority, City of Kingman. She wants the Rancho Santa Fe Parkway TI back in the 5 year plan. The property owners, City and County are working together to make the TI a reality.

Chairman Feldmeier: The Board understands the project was pulled off the 5 Year Plan last year because the revenue projections were lower than planned. He asked the Director if they could further the discussion of putting the TI back into the 5 Year Plan in light of the P3 project. You will look at that and report back to the Board. The meeting will continue and when grandson Leo Black arrives they will fit him in.

Leo Black: Spoke before Item 2 below. He is a family member of the Beaver Dam Lodge owner Mike Black and grandson of AZ State Highway Commissioner Arthur Black, Mesquite, AZ. He welcomed the Board to the Lodge and gave the area history. The area is very close to the Old Spanish Trail and has been in use for many years. When the highway was built in the early 1930's, so was the lodge. Hollywood discovered the lodge and many famous people stayed there. Commissioner Arthur Black was most proud of the Burrow Creek Bridge project.

## ITEM 1: District Engineer's Report - Mike Kondelis, Kingman District Engineer and

 Jean Nehme, State Bridge EngineerMike Kondelis, District Engineer briefed the Board on the Kingman District.
Maintenance efforts:

- Just under 3,000 lane miles ranking $3^{\text {rd }}$ among the districts.
- $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}$ allocated to maintenance ranking $6^{\text {th }}$ among the districts.
- Most level 1 incident response call outs in the state, responding to vehicle crashes.
- Pavement degradation and Bridges built in the 1970's require patching until bridge repair project (1 per year, needs are greater than). Very challenged with the resources they have.
Construction efforts:
- 4 current pavement preservation projects on I-40 and US93
- 3 future pavement projects on SR66 and I-40
- 2 bridge projects on I-40

Future Projects - working on design now in the hope there will be construction funds sooner:

- Antelope Wash, FY15 construction
- Cane Springs, pending construction
- Carrow Stephens, FY16 construction

Interchange Projects:

- Rancho Santa Fe Parkway Interchange design complete
- I-40 US93 West Kingman System Interchange environmental analysis in progress SR95 Realignment:
- I-40 to SR68 DCR and EIS on hold to work out Federal funding
- Lake Havasu City area completed the corridor feasibility study, will prepare DCR and Environmental Analysis when funding is available
US93 MP 2-17 Project Awards:
- Arizona Chapter American Public Works Association Award, 2011 Public Works Project of the Year
- 2011 Exemplary Ecosystem Initiative Award, FHA awarded 1 of 12 national awards to ADOT for integrating wildlife connectivity strategies

Jean Nehme, State Bridge Engineer gave a presentation on the I-15 Virgin River Bridges. He briefly discussed the history of the corridor and gave a summary of bridge repair projects that took place during the past two decades. He then showed one slide per bridge describing the current condition of each structure. Examples of deterioration of structural elements were highlighted. A rough estimate for the cost of the rehabilitation of the bridges was given. This estimate will be refined through the upcoming feasibility study that is programmed to be awarded this fiscal year.

Mr. Nehme discussed current ADOT initiatives relative to the I-15 corridor. ADOT increased the bridge inspection frequency and asked the FHWA, Nevada DOT, and Utah DOT to conduct independent evaluations of the eight Virgin River Bridges. The ADOT Director met with his two counterparts from Nevada and Utah. ADOT will arrange a follow up meeting to discuss the results of the bridge evaluations and future steps. In addition, ADOT is planning for a pavement preservation project in the near future that will include minor bridge repairs. ADOT
had submitted an "Expression of Interest" to the FHWA for P3 opportunities and is preparing to submit a TIGER Grant application.

Hank Rogers: If you find the money to do the work how long would it take to complete?
Jean Nehme: From the beginning of construction to the end, estimate 2-3 years.
Bill Feldmeier: It sounds like the heavy truck traffic is causing the major portion of the damage, or the wear and tear. This is a portion of the Interstate that allows triple trailers. It would appear to me that the first thing to be done is get rid of the third trailer and the second is eliminate the truck traffic completely and let them figure out how to get from point A to point B. In the interest of salvaging the bridge life and saving the enormous cost to replace the bridges, because we don't have the money and I don't see on the horizon us coming up with the money to be able to rehab the bridges in the manner you are describing. The simplest thing is to get rid of the overloads and then get rid of the trucks completely.

Jean Nehme: From a technical point of view, that makes a lot of sense. If you stop the truck traffic we won't have the bridges damaged to that extent.

Bill Feldmeier: There we have it, we get rid of the trucks and the problem is solved. They can figure out how they need to get around because we have a money problem and these bridges do need rehab, we see that, and without the money they are not going to get rehabbed.

Hank Rogers: Are the triple trailers allowed anywhere else in the state?
Director Halikowski: No, Sir, they are not. The highway routes - vehicle configurations were frozen by the Federal government in about 1991 or ' 92 . That is the only location we are allowed triples. We could not expand that without the Federal Government changing their rules.

Hank Rogers: What are the possibilities of having vehicles washed down to get all of the salt off before they come into our state? Salt is horrible on concrete.

Director Halikowski: I don't know that one could set up a barrier like that and require folks to wash their vehicles before they enter the state.

Jean Nehme: One factor is that the damage done is not reversible. If we had instituted something like that a few decades back we may have increased the longevity of the decks. At this point because of the advanced deterioration, the decks have to be replaced.

Hank Rogers: When we do fix the decks and do put in concrete bridges what do we do to preserve them? How do we stop that salt from coming through? The trucks accumulate ice that drops off as they enter lower elevation. He thinks this should be addressed for the future as well.

Director Halikowski: We can look at it Mr. Chairman, but anything we do like that would require enforcement. Environmentally, you can't wash a vehicle off and let that salt runoff. You have to have systems to capture that water. There are a number of large issues there. He would say that when the bridges were built in the 1970's you had different ceiling techniques than we have now, to preserve the decks from that kind of erosion.

Jean Nehme: Some of the old design called for lower cover over the steel and the decks themselves were thinner. Now we design the decks thicker and have more cover over the steel, which tends to preserve them. Many states such as Idaho will come back within 5 years, after the cracks due to shrinking take place, to seal the deck. Some even put an overlay on top of the deck sealant to preserve it.

Floyd Roehrich: There are different techniques today to help combat bridge deck deterioration that help to extend the longevity.

Bill Feldmeier: Does the Board need to prepare a resolution to eliminate truck traffic or at least a third trailer?

Director Halikowski: He asked to be allowed to continue to work this out. They are not at the place now where they want to reduce loads. They will keep watching the bridges, keep inspecting them, and keep pursuing the efforts to make the necessary replacements. At this time he won't ask the Board for that resolution, but to allow them to keep working the issue.

Bill Feldmeier: That is fine. Folks need to understand that is a very serious alternative that we need to discuss at the right time and if it needs to be employed then we need to do it.

## ITEM 2: Director's Report - John Halikowski, Director

He thanked Chairman Feldmeier and asked the State Engineer, Jennifer Toth to address Item A) 1. ADOT's Preparation for Dust Storms, during the Item 12, State Engineer's Report.

The only item he had for the Last Minute Items to report on is a follow up on the Chairman's requested Call to Audience items, from the last Board meeting. He asked Floyd Roehrich to assist.

## Ann English: Cochise County Board of Supervisors.

- She asked, from the J-6/Mescal TI burned bridge, there is something that the county and state need to look at - when you have an Interstate running through a community are there any other roads along it that people can utilize to continue doing business.
o ADOT is performing a corridor study/DCR of I10 from I19 in Tucson to SR90 in Benson which includes this area. We will have a public meeting in the spring of 2012 for residents living in northwest Cochise County which includes the J6Mescal communities. District and Regional Traffic met with County staff to discuss that agency's goals of developing local roads with the goal to ensure that ADOT's long-range planning meshes with the County's. This will be a long-term
undertaking but the right people are cooperating and sharing information. The County will be the lead agency regarding new or modified local roads.
- She wants to encourage ADOT to stay involved with the border. Douglas has an entry into Mexico; Naco is the only county road going into a foreign country. The county thinks that the state may want to take Naco Road into the state system. They need to have an enhanced border station and roads leading to it.
o Naco is the only border community in Arizona hosting an international port-ofentry where the port does not have direct access to the state highway system (Naco Road is operated by the County on the southern end and the City of Bisbee on the northern end where it intersects SR92). Cross-border traffic in Naco is on the very low end of the list compared to other Arizona-Mexico POE's and is comprised mostly of lighter vehicles. MPD performed a short study about 8 years ago at the State Transportation Board's request evaluating whether ADOT should take Naco Road or Davis Road into the state system. It was determined at that time that traffic on Naco Road, including POE traffic, tended to be local in nature and therefore the study concluded that it would be inappropriate for the state to take in Naco Road. Traffic conditions have not changed significantly for Naco and ADOT will continue to monitor the traffic until such time as it is reasonable to consider taking this route into the state system.
- From the Cochise County Transportation Department, she received information stating, "Cochise County roads carry more over wide and heavy traffic than any other county in the state". She knows that is one reason ADOT looked at Davis Road because the heavy traffic and wide loads that can't go on the Interstate take Davis Road between McNeil and the area just south of Tombstone.
o Supervisor English touches on a big issue for ADOT, DPS, and the oversize load carrier industry. DPS routinely escorts more Class "C" over-dimension and overweight loads through Cochise County compared to other counties in the state. This is due to the presence of I10 as well as the mining industry in southeast Arizona and northern Sonora (a great deal of Class "C" traffic crosses at the Douglas POE). Many of these oversize loads must leave the state highway system and use Davis Road which is operated by Cochise County because of insufficient bridge capacity or clearance issues on the state system. Davis Road is a narrow, chip seal road; Cochise County has complained about oversize loads damaging their pavement and forcing traffic off of the pavement into adjacent bar ditches where sometimes passenger vehicles will sink in the mud and get stuck. Although ADOT is slowly eliminating these pinch points, progress is slow. Many of the problematic structures on the state system, including interstate traffic interchanges, are not seriously structurally deficient although many are functionally obsolete. When prioritizing bridge projects with the funding available, bridge replacement priority is typically given to the lowest ranking structurally deficient bridges, it may be several years (or decades) before these structures are replaced. The I10 Marsh Station TI was one recent milestone of success but there are others that need to be replaced. Reconstructing the I10-US191 TI (exit 331) into a modern diamond configuration as well as the US191 Cochise UPRR Overpass would offer relief in
this situation; however, there are several other Tl's and bridges on and off the interstate system that remain problematic.


## Floyd Roehrich:

## Drew John: Supervisor, Graham County District 1.

- $8^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge. The project is complete and they hope to get the project closed so the deposit money that Graham County has placed with ADOT will be released. They need this deposit money to help with the operations of Graham County.
o ADOT administered a federal-aid (BR) bridge reconstruction project for Graham County where the bid amount was less than the original estimate. ADOT reimburses local agencies for excess match after a project is complete and fully closed out. The close-out process should take only a couple of months if everything is in order. This project; however, had several punch list and payment reconciliation items that took several months to accomplish. Added to this is the wait to clear any outstanding invoices and for as-builts construction drawings to be completed. In order to expedite our side of the close-out process, District assigned a consultant office manager and temporarily re-assigned its Development TES to help with quantities, materials, etc. ADOT is in the process of updating its Local Government processes and will consider partial reimbursements for apparent over-matches to help alleviate this financial burden on local agencies.


## John Halikowski:

## Terry Hinton: Town Manager, Town of Thatcher.

- Becoming bogged down in the bureaucracy He has a lot of examples to talk about. o On October $5^{\text {th }}$ he met with Mr. Terry Hinton, Thatcher Town Manager, and Mr. David Kincaid, Safford City Manager, to discuss the specific examples of ADOT bureaucracy Mr. Hinton referenced. Many of Mr. Hinton's examples pertain to the necessary regulatory requirements associated with the use of federal-aid funding. For years the local governments used the HURF swap program to trade federal-aid transportation funds to ADOT for state transportation funds. Since ADOT no longer has sufficient HURF funds to support swapping, the local governments have had to develop their transportation projects to full federal requirements, adding additional time and cost. We talked about actions ADOT can take that would improve this process which include; 1) developing a Local Government Process Manual, 2) conduct training associated with the federal-aid process, and 3) coordinate ADOT's process with the federal-aid process to shorten the length of time necessary to deliver a project. ADOT is working on a Local Government Process Manual which should be complete by the end of the year or early next year. When the manual is published, ADOT and the FHWA will schedule training sessions around the state to overview the manual and federal-aid process. We agreed upon a follow-up meeting in early 2012 which would include Graham County and the FHWA.

Floyd Roehrich:
Mike Hemesath: Director of Public Works, City of Sierra Vista.

- SR92, a major state highway that goes right down through the middle of Sierra Vista, is a widening project that went from 5 lanes to a 7 lane section and was at 95\% completion 2 years ago. They would like it to move forward so they may start programming phases of it over the next 10 to 15 years and wrap up the DCR. They will appreciate any help the Board can get them.
o We anticipate the final DCR will be issued towards the end of this calendar year to allow consideration for future programming. The DCR which Mr. Hemesath references contemplates improvements from SR90 Campus Drive to SR92 Glenn Road which is a single urban corridor involving widening the highway to three travel lanes in each direction with a raised median. No disagreements were noted during the DCR's public involvement process regarding the raised medians. More recently, an interim HSIP safety project has been proposed to install a raised median on SR92 from Canyon de Flores to Glenn Road which is a sub-segment of the project limits studied in the DCR. It is important to realize that within the project limits of this safety project, the west side of the highway is inside the City of Sierra Vista jurisdiction and the east side is inside Cochise County jurisdiction. Although the County provided a letter of support for the DCR's scope of work, including the proposed raised median, during the public involvement process of the interim HSIP project the County went on record as being opposed to the raised median. During the last two years, ADOT has met with City and County staff and conducted multiple public meetings to evaluate alternatives or additional work that would satisfy concerns expressed by business owners inside the County jurisdiction about the economic harm that the raised median would cause as well as the traffic problems that would be caused by trucks driving through adjacent neighborhoods. These concerns caused the FHWA and ADOT to put a hold on the DCR until the issues associated with the safety project NEPA process could be concluded. Late last fiscal year, the FHWA indicated that it would be an appropriate use of safety funds to procure additional right-of-way outside of the state highway for local street infrastructure to be designed and constructed. Preliminary design, environmental clearance, and ROW acquisition is still needed for the safety project but recently the FHWA indicated that the concerns voiced by County officials regarding the raised median can be successfully addressed and the DCR can conclude its NEPA process and progress. EPG and the environmental consultant for the corridor DCR are working on finalizing the NEPA documentation which in turn will allow the final DCR to be issued. ADOT will be holding a progress meeting in the next few weeks for both projects to bring the City and County up to speed.


