MINUTES STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

9:00 a.m., Friday, May 9, 2014 City of Flagstaff Council Chambers 211 W. Aspen Ave. Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Roll call by Board Secretary Mary Beckley

In attendance: Steve Christy, Kelly Anderson, Hank Rogers, Joe La Rue, Deanna Beaver, William

Cuthbertson and Jack Sellers.

Absent: None

Opening Remarks

Chairman Christy stated that after adjourning the public hearing, the Board will continue with the regular portion of the Board meeting.

Call to the Audience

The following member of the public addressed the Board:

1. Tom Rankin, Mayor, Town of Florence, re: questions spending taxpayer dollars on so many studies, one being the passenger rail study, when there is not enough money to complete the actual projects.

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING - MAY 9, 2014

INDEX	PAGE
ITEM 1: DISTRICT ENGINEER'S REPORT (Audra Merrick)	2
ITEM 2: DIRECTOR'S REPORT (Floyd Roehrich, Jr.)	12
ITEM 3: CONSENT AGENDA	14
ACTION TAKEN	
MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA	14
ITEM 4: LEGISLATIVE REPORT (Kevin Biesty)	15
ITEM 5: FINANCIAL REPORT (Kristine Ward)	18
ITEM 6: MULTIMODAL PLANNING DIVISION REPORT (Scott Omer)	26
ITEM 7: PRIORITY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PPAC) (Scott Omer)	35
ACTION TAKEN	
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM NO. 7ad	37
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM NO. 7ah	39
MOTION TO APPROVE PROJECT MODIFICATION ITEMS 7a-7ag EXCLUDING	7ad42
MOTION TO APPROVE NEW PROJECTS ITEMS 7ak-7at	43
ITEM 8: NEW URBAN AREA AIRPORT SAWTOOTH	45
ACTION TAKEN	
MOTION TO APPROVE NEW URBAN AREA AIRPORT SAWTOOTH	47
ITEM 9: STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT (Dallas Hammit)	48
ITEM 10: CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS (Dallas Hammit)	48
ACTION TAKEN	
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 10a	49
MOTION TO APPROVE ITEM 10b	51

STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING - MAY 9, 2014

	Į.	NDEX	PAGE
MOTION TO	APPROVE ITEM 10c	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	52
MOTION TO	APPROVE ITEM 10d		54
ITEM 11: UPDATE	ON PROPOSED SOUTH M	OUNTAIN CORRIDOR ALTERNAT	IVE DELIVERY
<u>OPTIONS</u>	(Floyd Roehrich, Jr.)	•••••	55
ITEM 12: SUGGEST	<u>IONS</u>		71
MOTION TO ADJOU	IRN		72

MS. MERRICK: I THINK I'M THE PERSON ON THE

GROUND TALKING ABOUT SOME ONGOING CONSTRUCTION. 14:02:13 4

GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN CHRISTY, MEMBERS OF

THE BOARD, DEPUTY DIRECTOR ROEHRICH, STAFF, MEMBERS OF THE 14:02:19 6

PUBLIC. WELCOME TO FLAGSTAFF. WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE YOU 14:02:23 7

HERE TODAY.

14:02:05 2

14:02:12 3

14:02:16 5

14:02:26 8

14:02:39 12

14-02-47 15

14:02:50 16

14:04:55 19

14:04:57 20

14:05:00 21

14:05:04 22

14:05:09 23

14:05:13 24

14:05:17 25

17

14:02:27 9 FIRST I WAS GOING TO SHOW YOU A QUICK

14:02:32 10 DISTRICT, FLAGSTAFF DISTRICT MAP. WE HAVE A RATHER LARGE

14:02:35 11 DISTRICT. WE ENCOMPASS 16 PERCENT OF THE STATE AREA. TO

GIVE YOU SOME PERSPECTIVE OF SCALE, TO DRIVE FROM

14:02:41 13 FLAGSTAFF TO LITTLEFIELD IS FIVE HOURS. AND FLAGSTAFF IS

14:02:44 14 THE RED DOT ON THE BOTTOM OF THE SCREEN, AND LITTLEFIELD

IS OUT IN THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE STATE UP ON

INTERSTATE 15.

I'M A LITTLE SHORT HERE FOR MY MICROPHONE,

18 SORRY.

SO WHAT I'M GOING TO TALK TO YOU TODAY ABOUT

IS SOME OF OUR ONGOING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. CURRENTLY

IN THE FLAGSTAFF DISTRICT WE HAVE 14 ADOT CONSTRUCTION

PROJECTS GOING ON TOTALING 1 POINT -- OR \$108.3 MILLION.

THOSE ARE ALL SIGNIFIED BY THE RED DOTS ON THE MAP.

I'LL SPARE YOU SOME TIME TODAY. I'M JUST

GOING TO TALK ABOUT FIVE OF THOSE 14. I'LL START ON THE

14:05:21 1 14:05:25 2 14:05:30 3 14:05:32 4 14:05:37 5 14:05:41 6 14:05:43 7 14:05:45 8 14:05:48 9 14:05:52 1 0 14:05:56 11 14:05:59 12 14:06:03 13 14:06:05 14 14:06:07 15 14:06:12 16 14:06:14 17 14:06:17 18 14:06:20 19 14:06:26 20 14:06:30 21

14:06:30 22

14:06:32 23

14:06:35 24

14:06:38 25

SOUTH AT STATE ROUTE 89A, PUMPHOUSE WASH OVERLOOK. AND THEN I'LL MOVE NORTH ON STATE ROUTE 89A, TALK ABOUT JW POWELL WHICH IS JUST HERE IN FLAGSTAFF. AND THEN I'LL PASS FLAGSTAFF GOING NORTH UP TO CAMERON AND TALK ABOUT THE U.S. 89 CAMERON BRIDGE ON 89. AND THEN MY FOURTH AND FIFTH PROJECT ARE JUST A BRIEF OVERVIEW ON BRIDGES 3, 7, 6, A COUPLE ON INTERSTATE 15.

SO THIS IS THE FIRST OF -- OF FIVE PROJECTS THAT I'LL TALK TO YOU ABOUT TODAY. IT'S THE STATE ROUTE 89A PUMPHOUSE WASH OVERLOOK PROJECT. IT'S A PAVEMENT PRESERVATION PROJECT. IT WAS AWARDED IN FEBRUARY TO COMBS CONSTRUCTION. IT'S GOT THE \$2 MILLION PROJECT AND IT'S JUST STARTING CONSTRUCTION NOW.

IT'S LOCATED ON STATE ROUTE 89A BETWEEN SEDONA AND FLAGSTAFF IN WHAT US LOCALS CALL THE SWITCHBACKS. AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS EXACTLY WHAT THE PICTURE SAYS, THE ROAD KIND OF WINDS BACK AND FORTH. NAVIGATES UP THE MOUNTAIN TO FLAGSTAFF. CURRENTLY THE CONTRACTOR IS WORKING ON W-BEAM GUARDRAIL, AND AFTER THE MEMORIAL DAY HOLIDAY PLANS TO START THE PAVING AND MILL AND FILL.

WE ALSO HAVE SOME ROCK SCALING ON THIS PROJECT. THIS IS JUST ONE OF THE LOCATIONS. I LIKE THIS PHOTO BECAUSE IT REALLY -- UM, YOU REALLY CAN APPRECIATE THE NARROWNESS OF THE ROAD TO WHICH THE CONTRACTOR AND OUR

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters

602.264.2230

14:06:42 1 WORKERS WILL BE OUT WORKING ON.

CAMPERS IN THE AREA.

14:06:45 2

14:06:48 3

14:06:53 4

14:06:56 5

14:06:58 6

14:07:00 7

14-07-03 8

14:07:08 9

14:07:12 10

14:07:17 11

*4:07:18 12

14:07:25 13

14:07:30 14

14:07:34 15

14:07:37 16

14:07:41 17

14:07:45 18

14:07:49 19

14:07:52 2.0

14:07:55 21

14:07:59 22

14:08:02 23

14:08:06 24

14:08:07 25

THIS IS ALSO THE PUMPHOUSE WASH BRIDGE.

4

14:08:12 1

14:08:16 2

14:08:18 3

14:08:20 4

14:08:24 5

14.08.28 6

14:08:31 7

14:08:35 8

14:08:38 9

14:08:39 10

14:08:41 11

4:08:45 12

14:08:47 13

14:08:50 14

14:08:52 15

14:08:56 16

14:08:59 17

14:09:03 18

14:09:05 1 9

14:09:07 20

14:09:10 21

14:09:14 22

14:09:17 23

14:09:20 24

14:09:23 25

WE'LL BE REMOVING THE A/C FROM THAT STRUCTURE, PERFORMING

SOME REMEDIAL DECK WORK AND SEALING IT.

ON THE RIGHT, THIS IS KIND OF A LITTLE

INTERESTING THING, I THOUGHT I'D PUT IT IN, THERE IS A

FOUNTAIN ON THE SOUTH END OF THIS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT.

AND ADOT IS COMMITTED TO MAINTAINING THAT ACCESS TO THAT

FOUNTAIN FOR NOT ONLY CAMPERS BUT HIKERS. IT IS A -- IT

IS ON FOREST SERVICE AND SO THERE IS A LOT OF HIKERS AND

MOVING NORTH ON STATE ROUTE 89A, THIS IS THE JW POWELL PROJECT. IT'S LOCATED AT THE AIRPORT TI AND I-17, SO WHEN YOU ALL HEAD DOWN SOUTH TO PHOENIX TODAY OR GO BACK TO THE AIRPORT, YOU'LL BE ABLE TO SEE THIS PROJECT, ESPECIALLY IF YOU'RE GOING TO THE AIRPORT AND YOU GET OFF THE I-17 SOUTHBOUND RAMPS. IT'S AN INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT PROJECT. IT WAS AWARDED IN MARCH TO FANN CONTRACTING. IT'S A \$6.3 MILLION CONTRACT AND IT'S REALLY JUST STARTING NOW. WE'RE WORKING ON THE UTILITIES TO GET THEM OUT OF WHERE WE NEED THEM TO BE. AND WE'RE FINISHED WITH THE TREE REMOVAL, WHICH IS SHOWN BY THAT BROWN SWATH ON THE LEFT. THAT'S GOING TO BE THE REALIGNMENT OF STATE ROUTE 89A.

THE RED DOTS ON THE SCREEN REPRESENT THE

FUTURE ROUNDABOUTS. AND SO WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN ON THIS PROJECT IS WE'RE GOING TO REALIGN -- THIS IS LOOKING SOUTH. I SHOULD HAVE MENTIONED THAT EARLIER, PARDON. SO WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO IS REALIGN THOSE SOUTHBOUND I-17 RAMPS TO THE LEFT DOT, WHICH WILL BE ONE OF THE FUTURE ROUNDABOUT LOCATIONS. AND THEN WE'LL REALIGN STATE ROUTE 89A TO THE DOT ON THE RIGHT, AND IT WILL BE REALIGNED THROUGH THAT BROWN SWATH AREA WHERE WE'VE ALREADY REMOVED THE TREES.

THE TREE AREA TO THE RIGHT IS FOR TUTHILL COUNTY PARK. AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE PARK SERVICE AND THIS PROJECT, THE DEVELOPMENT. WE'LL CONTINUE DURING CONSTRUCTION TO MAKE SURE THEY HAVE THE ACCESS THAT THEY NEED.

SO MOVING NORTH OF FLAGSTAFF UP ON U.S. 89, WE'RE NOW IN CAMERON. THIS IS A BRICK REPLACEMENT PROJECT. IT WAS AWARDED IN OCTOBER, A \$37 MILLION PROJECT. WE'RE JUST 11 PERCENT COMPLETE AND THE CONTRACTOR IS VASCO.

ALSO INCLUDED ON THIS PROJECT IS

CONSTRUCTION OF A ROUNDABOUT ON STATE ROUTE 64 AND U.S.