## John Halikowski:

## Kathy Smith: Director, City of Willcox Chamber of Commerce.

- ADOT requires $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in insurance for any event on ADOT right of way. That will jeopardize events in small communities. ADOT needs to know they are hurting small towns by asking for a \$5M policy.
o The Ragnar Relay of 2009 which resulted in the loss of a minor on ADOT Right of Way and multiple other events (such as the Tour De Tucson) resulted in a serious review of ADOT's insurance requirements related to permits. Shortly after
the Ragnar Relay ADOT Risk Management began requesting increased insurance limits associated with permits for Special Events, Encroachment, and Film. The changes that were implemented are an effort to reduce the liability to the agency. The permit insurance requirements needed to be brought up to ADOA standards and also to the insurance industry standards. On May 31, 2011 the State Engineers Office approved the ADM 11-3 Insurance Certificates for Special Events, Encroachment, and Film Permits policy. Per the ADM 11-3 Certificates of Insurance Policy; ADOT reserves the right to require an increase or allow a decrease in insurance requirements. The reason for this provision in the policy is because Special Events are reviewed on a case by case basis. Low traffic volume, low number of participants and many other factors can be used to determine limits. In most cases a $\$ 5$ mill per occurrence limit and a $\$ 5$ mill aggregate limit for General Liability insurance is appropriate and feasible. The actual permit applications are also in the process of being changed as well to reflect these updates.
o In regards to the Willcox Chamber of Commerce and the Magic Circle Benefit Bike Ride, ADOT was able to justify taking lower than $\$ 5$ mill in limits due to low traffic volume, low number of participants, and support from DPS. Other factors also were taken into consideration and Risk Management had also worked with the Maintenance Engineer in the Safford district to confirm that he had no safety concerns. Although ADOT was able to accept lower limits from the Chamber of Commerce; there is no flexibility in regards to having ADOT on their insurance policy as an additional insured and having the waiver of subrogation also applicable. The additional insured status and the waiver of subrogation are crucial elements that make a certificate of insurance beneficial to ADOT. Having the Waiver of Subrogation on the policy is what keeps the insurance company from coming back to the state seeking reimbursement for their losses in the event that they had to pay out on a claim. These requirements are a standard that all permit applicants are held to. ADOA has expressed the importance of these two items and not only does ADOA require them on contracts that they issue, but it is in ADOT's best interest to require them as well. It is important to limit ADOT's liability especially in challenging economic times.


## Floyd Roehrich:

- Can they put their Willcox signs back up at the exits to make it easier for business to get people downtown?
o The interstate signing brackets the community with signing stating "Willcox—Next 3 Exits" and then signing for each exit notes the crossroad names. ADOT's Logo Sign Program may help with additional business recognition and ADOT staff will follow-up with the City and local businesses on their interest in this program.


## Nancy-Jean Welker: President, Community of Bowie Chamber of Commerce and of the Bowie Economic Development Group and member of the Southeast Arizona Rural Chambers Alliance and also of the Southeast Arizona Economic Development Group.

- Short overpasses off of I-10 exit 362. Over size traffic must cut a fence and travel through pistachio fields. It makes it difficult for their area to grow and is a safety issue. The town needs some kind of change to that exchange at exit 362. They are open to anything that the Board can help them with and deeply appreciate it.
o This situation stems from taller height loads being unable to pass under the interstate overpass at Robert's Farm Road between Bowie B10 on the north side of I-10 and the frontage road that runs on the south side of I-10. Occasionally, loads that are higher than $14^{\prime}-6^{\prime \prime}$ must pull off the shoulder on the eastbound I-10 exit ramp, arrange to cut the interstate control-of-access fence, and then mend the fence once the load is on the south frontage road. Although this does not happen very often it usually occurs without ADOT knowing about it. The Safford District has inquired before with the FHWA whether we could be allowed to install a gate at this location and have the local ADOT maintenance office keep the key then open the gate by appointment. The FHWA was very reluctant to consider this unusual arrangement, since interstate highways are fully access controlled. However, the truth is that unauthorized fence cutting and crossings occur albeit maybe a few times a year. He would be willing to have maintenance install a gate and cattle guard (in case the gate is left open) then have the same maintenance office keep the key. We can then post a sign with a phone number for appointments. This is an issue about which the State Engineer's Office will visit with FHWA Arizona Division staff to determine a resolution. The ultimate answer is to reconstruct the west Bowie interchange into a modern diamond TI to provide better access to the frontage road; however, this will be many years from now as the TI overpass (different than the Robert's Farm Road overpass) does not have serious structural deficiencies or functional obsolescence to be a priority project.


## John Halikowski:

## ITEM 3: Tangerine Road

In 1986 the Transportation Board Resolution - Tangerine Road Highway, then called SR99, was established as the location for a future state highway. A corridor study and final corridor plan was completed in 1988. The study recommended 4 to 6 lanes with limited access. Tangerine Road is an east - west arterial road, 10 miles in length that connects $\mathrm{I}-10$ with Oracle Road and travels through the town of Oro Valley, Marana and Pima County; with approximately 2 miles controlled by ADOT.
In 2006 the Regional Transportation Authority Plan and Pima Association of Governments Regional Transportation Plan designated Tangerine Road as a 4 lane divided desert parkway. Earlier this year the Region initiated a corridor study to determine the regional vision for Tangerine Road. Over the past few months we have talked with various stakeholders in the region about the issue. The towns of Oro Valley and Marana prefer that Tangerine Road remain a local road and Pima County has not expressed an opinion about retaining it as a
state route. They have contacted the staff at PAG and their position is they prefer to keep all the state planning routes in the system for now. They have initiated conversation internally and with PAG to develop a long term evaluation of the routes and will eventually come back to ADOT with a recommendation.
The Board had action on Tangerine Road last month that dealt with a permit issue, which is moving along. He feels the jurisdictions within the region should work together to establish the vision they want for the route and recommends no action by the Transportation Board be taken on Tangerine Road. The Department will continue to work with the Region on this issue and when consensus is reached, will bring a recommendation back to the Board for action.

Bill Feldmeier: Steve, do you have any comments?
Steve Christy: He wants to thank the Director and staff for their analysis of the situation upon the request he and stakeholders in the area had made. This has been a learning process on the permitting process and he supports taking no action at this time.

## ITEM 4: Consent Agenda

Motion to approve Consent Agenda made by Steve Christy and a second by Bobbie Lundstrom, in a voice vote, motion carries.

## ITEM 5: Financial Report - John McGee

John McGee addressed agenda items 5-9 for Mr. Fink, who had begun a phase-out of his activities as CFO as he nears his official retirement date of November 30. Given the length of the agenda items, he covered the monthly financial report in an abbreviated manner.

September HURF results were 1.8\% above last year's results, but .5\% below forecast. Year-to-date results are about .3\% above last year, but .3\% below forecast. Trends by category continue to be as seen for the past several months, with continuing weakness in Gas Tax and VLT, and continuing strength in Motor Carrier Taxes and Registration.

The RARF results were through August, September results were not available. August collections were $5.4 \%$ above last year, but $.5 \%$ below the estimate. Year-to-date results show an increase of $7.1 \%$ over last year, but .3\% below the forecast.
Again, category trends continue as seen recently, with slight growth in Retail Sales and Utilities, and continuing deterioration in Contracting collections.

The investment report through September showed earnings of about $\$ 2.4$ million this year at an average yield of just under $1 \%$.

The current balance in the Department's HELP fund account stands at $\$ 74.4$ million.

## ITEM 6: Financing Program - John McGee

## RARF Bond Issue

Mr. McGee was happy to report that the Department successfully closed on October $13^{\text {th }}$ its Transportation Excise Tax (RARF) Revenue Bond, 2011 Series bond sale.
The sale took place on Tuesday, September $27^{\text {th }}$ as a competitive sale. The bonds were issued as Senior Lien bonds to take advantage of market conditions and their very strong ratings of Aa1 by Moody's and AA+ by Stand and Poor's.
Eight Broker-dealers submitted bids. The bids ranged from a low bid of $2.3205 \%$ by Bank of America Merrill Lynch (the winning bid) to a high of 2.7888\%.
Given the average life of the issue of 7.867 years, this represents the lowest borrowing cost that the Department has ever achieved for RARF bonds of a similar average life.
Because the bonds were sold at a premium, the sale netted ADOT proceeds of approximately $\$ 179.9$ million. It was a very productive sale.
He asked if there were any questions on the RARF sale.

## HURF Bond Sale

Mr. McGee briefed the Board on the upcoming Subordinated Highway Revenue Bond, Series 2011 Issue.

ADOT is actively working on this issue. As currently structured, the 2011 HURF bond issue will probably be the largest, most complex issue the Board has ever done. There are a number of challenges in bringing this issue to market.

1. This is a critical issue for the Department in that the approximately $\$ 100$ million of new money that we plan to raise will be crucial for matching federal aid requirements over the next $2-3$ years.
2. In order to generate $\$ 100$ million of new money capacity, we will have to re-structure a significant amount of outstanding bonds - possibly as much as \$400-\$500 million. This restructuring can be done in such a way, in today's current interest rate environment, so as to produce some level of net present value savings.
3. Both Moody's and Standard and Poor's have changed the ratings on these bonds as a result of legislative actions that were taken last session that had the effect of reducing the level of pledged revenues flowing into the State Highway Fund. The bonds have been rated Aa2 by Moody's and AA+ by S\&P. While lower than their previous ratings of Aa1 and AAA, these are still very high ratings, particularly for a subordinate credit.
4. We face a significant time constraint in bringing this issue to market. In order to issue this debt, the Department must meet a $3 X$ additional bonds coverage test over the past 12 month period. Unfortunately, due to the recent legislative actions, we would not be able to show this level of coverage after the month of November, unless we were to do a much more substantial restructuring of the Board's outstanding debt, which would be very costly. Therefore, we are under a significant time constraint to price and close this issue.
5. Finally, the structuring of this bond issue is quite complex, as we try to optimize NPV savings on the refunded bonds with somewhat lower overall debt service over the next couple of years in order to maximize cash, while at the same time trying to minimize the
degree of restructuring that must be done, and doing all of this in a constantly changing interest rate environment.

Included in the Board packet of information was the current financing timetable. In order to price and close this issue in November, the Board needed to take two actions today. Those actions were brought to the Board under Agenda Items \#7 and \#8. Barring any significant market events, we plan to price this issue the week of November $14^{\text {th }}$ and close it the week of November $29^{\text {th }}$. Any slippage in this schedule most likely could not be accommodated.

He asked if there were any questions.
Bobbie Lundstrom: What does it mean to say we have an AAA rating that is negative?
John McGee: The rating companies state an "outlook", either a stable outlook or a negative outlook. A stable outlook means they are very comfortable with the current rating. A negative outlook says they have rated it at this level but there are some things they will keep watch on. The S\&P rating came out with a negative outlook for both the Senior Lien bonds, which they kept at AAA and the Subordinated Lien bonds which they lowered from AAA to AA+, which says they will keep watch on future events that may necessitate future changes.
The rating outlook can play into the pricing. One would prefer to have a stable outlook rather than a negative outlook.

Felipe Zubia: He thanked John McGee for the financial report and for finalizing the bond issue. He asked if the Legislature knows of the technicalities of the issue and the hoops ADOT must jump through to make this a successful issue.

John McGee: Mr. Fink and Mr. Bogart have had several conversations with the Governor's Office of Strategic Planning and Budget. They are very aware of what happened and the impact it has had on ADOT's ratings and the impact it has had on the overall amount of HURF bonds the Board can sell between now and 2016. We have been told that not only do they understand it, but they are looking at ways to address it in the next Legislative session. We are hopeful that some change in the flow of funds from HURF into the State Highway Fund will be made during the next Legislative Session.

Felipe Zubia: Even if they do fix it, you have to wait for that ripple to work its way through the system because it goes to the last 12 months, right?

John McGee: That is true, depending upon the fix. There is a fix being contemplated which could significantly accelerate that kind of ripple. If they just go back to where we were before, you are exactly right; we will hit a low point around June/July 2012 in terms of what the overall coverage is and then take awhile to build that back up on a month by month basis. There are some other options being looked at that could accelerate that.

ITEM 7: Adoption of Authorizing Resolution, Subordinated Highway Revenue Bonds, 2011 Series - John McGee

John McGee presented a request that the Board approve the 2011 Subordinated Highway Revenue Bond Resolution, supplementing and amending the 1991 Subordinated Resolution Adopted September 27, 1991, and authorizing the issuance of not to exceed $\$ 600,000,000$ aggregate of Subordinated Highway Revenue Bonds.
This resolution was included in the Board agenda packet. Also included was the cover page in the FMS packet. The resolution requires, under Section \#7, that a copy of the Preliminary Official Statement, Escrow Agreement and Continuing Disclosure Resolution be available at this meeting and on file with the Secretary of the Board. I have made those documents available with Ms. Alberty. In addition, each of you was emailed a copy of the Preliminary Official Statement for your information and review.
He pointed out that this resolution is similar, in all material respects, to past Subordinated Highway Revenue Bond resolutions approved for prior issues, other than that one possibility under consideration by ADOT is issuing a series of the 2011 HURF Bonds on a federally taxable basis. However that decision will be made by the Director or Assistant Director at the time of the pricing and sale.
John McGee recommended approval of the Resolution.
Bobbie Lundstrom: What is the Bond interest rate?
John McGee: The rate on each maturity of bonds carries it own negotiated rate, determined on the day of pricing.

Motion to approve the Resolution made by Steve Christy and a second by Felipe Zubia, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

## ITEM 8: Appointment of Underwriters, Subordinated Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2011 - John McGee

John McGee presented a Resolution to appoint a syndicate of managing underwriters so that the Series 2011 Subordinated Highway Revenue Bond issue could be priced and sold on a negotiated basis. Due to the size and complexity of the issue, we believe that it is in the best interests of the Board that this issue be priced as a negotiated sale. Included in your packet, and made available to you previously, is our recommended makeup of the syndicate. That recommendation is as follows:

## RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION BOARD APPOINTING MANAGING UNDERWRITERS FOR ITS PLANNED ISSUANCE OF SUBORDINATED HIGHWAY REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2011.

The Board hereby appoints the following firms to act as managing underwriters in connection with its planned issuance of Subordinated Highway Revenue Bonds, Series 2011.

Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner \& Smith Incorporated 40\% assigned liability Barclays Capital Inc.

15\% assigned liability

Ramirez \& Co, Inc.
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.
J.P. Morgan Securities LLC

Morgan Stanley \& Co. Incorporated
Piper Jaffray \& Co.
Stifel, Nicolaus \& Company, Inc./Stone \& Youngberg Wells Fargo Bank

15\% assigned liability
5\% assigned liability
5\% assigned liability
$5 \%$ assigned liability
5\% assigned liability
5\% assigned liability
5\% assigned liability

The Board reserves the right to make changes in the management team designated above, if it is deemed to be in the best interests of the state.

Dated this $21^{\text {st }}$ day of October, 2011

State of Arizona Transportation Board

Every firm that we have any recent experience with from the State's Underwriting pool was included in this deal. In addition, we have taken the somewhat unusual step of recommending that the Board appoint three Co-Senior Managers for this deal. This was done for a couple of specific reasons. First, because of the size and complexity of the deal, we thought it would be advisable to have multiple senior managers focused on the transaction, and giving us their market insights. Second, we believe each senior-manager firm brings certain skills and talents to the mix that will enable the Department to price this issue to our best advantage. John McGee recommended approval of the Resolution.

Motion to approve the Resolution made by Felipe Zubia and a second by Hank Rogers, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

## ITEM 9: Budget Update - John McGee

Item held for the next scheduled Board meeting; Study Session on November 1st.

## ITEM 10: Multimodal Planning Division Report - Scott Omer

1. Update on the Intercity Rail Study - They are at the project scoping phase where they establish the purpose and need of the project. They have received press on television, radio and print throughout Phoenix and Tucson and attended open houses, street fairs and visited college campuses. They received over 1,200 online comment forms and 100 mailed comment forms. Reception has been very favorable. The comment period ended November $15^{\text {th }}$.
2. New Aeronautics Board Policy - They have worked on this the last 3 years. The State Transportation Board approved the last policy in 2003. The policy update created two documents, The Board Policy to be approved today and the ADOT Airport Development Guidelines discussed with each Board member.
o The policy makes 7 general statements:

- Established overall policy of a safe system of airports in the state
- Characterizes the Airport System into five categories or "Roles"
- Commercial Aviation
- Reliever Airport
- General Aviation - Community, Rural and Basic
- Provides for 5 prioritized programs dedicated to airport development
- Federal and State Airport Development Grant Program
- State and Local Airport Development Grant Program
- Airport Pavement Management System
- State System Planning and Service Agreements
- Airport Loan Program
- Describes resource (Aviation Fund) allocation to go to airport with largest amount of aviation activity
- Establishes that project priorities will be done according to ADOT's Airport Development Guidelines
- Ensures the Aviation Fund's dollars are maximized by taking full advantage of available federal funding
- Supports collaborative efforts with federal agencies to establish a "Best Practices" to Airport Development in Arizona

He thanked the airport industry represented by the Arizona Airport Association. They have been engaged from the very beginning in supporting this policy. Scott Omer recommended approval of the Aeronautics Board Policy.

Motion to approve the Aeronautics Board Policy made by Hank Rogers and a second by Bobbie Lundstrom, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

## ITEM 11: Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC) - Scott Omer

11a concerns establishing a new Safe Routes to School project.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11a.
Motion to approve Items 11a made by Steve Christy and a second by Felipe Zubia, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

11b concerns a utility relocation on SR303.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11b.
Motion to approve Items 11b made by Bobbie Lundstrom and a second by Hank Rogers, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

11c - e concerns project deferrals to FY12.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11c - e.
Motion to approve Items 11c - e made by Steve Christy and a second by Hank Rogers, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

11f - h concerns various design and construction projects.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11 f - h .
Motion to approve Items 11f - h made by Steve Christy and a second by Bobbie Lundstrom, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

11i concerns the Airport Pavement Management program.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11 i .
Motion to approve Items $11 i$ made by Bobbie Lundstrom and a second by Hank Rogers, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

11j - ii concerns various Airport programming projects.
Scott Omer recommended the approval of items 11j - ii.
Motion to approve Items 11j - ii made by Hank Rogers and a second by Steve Christy, in a voice vote, motion carries. Note: Victor Flores did not participate in the vote.

## ITEM 12: State Engineer's Report - Jennifer Toth

1. From Item A) 1. ADOT's Preparation for Dust Storms.

- Dust storms are a challenge because the often occur with little or no warning especially in the region along l-10 between Tucson and Phoenix and along I-40. If ADOT receives advance notice, including an advisory or warning posted by the National Weather Service, ADOT uses the system of overhead message boards to advise drivers of blowing dust or limited visibility ahead.
- ADOT works with stakeholders, including the federal government, on the issue of reduced visibility created by dust storms. Solutions to the problem are difficult, especially when there are large pockets of undisturbed dry land with little vegetation, cultivated farm land with dirt that is loosened between growing seasons, and dry river beds and washes, stretching for miles on either side of highways such as l-10.
- Other technology holds some promise for the future. ADOT received in 2008 a Rural Safety Innovation Grant. We are implementing and testing a Dust Storm Warning System along l-10 east of Willcox. It is an expansion of our Roadway Weather Information Stations. When operational, this system includes weather monitoring stations, closed circuit cameras, warning signs with flashing lights as well as overhead message boards. Designed to detect weather changes such as increasing wind speed or reduced visibility along a 20 mile stretch of I-10 between Bowie and San Simon. We will continue to monitor that as it progresses through the grant application phase.

Steve Christy: This has been a significant set of situations along I-10 in my district that has received a great deal of scrutiny from the media and citizens. He appreciates the attention that ADOT is giving it. We understand that a dust storm is so unmanageable and unpredictable because it is an act of nature. But, we do have a resource of a very respected and long time
investigative journalist in Tucson who contacted him regarding this. One resource we do have is the University of Arizona - the Department of Atmospheric Sciences can very accurately and finitely predict through environmental variables when a dust storm will develop and occur. He will give Ms. Toth the name and telephone number of the professor who heads up that department.
He requested ADOT or DPS coordinate with the weather scientist to warn motorists and keep him informed.

## Jennifer Toth:

2. The American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC) gave out their Engineering Excellence Awards on October 15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$. 7 ADOT projects were recognized:

The Judges Choice Award - US93 Hoover Dam to MP17, AMEC was the designer
Grand Award winners-
SR179 Oak Creek Village to Sedona, AECOM
SR88 Fish Creek Hill on the Apache Trail, Kimley Horn Associates
Loop 202 Red Mountain Freeway, URS
Honor Award winners-
Loop 303 Happy Valley to Lake Pleasant, AZTEC Loop 303 TI's, Cactus, Waddell and Bell Roads, Kimley Horn Associates $1-8$ \& $16^{\text {th }}$ Street widening, Parsons Brinckerhoff
We appreciate and celebrate those awards with the consultants.
3. Jennifer Toth reported that there are 132 projects under construction valued at $\$ 934 \mathrm{M}$ contract value. 11 projects finalized in September, valued at $\$ 32.7 \mathrm{M}$ and fiscal year to date has seen 30 projects finalized.

## ITEM 13: Construction Contracts - Jennifer Toth

Jennifer Toth noted the protest by Combs Construction Co. was withdrawn and recommended the approval of Contract Item 13a.
Motion to approve Item 13a, made by Hank Rogers and a second by Steve Christy, in a voice vote, motion carries.

Jennifer Toth recommended the approval of Contract Item 13b.
Motion to approve Item 13b, made by Bobbie Lundstrom and a second by Steve Christy, in a voice vote, motion carries.

Jennifer Toth recommended the approval of Contract Item 13c.
Motion to approve Item 13c made by Felipe Zubia and a second by Victor Flores, in a voice vote, motion carries.

## ITEM 14: Public Private Partnership (P3) Update - John McGee

Item held for the next scheduled Board meeting; Study Session on November 1st.

ITEM 15: Letter of Response - National Park Service's Draft Environmental Impact Statement - Bobbie Lundstrom

Member Lundstrom presented a letter of response to the National Park Service on a Draft Environmental Impact Statement regarding flight noise in the Grand Canyon.
Bobbie Lundstrom recommended the approval of the Letter of Response.
Motion to approve the Letter of Response made by Steve Christy and a second by Bobbie Lundstrom, in a voice vote, motion carries.

## ITEM 16: Comments and Suggestions

Steve Christy: He would like an update on dust control for l-10.
Hank Rogers: He would like the rest areas back on the agenda.
Bill Feldmeier: The rest areas will be covered at the Study Session.
Adjournment: the next scheduled Board meeting will be a Study Session on November 1, 2011 in Phoenix, AZ. The next regular meeting will occur on November 18, 2011 in Florence, AZ.
The meeting was adjourned at 11:15 am.

[^0]
# STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES 

10:00 a.m. (MST), Tuesday, December 6, 2011
ADOT - Executive Conference Room \#141 206 S. 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave.
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

## Record of Board Member Attendance

Attendance noted by Board Member Flores.
Members of the Board in attendance were Victor Flores with Bill Feldmeier, Steve Christy and Felipe Zubia via telephone.

Opening Remarks
Victor Flores: Thank you to all who came in and called into the meeting.

## Call to the Audience

There were no requests.

## ITEM 1: Construction Contract

Jennifer Toth recommended the approval of Contract Item 1a.
Motion to approve Item 1a, made by Steve Christy and a second by Victor Flores, in a voice vote, motion carries.

## ITEM 2: Comments and Suggestions

There were none.

Adjournment: The next regular meeting will occur on December 16, 2011 in Prescott, AZ. The meeting was adjourned at 10:05 am.

Victor Flores, Acting Chairman
State Transportation Board
Floyd Roehrich, Deputy Director for Policy
Arizona Department of Transportation

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-072 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | 010MA151H744101R |
| HIGHWAY: | PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE |
| SECTION: | Salt River - Baseline Rd. |
| ROUTE NO.: | Interstate Route 10 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Phoenix |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa |
| PARCEL: | $7-10366,7-10657 \& 7-10716$ |

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION BOARD:
The Intermodal Transportation Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment, approval and adoption of a State Route Plan for the Phoenix - Casa Grande Highway, and the early acquisition of land within the above referenced project.

This project is included in the Department's Five Year Construction Program.

The Department has determined that early acquisition should commence in order to provide an orderly acquisition and relocation program for the property owners \& tenants of Parcel No.'s 7-10366, $7-10657$ \& $7-10716$, as each respective parcel may require. Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes 28-7094, it has been determined that a reasonable need exists for this land.

The area of establishment, the location of the State Route plan, and the land to be acquired by early acquisition are depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "Right of Way Plans, dated October 18, 2011, PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE Highway, Project 010MA151H744101R".

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-072 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | 010MA151H744101R |
| HIGHWAY: | PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE |
| SECTION: | Salt River-Baseline Rd. |
| ROUTE NO.: | Interstate Route 10 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Phoenix |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa |
| PARCEL: | $7-10366,7-10657 \& 7-10716$ |

Accordingly, $I$ recommend that the parcels of Land depicted in Appendix "A" be established as an access controlled state route and designated Interstate Route 10. I further recommend the parcels of land depicted in Appendix "A" be approved and adopted as a State Route Plan for the PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE HIGHWAY and that the early acquisition of parcels is authorized.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the area of right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established and improved as a state route and that access be controlled, and that the area of right of way shall be established as a state highway prior to construction.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 SOUTH 17TH AVENUE
R/W Operations, MD 612E
PHOENIX, AZ 85007-3213

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-072 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | O10MA151H744101R |
| HIGHWAY: | PHOENIX-CASA GRANDE |
| SECTION: | Salt River - Baseline Rd. |
| ROUTE NO.: | Interstate Route 10 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Phoenix |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa |
| PARCEL: | $7-10366,7-10657 \& 7-10716$ |

## RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director, Arizona Department of Transportation, on December 16, 2011, presented and filed with this Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment, approval and adoption of a State Route Plan for the Phoenix - Casa Grande Highway and the early acquisition of land as set forth in the above referenced project.

The area of establishment, the location of the State Route plan and the land to be acquired by early acquisition for Parcel No.'s $7-10366,7-10657$ \& $7-10716$ is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "Right of Way Plans, dated October 18, 2011, PHOENIX CASA GRANDE Highway, Project 010MA151H744101R".

WHEREAS early acquisition will provide for an orderly acquisition and relocation program for the property owner and tenants, as each respective parcel may require; and

WHEREAS it has been determined that a reasonable need exists for the above parcels; and

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-072 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | 010MA151H744101R |
| HIGHWAY: | PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE |
| SECTION: | Salt River - Baseline Rd. |
| ROUTE NO.: | Interstate Route 10 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Phoenix |
| COUNTY: | Maricopa |
| PARCEL: | $7-10366,7-10657 \& 7-10716$ |

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment and acquisition of the new right of way needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the early acquisition parcels of right of way as set forth in Appendix "A" are hereby designated a controlled access state route and that the new right of way shall be established as a state highway prior to construction, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as indicated by the maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access control, exchanges, donations and material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

December 16, 2011

```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-072
PROJECT: 010MA151H744101R
HIGHWAY: PHOENIX - CASA GRANDE
SECTION: Salt River - Baseline Rd.
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
ENG. DIST.: Phoenix
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCEL: 7-10366, 7-10657 & 7-10716
```


## CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Transportation Board made in official session on December 16, 2011.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Transportation Board on December 16, 2011.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director Arizona Department of Transportation




December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | $2011-12-A-073$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | $077-\mathrm{B}(206)$ A / 077NA357H7667 |
| HIGHWAY: | SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK |
| SECTION: | Taylor City Limits - Snowflake |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 77 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Globe |
| COUNTY: | Navajo |

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION BOARD:
The Intermodal Transportation Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of State Route 77 within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state highway in the Official Minutes of the Arizona State Highway Commission by Resolution 64-81 dated December 1, 1964; thereafter, Arizona Transportation Board Resolution $86-05-A-35$, dated, May 16 , 1986, established additional right of way as a state route and state highway for roadway improvements thereof.