89. AND ALSO 89 FROM THAT JUNCTION TO THIS BRIDGE

STRUCTURE WILL THEN BE A DIVIDED HIGHWAY AS WELL. WE'LL

HAVE PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ON EACH SIDE, AND WE'LL HAVE A

FEW TUNNELS UNDERNEATH OF 89 SO THAT PEOPLE CAN SAFELY

u8:46:53 25

6

14:09:27 1	PASS THE ROAD.
14:09:28 2	SO THIS IS THE CAMERON BRIDGE, THE EXISTING
14:09:31 3	BRIDGE TODAY. IT GOES OVER THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER.
14:09:40 4	RIGHT NOW WE'RE INSTALLING SHAFTS OUT ON THE PROJECT. I
08:44:20 5	BELIEVE THESE ARE THE (INAUDIBLE) SHAFTS, THEY'RE
08:44:23 6	SIX-AND-A-HALF FOOT DIAMETER SHAFTS. THE DRILL RIG IS UP
08:44:29 7	IN THE TOP LEFT-HAND CORNER OF THE SCREEN, AND THE STEEL
08:44:32 8	CAGE IS THE TINY NARROW ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE SCREEN.
08:44:34 9	SO PROJECTS 4 AND 5, WE'RE NOW UP ON I-15,
08:44:37 10	THIS IS BRIDGE 3 AND 7. THESE ARE DECK REHAB PROJECTS.
08:44:42 11	THEY WERE AWARDED IN SEPTEMBER, \$2.8 MILLION CONTRACT
98:44:46 12	TOTAL. I SAY TOTAL BECAUSE IT'S ACTUALLY TWO PROJECTS
08:44:49 13	WITH ONE CONTRACT. BOTH PROJECTS ARE 50 PERCENT COMPLETE.
14	AGAIN, THE CONTRACTOR IS VASCO.
08:44:54 15	THE PICTURES ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE SCREEN
08:44:56 16	ARE JUST PHOTOS OF THE I-15 BRIDGE DECKS PRIOR TO
08:44:59 17	CONSTRUCTION. THE TOP IS BRIDGE 3, THE BOTTOM IS BRIDGE
08:45:02 18	7. YOU CAN SEE ON THE TOP PHOTO ON BRIDGE 3, THAT'S
08:45:06 19	ACTUALLY A THAT'S A MAN'S GLOVE SITTING IN THE MIDDLE
08:45:10 20	OF THE CONCRETE SLAB TO GIVE YOU SOME PERSPECTIVE OF
08:45:13 21	SCALE.
08:45:13 22	SO CURRENTLY TODAY UM, THIS IS ACTUALLY
08:45:17 23	BRIDGE 7. THAT'S THE HYDROGEN (INAUDIBLE) EQUIPMENT ON
08:45:20 24	THE LEFT. WHAT WE'RE DOING IS MILLING OUT ABOUT

08:45:26 1	BRIDGE DECK. WE TRIED TO GET WE TRIED TO GET DOWN TO
08:45:28 2	THAT TOP REENFORCEMENT STEEL LAYER A LITTLE BELOW, SO THAT
08:45:32 3	WHEN WE PUT THE MICROSILICA CONCRETE BACK, IT ACTUALLY HAS
08:45:37 4	SOMETHING TO BOND TO.
08:45:38 5	AND THEN THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE SCREEN IS
08:45:40 6	BRIDGE 7 AGAIN, AND IT'S SHOWING, LIKE WE DID THE FIRST
08:45:45 7	PASS, OR FIRST PHASE OF THE HYDRO. SEVEN HAS SINCE BEEN
08:45:49 8	POURED. IT WAS POURED LAST WEEK. BRIDGE 3 WILL BE POURED
08:45:52 9	PROBABLY IN ABOUT TWO WEEKS.
08:45:54 10	THE LAST PROJECT I'LL TALK TO YOU ABOUT
08:46:01 11	TODAY IS I-15 CMAR BRIDGE 6. IT'S A SUPERSTRUCTURE
38:46:07 12	REPLACEMENT PROJECT. IT DOES INCLUDE SOME SUBSTRUCTURE
08:46:11 13	WORK. WE'LL BE ADDING COLUMNS, EXTERIOR COLUMNS IN EACH
08:46:15 14	OF THE PIERS, ALONG WITH EXTENDING THE CAPS OVER THE
08:46:18 15	COLUMNS. IT'S A \$34 MILLION PROJECT. IT WAS AWARDED IN
08:46:21 16	JANUARY. IT'S EIGHT PERCENT COMPLETE. IT'S A JOINT
08:46:24 17	VENTURE BETWEEN PULICE AND WADSWORTH.
08:46:27 18	WE'RE CONCURRENTLY CONSTRUCTING THE ACCESS
08:46:31 19	ROAD ON THIS STRUCTURE IN ORDER TO GET DOWN TO THE BOTTOM
08:46:34 20	AND START THE SUBSTRUCTURE WORK, SO WE'RE CONSTRUCTING THE
08:46:37 21	ACCESS ROAD NOW. WHAT YOU SEE HERE IN THE PICTURE IS PIER
08:46:41 22	2 IS TOWARDS YOU, PIER 3 IS AWAY FROM YOU. YOU SEE THAT
08:46:45 23	CONCRETE L PANEL WALL GOING BETWEEN THE PIERS. YOU CAN
08:46:50 24	SEE THE GENTLEMAN ON THE LEFT SO YOU CAN GET A SENSE OF

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

ONE-AND-A-HALF TO TWO-AND-A-HALF INCHES OF THE TOP OF THE

08:45:23 25

THE SCALE OF THE HEIGHT OF THAT WALL. AND THE ACCESS ROAD

WOULD	BE	RIGHT	ABOVE	THAT	W.	ALL.	THE	ACCE	SSS	ROAI	IS		
ACTUAI	LY	BEING	INSTAI	LED	TO	HELP	US	STAY	OUT	OF	THE	RIVER	

08:46:56 1

08:46:58 2 08:47:02 3

08:47:06 4

08:47:11 5

08:47:15 6

08:47:18 7

08:47:22 8

08:47:25 9

08:47:29 10

08:47:31 11

8:47:32 12

08:47:36 13

08:47:40 14

08:47:43 15

08:47:48 16 08:47:52 17

08:47:57 18

08:48:01 19

08:48:03 20

08:48:08 21

08:48:11 22

08:48:14 23

08:48:18 24

08:48:19 25

THIS IS MY FAVORITE PICTURE OF THE PRESENTATION. I FELT THESE ARE EXPERT BRIDGE INSPECTORS. WE HAVE A BIGHORN SHEEP ON THE LEFT, AND I BELIEVE IT'S A CHUCKWALLA, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS A HUGE LIZARD, ON THE RIGHT. ONE GREAT THING ABOUT RURAL ARIZONA IS YOU'RE OUT ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AND YOU DO GET TO APPRECIATE THE WILDLIFE. SOME OF OUR INSPECTORS ACTUALLY CARRY BINOCULARS IN THEIR TRUCK TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF SOME OF THOSE OPPORTUNITIES.

SO IF YOU RECALL AT THE BEGINNING I SAID WE HAD 14 ACTIVE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. IN THE INTEREST OF YOUR TIME -- I TALKED ABOUT FIVE OF THEM HERE TODAY. WE DO HAVE EIGHT PENDING AT \$52 BILLION. THAT EIGHT PENDING DOES INCLUDE THE PERMANENT FIX TO THE U.S. 89 LANDSLIDE, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, A BIG CHUNK OF THAT 52.7 MILLION.

SO ADOT PROJECTS ALONE WILL BE UNDER CONSTRUCTION WITH 22 PROJECTS THIS SEASON AT 161 MILLION. I DO SAY THAT CAUTIOUSLY, BECAUSE THE WAY OUR CONSTRUCTION SEASONS WORK IS USUALLY OUR CONSTRUCTION SEASONS GO OVER TWO SEASONS. SO THESE AREN'T NECESSARILY FISCAL YEAR 14 DOLLAR AMOUNTS, THEY'RE ACTUALLY ROLLOVERS FROM LAST YEAR PLUS NEW STUFF FOR THIS YEAR. AND THAT'S JUST HOW WE OPERATE IN THE RURAL DISTRICTS BECAUSE OF OUR SNOW AND OUR

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

18-48-20 °	1 .	TNATIDER	127	SEASON

08:48:32 6

08:48:35 7

08:48:40 8

08:48:45 9

08:48:49 10

08:48:53 11

78:48:55 12

08:48:58 13

08:49:01 14

08:49:03 15

08:49:04 1 6

08:49:05 17

08:49:11 18

08:49:15 19

08:49:17 20

08:49:20 22

08:49:22 23

08:49:23 24

08:49:25 25

IN ADDITION TO THAT, WE ALSO ADMINISTER 08:48:21 2 08:48:23 3 LOCAL PROJECTS. AND WE CURRENTLY HAVE EIGHT UNDER CONSTRUCTION AND WE HAVE 12 PENDING FOR THIS SEASON. SO 08:48:26 4 08:48:29 5 WE'LL HAVE A TOTAL OF 42 PROJECTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE FLAGSTAFF DISTRICT.

> LOOKING AHEAD IN THE FISCAL YEAR 15, WE ALSO MOVE INTO THE PRESERVATION MODE, WHICH MUCH OF THE STATE IS. SO THOSE ARE JUST KIND OF SOME GENERAL IDEAS OF PROJECTS WE'LL BE SEEING, OUR PAVEMENT (INAUDIBLE), OUR PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE, YOU KNOW, SIMILAR TO THE OTHER DISTRICTS, SIMILAR TO WHAT YOU HEARD HERE TODAY ALREADY.

SO THIS ENDS MY PRESENTATION, AND I THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, AND WELCOME TO THE FLAGSTAFF DISTRICT.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ENGINEER MERRICK, JUST TO REFRESH OUR MEMORIES ON THE I-15 BRIDGES, HOW MANY TOTAL BRIDGES ARE THERE ON I-15 IN THAT SECTION AND HOW MANY ARE BEING UNDER REPAIR AND RECONSTRUCTION?

MS. MERRICK: I THINK THERE IS ACTUALLY --

08:49:19 21 IS THERE SEVEN BRIDGES?

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SEVEN BRIDGES.

MS. MERRICK: SEVEN BRIDGES.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HOW MANY?

MS. MERRICK: SEVEN LOCATIONS.

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

1	A VOICE: EIGHT BRIDGES, SEVEN LOCATIONS.
08:49:27 2	MS. MERRICK: EIGHT BRIDGES, SEVEN
08:49:28 3	LOCATIONS. I ALWAYS FORGET THE NUMBERS. AND SO RIGHT NOW
08:49:30 4	WE'RE WORKING ON 3 AND 7, WHICH ARE THE DECK REHABS. AND
08:49:33 5	WE DO INCLUDED IN ONE OF THOSE THERE IS ALSO BRIDGE 2
08:49:37 6	WHICH I DIDN'T TALK ABOUT WHICH WE'RE DOING SOME JOINTS
08:49:40 7	ON, BUT IT'S UNDER THE 3, 7 PROJECT, AND THEN WE HAVE CMAR
8	6.
08:49:44 9	A VOICE: WHICH WAS A TIGER GRANT.
08:49:45 10	MS. MERRICK: WHICH WAS A TIGER GRANT, YES.
08:49:47 11	THANK YOU.
38:49:47 12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SO WE HAVE EIGHT BRIDGES
08:49:49 13	IN SEVEN LOCATIONS, FOUR ARE BEING REMEDIATED?
08:49:55 14	MS. MERRICK: CORRECT.
08:49:56 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: FOR A TOTAL OF HOW MUCH
08:49:58 16	FOR THOSE FOUR?
08:49:59 17	MS. MERRICK: UM, THE THE 3 AND 7, UM, I
08:50:05 18	THINK IT WAS TWO MILLION, AND THEN CMAR 6 IS WHICH
08:50:10 19	INCLUDES TO CMAR 6 IS 34 MILLION, SO 36 FOR 3, 7, 6,
08:50:20 20	JOINTS ON TWO.
08:50:21 21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND WHAT IS THE THE
08:50:24 22	SITUATION WITH THE REMAINING BRIDGES?
08:50:26 23	MS. MERRICK: I UNDERSTAND THE DEPARTMENT IS
08:50:28 24	WORKING ON A TIGER GRANT FOR BRIDGE 1 AT THE MOMENT.
08:50:33 25	A VOICE: MR. CHAIR, THAT IS CORRECT. THE