The existing Town of Snowflake right of way is needed to facilitate the construction of pavement improvements. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish the existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The existing Town of Snowflake right of way to be established as a state route and state highway for necessary improvements is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled " 30\% Design Plans, dated October 7, 2011, SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK Highway."

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | $2011-12-\mathrm{A}-073$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | $077-\mathrm{B}(206)$ A / 077NA357H7667 |
| HIGHWAY: | SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK |
| SECTION: | Taylor City Limits - Snowflake |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 77 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Globe |
| COUNTY: | Navajo |

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the existing Town of Snowflake right of way be established as depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway.

I recommend the establishing of the new right of way pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvement.

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a state route and state highway which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This Resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 SOUTH 17TH AVENUE
R/W Operations, MD 612E
PHOENIX, AZ 85007-3213

December 16, 2011

RES. NO. 2011-12-A-073
PROJECT: 077-B (206) A / 077NA357H7667
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor City Limits - Snowflake
ROUTE NO.: State Route 77
ENG. DIST.: Globe
COUNTY: Navajo

## RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director, Arizona Department of Transportation, on December 16, 2011, presented and filed with this Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section $28-7046$, recommending the establishment of the existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of State Route 77 as set forth in the above referenced project.

The existing Town of Snowflake right of way to be established as a state route and state highway, and needed for this improvement is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled " $30 \%$ Design Plans, dated October 7, 2011, SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK Highway."

WHEREAS establishment of the existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway is necessary for this improvement, and includes authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include haul roads and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment of the existing Town of Snowflake right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement; and

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | $2011-12-\mathrm{A}-073$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | $077-\mathrm{B}(206)$ A / 077NA357H7667 |
| HIGHWAY: | SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK |
| SECTION: | Taylor City Limits - Snowflake |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 77 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Globe |
| COUNTY: | Navajo |

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways as delineated on said maps and plans are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this Resolution action and that no further conveying document is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the existing Town of Snowflake right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statute 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; be it further

```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-073
PROJECT: 077-B (206) A / 077NA357H7667
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor City Limits - Snowflake
ROUTE NO.: State Route }7
ENG. DIST.: Globe
COUNTY: Navajo
```

RESOLVED that the Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated; With the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

December 16, 2011

```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-073
PROJECT: 077-B (206) A / 077NA357H7667
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW - HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor City Limits - Snowflake
ROUTE NO.: State Route 77
ENG. DIST.: Globe
COUNTY: Navajo
```


## CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Transportation Board made in official session on December 16, 2011.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Transportation Board on December 16, 2011.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director Arizona Department of Transportation




RES. NO. 2011-12-A-074
PROJECT: STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713
HIGHWAY: TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS
SECTION: Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension
ROUTE NO.: U.S. 160
ENG. DIST.: Holbrook
COUNTY: Apache

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION BOARD:
The Intermodal Transportation Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment and improvement of a portion of U.S. 160 within the above referenced project.

This portion was previously established as a state route by Arizona Highway Commission Resolution dated July 21, 1959, page 356 of the Official Minutes; Resolution 62-10 dated July 16, 1962, established U.S. 160 as a state highway.

This project involves improvements in and around the existing right of way. A temporary construction easement outside of the existing right of way is now needed for construction access. Accordingly, it is now necessary to establish and acquire the temporary construction easement needed.

The area of the temporary construction easement required for the improvement are depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "100\% Design Plans, TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS Highway, dated August 11, 2011".

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the temporary construction easement depicted in Appendix "A" be acquired in order to improve this portion of U.S. 160.

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | $2011-12-A-074$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713 |
| HIGHWAY: | TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS |
| SECTION: | Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension |
| ROUTE NO.: | U.S. 160 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Holbrook |
| COUNTY: | Apache |

I further recommend the acquisition of material for construction, haul roads and various easements necessary for or incidental to the improvements.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation

RES. NO. 2011-12-A-074
PROJECT: STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713
HIGHWAY: TUBA CITY -- FOUR CORNERS
SECTION: Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension
ROUTE NO.: U.S. 160
ENG. DIST.: Holbrook
COUNTY: Apache

## RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director, Arizona Department of Transportation, on December 16, 2011 presented and filed with this Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment of the temporary construction easement necessary for the improvement of U.S. 160.

The area of the temporary construction easement required for this improvement are depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "100\% Design Plans, TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS Highway, dated August 11, 2011".

WHEREAS a temporary construction easement is needed beyond the existing right of way for construction access; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds that public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended improvement of said highway; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made a part of this resolution; be it further

December 16, 2011

```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-074
PROJECT: STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713
HIGHWAY: TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS
SECTION: Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension
ROUTE NO.: U.S. 160
ENG. DIST.: Holbrook
COUNTY: Apache
```

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means including condemnation authority, in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7092, temporary construction easement or such other interest as is required, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director compensate the necessary parties for the Temporary Construction Easements to be acquired. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-074 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | STP 160-B (203) A / 160AP455H7713 |
| HIGHWAY: | TUBA CITY - FOUR CORNERS |
| SECTION: | Anido Wash Box Culvert Extension |
| ROUTE NO.: | U.S. 160 |
| ENG. DIST.: | Holbrook |
| COUNTY: | Apache |

CERTIFICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Transportation Board made in official session on December 16, 2011.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Transportation Board on December 16, 2011.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director Arizona Department of Transportation



```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-075
PROJECT: 089AYV402.6H5551
HIGHWAY: PRESCOTT - ELAGSTAFE
SECTION: Jct.I-40 - Flagstaff (McDonald's)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 89A
ENG. DIST.: Flagstaff
COUNTY: Coconino
PARCEL NO.: 3-1640
```


## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA TRANSPORTATION BOARD:
The Intermodal Transportation Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment and improvement of a portion of State Route 89A within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state route in the Official Minutes of the Arizona Highway Commission Resolution dated May 23, 24, \& 25, 1934, page 625, designated State Route 79; Resolution dated June 18, 1934, page 692, established State Route 79 as a state highway; thereafter, Arizona Department of Transportation Board Resolution 93-02-A-08, dated March 19, 1993, renumbered and redesignated as State Route 89A.

A developer under an ADOT permit has recently constructed turn lanes, which includes gutters, curbs and sidewalks, located at 1401 S. Milton Rd., Flagstaff, Arizona. The new right of way to be donated has been inspected and approved by the ADOT Flagstaff District, and it is now necessary to establish the donated right of way improvements as a state route and state highway. Accordingly, it is necessary to establish and acquire the donated right of way for this project.

The donated right of way to be established as a state route and state highway is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "Right of Way Plans, PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF Highway, Project 089AYV402.6H5551."

December 16, 2011

```
RES. NO. 2011-12-A-075
PROJECT: 089AYV402.6H5551
HIGHWAY: PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF
SECTION: Jct.I-40 - Flagstaff (McDonald's)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 89A
ENG. DIST.: Flagstaff
COUNTY: Coconino
PARCEL NO.: 3-1640
```

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the donated right of way depicted in Appendix "A" be established as a state route and state highway.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

```
Respectfully submitted,
```

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director Arizona Department of Transportation

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
205 SOUTH 17TH AVENUE
R/W Operations, MD 612E
PHOENIX, AZ 85007-3213

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | $2011-12-A-075$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | $089 A Y V 402.6 \mathrm{H} 5551$ |
| HIGHWAY: | PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFE |
| SECTION: | JCt.I-40-Flagstaff (MCDonald's) |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 89A |
| ENG. DIST.: | Flagstaff |
| COUNTY: | Coconino |
| PARCEL NO.: | $3-1640$ |

## RESOLUTION OF ESTABLTSHMENT

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director, Arizona Department of Transportation, on December 16, 2011, presented and filed with this Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes Section 28-7046, recommending the establishment of donated right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of state Route 89 A as set forth in the above referenced project.

The donated right of way to be established is depicted in Appendix "A" and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Intermodal Transportation Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled "Right of Way Plans, PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF Highway, Project 089AYV402.6H5551."

WHEREAS a developer under an ADOT permit has recently constructed turn lanes, which includes gutters, curbs and sidewalks, located at 1401 S. Milton Rd., Flagstaff, Arizona. The new right of way to be donated has been inspected and approved by the ADOT Flagstaff District, and it is now necessary to establish the donated right of way improvements as a state route and state highway; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended establishment of the donated right of way needed for this improvement; therefore, be it

December 16, 2011

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-075 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | 089AYV402.6H5551 |
| HIGHWAY: | PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF |
| SECTION: | Jct.I-40-Flagstaff (MCDonald's) |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 89A |
| ENG. DIST.: | Flagstaff |
| COUNTY: | Coconino |
| PARCEL NO.: | $3-1640$ |

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the donated right of way depicted in Appendix "A" is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, city or town roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that the Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes Sections 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required.

| RES. NO. | 2011-12-A-075 |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJECT: | $089 A Y V 402.6 \mathrm{H} 5551$ |
| HIGHWAY: | PRESCOTT-ELAGSTAFE |
| SECTION: | JCt.I-40-Flagstaff (MCDonald's) |
| ROUTE NO.: | State Route 89A |
| ENG. DIST.: | Flagstaff |
| COUNTY: | Coconino |
| PARCEL NO.: | $3-1640$ |

## CERTIEICATION

I, JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Transportation Board made in official session on December 16, 2011.

IN WITNESS WHEREOE, I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Transportation Board on December 16, 2011.

JOHN S. HALIKOWSKI, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation


# DESCRIPTION <br> ADOT RIGHT OF WAY DEDICATION <br> AT 1401 S. MILTON ROAD, <br> FLAGSTAFF, AZ 86001 

A portion of Parcel No. 2 as described in that certain Lot Combination recorded in document 3593476, Official Records of Coconino County, Arizona, Iying within the Southwest quarter of Section 21, Township 21 North, Range 7 East of the Gila and Salt River, Coconino County, Arizona, and being more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the West quarter corner of said Section 21 marked by a railroad rail marked with an " $X$ ";
THENCE South 63 degrees 11 minutes 53 seconds East 1246.42 feet to a point on the North line of said Parcel No. 2 marked by an aluminum cap stamped "ARENCO";
THENCE North 88 degrees 33 minutes 45 seconds East 250.58 feet along the North line of said Parcel No. 2 to the existing West right of way line of U.S. Highway 89-A;
THENCE South 01 degree 31 minutes 37 seconds East 17.00 feet along said existing West right of way line to the POINT OF BEGINNING;
THENCE South 01 degree 31 minutes 37 seconds East 126.50 feet continuing along said existing West right of way line;
THENCE South 88 degrees 28 minutes 23 seconds West 5.00 feet;
THENCE North 01 degree 31 minutes 37 seconds West 34.50 feet;
THENCE North 88 degrees 28 minutes 23 seconds East 3.00 feet;
THENCE North 01 degree 31 minutes 37 seconds West 52.50 feet;
THENCE South 88 degrees 28 minutes 23 seconds West 3.00 feet;
THENCE North 01 degree 31 minutes 37 seconds West 39.50 feet;
THENCE North 88 degrees 28 minutes 23 seconds East 5.00 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Comprising 0.011 acres or 475 square feet more or less, subject to all easements of record.


89A CN 402.6 H5551
PRESCOTT - FLAGSTAFF HWY
Jct. 1-40 - Flagstaff
Coconino County
Dec. 16, 2011 SHEET 3 OF 3

| Superior Surveying Services, Inc. <br> Professional Land Surveying <br> 21415 North 23rd Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85027 <br> Phone (623) 869-0223 Fax (623) 869-0726 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVISIONS |  |  |
| DATE | DESCRIPTION | DATE: 6/2/11 |
| $6 / 27 / 11$ | Revised per ADOT comments | JOB NO.: 101134 |
| $6 / 29 / 11$ | Corrected "+" to "X" | Page 75 of 136 |

## PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PPAC)

FY 2012-2016 Transportation Facilities Construction Program Requested Modifications
For Discussion and Possible Action
*ITEM 8a: Recommended approval of the Town of Gilbert -
PAGE 85
Local Transportation Enhancement Project,
Round 18, 2010
*ITEM 8b:
ROUTE NO: US 95 @ MP 34.0
PAGE 87
COUNTY: Yuma
DISTRICT: Yuma
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Fortuna Wash Bridge
TYPE OF WORK: Design
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Fakhradin Hakari
PROJECT: H459902D, Program Item \#21012
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new design project for \$3,261,000 in the Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from
FHWA Discretionary Grant (TCSP) in FY 2012.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:


ROUTE NO: SR 347 @ MP 168.0
PAGE 88
COUNTY: Pinal
DISTRICT: Tucson
SCHEDULE: FY 2012
SECTION: Papago Road - Edison Road
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 02/01/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: $\$ 6,060,000$
PROJECT MANAGER: Chris Puleo
PROJECT: H810801C, Item \# 14312
REQUESTED ACTION: Decrease the pavement preservation project by $\$ 1,560,000$ to $\$ 4,500,000$ in the FY 2012 Highway Construction Program. Return funds to the Pavement Preservation Fund \#72512.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

*ITEM 8d:
ROUTE NO:
COUNTY:
DISTRICT:
SCHEDULE: SECTION: Crystal Hill Road to Jct I-10 NB \& SB
TYPE OF WORK:
ADVERTISEMENT DATE:
PROGRAM AMOUNT:
PROJECT MANAGER:
PROJECT:
REQUESTED ACTION:

SR 95 @ MP 96.0
La Paz
Yuma
FY 2012

Pavement Preservation
01/02/2012
\$ 4,344,000
Chris Puleo
H749501C, Item \# 14012 Increase the pavement preservation project
by $\$ 1,556,000$ to $\$ 5,900,000$ in the FY 2012 Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the Pavement Preservation Fund \#72512.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:


COUNTY: Region Wide
DISTRICT: Yuma
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Between City of Yuma and Kingman District Line
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 01/02/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Mafiz Mian
PROJECT: H836801C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new pavement preservation project for $\$ 1,100,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the FY 2012 Preventative Pavement Preservation Fund \#77312.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

PAGE 90
\$1,100,000


ROUTE NO: SR 260 @ MP 331.9
COUNTY: Navajo
DISTRICT: Globe
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Old US 160 to Jct. US 60
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 02/01/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Mafiz Mian
PROJECT: H835301C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new pavement preservation project for $\$ 1,100,000$ in the Highway Construction Program. Funds are available from the FY 2012 Preventative Pavement Preservation Fund \#77312.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:

PAGE 92


COUNTY: Region Wide
DISTRICT: Tucson
SCHEDULE: New Project Request
SECTION: Pinal County Wide
TYPE OF WORK: Pavement Preservation
ADVERTISEMENT DATE: 01/02/2012
PROGRAM AMOUNT: New Project
PROJECT MANAGER: Mafiz Mian
PROJECT: H836401C
REQUESTED ACTION: Establish a new pavement preservation pro-
REQUESTED ACIION. Establish a new pavement preservation protion Program. Funds are available from the FY 2012 Preventative Pavement Preservation Fund \#77312.
NEW PROGRAM AMOUNT:
PAGE 94
DISTRICT. Tucson


## FY 2011-2015 Airport Development Program - Requested <br> Discussion and Possible Action Modifications




AIRPORT NAME:
SPONSOR:
AIRPORT CATEGORY:
SCHEDULE:
PROJECT \#:
PROGRAM AMOUNT:

PROJECT MANAGER:

REQUESTED ACTION: FUNDING SOURCES:

Tucson International
PAGE 97

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Install Twy lighting \& lighted signs; Twy B (W of Rwy 21 to WR 6,000 lf) Twy B (Rwy 21 \& D 1,500 lf) Twy A13 (S of Rwy 11R 3,000 lf) Twy D1 (W of Rwy 21 to PR $1,000 \mathrm{lf}$ ), GA ramp (at ATCT 1,000 lf) Twy A5 (Twy A to Bombardier 7,600 lf).
Tucson Airport Authority
Commercial Service
FY 2012-2016
E2S1W
New Project - 2012 State/Local Grant Funds were not used by the Kingman Airport due to availability of Federal Funding.
Tammy Martelle
nstall Twy lighting \& lighted signs; Twy B (W of Rwy 21 Recommend STB approval.

FAA \$0

Sponsor
State
\$90,819
\$817,379
Total Program
\$908,198



# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/15/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No

## GENERAL INFORMATION



## PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY

|  | 17. Original Program Item \# (Current 5 Yr Program): |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Current Approved | 18a. (+/-) Program Budget | 18b. Total Program Budget |
| Program Budget (in \$000): | Request (in \$000): | After Request (in \$000): |
| 795 | 0 | 795 |

19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

| Amount (in \$000): | 750 | $\frac{\text { Fund Item \#: }}{}$ | OTH12 | Amount (in \$000): |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Fund Item \#: |
| :--- |
| Comments: |

19a. New / Budget Change Request Funding List:

Comments: Details:

Amount (in \$000): $45 \quad$ Fund Item \#: OTH12

Details:
FY:2012-OTHER SOURCE-.

Details:
FY:2012-OTHER SOURCE-.

Federal TEA

Comments:
$\$ 45,334$ matched from the town of Gilbert.

## 20. JPA \#s:

| CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| CHANGE REQUEST / NEW PROJECT SCHEDULE |
| :--- |

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed?No | 24c. Work Type Changed?No |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed? No | 24d. What is the current Stage? N/A |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance?NO | Have MATERIALS Memo?NO |
| Have U\&RR Clearance? NO | Have C\&S Approval?NO |
| Have R/W Clearance? NO | $\underline{\text { Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule?NO }}$ |

## 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

The Transportation Enhancement Review Committee (TERC) is recommending the addition of a Round 18/2010 Local Transportation Enhancement (TE) project in the Town of Gilbert to construct pedestrian - bicycle mid block crossings at four locations on the Town`s canal and power-line pathways.

## 26. JUSTIFICATION:

The Centennial Signs project sponsored by the TERC to commemorate the State`s Centennial was advertised in October, and the final costs were lower than originally anticipated by the TERC. Therefore, the TERC is recommending the next project in the Round 18 Local priority ranking be awarded to utilize \$750,000 in bid savings.

## 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES:

REQUESTED ACTIONS: APPROVED/RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
FYI/Guidance
Request to be in PPAC Agenda for 11/30/2011.

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/01/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project
GENERAL INFORMATION

| 3. Form D |  | 4. Project Manager / Presenter Information: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/01/201 |  | Frank Hakari |  |  | (602) 712-7468 |  |  |
| 5. Form C | ed By: | 9235 Proj Mgmt Grp-Const Chrgs |  |  | 205 S 17th Ave, , 614E |  |  |
| Frank Hakari |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Project Location / Name: |  |  |  | 7. Type of Work: |  |  |  |
| Fortuna Wash Bridge |  |  |  | DESIGN NEW BRIDGE AND WIDEN ROADWAY |  |  |  |
| 8. CPS Id: | 9. District: | 10. Route: | 11. County: | 12. Beg MP: | 13. TRACS \#: | 14. Len (mi.): | 15. Fed ID \#: |
| NW1L | Yuma | 95 | Yuma | 34 | H459902D |  | 095-B(201) |

## PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY



I certify that I have verified AND received approval for ALL of the new Funding Sources listed above.
20. JPA \#s:

| CURRENTLY APP <br> 21. Current Fiscal Year: | SCHEDULE | CHANGE REQUEST / NEW PROJECT SCHEDULE 21a. Request Fiscal Year to:III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date: | TBD | 22a. Request Bid Pkg Ready Date to: |
| 23. Current Bid Adv Date: | TBD | 23a. Request Bid Adv Date to: |

ADDITIONAL DETAILS


# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/01/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project

## - <br> GENERAL INFORMATION

| 3. Form Date: |  | 4. Project Manager / Presenter Information: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/02/2011 |  | Chris Puleo |  |  | (602) 712-7992 |  |  |
| 5. Form Created By: |  | 9575 Roadway Grp-Const Chrgs |  |  | 205 S 17th Ave, 113, 615E |  |  |
| Chris Puleo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PROJECT INFORMATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PAPAGO RD - EDISON RD |  |  |  | RR 3" AC \& FR |  |  |  |
| 8. CPS Id: | 9. District: | 10. Route: | 11. County: | 12. Beg MP: | 13. TRACS \#: | 14. Len (mi.): | 15. Fed ID \#: |
| RL1K | Tucson | 347 | Pinal | 168.0 | H810801C | 6.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | STP-347-A(206 |

PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY

|  | 17. Original Program Item \# (Current 5 Yr Program): 14312 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Current Approved | 18a. (+/-) Program Budget | 18b. Total Program Budget |
| Program Budget (in \$000): | Request (in \$000): | After Request (in \$000): |
| 6,060 | -1,560 | 4,500 |

19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

Amount (in \$000): 6,060
Comments:
PAVEMENT PRESERVATION

- STATEWIDE

Fund Item \#: 14312
Details: FY:2012-PAPAGO RD EDISON RD-RR 3" AC \& FR

Amount (in \$000): -1,560 Comments:

Fund Item \#: 72512
Details: FY:2012-PAVEMENT PRESERVATION-Pavement Preservation

I certify that I have verified AND received approval for ALL of the new Funding Sources listed above.
20. JPA \#s:

| CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE |  | CHANGE REQUEST / NEW PROJECT SCHEDULE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21. Current Fiscal Year: | 12 | 21a. Request Fiscal Year to: |
| 22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date: | $01 / 02 / 2012$ | 22a. Request Bid Pkg Ready Date to: |
| 23. Current Bid Adv Date: | $02 / 01 / 2012$ | 23a. Request Bid Adv Date to: |

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed? $N o$ |
| ---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed? $N o$ |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? NO |
| Have U\&RR Clearance? NO |
| Have R/W Clearance? YES |
| Scoping Document Completed?YES |

> 24c. Work Type Changed?No
> 24d. What is the current Stage?Post Stage IV
> Have MATERIALS Memo? YES
> Have C\&S Approval?YES
> Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule?YES

## 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Budget reduction.
26. JUSTIFICATION:

The requested amount is less than the programmed amount due to additional paving exceptions added during the design phase.
27. CONCERNS OF THE PROJECT TEAM REGARDING THE REQUEST:

## 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES:

## REQUESTED ACTIONS:

## APPROVED/RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Change in Budget.

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/01/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project

## GENERAL INFORMATION

3. Form Date:
11/10/2011
4. Form Created By:
Chris Puleo
5. Project Manager / Presenter Information:

Chris Puleo
9575 Roadway Grp-Const Chrgs
(602) 712-7992

205 S 17th Ave, 113, 615E

PROJECT INFORMATION
6. Project Location / Name:

CRYSTAL HILL RD TO JCT I10 NB \& SB

9. District: Yuma

La Paz
7. Type of Work:

3" AC \& 1/2" AR-ACFC
13. TRACS \#:

H749501C
14. Len (mi.): 8.0 095-B(203)A

PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY
$\frac{\text { Program Budget (in \$000): }}{4,344}$

## 18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request (in \$000):

1,556
19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

Amount (in \$000): $\quad 4,344$
Comments:
PAVEMENT PRESERVATION
-STATEWIDE

Fund Item \#: 14012
Details:
FY:2012-CRYSTAL HILL
RD-JCT I-10-3" AC \& 1/2"
AR-ACFC

Amount (in \$000): 1,556 Comments:

Fund Item \#: 72512
Details:
FY:2012-PAVEMENT PRESERVATION-Pavement Preservation
I certify that I have verified AND received approval for ALL of the new Funding Sources listed above.
20. JPA \#s:

| CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE |  | CHANGE REQUEST / NEW PROJECT SCHEDULE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21. Current Fiscal Year: | 12 | 21a. Request Fiscal Year to: |
| 22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date: | $12 / 01 / 2011$ | 22a. Request Bid Pkg Ready Date to: |
| 23. Current Bid Adv Date: | $01 / 02 / 2012$ | 23a. Request Bid Adv Date to: |

ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed? No |
| ---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed? $N o$ |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? $N O$ |
| Have U\&RR Clearance?YES |
| Scoping Document Completed? |

> 24c. Work Type Changed?No
> 24d. What is the current Stage?Post Stage IV
> Have MATERIALS Memo? YES
> Have C\&S Approval?YES
> Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule?YES
25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Change in budget to meet cost estimate.
26. JUSTIFICATION:

Additional funding to the cost estimate is needed to cover the cost of an additional box culvert extension, pipe liners, and pipe end treatment erosion control.

## 27. CONCERNS OF THE PROJECT TEAM REGARDING THE REQUEST:

## 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES:

## REQUESTED ACTIONS:

## APPROVED/RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Change in Budget.

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/08/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project

## GENERAL INFORMATION



PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY

|  | 17. Original Program Item \# (Current 5 Yr Program): |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Current Approved | 18a. (+/-) Program Budget | 18b. Total Program Budget |
| Program Budget (in \$000): | Request (in \$000): | After Request (in \$000): |
| 0 | 1,100 | 1,100 |

19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

| Amount (in \$000): | Fund Item \#: | Amount (in \$000): | 1,100 | Fund Item \#: | 77312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: | Details: | Comments: |  | Details: |  |
|  |  |  |  | FY:2012-PRE | ENTATIVE |
|  |  |  |  | PAVEMENT |  |
|  |  |  |  | PRESERVAT | N-Preventative |
|  |  |  |  | Pavement Pre | ervation |

20. JPA \#s:

| CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE | CHANGE REQUEST / NEW PROJECT SCHEDULE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 21. Current Fiscal Year: | 21a. Request Fiscal Year to: | 2012 |
| 22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date: | 22a. Request Bid Pkg Ready Date to: | 12/01/2011 |
| 23. Current Bid Adv Date: | 23a. Request Bid Adv Date to: | $01 / 02 / 2012$ |

## ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed?No | $\underline{\text { 24c. Work Type Changed? No }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed?No | $\underline{\text { 24d. What is the current Stage? Stage IV }}$ |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? YES | $\underline{\text { Have MATERIALS Memo? YES }}$ |
| Have U\&RR Clearance? YES | $\underline{\text { Have C\&S Approval? }}$ YES |
| Have R/W Clearance?YES | $\underline{\text { Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule?NA }}$ |

19a. New / Budget Change Request Funding List:

Fund Item \#: 77312
Details:
FY:2012-PREVENTATIVE

PRESERVATION-Preventative
Pavement Preservation

CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE
21. Current Fiscal Year:
22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date:
23. Current Bid Adv Date:

24b. Project Name/Location Changed? No
Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? YES
Have U\&RR Clearance? YES
Have R/W Clearance? YES
Scoping Document Completed?YES

## 24c. Work Type Changed?No <br> Have MATERIALS Memo?YES <br> Have C\&S Approval?YES <br> Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule?NA

## 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Request to establish new crack seal project ( C \& S). This project is located on US 95 MP 29.37-MP 44.26 and MP 80.00-MP 95.86 and SR 95 MP 142.50-MP 148.32 and MP 152.70-MP 161.62.
26. JUSTIFICATION:

Pavement surface is cracking. Crack seal will preserve and extend the life of the pavement by preventing water from entering into the base and causing the base to become weakened under heavy traffics.
27. CONCERNS OF THE PROJECT TEAM REGARDING THE REQUEST:

## 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES:

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/22/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project

## GENERAL INFORMATION



PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY

|  | 17. Original Program Item \# (Current 5 Yr Program): |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Current Approved | 18a. (+/-) Program Budget | 18b. Total Program Budget |
| Program Budget (in \$000): | Request (in \$000): | After Request (in \$000): |
| 0 | 1,100 | 1,100 |

19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

| Amount (in \$000): | Fund Item \#: | Amount (in \$000): | 1,100 | Fund Item \#: | 77312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: | Details: | Comments: |  | Details: |  |
|  |  |  |  | FY:2012-PRE | ENTATIVE |
|  |  |  |  | PAVEMENT |  |
|  |  |  |  | PRESERVAT | N-Preventative |
|  |  |  |  | Pavement Pre | ervation |

I certify that I have verified AND received approval for ALL of the new Funding Sources listed above.
20. JPA \#s:

## CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE

21. Current Fiscal Year:
22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date:
23. Current Bid Adv Date:

19a. New / Budget Change Request Funding List:

## ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed? $N o$ | $\underline{\text { 24c. Work Type Changed?No }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed? $N o$ | $\underline{\text { 24d. What is the current Stage? }}$ Stage IV |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? YES | $\underline{\text { Have MATERIALS Memo? YES }}$ |
| Have U\&RR Clearance? YES | $\underline{\text { Have C\&S Approval? }}$ YES |
| Have R/W Clearance? YES |  |
| STOMIZED Schedule?NA |  |

## 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST:

Request to establish new Micro-Surfacing project( C \& S). This project is located on SR 260 MP 331.90 to MP 340.07 west of Show Low in Globe district.