08:50:35 1	WE DID SUBMIT A TIGER GRANT. TIGER GRANTS WERE DUE
08:50:39 2	BACK IN APRIL, SO WE SUBMITTED ANOTHER BRIDGE FOR TIGER
08:50:43 3	GRANT HOPING THAT WE COULD GET THE FUNDS FOR THAT, BUT
08:50:45 4	THAT WAS THE STRATEGY, AS MS. MERRICK POINTED OUT, WE'RE
08:50:50 5	REHABILITATING THE BRIDGES THAT WE HAVE FOR MINOR AMOUNTS
08:50:54 6	TO KEEP THEM FUNCTIONAL AND SAFE AS WE CONTINUE TO LOOK
08:50:58 7	FOR (INAUDIBLE) FUNDING.
08:50:59 8	IF YOU REMEMBER, TO REPLACE ALL EIGHT
08:51:01 9	BRIDGES, TO RECONSTRUCT ALL EIGHT BRIDGES WAS CLOSE TO
08:51:05 10	\$250 MILLION, WHICH THIS BOARD AND OURSELVES HAVE LOOKED
08:51:08 11	AT AND FELT THAT WITHIN THE PROGRAM IS VERY DIFFICULT TO
38:51:12 12	RE-PRIORITIZE THAT AND TAKE A WHOLE BUNCH OF OTHER
08:51:15 13	PROJECTS OUT.
08:51:16 14	SO WE ARE LOOKING AT TIGER GRANTS, WE'RE
08:51:18 15	LOOKING AT OTHER FUNDING SOURCES, BUT WE'VE NOT SOLVED THE
08:51:20 16	LONG TERM FUNDING OF WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO
08:51:24 17	REHABILITATE ALL THOSE BRIDGES THAT NEED THAT. NOW, WE'RE
08:51:26 18	CONTINUING TO LOOK AT THEM ONE AT A TIME, CONTINUING TO
08:51:28 19	PROGRAM. YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE IS, AT THE FIVE-YEAR
08:51:29 2 0	PROGRAM, ANOTHER BRIDGE IS IN THERE FOR DESIGN TO CONTINUE
08:51:32 21	TO PUT WE'RE TRYING TO PIECEMEAL IT TOGETHER AS QUICKLY
08:51:35 22	AS WE CAN WITHOUT SACRIFICING THE REST OF THE STATE.
08:51:38 23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND THAT YOU
08:51:39 24	ARTICULATED, ACTUALLY, ALL THE QUESTIONS I HAD REGARDING
08:51:41 25	THAT ENTIRE STRING OF BRIDGES AND PROJECT. IT'S A

PUBLIC, IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE TIME TO ANALYZE

	Requested Fortion beginning ws. werrick - way 5, 2014
	12
08:51:43 1	NUMBER OF US VISITED THAT SITE AND IT IS VERY, VERY
08:51:48 2	TROUBLESOME.
08:51:49 3	ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD OF ENGINEER
08:51:52 4	MERRICK?
5	(NO RESPONSE.)
08:51:55 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU FOR YOUR
08:51:55 7	PRESENTATION.
08:51:56 8	MS. MERRICK: MR. CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE TO ADD
08:51:59 9	ONE MORE THING. BRIDGES 3 AND 7, JUST FOR CLARITY, THOSE
08:52:03 10	ARE THE SOUTHBOUND STRUCTURES, SO IT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE
08:52:04 11	NORTHBOUND STRUCTURES. AND THEY'RE JUST BRIDGE DECK
8:52:05 12	REHABS, SO THEY'RE JUST A FIX FOR SOME FUTURE IMPROVEMENT,
08:52:08 13	WHETHER THAT'S BRIDGE DECK REPLACEMENT OR SOMETHING ELSE
08:52:11 14	IN THE FUTURE, SO THANK YOU.
08:52:12 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU.
08:52:13 16	WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT.
08:52:15 17	REPORTING ON BEHALF OF THE DIRECTOR WILL BE MR. ROEHRICH.
08:52:24 18	MR. ROEHRICH: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR, AND
08:52:25 19	GOOD MORNING, AS WELL, TO ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS.
08:52:28 20	JUST A LAST MINUTE ITEM, I DID WANT TO TO
08:52:47 21	EMPHASIZE THE IMPORTANCE OF THE MAY 20TH (INAUDIBLE) STUDY
08:52:52 22	SESSION, AND REALLY THAT THAT STUDY SESSION NEEDS TO BE
08:52:55 23	AWARE, WE ANALYZE AND LOOK AT ALL THE ADJUSTMENTS THAT WE
08:52:59 24	MAKE TO THE FIVE-YEAR PROGRAM THAT THE BOARD WANTS IN
08:53:03 25	CONSIDERATION OF THEIR OWN ANALYSIS, AS WELL AS FROM THE

08:53:10 2	IT, MAKE SURE IT'S FISCALLY CONSTRAINED, MAKE SURE THAT IT
08:53:13 3	DOESN'T VIOLATE ANY OF THE OTHER FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS,
08:53:16 4	RESPONSIBILITIES WE HAVE, SO WE CAN BRING IT BACK IN JUNE,
08:53:19 5	SO IT DOES NEED TO BE A COMPREHENSIVE DISCUSSION.
08:53:22 6	AND IN THAT REGARD, THERE ARE THREE ITEMS ON
08:53:25 7	THAT STUDY SESSION I WANTED TO HIT. THE STATE (INAUDIBLE)
08:53:27 8	IS GOING TO GIVE US A SHORT PRESENTATION ON THEIR REQUEST
08:53:29 9	FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING. I THINK ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS HAD
08:53:32 10	RECEIVED THEIR LETTER THAT THEY HAD SUBMITTED REQUESTING
08:53:35 11	THAT, SO WE'RE GOING TO GIVE THEM TEN MINUTES TO COME IN
8:53:38 12	AND FOLLOW UP ON THAT.
13	WE ARE GOING TO GO THROUGH THE FIVE-YEAR
08:53:40 14	COMPREHENSIVE COMPREHENSIVE DISCUSSION OF THE FIVE-YEAR
08:53:42 15	PROGRAM AND ANALYZE IT. AND THEN AT THE END OF THAT,
08:53:44 16	WE'RE GOING TO SPEND A FEW MINUTES KIND OF TALKING ABOUT
08:53:47 17	THE FUTURE FUNDING REQUESTS THAT A COUPLE OF THE BOARD
08:53:49 18	MEMBERS HAVE ASKED US TO LOOK AT, CASA GRANDE (INAUDIBLE)
08:53:52 19	AND HOW THAT FITS INTO THE POSSIBILITY AND ANY OTHER
08:53:53 20	FUTURE FUNDING POSSIBILITIES THAT MIGHT BE THERE IN
08:53:56 21	CONSIDERATION. AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A SHORT DISCUSSION
08:53:59 22	PRESENTATION TO DISCUSS THAT WITH THE BOARD, SO THOSE
08:54:02 23	ARE THE THREE ITEMS THAT WE HAVE FOR THE BOARD STUDY
08:54:06 24	SESSION.
8:54:06 25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR

	14
08:54:08 1	REPORT?
08:54:09 2	MR. ROEHRICH: THAT'S ALL I HAVE.
08:54:10 3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU, MR. ROEHRICH.
08:54:11 4	WITH THAT, WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE
08:54:14 5	A VOICE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK
08:54:15 6	A QUESTION.
08:54:16 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I DON'T THINK AT THIS
08:54:17 8	POINT YOU CAN ASK QUESTIONS ON THAT AGENDA ITEM, CAN YOU?
08:54:21 9	A VOICE: OH.
08:54:22 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: NO, I'M SORRY.
08:54:23 11	A VOICE: OKAY. THAT'S FINE.
8:54:24 12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE
08:54:25 13	CONSENT AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 3.
08:54:27 14	DOES ANY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS WISH TO PULL
08:54:30 15	AN INDIVIDUAL ITEM FOR DISCUSSION OR DISPOSITION?
16	(NO RESPONSE.)
08:54:33 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO REQUESTS, THE
08:54:34 18	CHAIR WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT
08:54:37 19	AGENDA ITEMS AS PRESENTED.
08:54:41 20	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: SO MOVED.
08:54:41 21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
08:54:42 22	MR. ANDERSON.
23	BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: SECOND.
08:54:43 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND A SECOND BY
25	MR. SELLERS.

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

15
ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION, SIGNIFY BY
SAY AYE.
BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
(NO RESPONSE.)
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE MOTION
PASSES AND THE CONSENT AGENDA IS APPROVED AS PRESENTED.
WE'LL MOVE ON TO LEGISLATIVE REPORT ITEM 4
AND HEAR FROM OUR DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS,
MR. KEVIN BIESTY.
MR. BIESTY, I SHOULD HAVE HAD YOU ON DECK.
MR. BIESTY: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN,
MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE, THE BOARD, I SHOULD SAY.
CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: YOU'RE BACK IN
WASHINGTON, HUH?
MR. BIESTY: I'M BACK AT THE LEGISLATURE.
I DON'T HAVE A WHOLE LOT TO REPORT. YOU'VE
HAD A LONG MORNING, SO I'M GOING TO KIND OF GO THROUGH
THIS PRETTY QUICK.
AS YOU KNOW, THE STATE LEGISLATURE ADJOURNED
ON APRIL 24TH. WE'RE EXPECTING A SPECIAL SESSION HERE IN
THE UPCOMING WEEKS TO DEAL WITH THE CPS ISSUE. OBVIOUSLY
ADOT WILL NOT HAVE A ROLE IN THAT, BUT THEY WILL BE BACK
IN SESSION HERE PRETTY SOON.
BOTH THE AGENCY BILLS THAT ADOT HAD PROPOSED

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

08:55:42 1	HAVE PASSED. WE'RE WORKING ON OUR SUMMARY OF THE SESSION
08:55:46 2	WHICH YOU'LL BE GETTING HERE SHORTLY. WE'LL E-MAIL THAT
8:55:50 3	TO YOU, A LINK TO THE REPORT, SO YOU CAN SEE EVERYTHING
8:55:53 4	THAT HAPPENED THIS PAST SESSION.
8:55:54 5	ON THE FEDERAL LEVEL WE CONTINUE TO WORK
8:55:56 6	WITH THE STAKEHOLDERS ON THE I-11 DESIGNATION LANGUAGE AND
8:56:00 7	POSSIBLE FUNDING OPTIONS. WE'RE GETTING CLOSE. AND WE'LL
8:56:03 8	HAVE SOMETHING FOR THE BOARD TO LOOK AT HERE, HOPEFULLY,
8:56:08 9	IN THE NEXT WEEK OR TWO.
8:56:11 10	REAUTHORIZATION, THE PRESIDENT PROPOSED A
8:56:14 11	FOUR-YEAR BILL. THE HOUSE HAS NOT MADE A PROPOSAL YET,
8:56:18 12	THEY'RE STILL HAVING MEETINGS. AND THE SENATE IS
8:56:21 13	SCHEDULED TO RELEASE A DRAFT OF THEIR PROPOSAL MONDAY WITH
8:56:24 14	HEARINGS ON THURSDAY.
8:56:27 15	ALL INDICATIONS AT THIS POINT, WITH THE
8:56:29 1.6	IMPENDING SHORTFALL OF THE NEXT NINE WEEKS, ALL
8:56:34 17	INDICATIONS ARE THAT CONGRESS WILL PROBABLY PASS A
8:56:37 18	SHORT-TERM EXTENSION WITH SOME ADDITIONAL FUNDS. THAT'S
8:56:41 19	WHAT WE ARE HEARING SO
8:56:44 20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: IS THAT A CONTINUING
8:56:45 21	RESOLUTION?
8:56:47 22	MR. BIESTY: YES, YES, SIR. SO AT LEAST TO
8:56:50 23	GET US PAST THE FUNDING CLIFF, AND ALSO PROBABLY TO GET
8:56:54 24	INTO THE NEW YEAR PAST THE ELECTION, SO WE'LL SEE HOW THAT

08:57:01 1	THAT'S ALL I HAVE.
08:57:03 2	IF YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS, I'D BE
08:57:04 3	HAPPY TO
08:57:04 4	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I DO. MR. BIESTY, COULD
08:57:06 5	YOU EXPAND A LITTLE BIT ON THE PROCESS OF THE REWORDING OR
08:57:09 6	REWORKING OF THE I-11 RE-DESIGNATION, AND JUST GIVE A
08:57:14 7	LITTLE BRIEF SURMISE ALL OF THAT.
08:57:17 8	MR. BIESTY: SURE, MR. CHAIRMAN.
08:57:18 9	WE'VE HAD A SERIES OF MEETINGS, ACTUALLY THE
08:57:21 10	LAST MEETING WE HAD, WE HAD REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
08:57:24 11	WASHINGTON TEAMS THAT EACH THAT NEVADA HAS, ADOT HAS,
8:57:27 12	AND INTERESTED PARTIES, TO KIND OF FINE TUNE THE LANGUAGE.
08:57:31 13	AND WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT NOW AND I'LL TALK ABOUT THE
08:57:34 14	DESIGNATION. WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT APPROPRIATE
08:57:39 15	LANGUAGE THAT WILL INCORPORATE THE BORDER TO BORDER
08:57:41 16	CONCEPT THAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING FOR THE PAST YEAR OR
08:57:45 17	TWO TO MAKE SURE IN THE FEDERAL LAW THAT THAT'S CLEAR TO
08:57:50 18	EVERYBODY.
08:57:52 19	AT THE SAME TIME, NEVADA HAS SOME PARTS THAT
08:57:55 20	ALSO WANT TO BE INCLUDED IN THAT, SO WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE
08:57:59 21	SURE THAT EVERYBODY IS OKAY WITH WHAT WE'RE PROPOSING.
08:58:04 22	AGAIN, FROM THE ARIZONA STANDPOINT IS TO BE THE BORDER TO
08:58:08 23	BORDER CONCEPT, AND THAT'S ALL INCLUSIVE.
08:58:11 24	AND THEN THE FUNDING IS ALSO KIND OF STICKY,
08:58:14 25	BECAUSE THE HOUSE HAS AN EARMARK BAND, AND, YOU KNOW, YOU

GOES. WE'LL KEEP YOU -- KEEP YOU INFORMED ON THAT. AND

08:56:59 25

08:59:36 1 08:59:39 2 08:59:43 3 08:59:49 4 08:59:56 5 09:00:00 6 09:00:03 7 09:00:03 8 09:00:07 9 09:00:10 10 09:00:16 11 9:00:19 12 09:00:22 13 09:00:23 14 09:00:26 15 09:00:30 16 09:00:33 17 09:00:37 18

09:00:37 19

09:00:45 20 09:00:48 21

09:00:53 22

09:00:55 23

09:00:59 24

TALKING ABOUT.