## 26. JUSTIFICATION:

Pavement has cracks and pot-holes. Micro-Surfacing will preserve the pavement and extend its life.
27. CONCERNS OF THE PROJECT TEAM REGARDING THE REQUEST:
28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES:

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION WEB PRB REQUEST FORM (version 3.0) 

## 1. PRB MEETING DATE:11/22/2011

2. Phone Teleconference?No At Phone \#:

Video Teleconference?No Click here to view all previous PRB Actions for this project

## GENERAL INFORMATION



PROJECT REQUEST SUMMARY

|  | 17. Original Program Item \# (Current 5 Yr Program): |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. Current Approved | 18a. (+/-) Program Budget | 18b. Total Program Budget |
| Program Budget (in \$000): | Request (in \$000): | After Request (in \$000): |
| 0 | 500 | 500 |

19. Currently Approved Budget Funding List:

| Amount (in \$000): | Fund Item \#: | Amount (in \$000): | 500 | Fund Item \#: | 77312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comments: | Details: | Comments: |  | Details: |  |
|  |  |  |  | FY:2012-PRE | ENTATIVE |
|  |  |  |  | PAVEMENT |  |
|  |  |  |  | PRESERVAT | N-Preventative |
|  |  |  |  | Pavement Pre | rvation |

I certify that I have verified AND received approval for ALL of the new Funding Sources listed above.
20. JPA \#s:

## CURRENTLY APPROVED SCHEDULE

21. Current Fiscal Year:
22. Current Bid Pkg Ready Date:
23. Current Bid Adv Date:

19a. New / Budget Change Request Funding List:

## ADDITIONAL DETAILS

| 24a. Scope Changed? No | 24c. Work Type Changed? No |
| :---: | :---: |
| 24b. Project Name/Location Changed? ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | 24d. What is the current Stage? Stage IV |
| Have ENVIRONMENTAL Clearance? YES | Have MATERIALS Memo?YES |
| Have U\&RR Clearance? YES | Have C\&S Approval? YES |
| Have R/W Clearance? YES | Have CUSTOMIZED Schedule? NA |
| Scoping Document Completed?YES |  |
| 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST: |  |
| Request to establish new crack seal project ( C \& S). This project is located on I-8 EB MP 150.0 to MP 158.5, SR 287 MP 118.0 to MP 125.9 and MP 134.75 to MP 135.3 in Pinal County in Tucson district. |  |
| Cracks are developing on the pavement. Crack Seal will preserve the pavement. |  |
| 27. CONCERNS OF THE PROJECT TEAM REGARDI |  |

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MPD - Aeronautics Group 

## Project Committee Recommendations

| AIRPORT: | DOUGLAS MUNI |
| :--- | :--- |
| SPONSOR: | CITY OF DOUGLAS |
| CATEGORY: | Public GA |
| PROJECT NUMBER: | 2S1Y |
| AIP NUMBER: |  |
| DATE: | November 15,2011 |

## New Project <br> Changed Project

PROJECT NUMBER: 2S1Y
DATE: November 15, 2011

| Current Program <br> Description | Fiscal <br> Year | State Share | Sponsor Share | FAA Share | Total Amount | Priority <br> Number |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design/Construct: Reconstruction of <br> Rwy $3 / 21$ ( 5,750 ft $\times 75 \mathrm{ft})$. | 2012 | $\$ 292,500.00$ | $\$ 32,500.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 325,000.00$ | 74 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revised Program <br> Description | Fiscal <br> Year | State Share | Sponsor Share | FAA Share | Total Amount | Number |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Source of Funds: | 2012 - State/Local Program (State Match) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Set-Aside | Amount committed to date | Present Balance | Balance if Approved |
| $\$ 10,413,886$ | $\$ 6,893,172$ | $\$ 3,520,714$ | $\$ 3,228,214$ |

Aeronautics Project Development Committee Recommends to PPAC:

Aeronautics Representative:


Priority Planning Committee Recommends to Transportation Board:
[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: November 30, 2011

## State Transportation Board Action:

[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: December 16, 2011

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION MPD - Aeronautics Group 

## Project Committee Recommendations

| AIRPORT: | TUCSON INTL | $\boxed{v}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPONSOR: | TUCSON AIRPORT AUTHORITY |  |
| CATEGORY: | Commercial Service | $\square$ Changed Project |
| PROJECT NUMBER: | 2S1W |  |
| IP NUMBER: |  |  |
| DATE: | November 15,2011 |  |



| Source of Funds: | 2012 -State/Local Program (State Match) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Set-Aside | Amount committed to date | Present Balance | Balance if Approved |
| $\$ 10,413,886$ | $\$ 6,075,793$ | $\$ 4,338,093$ | $\$ 3,520,714$ |

Aeronautics Project Development Committee Recommends to PPAC:
[ $]$ Approval [ ] Disapproval Date: November 8,2011

Aeronautics Representative:


Priority Planning Committee Recommends to Transportation Board:
[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: November 30, 2011

## State Transportation Board Action:

[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: December 16, 2011

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> MPD - Aeronautics Group

## Project Committee Recommendations

| AIRPORT: | FLAGSTAFF PULLIAM | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SPONSOR: | CITY OF FLAGSTAFF |  |
| CATEGORY: | Commercial Service | $\square$ |
| PROJECT NUMBER: | 2S1X |  |
| AIP NUMBER: |  |  |
| DATE: | November 14,2011 |  |


| Current Program Description | Fiscal Year | State Share | Sponsor Share | FAA Share | Total Amount | Priority Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apron reconstruction: Commercial ramp joint repairs including replacement of backer rod spacers and sealing joints. | 2012 | \$201,339.00 | \$22,371.00 | \$0.00 | \$223,710.00 | 76 |
| Revised Program Description | Fiscal Year | State Share | Sponsor Share | FAA Share | Total Amount | Priority Number |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Justification For Recommend Reinvestment of | sed | B appiove | obligatio |  |  |  |


| Source of Funds: | 2012 - State/Local Program (State Match) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Set-Aside | Amount committed to date | Present Balance | Balance if Approved |
| $\$ 10,413,886$ | $\$ 6,691,833$ | $\$ 3,722,053$ | $\$ 3,520,714$ |

Aeronautics Project Development Committee Recommends to PPAC:

[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: November 30, 2011

## State Transportation Board Action:

[ ] Approval
[ ] Disapproval
Date: December 16, 2011

## STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT <br> November 2011

The Status of Projects Under Construction report for November 2011 shows 135 projects under construction valued at $\$ 876,696,803.44$. The transportation board awarded 4 projects during November valued at approximately $\$ 2.7$ million.

During November the Department finalized 11 projects valued at $\$ 38,773,974.88$. Projects where the final cost exceeded the contractors bid amount by more than $5 \%$ are detailed in your board package.

Year to date we have finalized 44 projects. The total cost of these 44 projects has exceeded the contractors bid amount by $8.2 \%$. Deducting incentive/bonus payments, revisions, omissions and additional work paid for by others, fiscal year to date reduces this percentage to $6.4 \%$.

## MONTHLY CONSTRUCTION REPORT

Nov-11
PROJECTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION ..... 135
MONETARY VALUE OF CONTRACTS ..... $\$ 876,696,803.44$
PAYMENTS MADE TO DATE ..... \$511,718,674.83
INTERSTATE ..... 38
PRIMARY ..... 78
LOCAL GOVERNMENT ..... 15
NON-FEDERAL AID ..... 4
OTHER ..... 0
CONTRACTS EXECUTED IN NOVEMBER 2011 ..... 3
MONETARY AMOUNT OF CONTRACTS EXECUTED \$2,197,099.08
FIELD REPORTS SECTIONEXT. 7301
Arizona Department of Transportation
Field Reports Section
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2012
November, 2011

Arizona Department of Transportation
Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2012

| Project Number | Location District | State Estimate | Contractor | Bid Amount | Final Cost | Monetary | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 202-\mathrm{B}-(201) \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{H} 705801 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ <br> Working Days: 367 Days Used: 344 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR 101 L - GLBERT } \\ & \text { ROAD } \\ & \text { Phoenix District } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23,604,484.60 | COFFMAN SPECIALTIES, INC. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low Bid = } \\ \$ 20,565,000.00 \end{gathered}$ | ( $\$ 3,039,484.60$ ) or $12.88 \%$ under State Estimate $\$ 21,878,888.34$ | \$1,313,888.34 | 6.4\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARRA-080A(201)A } \\ & \text { H767501C } \\ & \text { Working Days: } 50 \\ & \text { Days Used: } \quad 47 \end{aligned}$ | SR-80 THRU TOMBSTONE Safford District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 603,555.00 | COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC. | Low Bid = $\$ 621,909.03$ | $\$ 18,354.03$ or $3.04 \%$ over State Estimate $\$ 641,749.47$ | \$19,840.44 | 3.2 \% |



## Arizona Department of Transportation <br> $\underset{\substack{\text { November, } 2011}}{\text { Completed Contrats }} 2012$

| Project Number | Location District | State Estimate | Contractor | Bid Amount | Final Cost | Monetary | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ARRA-GLN0(211)A } \\ & \text { SS75001C } \end{aligned}$ | GLENDALE AVE, 51ST TO 66TH AVE Phoenix District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1,217,143.00 | NESBITT CONTRACTING CO., INC. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Low Bid }= \\ \$ 1,323,218.30 \end{gathered}$ | \$106,075.30 or 8.72\% over State Estimate \$1,359,079.54 | \$35,861.24 | 2.7\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ER-101-B(200)A } \\ & \text { H726001C } \end{aligned}$ | VIA DE VENTURA TO 90TH STREET Phoenix District $+10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 164,785.00 | R.K. SANDERS, INC. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low Bid }= \\ & \$ 145,995.00 \end{aligned}$ | ( $\$ 18,790.00$ ) or $11.40 \%$ under State Estimate $\$ 137,692.89$ | (\$8,302.11) | -5.7\% |
| ARRA-ELM0(203)A SS92101C | EL MIRAGE RD OLIVE TO CACTUS Phoenix District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 169,794.00 | SOUTHWEST SLURRY SEAL, INC. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Low Bid }= \\ & \$ 206,964.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 37,170.99$ or $21.89 \%$ over State Estimate \$193,894.07 | (\$13,070.92) | -6.3\% |

$$
\underset{\text { \# of Projects: } 11}{\text { Totals }}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Completed Contracts (FiscalYear 2012) } \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\text { November, 2011 } & \\
\text { State Estimate } & \text { Bid Amount } \\
\$ 41,056,237,00 & \$ 37,468,907.41 \\
\underline{\text { Monetary }} & \\
(\$ 3,587,329.59)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\text { Final Cost }} \\
& \$ 38,773,974.88 \\
& \underline{\text { Monetary }} \\
& \$ 1,305,067.47
\end{aligned}
$$



## CONTRACTS

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Federal-Aid ("A" "B") projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations)
*ITEM 10a: BIDS OPENED
November 18
PAGE 126
HIGHWAY:
EHRENBERG-PHOENIX HIGHWAY (I-10)
SECTION:
I-10, Dike Reconstruction
COUNTY:
La Paz
ROUTE NO.:
I-10
PROJECT:
ER-010-A(207)A 010 LA 047 H808201C
FUNDING:
94\% Federal 6\% State
LOW BIDDER: Southwest Concrete Paving Co.
AMOUNT: \$ 256,413.44
STATE AMOUNT: \$ 223,185.29
\$ OVER: \$
33,228.15
\% OVER:
14.9\%

NO. BIDDERS:
9
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD


## CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

*ITEM 10b: BIDS OPENED:


SECTION:

## COUNTY:

ROUTE NO.:
PROJECT:
FUNDING:
LOW BIDDER:
AMOUNT:
STATE AMOUNT:
\$ UNDER:
\% UNDER:
NO. BIDDERS:
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD
Navajo
I-40
23.7\%

13

PAGE 130
HOLBROOK - LUPTON HIGHWAY (I-40)
Big \& Little Lithodendron Wash Bridges

IM-BR-STP-040-E(205)A 040 NA 300 H700801C
94\% Federal 6\% State
FNF Construction, Inc.
\$ 9,723,298.15
\$ 12,748,493.65
\$ 3,025,195.50


## CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

| *ITEM 10c: | BIDS OPENED: | November 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | HIGHWAY: | CHAMBERS - MEXICAN WATER HIGHWAY |
|  | SECTION: | US 191 at N 102-3 |
|  | COUNTY: | Apache |
| ROUTE NO:: | US 191 |  |
| PROJECT: | STP-191-E(204)A 191 AP 446 HX12001C |  |
| FUNDING: | 67\% Federal 3\% State 30\% Navajo Nation |  |
| LOW BIDDER: | Contractors West, Inc. |  |
| AMOUNT: | $\$ \quad 188,919.00$ |  |
| STATE AMOUNT: | $\$ \quad 141,523.00$ |  |
| \$ OVER: | $\$$ | $47,396.00$ |
| \% OVER: | $33.5 \%$ |  |
| NO. BIDDERS: | 5 |  |
| RECOMMENDATION: | AWARD |  |

SECTION: US 191 at N 102-3
Apache
US 191
STP-191-E(204)A 191 AP 446 HX12001C
67\% Federal 3\% State 30\% Navajo Nation
Contractors West, Inc.
\$ 188,919.00
\$ 141,523.00
47,396.00

NO. BIDDERS: 5
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD

Printed: 12/05/2011

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION <br> CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

BID RESULTS

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2011, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

| TRACS NO | 0000 MA AVN SS67901C |
| :--- | :--- |
| PROJ NO | ARRA-AVN-0(203)A |
| TERMINI | CITY OF AVONDALE |
| LOCATION | AVONDALE MUNICIPAL \& OPERATIONS SERVICE CENTER |


| ROUTE NO. | MILEPOST | DISTRICT | ITEM NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | PHOENIX | LOCAL |

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 328,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work consists of furnishing, installing, integrating equipment and installing software as a part of a new Interim Traffic Operations Center (TOC) for the City of Avondale in Maricopa County, Arizona. The TOC will be housed in a newly remodeled area of the existing City of Avondale Municipal and Operations Service Center (MOSC), located at 399 East Lower Buckeye Road.

The work shall consist of providing, installing and integrating equipment for a new traffic management control room for the City's existing Streetwise Traffic Control System. The equipment shall consist of furnishing an information display system consisting of video display monitors, interface devices, computer rack, UPS, workstations, system integration, training, documentation and all miscellaneous items necessary to connect and activate these items resulting in a fully-operational urban Traffic Operations Center.

| REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS | UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical System (Laser Printer) | Each | 1 |
| Electrical System (UPS, 10KVA) | Each | 1 |
| Miscellaneous Electrical (Information Display System) | L.SUM | 1 |
| Miscellaneous Electrical (System Integration) | L.Sum | 1 |
| Miscellaneous Work (Equipment Rack, Enclosed) | Each | 1 |
| Electrical System (Workstation) | Each | 2 |

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 90 working days.
The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 7127221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 7.00$, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of $\$ 5.00$ will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division Contracts and Specifications Section<br>1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F<br>Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

| Engineering Specialist: | Rimpal Shah | (602) 712-8377 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction Supervisor: | Girgis Girgis | (602) 712-6710 |

STEVE HULL, Engineer-Manager Contracts \& Specifications Section

0000 MA AVN SS67901C
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

 The proposed work is located on US 60 in the Town of Wickenburg, in Maricopa County, and consists of roadway widening for a two-way left turn lane, and construction of ashared-use path. The project limits extend from Vulture Mine Road (MP 107.70) to Los Altos Drive (MP 108.95). The project includes minor demolition, addition of 12 feet of
pavement width, curb and gutter, sidewalk, signing and pavement marking modifications, and drainage improvements. The project also includes construction of an asphaltic
concrete shared-use path, including pedestrian ramps, handrail, retaining walls, drainage improvements, and other related work. provements, and other related work.
Bid Opening Date : 11/04/2011, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Petrillo Robert

DEPARTMENT
6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283
100 SOUTH PRICE ROAD TEMPE, AZ 85281
550 S. 79TH STREET CHANDLER, AZ 85226
222 S 52ND STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281


Completion Date: 130 Working Days The proposed work is \&
Page 2 of 2

2222 W. PINNACLE PEAK RD SUITE \#190 PHOENIX, AZ 85027
Apparent Low Bidder is 1.7\% Under Department Estimate (Difference $=(\$ 39,132.30)$
Printed: 12/05/2011

| Rank | Bid Amount | Contractor Name | Address of Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 7 | $\$ 2,721,992.45$ | D B A CONSTRUCTION INC. | P.O. BOX 63035 PHOENIX, AZ 85082 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $\$ 2,812,680.50$ | SOUTHWEST CONCRETE PAVING CO. | 2222 W. PINNACLE PEAK RD SUITE \#190 PHOENIX, AZ 85027 |

Page 114 of 136

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: (FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2011), AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO<br>PROJ NO<br>TERMINI<br>LOCATION

060 MA 107 H732501C

| ROUTE NO. | MILEPOST | DISTRICT | ITEM NO. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 60 | 107.70 to 108.95 | PRESCOTT | 75311 |
|  |  |  | 72812 |

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 2,653,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located on US 60 in the Town of Wickenburg, in Maricopa County, and consists of roadway widening for a two-way left turn lane, and construction of a shared-use path. The project limits extend from Vulture Mine Road (MP 107.70) to Los Altos Drive (MP 108.95). The project includes minor demolition, addition of 12 feet of pavement width, curb and gutter, sidewalk, signing and pavement marking modifications, and drainage improvements. The project also includes construction of an asphaltic concrete shared-use path, including pedestrian ramps, handrail, retaining walls, drainage improvements, and other related work.

## REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS

Removal of Asphaltic Concrete Pavement
Removal of Concrete Curbs and Curb and Gutter
Removal of Concrete Sidewalk, Driveways \& Slabs
Removal of Pipe
Roadway Excavation
Structural Excavation
Structure Backfill
Borrow
Aggregate Base, Class II
Asphaltic Concrete (Miscellaneous Structural)
Emulsified Asphalt (Microsurfacing)
Aggregate (Dry Mineral-For Microsurfacing)
Concrete Curb and Curb and Gutter
Concrete Sidewalk and Driveways
Structural Concrete
Reinforcing Steel
Retaining Wall
Metal Handrail

| UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :---: | ---: |
| SQ. YD. | 6,210 |
| L.FT. | 480 |
| SQ. FT. | 1,320 |
| L.FT. | 9,330 |
| CU.YD. | 215 |
| CU.YD. | 695 |
| CU.YD. | 700 |
| CU.YD. | 7,430 |
| CU.YD. | 3,340 |
| TON | 3,840 |
| TON | 100 |
| TON | 775 |
| L.FT. | 10,450 |
| SQ.FT. | 14,990 |
| CU.YD. | 125 |
| LB. | 13,240 |
| SQ.FT. | 3,395 |
| L.Ft. | 1,050 |

[^1]| Pipe (18", 24", 30", 19" x 30") | L.FT. | 2,760 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Concrete Catch Basins | EACH | 24 |
| Concrete Scuppers | EACH | 8 |
| Concrete Ford Walls | L.FT. | 490 |
| Gabions | CU.YD. | 550 |
| Dumped Riprap | CU.YD. | 415 |
| Thermoplastic Pavement Marking | L.FT. | 46,640 |
| Painted Pavement Marking | L.FT. | 31,090 |
| Street Light Pole, Foundation, and Mast Arm | EACH | 8 |
| Electrical Conduit (1-1/2", 2-1/2", 3") | L.FT. | 1,420 |
| Loop Detector Traffic Counter System (Type C) | EACH | 2 |
| Seeding (Class II) | ACRE | 7 |
| Contractor Quality Control | L.SUM | 1 |
| Construction Surveying and Layout | L.SUM | 1 |

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 130 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 3.5 percent.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 84.00$ payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Intermodal Transportation Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217
Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist: Robert Petrillo<br>(602) 712-8168<br>Construction Supervisor:<br>Andrew Roth<br>(928) 759-2426 x 3624

STEVE HULL
Engineer-Manager
Contracts \& Specifications Section
BID RESULTS ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION
Page 2 of 2

| Rank | Bid Amount | Contractor Name | Address of Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | $\$ 2,826,868.80$ | INTERMOUNTAIN WEST CIVIL CONSTRUCTORS, <br> INC. | P.O. BOX 2790 PAYSON, AZ 85547 |
| 7 | $\$ 2,840,200.00$ | MARKHAM CONTRACTING CO., INC. | 22820 NORTH 19TH AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85027 |
| 8 | $\$ 2,842,043.00$ | FANN CONTRACTING, INC | 1403 INDUSTRIAL WAY PRESCOTT, AZ 86301 |
| 9 | $\$ 2,866,866.00$ | FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC. | 115 S. 48TH ST TEMPE, AZ 85281 |
| 10 | $\$ 2,896,004.00$ | J. BANICKI CONSTRUCTION, INC. | 6423 S. ASH AVENUE TEMPE, AZ 85283 |
| 11 | $\$ 2,916,171.00$ | MEADOW VALLEY CONTRACTORS, INC. | 4602 E. THOMAS RD. PHOENIX, AZ 85018 |

Apparent Low Bidder is 0.8\% Under Department Estimate (Difference $=(\$ 19,640.00)$ )
Printed: 12/05/2011

| Rank | Bid Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  |

7
8
9
10
11

Page 119 of 136

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 04, 2011, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO
PROJ NO
TERMINI
LOCATION
ROUTE NO.
SR 87

087 PN 116 H810001C
STP 087-A(204)A
PICACHO-COOLIDGE-CHANDLER-MESA HIGHWAY (SR 87) JCT. I-10 TO JCT. SR 287

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 4,000,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located in Pinal County on SR 87 from milepost 116.32 to milepost 125.97, approximately 8 miles south of the City of Coolidge. The work consists of milling the existing asphaltic concrete and replacing it with new asphaltic concrete (3/4")(end product), applying a double application chip seal coat (chip seal and fog coat), replacing guardrail end terminals, replacing pavement markings, and other miscellaneous work.

REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS
Remove Bituminous Pavement (Milling)
Cover Material
Asphaltic Concrete (3/4" Mix) (End Product)
Permanent Pavement Marking (Painted)
Impact Attenuation Device
Pavement Marking (Extruded Thermoplastic)
Guard Rail Terminal
Provide On-The-Job Training
Contractor Quality Control
Construction Surveying And Layout

| UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :---: | ---: |
| SQ. YD. | 164,000 |
| CU.YD. | 3,000 |
| TON | 23,000 |
| L.FT. | 165,000 |
| EACH | 2 |
| L.FT. | 216,000 |
| EACH | 4 |
| HOUR | 500 |
| L.SUM | 1 |
| L.SUM | 1 |

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 60 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 3.08\% .

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale
to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 21.00$, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5.00 will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation
Intermodal Transportation Division
Contracts and Specifications Section
1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217
Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

| C\&S Technical Leader | Manish Shah |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction Supervisor: | Adam Carreon | (520) 812-7216 |

STEVE HULL, Engineer-Manager
Contracts \& Specifications Section

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

Printed: 12/05/2011
Completion Date:
d la Havasu City in La Paz County on SR 95. The project begins at MP 160.85 and ends at MP 161.17 The proposed work includes a work also includes constructing a new access road to the CAP Mark Wilmer pumping plant within the large cut on the east side of SR 95 , drainage improvements, new pavemen marking, signage, guardrail, retaining walls, and other associated work.
Bid Opening Date : 11/10/2011, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Sarker Sajedur Rahman

1403 INDUSTRIAL WAY PRESCOTT, AZ 86301
7520 E. ADOBE DRIVE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255
P.O. BOX 10789 GLENDALE, AZ 85318
22820 NORTH 19TH AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85027
3640 HWY 95 \#110 BULLHEAD CITY, AZ 86442
Apparent Low Bidder is 7.9\% Over Department Estimate (Difference $=\mathbf{\$ 1 7 4 , 2 6 4 . 5 4}$ ) 180 Calendar Days DEPARTMENT
FANN CONTRACTING, INC
RUMMEL CONSTRUCTION, INC
COMBS CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC.
MARKHAM CONTRACTING CO., INC.
MCCORMICK CONSTRUCTION CO.
\$2,212,465.00
\$2,386,729.54
\$2,563,956.04
\$2,756,151.86
\$3,288,777.90
\$3,477,152.24

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2011, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO
PROJ NO
TERMINI
LOCATION
ROUTE NO.
SR 95

095 LA 160 H7794 01C
NH-095-C(209)A
Quartzsite - Parker - Topock Highway (SR 95)
@ Bill Williams River National Wildlife Refuge Rd

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 3,360,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The project is located between Parker and Lake Havasu City in La Paz County on SR 95. The project begins at MP 160.85 and ends at MP 161.17. The proposed work includes adding a right turn lane in both the NB \& SB directions, a two-way left turn lane (TWLTL), and shifting the intersection for the turnouts approximately 550 -feet to the north. The work also includes constructing a new access road to the CAP Mark Wilmer pumping plant within the large cut on the east side of SR 95, drainage improvements, new pavement marking, signage, guardrail, retaining walls, and other associated work.

## REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS

Clearing and Grubbing
Remove Bituminous Pavement (Milling)(3" \& 3 1/2")
Roadway Excavation
Furnish Water
Aggregate Base, Class 2
Asphaltic Concrete (Misc. Str.) (Special Mix)
Asphaltic Concrete Friction Course (Misc.)
Pipe Culvert, 24 " \& 30"
F-Shape Bridge Concrete Barrier and Transition (32 Inch)
Foundation for Square Tube Post
Temporary Concrete Barrier (Installation and Removal)
Temporary Painted Marking (Stripe)
Changeable Message Board (Contractor Furnished)
Flagging Services (Civilian)
Flagging Services (DPS \& Local Enforcement Officer)
Pavement Marking (White \& Yellow Extruded Thermo.)
Pavement Marker, Raised, Type D \& Type G
Permanent Pavement Marking (Painted) (White \& Yellow)
Seeding (Class II)
Erosion Control (Wattles)(12" \& 20")
Retaining Wall (B-18.10)
Contractor Quality Control
Construction Surveying and Layout
Engineering Field Office
Ground-in Rumble Strip (6 inch)

| UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :---: | ---: |
| ACRE | 6 |
| SQ.YD | 4,750 |
| CU.YD. | 78,677 |
| M. GAL. | 5,100 |
| CU.YD. | 1,487 |
| TON | 2,726 |
| TON | 243 |
| L.FT. | 214 |
| L.FT. | 1,612 |

EACH 14
L.FT. 2,200
L.FT. 9,700

EACH-DAY 374
HOUR 1,080
HOUR 1,680
L.FT. 22,080

EACH 121
L.FT. 13,910

ACRE 4
L.FT. 5,290
L.FT. 9,796
L.SUM 1
L.SUM 1
L.SUM
L.FT.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 180 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 2.63.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 32.00$ payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of $\$ 5.00$ will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. A Geotechnical Report is also available on compact disk for $\$ 5.00$. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
Cross sections and/or earthwork quantity sheets, if available, may be ordered from the Control Desk of Roadway Design Section at (602) 712-8667. Orders must be placed at least five days prior to bid opening to insure availability. Documents may be picked up and paid for at Contracts \& Specifications Section.

No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division Contracts and Specifications Section 1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Engineering Specialist:<br>Construction Engineer:<br>Sarker Rahman<br>Bruce A. Fenske<br>(602) 7128262<br>(928) 3172138

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION <br> CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

Completion Date: 69 Calendar Days The proposed work is located on Interstate Highway 10 in La Paz County, beginning approximately two miles east of the Vicksburg Traffic Interchange. The dike re
consists of furnishing and placing, grading and compacting borrow material, traffic control, removing and replacing fence, erosion control and related items of work.