	18
08:58:18 1	HAVE TO BALANCE TRYING TO PRIORITIZE THIS WITHOUT IT BEING
08:58:24 2	AN EARMARK AND WITHOUT TAKING FROM OTHER STATES, BECAUSE
08:58:29 3	THEN THEY'LL OPPOSE IT. SO THERE IS, I LIKE TO CALL IT,
08:58:35 4	LEGISLATIVE JIU-JITSU GOING ON RIGHT NOW. I'M PRETTY SURE
08:58:37 5	WE'LL HAVE A PRODUCT (INAUDIBLE) HERE IN THE NEXT WEEK OR
08:58:40 6	TWO.
08:58:40 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND THAT PRODUCT WILL
08:58:41 8	CERTAINLY REFLECT THE SOUTHERN ARIZONA CONNECTIVITY ISSUE,
08:58:45 9	PART OF THE BORDER TO BORDER CONCEPT?
08:58:48 10	MR. BIESTY: THAT'S THAT'S CORRECT, SIR.
08:58:49 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: GREAT.
8:58:49 12	ANY QUESTIONS OF MR. BIESTY?
13	(NO RESPONSE.)
08:58:51 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR
08:58:52 15	YOUR REPORT.
08:58:52 16	WE'LL MOVE ON TO THE FINANCIAL REPORT FROM
08:58:56 17	OUR CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, KRISTINE WARD.
08:58:59 18	MS. WARD.
08:59:03 19	MS. WARD: GOOD MORNING. LET'S SEE, WHAT
08:59:06 20	ARE MY ALL RIGHT.
08:59:20 21	FOR THE RECORD, KRISTINE WARD WITH ADOT ON
08:59:25 22	DECK. OKAY. SO
08:59:28 23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AT BAT.
08:59:29 24	MS. WARD: HIGHWAY USER GROUP REVENUE
08:59:31 25	FUND, HURF. WE'RE DOING WELL. WE'RE (INAUDIBLE) WE JUST

CROSSED OVER THE BILLION MARK. WE'RE WORKING THROUGH OUR
(INAUDIBLE) REVENUES AND WE'RE ABOUT 1.3 PERCENT AHEAD OF
FORECAST. GAS, DIESEL, MAINTAINING SOME MODERATE GROWTH
THERE, BETTER THAN LAST YEAR. AND AND REGISTRATION AND
BLT, WE'RE ACTUALLY SEEING SOME STRENGTH IN THE
REGISTRATION, AND OF COURSE BLT IS WHAT'S KEEPING US
AFLOAT (INAUDIBLE).
MOVING ON TO (INAUDIBLE). AGAIN, WE'RE
TRACKING WITHIN FORECAST. RETAIL AND CONTRACTING RUN VERY
STRONG. WE HAD FORECAST OVERALL FOR LARGELY FORECASTED
ABOUT A SIX PERCENT GROWTH OVER LAST YEAR. I THINK WE'RE
RUNNING CLOSER TO THE SEVEN AND EIGHT PERCENT OR SO.
WE'RE DOING WELL.
MOVING ON, I HAD NOTHING LARGE TO REPORT
WITH REGARD TO THE DEBT PROGRAM OR CASH MANAGEMENT, SO I'D
LIKE TO GO INTO THE FEDERAL AID PROGRAM, IF I MAY, AND
TOUCH UPON SOME OF THE ITEMS THAT THAT KEVIN WAS

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

SO, YOU KNOW, THE FEDERAL AID THAT ARIZONA
RECEIVES IS PAID FOR FROM THE HIGHWAY -- THE FEDERAL
HIGHWAY TRUST FUND. LET'S JUST START OUT WITH THE BASICS
HERE. AND THOSE PAYMENTS ARE MADE TO US ON A
REIMBURSEMENT BASIS, SO WE FRONT THE MONEY, WE PAY THE
BILLS, AND THEN THEY REIMBURSE US FOR THOSE FUNDS THAT WE
HAVE EXPENDED.

09:01:03	1
09:01:06	2

09:01:09 3

09:01:13 4 09:01:16 5

09:01:19 6

09:01:23 7

09:01:28 9

09:01:32 10 09:01:35 11

9:01:40 12 09:01:45 13

09:01:48 14

09:01:56 **1**6 09:01:59 **1**7

09:02:02 18 09:02:06 19

09:02:10 2 0 09:02:15 2 1

09:02:18 22

09:02:19 23 09:02:30 24

SPEAKING.

09:02:30 25

WHAT THIS SLIDE DEPICTS IS THE FORECASTED
INCOME AN OUTLAYS GOING OUT OF THE FUND. NOW THIS CHART
IS PROVIDED TO US BY FHWA AND IT'S PROVIDED ON THEIR WEB
PAGE EVERY MONTH ON THE 15TH OF EVERY MONTH. I'VE
PROVIDED THIS TO YOU BEFORE. AND THE LAST ONE WAS AS OF
2-28, THIS ONE NOW WE'VE GOT THROUGH THE END OF MARCH.

UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS FHWA'S BEST GUESS OF WHAT REVENUES FLOWING IN WILL BE, AS WELL AS THEIR BEST GUESS AS TO WHAT STATE'S EXPENDITURES WILL BE BETWEEN NOW AND THE END OF THE YEAR. IF YOU TAKE A NOTE THAT THE FUND'S BALANCE IS ESTIMATED TO DIP BELOW THE \$4 BILLION THRESHOLD AT THE END OF JULY AND BE IN A NEGATIVE POSITION BY THE END OF THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR.

YOU ALSO NOTE, IF YOU TAKE A LOOK AROUND THE MAY TIME FRAME, THAT YOU'LL SEE AN ESCALATING DECLINE IN THE FUND. THAT'S WHEN THE EXPENDITURES REALLY START RAMPING UP AS THE CONSTRUCTION -- AS THE CONSTRUCTION SEASON BEGINS. AND ALSO INFLUENCING THIS RATE OF DECLINE IS THAT AS OF JUNE MANY STATES HAVE THEIR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT DUE, SO ALL OF THOSE EXPENDITURES ARE WHAT DRIVE THAT DECLINE FROM MAY THROUGH THE REMAINDER OF THE FISCAL YEAR.

EXCUSE ME, MY TURNING THE PAGES IS BEHIND MY

SO UPON REACHING THAT \$4 BILLION --

09:02:34 1 HEY, I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT DID THAT. WHAT 09:02:36 2 A SURPRISE. UPON REACHING THAT \$4 BILLION THRESHOLD, 09:02:37 3 09:02:42 4 FHWA, WE'VE BEEN IN COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE DIVISION, AS WELL AS WE HAVE SENT SOME QUESTIONS BACK AND FORTH TO THE 09:02:47 5 09:02:50 6 HEADQUARTERS, PARTICULARLY REGARDING OUR DEBT SERVICE 09:02:53 7 PAYMENT, BUT UPON REACHING THAT \$4 BILLION THRESHOLD, FHWA 09:02:58 8 BEGINS WHAT THEY CALL ENHANCED MONITORING AND 09:03:01 9 COMMUNICATION. SO WHEN WE HIT THAT THRESHOLD, THEY'RE 09:03:04 10 GOING TO START TALKING TO US MORE. 09:03:05 1 1 AND WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN THEN IS AT THAT 39:03:07 12 POINT THEY'RE GOING TO REASSESS THOSE REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE FLOWS THAT YOU SAW ON THE PREVIOUS CHART. AND 09:03:10 13

IT IS AT THAT POINT THEY'LL DECIDE, DEPENDING UPON WHAT

THE REVISED FORECASTS PROVE OUT, THEY WILL CHOOSE WHAT

MECHANISM THEY WILL USE IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH WHATEVER THE

OUTCOME IS, WHATEVER THE POSITION OF THE FUND IS.

SO I WANT TO TAKE A SECOND TO PUT -- POINT

SOMETHING OUT. THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND, THE FEDERAL

HIGHWAY TRUST FUND HAS DIPPED BELOW 4 BILLION IN THE PAST,

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

09:03:39 21 IN FACT, AT THE END OF FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR IT WAS AT 1.6
09:03:44 22 BILLION DOLLARS, AND IT'S DIPPED BELOW THAT AND NO

MEASURES HAVE BEEN TAKEN -- HAVE TAKEN PLACE UNDER THOSE

09:03:49 24 CIRCUMSTANCES.

09:03:13 14

09:03:17 15

09:03:21 16

09:03:24 17

09:03:28 18

09:03:32 19

09:03:34 2 0

09:03:46 23

09:03:49 25

NOW, CAVEAT, THEY KNEW THAT THEY HAD A BIG

09:03:54 1
09:03:58 2
09:04:01 3
09:04:04 4

09:04:07 5 09:04:10 6

09:04:14 7

09:04:14 8 09:04:17 9

09:04:19 **1**0 09:04:22 **11**

9:04:27 12 09:04:30 13

09:04:33 14 09:04:36 15

09:04:39 **1**6

09:04:45 18

09:05:10 24

09:05:03 23

GENERAL FUND TRANSFER COMING IN WITHIN A -- WITHIN A FEW WEEKS, SO -- BUT MY POINT BEING IS THERE IS THE CHANCE THAT IT WILL DIP BELOW 4 BILLION. IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT RIGHT AS WE DIP BELOW THE 4 BILLION, THEY WILL IMMEDIATELY TAKE ACTION. ALL RIGHT. IT JUST MEANS THAT THEY'LL START WATCHING MORE CLOSELY AND COMMUNICATING WITH US MORE FREQUENTLY.

SO WHAT ACTIONS WILL THEY TAKE? I'VE

MENTIONED THESE TO YOU BEFORE. THEY HAVE LOOKED AT

DELAYING REIMBURSEMENTS. THEY WILL TRANSITION -- THE

POTENTIAL ACTIONS WILL BE DELAYING REIMBURSEMENTS FROM

DAILY TO WEEKLY REIMBURSEMENTS. THEY COULD ALIGN

REIMBURSEMENTS WITH THE REVENUES FLOWING INTO THE TRUST

FUND, MAKING THOSE DEPOSITS -- MAKING THOSE REIMBURSEMENTS

TWICE MONTHLY. AND THEY'VE ALSO LOOKED AT -- THERE IS

ALSO THE POTENTIAL OF MAKING PROPORTIONAL -- PORTIONAL

(SIC) PAYMENTS BACK TO THE STATE -- PROPORTIONAL

REIMBURSEMENTS TO THE STATE.

SO GIVEN THE CIRCUMSTANCES AND GIVEN THE STATE OF THE HIGHWAY TRUST FUND, WE STARTED DOING A NUMBER OF SCENARIOS. BUT TO ADD TO THE CONTEXT HERE AS TO ACTUALLY WHERE THE DEPARTMENT SITS IN TERMS OF CASH, LET ME GIVE YOU A LITTLE HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE HERE. WHAT THE SLIDE DEPICTS IS THE AVERAGE CASH BALANCE HISTORY FOR THE STATE HIGHWAY FUND BETWEEN FEBRUARY OF 2010 AND

09:05:17 1 FEBRUARY OF 2014.

09:05:19 2

09:05:24 3

09:05:28 4

09:05:32 5

09:05:36 6

09:05:42 7

09:05:45 8

09:05:46 9

09:05:50 10

09:05:53 11

9:05:55 12

09:05:59 13

09:06:03 14

09:06:05 15

09:06:10 16

09:06:15 17

09:06:19 18

09:06:22 1.9

09:06:27 20

09:06:32 21

09:06:36 22

09:06:43 23

09:06:47 24

09:06:50 25

THAT NASTY LITTLE RED LINE IDENTIFIES THE
LOW BALANCE IN A GIVEN -- FOR A GIVEN MONTH. SO IF YOU
LOOK AT THE PERIOD EXCEPT -- EXCEPT FOR FISCAL YEAR 11
WHERE WE HAD A SERIES OF MONTHS WHERE WE DID NOT HIT A
NEGATIVE BALANCE, JUST ABOUT EVERY MONTH WE END UP
TOUCHING AND HAVING A NEGATIVE BALANCE IN THE STATE
HIGHWAY FUND.