Bid Opening Date : 11/18/2011, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist : Pierson Donald

| Project No. | Highway Termini | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 010 LA 047 H808201C 010-A-(207)A | EHRENBERG-PHOENIX HIGHWAY (I-10) |  |


| Rank | Bid Amount | Contractor Name | Address of Contractor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 223,185.29$ |  |  | DEPARTMENT |


\$256,413.44
\$333,263.00
$\$ 347,020.00$
\$375,750.00
\$400,603.76
$\$ 426,579.86$
$\$ 448,194.54$

ค
Page 2 of 2

Printed: 12/05/2011

| Rank | Bid Amount |
| :--- | :--- |

\$862,682.00

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS
BID OPENING: THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2011 AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

| TRACS NO | 010 LA 047 H808201C |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PROJ NO | ER-010-A(207)A |  |  |
| TERMINI | EHRENBERG-PHOENIX HIGHWAY (I-10) |  |  |
| LOCATION | I-10, Dike Reconstruction |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ROUTE NO. | MILEPOST | DISTRICT | ITEM NO. |
| I-10 | 47 to 48 | YUMA | ER |

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 250,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located on Interstate Highway 10 in La Paz County, beginning approximately two miles east of the Vicksburg Traffic Interchange. The dike repair work consists of furnishing and placing, grading and compacting borrow material, traffic control, removing and replacing fence, erosion control and related items of work.

| REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS | UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Borrow Material | Cu.Yd. | 13,984 |
| Flagging Services (DPS) | Hour | 144 |
| Seeding (Class II) | Acre | 5 |
| Riprap (Grouted) | Cu.Yd. | 186 |
| Construction Surveying and Layout | L.Sum | 1 |

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Construction Phase of the contract will be 24 calendar days.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in the Landscape Establishment Phase of the contract will be 45 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 3.11\%.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 14$, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of \$5
will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

> Arizona Department of Transportation
> Intermodal Transportation Division
> Contracts and Specifications Section
> 1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
> Phoenix, Arizona $85007-3217$

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

| Engineering Specialist: | Don Pierson | $928-778-4679$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction Supervisor: | Jaime Hernandez | $928-317-2158$ |

STEVE HULL, Engineer-Manager Contracts \& Specifications Section

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION <br> CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

 milepost 303.55. The work consists of removing the existing prestressed concrete voided slab bridges and replacing them with closed frame continuous concrete slab bridges. Additional work includes approach slabs, barriers, pavement markings, and other miscellaneous work.Completion Date: 380 Working Days
The proposed work is located in Navajo County on Interstate 40, approximately 15 miles east of the City of Holbrook. The work begins at milepost 300.08 and extends easterly to Bid Opening Date : 11/04/2011, Prequalification Required, Eng

|  | Project No. | Highway Termini | Location | Item |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 040 NA 300 H700801C 040-E-(205)A |  | HOLBROOK-LUPTON HWY (I-40) | B\&L LITHODENDRON WASH BRIDGES, Holbrook District | 15312 |
| Rank | Bid Amount | Contractor Name | Address of Contractor |  |
| 1 | \$9,723,298.15 | FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC. | 115 S. 48TH ST TEMPE, AZ 85281 |  |
| 2 | \$9,809,931.02 | RALPH L WADSWORTH CONSTRUCTION COMPANY LLC | 166 EAST 14000 SOUTH \#200 DRAPER, UT 84020 |  |
| 3 | \$9,955,000.00 | COFFMAN SPECIALTIES, INC. | 9685 VIA EXCELENCIA, SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CA 92126 |  |
| 4 | \$9,989,930.50 | AMES CONSTRUCTION, INC. | 8333 E. HARTFORD DRIVE SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85255 |  |
| 5 | \$10,242,385.20 | SKANSKA USA CIVIL WEST ROCKY MOUNTAIN DISTRICT INC. | 14050 N. 83RD AVENUE SUITE \#280 PEORIA, AZ 85381 |  |
| 6 | \$10,397,594.64 | LAWRENCE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY DBA LCCO CONSTRUCTION CORP. | 1301 WEST WATKINS STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85007 |  |
| 7 | \$10,748,364.88 | HENSEL PHELPS CONSTRUCTION CO. | 444 NORTH 44TH STREET \#105 PHOENIX, AZ 85008 |  |

Page 2 of 2
Address of Contractor
425 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE CHINO VALLEY，AZ 86323
4602 E．THOMAS RD．PHOENIX，AZ 85018
1403 INDUSTRIAL WAY PRESCOTT，AZ 86301
4640 E．COTTON GIN LOOP PHOENIX，AZ 85040
2538 E UNIVERSITY DRIVE SUITE 200 PHOENIX，AZ 85034
1020 WIGWAM PARKWAY HENDERSON，NV 89074
 DEPARTMENT
Apparent Low Bidder is 23．7\％Under Department Estimate（Difference $=\mathbf{( \$ 3 , 0 2 5 , 1 9 5 . 5 0 )}$ ） DEPARTMENT
Apparent Low Bidder is 23．7\％Under Department Estimate（Difference $=\mathbf{( \$ 3 , 0 2 5 , 1 9 5 . 5 0 )}$ ）
Printed：12／05／2011

| Rank | Bid Amount |
| :--- | :--- |

8
9
9
10
11
12
13
$\$ 12,339,595.96$
$\$ 12,748,493.65$
\＄11，688，771．60
\＄12，210，623．35
$\$ 12,339,595.96$
$\$ 12,748,493.65$
\＄10，837，258．25
\＄11，593，527．50
－
AUSTIN BRIDGE \＆ROAD，LP
†LO68＾N ‘NOSZヨONヨH 人 $\forall M Y Z \forall d$ WVM૭IM OZOT
MEADOW VALLEY CONTRACTORS，INC．
FANN CONTRACTING，INC
HAYDON BUILDING CORP
 （1）

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 04, 2011, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)


ROUTE NO.
I-40

040 NA 300 H700801C
IM-BR-STP 040-E(205)A
HOLBROOK-LUPTON HWY (I-40)
BIG \& LITTLE LITHODENDRON WASH BRIDGES

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 15,800,000$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed work is located in Navajo County on Interstate 40, approximately 15 miles east of the City of Holbrook. The work begins at milepost 300.08 and extends easterly to milepost 303.55 . The work consists of removing the existing prestressed concrete voided slab bridges and replacing them with closed frame continuous concrete slab bridges. Additional work includes approach slabs, barriers, pavement markings, and other miscellaneous work.

## REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS

Drainage Excavation

## UNIT

Structural Excavation
Aggregate Base, Class 2
Asphaltic Concrete (Misc. Structural) (Special Mix)
Pipe Culvert
Structural Concrete
Deck Joint Assembly
Reinforcing Steel
Reinforcing Steel (Epoxy Coated)
F-Shape Bridge Conc. Barrier and Transition
Pavement Marking (Paint)
Dual Component Epoxy Pavement Marking
Guard Rail, W-Beam (Single Face)
Riprap ( Gabion)
Rail Bank Protection (Type 2)
Provide On-The-Job Training
Contractor Quality Control
Construction Surveying and Layout
Ground-In Rumble Strip (12 Inch)

Cu Yd
Cu. Yd.
Cu. Yd.
Ton
L. Ft.

Cu. Yd.
L. Ft.

Lb.
Lb.
L. Ft.
L. Ft.
L. Ft.
L. Ft.

Cu. Yd.
L. Ft.

Hour
L. Sum
L. Sum
L. Ft.

QUANTITY
11,050
10,400 6,100
10,300
300
12,650
664
1,175,130
996,380
3,300
125,900
92,550
3,240
640
120
1,500
1
1
54,500

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 380 working days.
The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 6.14\%.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 712-7221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 80.00$, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of $\$ 5.00$ will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks should be made payable to the Arizona Department
of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
Cross sections and/or earthwork quantity sheets, if available, may be ordered from the Control Desk of Roadway Design Section at (602) 712-8667. Orders must be placed at least five days prior to bid opening to insure availability. Documents may be picked up and paid for at Contracts \& Specifications Section.

No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

> Arizona Department of Transportation
> Intermodal Transportation Division
> Contracts and Specifications Section
> 1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F
> Phoenix, Arizona $85007-3217$

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

| Engineering Specialist: | Mohammed Patwary | (602) $712-8187$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction Supervisor: | Carl Ericksen | (928) $524-5421$ |

STEVE HULL, Engineer-Manager Contracts \& Specifications Section

## ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION DIVISION <br> CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

## BID RESULTS

installation of a traffic signal system which includes traffic signal loop detectors，pavement markings，Department－furnished equipment，traffic signals，video detection system，and other related items．
Bid Opening Date ：11／04／2011，Prequalification Required，Engineer Specialist ：Gutierrez Adrian

| Project No． | Highway Termini | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191 AP 446 HX12001C STP－191－E（204）A | CHAMBERS－MEXICAN WATER HIGHWAY（US 191） | US 191 AT N 102－3 Holbrook District |

191 AP 446 HX12001C STP－191－E（204）A CHAMBERS－MEXICAN WATER HIGHWAY（US 191）US 191 AT N 102－3 Holbrook District
2035 W．MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD PHOENIX，AZ 85021
22505 NORTH 19TH AVE PHOENIX，AZ 85027
814 N．BEELINE HWY STE．G PAYSON，AZ 85541
Apparent Low Bidder is 33．5\％Over Department Estimate（Difference $=\mathbf{\$ 4 7 , 3 9 6 . 0 0}$ ）

| Rank | Bid Amount |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 141,523.00$ |
| 2 | $\$ 188,919.00$ |
| 3 | $\$ 189,421.58$ |
| 4 | $\$ 202,553.78$ |
| 4 | $\$ 204,337.41$ |

tLL ELECTRIC，INC．

## Contractor Name

CONTRACTORS WEST，INC．
DEPARTMENT
AJP ELECTRIC，INC．
ROADWAY ELECTRIC，LLC ROADWAY ELECRIC，

# 1830 W．BROADWAY RD．MESA，AZ 85202 <br> 11250 N．CAVE CREEK RD．PHOENIX，AZ 85020 <br> 11250 N．CAVE CREEK RD．PHOENIX，AZ 85020 

 2035 W．MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD PHOENIX，AZITS ENGINEERS AND CONSTRUCTORS OF UTAH inc．
で・レEと「ヤOZ\＄
ค

# ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

## ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2011, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

## TRACS NO <br> PROJ NO <br> TERMINI <br> LOCATION

191 AP 446 HX12001C
STP-191-E(204)A
CHAMBERS - MEXICAN WATER HIGHWAY (US 191)
US 191 AT N 102-3

## MILEPOST <br> 446.68

| DISTRICT | ITEM NO. |
| :---: | ---: |
| HOLBROOK | 19012 |

The amount programmed for this contract is $\$ 225,000.00$. The location and description of the proposed work and the representative items and approximate quantities are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Apache County, on US 191 in Chinle, within the Navajo Nation, at the intersection of $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{102-3}$ at milepost 446.68. The work consists of the installation of a traffic signal system which includes traffic signal loop detectors, pavement markings, Department-furnished equipment, traffic signals, video detection system, and other related items.

| REPRESENTATIVE ITEMS | UNIT | QUANTITY |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Obliterate Pavement Marking Stripe | LF | 8,800 |
| Dual Component Pavement Marking | LF | 6,900 |
| Traffic Signal Pole (Depart Furnished) | EA | 4 |
| Traffic Signal Pole Foundation | EA | 4 |
| Traffic Signal Pole Mast Arm | EA | 7 |
| PVC Conduit | LF | 1,155 |
| Conductors | ESM | 1 |
| Traffic Signal Face (Depart Furnished) | EA | 11 |
| Traffic Signal Mounting Assembly (Depart Furnished) | EA | 11 |
| Control Cabinet Type IV (Depart Furnished) | Ea | 1 |
| Combination UPS/Meter Pedestal (Depart Furnished) | EA | 1 |
| Video Detection Camera (Depart Furnished) | LSUM | 1 |
| Force Account Work (Remove Lead-Base Paint) |  | 1 |

This project is located on a Native American Reservation, in the Navajo Nation area, which may subject the contractor to the laws and regulations of the Navajo Nation and its TERO office. Contractors are advised to make themselves aware of any taxes, fees or any conditions that may be imposed by the Navajo Nation on work performed on the Reservation.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this project will be 45 working days.
The Arizona Department of Transportation hereby notifies all bidders that pursuant to this advertisement for bids, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this solicitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, or national origin in consideration for an award.

Project plans, special provisions, and proposal pamphlets may be purchased from Contracts and Specifications Section, 1651 W. Jackson, Room 121F, Phoenix, AZ 85007-3217, (602) 7127221. Plans and bidding documents should be available for sale to bidders within one week following the advertisement for bids. The cost is $\$ 8.00$, payable at time of order by cash, check or money order. Please indicate whether a bid proposal package or a subcontractor/supplier set is desired. An additional fee of $\$ 5.00$ will be charged for each set of Special Provisions requested which is not accompanied by the purchase of a related set of project plans. Checks
should be made payable to the Arizona Department of Transportation. No refund will be made for plans and specifications returned. We cannot guarantee mail delivery.

This project is eligible for electronic bidding.
No contracting firm will be issued a proposal pamphlet until it has become prequalified. The Application for Contractor Prequalification shall be filed at least 15 calendar days prior to the bid opening date. The Application may be obtained from Contracts and Specifications Section.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than ten percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for ten percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Proposal pamphlets shall be submitted only in the envelope provided by the Department to:

Arizona Department of Transportation Intermodal Transportation Division Contracts and Specifications Section 1651 West Jackson Street, Room 121F Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3217

Sealed bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

| Engineering Specialist: | Adrian C Gutierrez | (602) 712-8257 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction Supervisor: | Carl Ericksen | (928) 524-5421 |

STEVE HULL, Engineer-Manager Contracts \& Specifications Section


[^0]:    John Halikowski, Director
    Arizona Department of Transportation

[^1]:    060 MA 107 H732501C
    TEA-HSIP-060-A(201)A
    10/06/2011