NOW, YOU MIGHT SAY, OKAY, WELL, I'M NOT
ALLOWED TO RUN A NEGATIVE BALANCE AT HOME, SO HOW ARE YOU
NAVIGATING THROUGH THAT? THE WAY WE NAVIGATE THROUGH
THAT, THE WAY WE MANAGE IT IS BY DIPPING INTO SOME
RESTRICTED FUNDING WE HAVE AND BY USING BOND PROCEEDS.

SO WHAT I WANT TO GET ACROSS HERE IS, YOU KNOW, WE'RE RUNNING ON A VERY, VERY TIGHT CASH SITUATION.

AND TO ADD SOME MORE PERSPECTIVE TO IT, KEEP IN MIND THAT EVERY TWO WEEKS WE ARE CUTTING CHECKS OUT OF THIS FUND FOR PAYROLL TO THE TUNE OF \$11 MILLION. OUR CONTRACTORS'

PAYMENTS RUN ANYWHERE FROM 25 TO \$65 MILLION A MONTH. AND OUR FEDERAL MATCH FOR -- IS -- RUNS ABOUT \$30 MILLION A YEAR. SO WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO ACTUALLY SEE AS AN AVERAGE BALANCE IN THIS FUND IS CLOSER TO 130 TO \$150 MILLION.

SO IF WE EXPERIENCE DELAYS IN

REIMBURSEMENTS, WHAT WE DID IS -- IN PLANNING FOR THAT,

SHOULD IT OCCUR, WE RAN THREE SCENARIOS: REIMBURSEMENTS

09:09:42 24

υ9:09:45 25

09:06:54 1	TWICE A MONTH, LOOKING AT REIMBURSEMENTS ONLY GETTING
09:06:57 2	REIMBURSEMENTS TWICE A MONTH; LOOKING AT PROPORTIONAL
09:07:01 3	REIMBURSEMENTS; AND LOOKING AT REIMBURSEMENTS THAT OCCUR
09:07:04 4	ONCE A MONTH. WE TOOK WITH THE INTENT OF TAKING A MORE
09:07:08 5	CONSERVATIVE POSITION AND SAYING WHAT WHAT DO WE THINK
09:07:12 6	THE WORSE CASE SCENARIO WOULD BE.
09:07:14 7	WHAT YOU SEE HERE DEPICTS REIMBURSEMENTS
09:07:16 = 8	ONCE PER MONTH AND REPRESENTS THE MOST CONSERVATIVE OF ALL
09:07:22 9	OF THE REIMBURSEMENT SCENARIOS. I'VE ONLY CHOSEN TO SHOW
09:07:25 10	YOU THIS ONE FOR THE SAKE OF PRESERVING YOUR SANITY. THIS
09:07:30 11	WHAT THIS RESULTS IN IS WE END UP, AT OUR LOWEST POINT,
9:07:35 12	WE END UP HITTING THE NEGATIVE \$22.4 MILLION NEGATIVE CASH
09:07:40 13	BALANCE. WE INCUR WE HAVE NEGATIVE CASH BALANCES OCCUR
09:07:45 14	40 TIMES DURING THIS PERIOD, AND THE AVERAGE BALANCE THAT
09:07:49 15	WE MAINTAIN IS ABOUT \$17 MILLION, FAR, FAR, FAR FROM 130
09:07:55 16	TO \$150 MILLION. THAT WOULD BE NICE.
09:08:00 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I CAN'T I CAN'T SEE
09:08:02 18	FROM THIS. WHAT DOES THAT THE MOST SEVERE NEGATIVE
09:08:05 19	BALANCE?
09:08:07 20.	MS. WARD: THE MOST SEVERE NEGATIVE BALANCE
09:08:09 21	UNDER THIS SCENARIO WE WOULD ENCOUNTER A NEGATIVE BALANCE

09:08:21	1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND LATE AUGUST TIME
09:08:23	2	FRAME. AND MY CHAIRMANSHIP EXPIRES WHEN?
09:08:28	3	NOT SOON ENOUGH.
09:08:32	4	MS. WARD: NOW WE'VE MADE SOME ASSUMPTIONS
09:08:34	5	IN THESE FORECASTS. WE ASSUME THAT THE REIMBURSEMENT
09:08:37	6	WOULD BEGIN IN JUNE AND THEY LAST THROUGH SEPTEMBER.
09:08:40	7	AGAIN, WE TOOK THE MOST CONSERVATIVE POSITION, TRIED TO
09:08:44	8	TAKE THE MOST CONSERVATIVE POSITION, BECAUSE, UNDERSTAND,
09:08:47	9	THESE ARE ALL BASED ON FORECASTS. IF EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT
09:08:50	10	THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY TRUST FUND IS FACING CASH FLOW
09:08:53	11	PROBLEMS, IT DOES MAKE ONE THINK ABOUT SENDING THEIR BILLS
9:08:57	12	IN FOR REIMBURSEMENT EARLY: I WANT TO GET MY MONEY FIRST
09:09:00	13	KIND OF ATTITUDE, SO IT CAN ACCELERATE AND THINGS CAN
09:09:04	14	ADJUST IN THOSE IN THOSE FORECASTS.
09:09:06	15	IT ALSO ASSUMES THAT THE STATE RECEIVES FULL
09:09:09	16	REIMBURSEMENT. IT ASSUMES THAT WE ACTUALLY GET FULL
09:09:12	L7	REIMBURSEMENT AS OPPOSED TO GET CUTS TO OUR PROGRAM. IT
09:09:16	L8	ALSO IT ALSO ASSUMES AND WE DID SOME INVESTIGATING
09:09:21	L9	INTO THIS AS TO MAKING OUR DEBT SERVICE PAYMENT A
09:09:24 2	0 0	LITTLE EARLY, SO JUST BY ADJUSTING OUR BEHAVIORS SO WE GET
09:09:29 2	21	THAT PAYMENT (INAUDIBLE).
09:09:32 2	22	SO LIKE ANY FORECAST, THERE ARE RISKS. THIS
09:09:38 2	3	IS IT WOULD THINGS THAT COULD GO WRONG, OUR
_		

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND WHEN DOES THAT OCCUR?

MS. WARD: IN THE LATE AUGUST TIME FRAME, SO

09:08:14 22

09:08:14 23

09:08:16 24

09:08:19 25

OF \$22.4 MILLION.

RIGHT ABOUT THERE.

STATES ALSO CHANGE THEIR BEHAVIORS. BUT GIVEN THE PRESENT

ASSUMPTIONS ARE WRONG, FORECASTS ARE WRONG, AND OTHER

09:36:32 1

09:36:35 2

09:36:37 3

09:36:40 4

09:36:43 5

09:36:48 6

09:36:51 7

09:36:55 8

09:36:58 9

09:37:02 10

09:37:05 1.1

9:37:07 12

09:37:09 13

09:37:12 14

09:37:18 15

09:37:21 16

09:37:25 17

09:37:29 18

09:37:34 1 9

09:37:38 20

09:37:43 21

09:37:45 22

09:37:50 23

09:37:52 24

υ9:37:57 25

27

09:36:25 24

09:36:28 25

FORECAST AND THE DATA THAT HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE TO US, I BELIEVE WE ARE IN (INAUDIBLE) OF THIS AND THE DELAYED REIMBURSEMENTS OVER THIS PERIOD OF TIME. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY OUESTIONS. CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY OUESTIONS OF (NO RESPONSE.) CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: WELL, CONTINUE TO WALK THE TIGHTROPE AND WE'LL SEE WHAT HAPPENS WITH WHAT MR. BIESTY WAS REPORTING ABOUT WITH THE LEGISLATION IN WASHINGTON AND HOW IT AFFECTS US. MS. WARD: THANK YOU. CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. MOVING ON TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 6, THE REPORT FROM THE MULTIMODAL PLANNING DIVISION. AND IT WILL BE GIVEN BY, AGAIN, OUR MULTIMODAL PLANNING DIRECTOR MR. OMER. MR. OMER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. SO I HAVE A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT ITEMS TODAY ON THE MPD REPORT. THE FIRST BEING OUR MONTHLY I-11 UPDATE. I'LL KEEP THIS ONE PRETTY SHORT BECAUSE, UM, YOU HEAR ABOUT IT EVERY MONTH, AND FOR THE SAKE OF TIME TODAY.

WHERE WE'RE AT IN THE SCHEDULE. WE'RE STILL CURRENTLY SCHEDULED TO BE COMPLETE IN THE I-11 INTERMOUNTAIN WEST CORRIDOR REPORT THIS SUMMER.

THE ALTERNATIVES HAVE NOT CHANGED AT ALL. WE'RE STILL LOOKING AT THE SOUTHERN ARIZONA CONNECTIVITY SECTION C AS THE CONNECTION TO SOUTHERN ARIZONA. ONE ALTERNATIVE BETWEEN I-10 AND WICKENBURG AND A COUPLE ALTERNATIVES SOUTH OF I-10 TO CASA GRANDE. THE NORTHERN ARIZONA SECTION WOULD UTILIZE THE EXISTING U.S. 93 CORRIDOR. IT WOULD BE A MULTI-USE EVALUATION CONSIDERING RAIL AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY AND CORRIDOR AS WELL, SO THIS IS THE SAME THING I REPORTED EVERY MONTH.

THE NEW THING IS THE DEPARTMENT DID APPLY FOR A \$35 MILLION TIGER GRANT FOR PLANNING FUNDS FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES. THESE ARE TO MOVE THE CONCEPT FORWARD. ADOT AND NDOT, THE NEVADA DOT AND THE ARIZONA DOT DID THIS IN PARTNERSHIP. YOU'LL SEE HERE A LETTER SIGNED BY THE NEVADA DOT DIRECTOR WHO -- THIS IS BASICALLY A CONTRACT WITH NEVADA THAT SAYS THIS IS A JOINT PARTNERSHIP FOR THE TIGER GRANT APPLICATION.

WE DID RECEIVE LETTERS OF SUPPORT FROM GOVERNOR BREWER WITH SIX OF CONGRESSIONAL MEMBERS, 11 SUPPORTING PARTNERS, YOU CAN SEE HERE, FROM ACROSS THE STREET. AND WE -- THE TIGER GRANT APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED ON APRIL 28TH. I WILL SAY THAT THE \$35 MILLION

BUT WE DID SAY WE'D UPDATE YOU MONTHLY, AND SO HERE'S

09:38:02 1	THAT WAS APPLIED FOR BY THE DEPARTMENT WOULD BE
09:38:06 2	100 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL TIGER GRANT PLANNING FUNDS
09:38:11 3	AVAILABLE ACROSS THE COUNTRY. AND SO WE APPLIED FOR EVERY
09:38:15 4	PENNY THAT THEY HAD AVAILABLE FOR THIS SPECIFIC PROJECT.
09:38:18 5	THE OVERALL NIQA (PHONETIC) DOCUMENT ITSELF
09:38:20 6	WE CURRENTLY ESTIMATE, AS I REPORTED IN THE PAST, COST
09:38:24 7	ABOUT \$60 MILLION. THIS \$35 MILLION, IF WE WERE AWARDED
09:38:29 8	THE ENTIRE AMOUNT, OR PORTIONS OF IT, WE WOULD IDENTIFY A
09:38:32 9	SPECIFIC IMPLEMENTATION PLAN ON HOW WE COULD, YOU KNOW,
09:38:36 10	DEVELOP PORTIONS OR SEGMENTS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL
09:38:38 11	DOCUMENTATION THAT GOES ALONG WITH THIS. AND, AS I SAID,
9:38:42 12	THE I-11 INTERMOUNTAIN WEST CORRIDOR STUDY STILL SCHEDULED
09:38:43 13	TO BE COMPLETED JULY OF THIS YEAR.
09:38:45 14	MR. CHAIR, THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR THE I-11
09:38:47 15	REPORTING. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
09:38:47 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. OMER, BRIEFLY, AGAIN,
09:38:50 17	HOW MUCH MONEY IS AVAILABLE IN IN TOTAL IN TIGER
09:38:53 18	GRANTS, 35 MILLION, IS IT?
09:38:56 19	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, THERE IS SEVERAL
09:38:59 20	CATEGORIES OF TIGER GRANTS THAT ARE AVAILABLE, BUT ONE
09:39:02 21	THAT WE SPECIFICALLY APPLIED FOR WAS FOR PLANNING
09:39:05 22	DOCUMENTS, AND THERE IS A TOTAL OF \$35 MILLION AVAILABLE
09:47:47 23	NATIONALLY.
09:47:48 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: NATIONALLY?
09:47:50 25	MR. OMER: NATIONALLY, YES, SIR.

	29
09:47:52 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND OUT OF ALL OUT OF
09:47:53 2	NATIONAL APPLICATIONS HOW MANY APPLICATIONS ARE ACTUALLY
09:47:56 3	MADE FOR REQUESTS FOR
09:47:57 4	MR. OMER: SIR, I COULDN'T ANSWER THAT
09:47:59 5	QUESTION.
09:47:59 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A LOT?
09:48:00 7	MR. OMER: A LOT. THAT'S PROBABLY I WILL
09:48:02 8	SAY THAT LAST YEAR THERE WERE ABOUT A THOUSAND
09:48:04 9	APPLICATIONS RECEIVED, SO A LOT.
09:48:08 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: A LOT.
09:48:09 11	VERY GOOD. IF YOU WANT TO PROCEED ON THEN
79:48:12 12	WITH ITEM 7, OUR PPAC REPORT.
09:48:14 13	MR. OMER: THE NEXT ITEM, MR. CHAIRMAN, IS
09:48:17 14	ACTUALLY THE (INAUDIBLE)
09:48:19 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OH, I'M SORRY.
09:48:20 16	MR. OMER: (INAUDIBLE) REPORT.
09:48:21 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: BEG YOUR PARDON.
09:48:23 18	MR. OMER: SO AS I (INAUDIBLE) A LITTLE
09:48:23 19	EARLIER TODAY, WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO IS GIVE YOU A BRIEF
09:48:26 20	OVERVIEW OF WHAT THE PROJECT IS SO IT WILL HELP US WITH
09:48:30 21	OUR CONVERSATIONS ON THE MAY 20TH STUDY SESSION.
09:48:33 22	SO AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, THIS STUDY IS
09:48:35 23	ACTUALLY IN THE HEART OF THE CITY OF MARICOPA. IT'S ABOUT
09:48:38 24	THE INTERSECTION OF SR 347 AND THE UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

09:48:44 25 TRACKS. IT'S ADJACENT TO THE MARICOPA HIGH SCHOOL

3.0

09:50:08 1

09:50:11 2

09:50:14 3

09:50:18 4

09:50:20 5

09:50:22 6

09:50:23 8

09:50:26 9

09:50:29 10

09:50:31 11

9:50:33 12

09:50:37 13

09:50:41 14

09:50:45 15

09:50:50 16

09:50:54 17

09:50:57 18

09:51:00 1.9

09:51:03 20

09:51:07 21

09:51:10 22

09:51:12 23

09:51:13 24

09:48:47	1
09:48:50	2

09:48:51 3

09:48:54 4

09:48:58 5 09:49:01 6

09:49:04 7

09:49:07 8

09:49:10 9

09:49:14 10 09:49:15 11

79:49:20 12

09:49:24 13

09:49:27 14 09:49:30 15

09:49:34 16

09:49:40 17

09:49:42 18 09:49:46 19

09:49:49 2 0 09:49:52 2 1

09:49:56 22 09:49:59 23

09:50:02 24

COMMUNITY. IT'S ABOUT A HALF MILE SOUTH OF THE AK-CHIN INDIAN COMMUNITY.

AND THE REASON YOU'LL SEE THAT IT EXPANDS

WELL -- WELL AROUND THE CITY OF MARICOPA AND NOT JUST AT

THE INTERSECTION ITSELF, IS BECAUSE THERE IS MULTIPLE

IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY IN ORDER FOR THESE -- THIS PROJECT

TO ACTUALLY HAPPEN -- TAKE PLACE OR BE ABLE TO CONSTRUCT

ITSELF. YOU DO HAVE TO HAVE A MUCH LARGER STUDY AREA.

THE PROJECT ITSELF AT SR 347 IS A MAIN

CORRIDOR THROUGH THE COMMUNITY OF NOT ONLY THE CITY OF

MARICOPA, BUT ALSO FOR THE AK-CHIN COMMUNITY. IT

CONNECTS, UM, INTERSTATE 8 WITH INTERSTATE 10, IF YOU LOOK

AT IT IN THAT FASHION AS WELL.

DAILY TRAFFIC MARGINS ARE ABOUT 31,000

VEHICLES A DAY CURRENTLY, AND 24 TO BE PROJECTED ABOUT

84,000 VEHICLES A DAY. SR 347 CROSSES THE EXISTING UP

TRACKS, WHICH IS ABOUT 40 TRAINS A DAY CURRENTLY AND

(INAUDIBLE) FOR UP TO 130 TRAINS PER DAY IN THE FUTURE.

THE AMTRAK STATION IS LOCATED ADJACENT TO
THE INTERSECTION. AND TRAFFIC AT THAT INTERACTION WHEN
AMTRAK COMES ALONG CAN BE BLOCKED ANYWHERE FROM 10 TO
30 MINUTES. YOU KNOW, WE'VE HAD STAFF OUT THERE THAT'S
MONITORING FOR ANYWHERE TO BE IN THE 20-MINUTE TIME FRAME
ON A REGULAR BASIS. YOU HEARD THE AK-CHIN COMMUNITY TODAY
SAY THEY'VE BEEN BLOCKED FOR MULTIPLE HOURS. I DON'T KNOW

IF HAPPENS JUST BY THE AMTRAK TRAIN, THAT'S WHERE THEY
HAVE MULTIPLE TRAINS ARRIVE AT THE SAME TIME. AND THAT'S
NOT SOMETHING PERSONALLY THAT I HAVE OBSERVED OR MY STAFF
HAS OBSERVED, BUT I WILL SAY IT WAS REPORTED. THAT WAS
WHAT WAS REPORTED.

A VOICE: QUESTION, MR. OMER, CHAIR.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: GO AHEAD, MR. --

A VOICE: SO WHEN THE AMTRAK PULLS UP TO
LOAD AND UNLOAD, THAT'S WHEN THE DELAY IS THAT BLOCKS THE
INTERSECTION, IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?

MR. OMER: YES, SIR. SO WHEN THE AMTRAK

COMES IN, IT ACTUALLY STOPS TWICE. IT STOPS ONCE TO LOAD

AND UNLOAD PASSENGERS, AND IT STOPS THE SECOND TIME TO

LOAD AND UNLOAD THE BAGGAGE. THE REASON THAT THE -- IT

ACTUALLY BLOCKS THE INTERSECTION IS BECAUSE YOU HAVE THE

PREEMPTION THAT ACTUALLY MAKES THE GATES GO DOWN, AND IF

YOU'RE WITHIN A CERTAIN PROXIMITY TO THE INTERSECTION

ITSELF, PART OF THE TIME THE TRAIN IS PHYSICALLY ACROSS

THE TRACKS, THE OTHER TIMES IT'S JUST SIGNIFICANTLY CLOSE

TO IT AND IT BLOCKS IT THAT WAY. BUT IT'S WHEN THE,

EITHER THE AMTRAK TRAIN COMES IN TO UNLOAD OR LOAD

PASSENGERS, THAT'S WHEN THE INTERSECTION IS BLOCKED

ITSELF.

MR. ANDERSON, YOU SEE IT EVERY DAY. IS THAT

09:51:15 25 ACCURATE?

10:15:13 1	THE CURRENT SCHEDULE FOR THE PROJECT IS THE
10:15:19 2	DRAFT ADMINISTRATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT. THE
10:15:23 3	REVISIONS WERE DUE ON APRIL THE 16TH. WE'LL BEGIN THE
10:15:27 4	30-DAY COMMENT PERIOD WHICH IS REQUIRED BY STATUTE ON
10:15:29 5	AUGUST THE 5TH. WE'LL HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING SCHEDULED THE
10:15:35 6	CITY OF MARICOPA ON AUGUST THE 14TH. ADOT AND FEDERAL
10:15:38 7	HIGHWAYS WILL APPROVE THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
10:15:41 8	IN DECEMBER. AND THEN THE FINAL DESIGN CONCEPT REPORT AND
10:15:45 9	DCR WILL BE COMPLETED OR SUBMITTED IN APRIL.
10:15:49 10	NOW, LET ME ADD SOMETHING TO THAT. WHEN I
10:15:51 11	SAY THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS IMPROVED APPROVED
0:15:56 12	IN APRIL, WHAT WE CANNOT DO IS SIGN THE RECORD OF DECISION
10:16:00 13	WHICH ALLOWS A PROJECT TO RECEIVE ITS FINAL DEED OF
10:16:03 14	CLEARANCE UNTIL THERE IS FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR IT. WE'RE
10:16:06 15	COMPLETING THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT TO BE READY FOR THAT
10:16:09 16	STAGE. IT'S A COMPLETE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, BUT WE
10:16:13 17	CAN'T ACTUALLY SIGN A FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT OR
10:16:17 18	(INAUDIBLE) WHICH IS THE RECOMMENDED DECISION OF THE
10:16:19 19	DOCUMENT ITSELF UNTIL FUNDING IS AVAILABLE.
10:16:21 20	THAT'S MY UPDATE ON THE 347 PROJECT, IF YOU
10:16:26 21	HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.
10:16:28 22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY QUESTIONS OR
10:16:28 23	COMMENTS, MR. ANDERSON?
24	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:16:30 25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: BOARD MEMBERS?

10:15:11 25

AMTRAK STATION ITSELF.

10:19:01 25

	34
93	(NO PHEDOVET)
10:16:32	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:16:32	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR
10:16:34	ITEM 6 REPORT?
10:16:35	MR. OMER: YES, SIR.
10:16:36	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THEN YOU WANT TO NOW
10:16:37	PROCEED ON TO THE PPAC REPORT?
10:16:39	MR. OMER: I DO, MR. CHAIRMAN. IF I COULD,
10:16:41	ON THE PPAC AGENDA ITEMS I'LL ASK FOR THE THE
10:16:50	CHAIRMAN'S PERMISSION IF THAT IS THE STAFF IF I
10:16:53 10	COULD ASK YOU TO PULL TWO TWO ITEMS.
10:16:58 1	LET ME TAKE THESE OUT OF ORDER. AND THEY'RE
0:17:01 12	ITEMS 7 A D, AS IN ALPHA DOG. AND THAT SPECIFIC PROJECT I
10:17:07 13	WOULD LIKE TO TALK ABOUT FIRST. AND THE OTHER PROJECT IS
10:17:10 14	ITEM 7 A H. AND THEN WE CAN GO INTO THE REST OF THE PPAC
10:17:17 15	ITEMS, IF THAT'S OKAY WITH YOU.
10:17:20 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: NO OBJECTIONS FROM THE
10:17:22 17	BOARD, WE'LL DO AS MR. OMER IS REQUESTING.
10:17:24 18	GO AHEAD.
10:17:25 19	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, ITEM 7 A D IS ON SR 86
10:17:30 20	AND IT'S THE VALENCIA TO KINNEY PROJECT. AND THROUGH MY
10:17:33 21	FAULT THAT PROJECT IS LISTED IN ERROR IN THE PPAC AGENDA.
10:17:40 22	WHAT THE WHAT WE HAVE LISTED IN THE PPAC AGENDA SAYS
10:17:44 23	THAT WE ARE FUNDING THIS PROJECT IN FY 15 WITH
10:17:51 24	\$43.4 MILLION OF CONTINGENCY FUNDING, AND THAT'S AN ERROR.
10:17:56 25	WE CAN'T FUND A FUTURE YEAR'S CONTINGENCY FUND BEFORE THAT

10:18:00 1	YEAR ACTUALLY GETS HERE. WE CAN'T DO IT. THAT'S \$43
10:18:03 2	MILLION. SO THAT WAS
10:18:03 3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: YOU'RE SURE?
10:18:04 4	MR. OMER: MY FAULT.
10:18:05 5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: YOU'RE SURE?
10:18:06 6	MR. OMER: I'M POSITIVE.
7	KRISTINE.
10:18:09 8	MS. WARD: WE'RE POSITIVE.
10:18:10 9	MR. OMER: WE'RE POSITIVE THAT CANNOT
10:18:11 10	HAPPEN.
10:18:12 11	SO WHAT THIS SHOULD SAY, IT SHOULD HAVE SAID
0:18:14 12	THAT WE'RE GOING TO DEFER THE SR 86 VALENCIA KINNEY
10:18:18 13	PROJECT FROM FY 14 TO FY 15. WE HAVE TO DO THAT FOR
10:18:22 14	HONESTLY, THE PROJECT IS NOT GOING TO BE READY TO GO AT
10:18:26 15	THE END OF THIS FISCAL YEAR. IT WON'T BE READY TO GO
10:18:29 16	UNTIL THE FIRST QUARTER I'M SORRY, THE LAST QUARTER OF
10:18:33 17	THE FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR, THE FIRST QUARTER OF OUR NEXT
10:18:36 18	STATE FISCAL YEAR. SO IT SHOULD BE READY TO GO SOMETIME
10:18:39 19	IN THE SEPTEMBER TIME FRAME. SO WE CAN'T GET IT OUT THE
10:18:42 20	DOOR THIS YEAR.
10:18:43 21	WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO IS DEFER FROM 14 TO
10:18:45 22	15, AND WE WILL REPROGRAM THE PROJECT IN FULL FOR A TOTAL
10:18:49 23	AMOUNT OF 47,666 \$47,660,000 AS PART OF OUR RECOMMENDED
10:18:57 24	FY 2015 TO 19 (INAUDIBLE) TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM. I'LL

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

BRING THAT TO YOU AT THE STUDY SESSION AGAIN IN MAY, AND

10:21:16 25

10:19:04 1	WE'LL INCLUDE THAT IN THE IN THE FINAL IMPROVEMENTS IN
10:19:08 2	JUNE. I'VE HAD THIS CONVERSATION WITH THE TUCSON DISTRICT
10:19:11 3	AND WITH PAG, THAT'S THE ACTIONS WE NEED TO TAKE.
10:19:15 4	BY DEFERRING THIS PROJECT, WE ACTUALLY MOVE
10:19:17 5	THREE PROJECTS FORWARD, AND WE'LL INCLUDE THOSE IN OUR
10:19:21 6	REGULAR CONVERSATION. THE THREE PROJECTS THAT WILL BE
10:19:24 7	MOVED FORWARD TO BE CONSTRUCTED WITH THAT \$43 MILLION
10:19:26 8	THAT'S BEING DEFERRED ARE THE SR 86 TOWN TO SELLS PROJECT
10:19:30 9	IN FRESNAL FOR A TOTAL OF \$416,000, THE I-40 WALNUT CANYON
10:19:37 10	TO TWIN ARROWS PROJECT FOR A TOTAL OF \$15.675 MILLION, AND
10:19:40 11	THE U.S. 89 SOUTH OF PAGE (INAUDIBLE) LIGHT PROJECT FOR
0:19:45 12	\$25 MILLION. WE MOVE OUT VALENCIA TO KINNEY, THAT'S HOW
10:19:48 13	WE'RE FUNDING THESE THREE OTHER PROJECTS THAT YOU'LL SEE
10:19:52 14	IN THE REGULAR PPAC AGENDA.
10:19:54 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND THIS HAS GONE THROUGH
10:19:56 16	PAG AND ALL THE PROCESSES THERE?
10:19:57 17	MR. OMER: YES, SIR. IT WILL HAVE TO COME
10:19:59 18	BACK THROUGH THE BOARD IN JUNE WHEN WE APPROVE THE NEW
10:20:02 19	PROGRAMS, BUT YOU WILL SEE IT IN THE FINAL PROGRAM
10:20:05 20	RECOMMENDED FOR YOUR APPROVAL, BUT IT DOES HAVE TO BE
10:20:09 21	PROGRAMMED THROUGH PAG.
10:20:10 22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND, MR. OMER,
10:20:12 23	MR. ROEHRICH, DOES THE BOARD NEED ANY TO DO ANY ACTION
10:20:14 24	REGARDING THIS PARTICULAR ITEM?
10:20:15 25	MR. OMER: I WILL NEED YOU TO TAKE AN ACTION

	37
10:20:18 1	TO APPROVE PPAC ITEM NUMBER 7 A D, AND THAT WILL READ
10:20:23 2	,
10:20:25 3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
10:20:32 4	WILL BE REPROGRAMMED FOR A TOTAL OF 47,660 I CAN'T EVEN
10:20:39 5	
10:20:44 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THE CHAIR WOULD
7	ENTERTAIN
10:20:47 8	BOARD MEMBER LA RUE: SO MOVED
10:20:47 9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
10:20:47 9	MR. LA RUE.
10:20:50 11	
	BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: SECOND.
10:20:50 12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AND A SECOND BY
10:20:51 13	
10:20:55 14	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
15	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
10:20:59 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
17	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:20:59 18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE
10:21:01 19	PRESENTATION AS MR. OMER STATED PASSES.
10:21:04 20	MR. ROEHRICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, SCOTT, I'M
10:21:06 21	GOING TO ASK THAT ON ANY OF THESE THAT YOU REWRITE WHAT
10:21:09 22	THE PPAC DOCUMENT WOULD BE, ITEM, AND THEN SUBMIT A NEW
10:21:12 23	ONE SO WE HAVE AN ACCURATE RECORD IN THE MINUTES.
10:21:15 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU, MR. ROEHRICH.

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

YOU WANT TO CONTINUE NAVIGATING US THROUGH

10:21:19 1	THIS MAZE, MR. OMER?
10:21:20 2	MR. OMER: YES, SIR, ONE MORE. ITEM 7 A H
10:21:22 3	IS THE I-40 WALNUT TWIN ARROWS PROJECT. IN ERROR WHAT
10:22:22 4	WE SHOULD HAVE SAID ON THAT PROJECT IS WE'RE INCREASING
10:22:25 5	THE PROJECT FROM \$100,000 TO A TOTAL OF \$15.775 MILLION.
10:22:32 6	AND THAT'S BEING FUNDED OUT OF THE CONTINGENCY FUND. WE
10:22:36 7	INADVERTENTLY LISTED THAT AS SAYING WE'RE ONLY INCREASING
10:22:40 8	BY 2.5 AND THAT WAS INACCURATE.
10:22:43 9	WE HAD A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN THE
10:22:45 10	PROGRAM, AND THE EXISTING HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS IN THE
10:22:47 11	PROGRAM WAS FOR THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING STAGE, AND IT
10:22:50 12	WASN'T SUFFICIENT, OF COURSE, TO CONSTRUCT THE PROJECT, SO
10:22:53 13	WHEN WE MOVED THE VALENCIA TO KINNEY PROJECT OUT, IT
10:22:56 14	ACTUALLY MOVED THIS PROJECT FORWARD. IT'S AVAILABLE FOR
10:22:58 15	CONSTRUCTION. IT'S READY TO GO.
10:23:00 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OUR DISTRICT 5
10:23:02 17	REPRESENTATIVE, THAT'S IS THAT YOU, MR. ROGERS? DO YOU
10:23:04 18	HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?
10:23:06 19	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I DON'T.
10:23:06 20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO QUESTIONS OR
10:23:08 21	COMMENTS FROM MR. ROGERS OR ANY OTHER BOARD MEMBERS,
10:23:11 22	MR. OMER, WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE THE WORDING THAT YOU
10:23:14 23	WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO ACT UPON.
10:23:16 24	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, I WOULD ASK THAT YOU
10:23:19 25	TAKE THE ITEM 7 A H, WHICH IS THE I-40 WALNUT CANYON TO

	39
10:23:25 1	TWIN ARROWS PROJECT, AND ADVANCE THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT
10:23:28 2	FROM FY 2015 TO FY 2014 IN THE HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION
10:23:32 3	PROGRAM, AND INCREASE THE PROJECT AMOUNT FROM \$100,000 TO
10:23:35 4	THE \$15.775 MILLION, AND THAT WOULD BE FUNDED FROM THE FY
10:23:41 5	2014 CONTINGENCY FUND.
10:23:42 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: WOULD YOU REPEAT THAT,
10:23:43 7	PLEASE?
10:23:44 8	NO.
10:23:44 9	MR. OMER: SURE.
10:23:46 10	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: SO MOVED.
10:23:46 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
0:23:47 12	MR. ROGERS TO ACCEPT MR. OMER'S PRESENTATION.
13	ANY
10:23:50 14	IS THERE A SECOND?
15	BOARD MEMBER CUTHBERTSON: SECOND.
10:23:51 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. CUTHBERTSON SECONDS.
10:23:53 17	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION PRESENTED
10:23:55 18	BY MR. OMER, SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
19	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
10:23:58 20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
21	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:23:58 22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE MOTION
10:23:59 23	CARRIES AS PRESENTED BY MR. OMER.
10:24:01 24	MR. OMER.
10:24:03 25	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, I HAVE TO GO BACK TO

10:26:08 25

10:24:04	OUR REGULAR PROJECT MODIFICATIONS ITEM 7 A THROUGH ITEM 7
10:24:09	A H, EXCLUDING ITEM 7 A E, SO IT WOULD ACTUALLY BE 7 A
10:24:15	THROUGH 7 A G, I WOULD AND EXCLUDING ITEM 7 A D I
10:24:21	WOULD ASK THAT IF WE CAN APPROVE THESE ITEMS. WE CAN TAKE
10:24:25	THEM INDIVIDUALLY IF YOU WANT, IT'S THE BOARD'S
10:24:28	DISCRETION.
10:24:29	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: DOES THE BOARD WISH TO
10:24:30	TAKE THEM IN TOTAL OR BRING THEM OUT INDIVIDUALLY?
	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:24:33 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: IF NO OBJECTION FROM THE
10:24:33 1	BOARD, WE'LL TAKE THEM ALL UP TOGETHER.
0:24:36 1	EXCUSE ME, MR. SELLERS.
10:24:38 1	BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: JUST A QUICK COMMENT.
10:24:39 1	MR. LA RUE AND I WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
10:24:42 1	REVIEW THESE ON THE 21ST OF THIS MONTH AT THE
10:24:46 1	TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE IN MAY, AND THEN APPROVE
10:24:49 1	THEM AT THE MAY 28TH REGIONAL COUNCIL MEETING, SO I DON'T
10:24:54 1	THINK THERE IS ANY REASON I WOULD HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ON
10:24:56 1	THEM AT THIS POINT.
10:24:57 2	MR. OMER: AND, SIR, I JUST MR. CHAIR AND
10:24:59 2	MR. SELLERS AND MR. LA RUE, THAT'S A GOOD POINT, WE DO
10:25:02 2	HAVE SOME OF THESE PROJECTS THAT ARE CONTINGENT UPON MAG
10:25:07 2	REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVAL. GENERALLY WE DON'T BRING THOSE
10:25:10 2	TO THE TRANSPORTATION BOARD UNTIL THEY'RE APPROVED, BUT
10:25:12 2	THIS BEING AT THE END OF THE FEDERAL OR THE END OF THE

	41
10:25:15 1	STATE FISCAL YEAR, WE WOULDN'T HAVE TIME TO GET THESE BACK
10:25:18 2	TO THE BOARD. SO USUALLY AT THE END OF THE CYCLE WE BRING
10:25:21 3	THESE CONTINGENT, SO IF THE MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL CHOSE NOT
10:25:24 4	TO APPROVE THESE ITEMS, THAT ACTION THAT WE TAKE TODAY
10:25:26 5	WOULD BE VOID.
10:25:26 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ARE ALL THESE PROJECTS IN
10:25:28 7	DISTRICT 1?
10:25:29 8	BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: I SHOULD HAVE SAID
10:25:30 9	THE DISTRICT 1 PROJECTS.
10:25:32 10	A VOICE: YEAH, THE DISTRICT 1 PROJECTS.
10:25:32 11	MR. OMER: NO, SO THEY ARE PROJECTS
0:25:33 12	THROUGHOUT THE STATE ON THIS LIST.
10:25:35 13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: BUT THE DISTRICT 1
10:25:35 14	PROJECTS YOU HAVE NO COMMENT ON AT THIS POINT?
15	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:25:38 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM
10:25:39 17	ANY OTHER BOARD MEMBERS THAT HAVE
10:25:41 18	MS. BEAVER.
10:25:42 19	BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: JUST CLARIFICATION.
10:25:43 20	THEN THE WORD CONTINGENT IS GOING TO BE IN THE MOTION?
10:25:51 21	MR. OMER: SHOULD BE CONTINGENT UPON ITEM 7
10:25:55 22	A THROUGH SEVEN Q, AND ITEM 7 A K I'M SORRY, 7 A
10:26:02 23	THROUGH 7 Q WOULD BE CONTINGENT UPON MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL
10:26:06 24	APPROVAL ON MAY 28TH.

A VOICE: AND IT IS NOTED ON EACH ONE OF THE

10:26:10 2

10:26:11 3

10:26:09 1

ITEMS.

10:26:12 5

10:26:13 6

10:26:16 7 10:26:17 8

10:26:19 9

10:26:24 10

10:26:31 11

10:26:36 12

10:26:38 13

10:26:40 14

10:26:42 15

10:26:44 16

10:26:45 17 10:26:46 18

19

10:26:46 20 10:26:48 21

10:26:49 22

23

10:26:52 24

25

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: FURTHER COMMENTS OR

QUESTIONS REGARDING THESE PROJECTS?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. OMER, WOULD YOU

PLEASE ARTICULATE THE MOTION THAT YOU WOULD LIKE THE BOARD

TO ACT UPON.

MR. OMER: MR. CHAIRMAN, I WOULD ASK THAT

THE BOARD APPROVE PPAC PROJECT MODIFICATIONS NUMBERS -- OR

ITEM 7 A, EXCLUDING 7 A D, THROUGH 7 A G, WITH ITEMS 7 A

THROUGH 7 Q BEING CONTINGENT UPON MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL

APPROVAL ON MAY 28TH, AND THOSE ARE ACTUALLY HIGHLIGHTED

IN THE PPAC ITEMS THEMSELVES.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN

A MOTION AS PRESENTED BY MR. OMER.

BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: SO MOVED.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. SELLERS.

MOTION --

BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: SECOND.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SECOND BY MR. ANDERSON.

ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION PRESENTED

BY MR. OMER, SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.

BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?

(NO RESPONSE.)

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264,2230

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

	43
10:26:53 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE MOTION
10:26:54 2	CARRIES.
10:26:55 3	MR. OMER.
10:26:55 4	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, THE NEW PROJECT WE
10:26:58 5	BROUGHT FORWARD THIS MONTH ARE ITEM 7 A I THROUGH 7 B I,
10:27:02 6	WITH ITEM 7 A K THROUGH 7 A T AND ITEM 7 B G BEING
10:27:08 7	CONTINGENT UPON REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVAL ON MAY 28TH, SO
10:27:12 8	I WOULD ASK FOR THE APPROVAL OF THOSE ITEMS OR WE CAN TALK
10:27:16 9	ABOUT THEM INDIVIDUALLY, BUT SOME OF THESE ARE ALSO
10:27:19 10	CONTINGENT UPON REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVAL.
10:27:19 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
0:27:20 12	BY BOARD MEMBERS ON THESE PARTICULAR ITEMS?
13	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:27:22 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO QUESTIONS OR
10:27:23 15	COMMENTS, MR. OMER, WOULD YOU PLEASE ARTICULATE AGAIN THE
10:27:26 16	MOTION YOU'D LIKE THE BOARD TO ACT UPON.
10:27:28 17	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, WE'D ASK FOR APPROVAL
10:46:33 18	OF ITEM 7 A I THROUGH 7 B I, WITH ITEMS 7 A K THROUGH 7 A
10:46:39 19	T AND ITEM 7 B G BEING CONTINGENT UPON MAG REGIONAL
10:46:44 20	COUNCIL APPROVAL ON MAY 28TH.
10:46:46 21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN
10:46:48 22	A MOTION.
10:46:49 23	BOARD MEMBERS SELLERS: SO MOVED.
10:46:49 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. SELLERS MADE THE
10:46:51 25	MOTION.

ì	44
10:46:51 1	IS THERE A SECOND?
10:46:52 2	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: SECOND.
10:46:52 3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SECOND BY MR. ROGERS.
10:46:53 4	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION PRESENTED
10:46:55 5	BY MR. OMER SAY AYE.
6	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
10:46:55 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
8	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:46:56 9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE MOTION
10:46:57 10	CARRIES.
10:46:57 11	MR. OMER.
10:46:58 12	MR. OMER: LASTLY, MR. CHAIR, WE HAVE ONE
10:47:00 13	AIRPORT PROJECT THIS MONTH AS ITEM 7 B J. I WOULD ASK FOR
10:47:03 14	APPROVAL OF THE AIRPORT ITEM.
10:47:05 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THE CHAIR WOULD ASK FOR
10:47:06 16	ANY COMMENTS REGARDING THIS PARTICULAR ITEM.
17	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:47:09 18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO COMMENTS, THE
10:47:10 19	CHAIR WOULD ALSO ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE MOTION
10:47:13 20	TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE AIRPORT PROJECT ITEM 7 B J AS
10:47:18 21	PRESENTED.
10:47:19 22	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: SO MOVED.
23	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: SO MOVED.
10:47:20 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
10:47:21 25	MR. ANDERSON, A SECOND BY MR. ROGERS TO ACCEPT THE MOTION

		45
10:47:24	1	AS PRESENTED.
10:47:24	2	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
	3	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
10:47:28	4	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
	5	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:47:28	6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE MOTION
10:47:30	7	CARRIES.
10:47:30	8	ITEM 8, NEW URBAN AREA AIRPORT IN SAWTOOTH.
10:47:34	9	MR. OMER,
10:47:35 1	.0	MR. OMER: FINALLY, MR. CHAIR, IN ACCORDANCE
10:47:39 1	.1	WITH TITLE ARIZONA REVISED STATUTE TITLE 28-8205,
0:47:45 1	.2	CONSTRUCTION OF NEW AIRPORTS, A NEW AIRPORT SHOULD NOT BE
10:47:51 1	.3	CONSTRUCTED WITHIN THE BOUNDARIES OF AN URBANIZED AREA OR
10:47:53 1	4	WITHIN 24 MILES OF THE EXTERIOR BOUNDARY OF AN URBANIZED
10:47:56 1	.5	AREA WITHOUT APPROVAL OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD.
10:47:59 1	.6	THE BOARD SHALL APPROVE THE CONSTRUCTION OF
10:48:01 1	.7	THE NEW AIRPORT ONLY IF THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE NEW
10:48:05 1	8	AIRPORT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE STATE, REGIONAL, LOCAL
10:48:08 1	9	AVIATION SYSTEM PLANS.
10:48:09 2	0	THE STATE, REGIONAL, LOCAL AVIATION PLANS
10:48:11 2	1	CONSIDER RELEVANT CRITERIA, INCLUDING AIR SPACE AND AIR
10:48:14 2	2	SAFETY, LAND USE COMPATIBILITY AND PRIORITY OF FUNDING.
10:48:18 2	3	THIS PROJECT OF SAWTOOTH AIRPORT IS LOCATED
10:48:21 2	4	SIX MILES SOUTHWEST OF THE CITY OF ELOY'S MUNICIPAL

10:48:25 25 AIRPORT, THE AREA APPORTIONED WITHIN THE 24 MILE RADIUS OF

		46
10:48:29	1	THE CASA GRANDE URBANIZED AREA. IT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY
10:48:30	2	THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION AND THE PINAL COUNTY
10:48:34	3	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
10:48:35	4	THE DEPARTMENT FEELS THAT THE THIS
10:48:38	5	APPROVAL IS JUST IN ORDER TO IT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS
10:48:43	6	LAID OUT IN THE ARIZONA REVISED STATUTE TITLE 28-8205 AND
10:48:47	7	I BELIEVE YOU HAVE A MOTION.
10:48:49	8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
10:48:51	9	OF THE MOTION?
1	10	MR. ANDERSON.
10:48:52	11	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: MR. CHAIRMAN,
0:48:53	12	MR. OMER, IS THIS ANYTHING TO DO WITH KIRBY CHAMBLISS?
10:48:57	L3	HE'S ONE OF THE WORLD RENOWNED STUNT FLYERS IN THAT AREA.
10:49:01 1	L4	MR. OMER: MR. CHAIR, AND MR. ANDERSON, THE
10:49:04 1	L5	INFORMATION I HAVE IS THE PROPOSER OF THIS IS MR. STEVEN
10:49:08]	L6	HILL. HE'S THE OWNER OF THE ARIZONA AIRBORNE SUPPORT
10:49:10 1	L7	GROUP, L.L.C. THAT'S A COMPANY THAT'S ESTABLISHED IN
10:49:14 1	18	NEVADA AND IS ENGAGED AND HAS BEEN IN THE BUSINESS OF
10:49:17 1	19	PARACHUTE JUMPING ACTIVITY, SO THAT'S ALL I KNOW.
10:49:21 2	0 2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS OF
10:49:22 2	1	MR. OMER REGARDING THIS AGENDA ITEM?
10:49:26 2	2	(NO RESPONSE.)
10:49:27 2	3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, AT THIS
10:49:28 2	4	PARTICULAR POINT, THE CHAIR WOULD ASK FOR A MOTION
10:49:32 2	5	PURSUANT TO A.R.S. 28-8205, THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

	47
10:49:38 1	APPROVES THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW AIRPORT IDENTIFIED AS
10:49:42 2	THE, QUOTE, SAWTOOTH AIRPORT, END QUOTE, LOCATED
10:49:45 3	APPROXIMATELY SIX MILES SOUTHWEST OF THE ELOY MUNICIPAL
10:49:49 4	AIRPORT.
10:49:50 5	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: MR. CHAIR, I'D LIKE
10:49:51 6	TO MAKE A MOTION.
10:49:52 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. ANDERSON, PROCEED.
8	YOU'D LIKE TO
9	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: MAKE MOVE FOR A
10:49:57 10	MOTION.
10:49:57 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MOVE THE MOTION.
0:50:00 12	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: YES.
10:50:00 13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: IS THERE A SECOND OF
14	MR. ANDERSON'S MOTION?
10:50:08 15	BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: SECOND.
10:50:09 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MS. BEAVER SECONDS.
17	ALL THOSE
18	ANY COMMENT ON THE MOTION?
19	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:22:39 20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE.
11:22:40 21	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSED MOTION,
11:22:42 22	SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
23	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:22:44 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
25	(NO RESPONSE.)

11:22:45 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: NONE. THE MOTION
11:22:47 2	CARRIES.
11:22:47 3	YOU HAVE ENDED YOUR DEATH MARCH, MR. OMER.
11:22:51 4	THANK YOU.
11:22:51 5	AND WE WILL GO TO ITEM NUMBER 9, THE STATE
11:22:54 6	ENGINEER'S REPORT, WHICH WILL BE PRESENTED ON BEHALF OF
11:22:57 7	OUR STATE ENGINEER BY MR. DALLAS HAMMIT, THE SENIOR DEPUTY
8	STATE ENGINEER FOR DEVELOPMENT.
9	MR. HAMMIT.
11:23:05 10	MR. HAMMIT: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. ON THE
11:23:07 11	STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT, CURRENTLY WE HAVE 103 PROJECTS
1:23:09 12	TOTALING \$706.9 MILLION. IN APRIL ADOT FINALIZED 17
11:23:17 13	PROJECTS TOTALING \$51 MILLION. IN YEAR TO DATE WE'VE
11:23:22 14	FINALIZED 135 PROJECTS.
11:23:24 15	THAT CONCLUDES THE STATE ENGINEER'S REPORT.
11:23:27 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THANK YOU, MR. HAMMIT.
11:23:29 17	WOULD YOU PLEASE PROCEED WITH THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS.
11:23:32 18	MR. HAMMIT: MR. CHAIRMAN, THANK YOU FOR
11:23:34 19	APPROVING THE FOUR PROJECTS THAT WERE APPROVED IN THE
11:23:37 20	CONSENT AGENDA UNDER ADDITIONAL FOUR PROJECTS THAT WE NEED
11:23:41 21	TO EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT.
11:23:42 22	THE FIRST PROJECT IS ON I-19 IN THE TUCSON
11:23:49 23	AREA, SOME IMPROVEMENTS ON THE SOUTHBOUND OFF RAMPS.
11:23:53 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: IS THAT ITEM 10 A?
1:23:57 25	MR. HAMMIT: YES, SIR.

11:23:58 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: PROCEED.
11:23:59 2	MR. HAMMIT: ON THIS PROJECT THE STATE'S
11:24:02 3	ESTIMATE WAS 1.47 \$1.473 MILLION. THE LOW BID CAME IN
11:24:11 4	AT 1.689, BASICALLY, 216,000 OVER THE ESTIMATE.
11:24:16 5	AS WE REVIEWED THE ESTIMATE, WE SAW THE
11:24:19 6	BIGGEST AREA IS THERE IS SOME CONCRETE WORK THAT'S GOING
11:24:23 7	TO TAKE A NUMBER OF POURS WHICH WILL INCREASE THE COST, AS
11:24:28 8	WELL AS A COUPLE EXTRA MOBILIZATIONS. WE ALSO SAW SOME
11:24:34 9	HIGHER PRICES IN THE SIGN STRUCTURE.
11:24:36 10	AFTER REVIEW, WE DO BELIEVE THIS IS A
11:24:38 11	REASONABLE AND A GOOD BID AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL.
1:24:41 12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THIS IS IN MY DISTRICT, I
11:24:43 13	HAVE NO QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS.
11:24:44 14	ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
11:24:45 15	FROM THE BOARD MEMBERS?
16	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:24:47 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, THE CHAIR
11:24:48 18	WOULD ACCEPT ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE
11:24:51 19	STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR ITEM 10 A
11:24:54 20	TO THE ASHTON COMPANY INCORPORATED CONTRACTORS &
11:24:58 21	ENGINEERS.
11:24:58 22	IS THERE A MOTION TO BE MADE?
11:25:02 23	BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: SO MOVED.
11:25:02 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MOTION BY MS. BEAVER.
11:25:04 25	IS THERE A SECOND?
_	

-	-	
5	Т	

	50
11:25:05 1	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: SECOND.
11:25:05 2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SECOND BY MR. ANDERSON.
11:25:07 3	DISCUSSION?
4	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:25:08 5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO DISCUSSION,
11:25:08 6	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION AS PRESENTED, SIGNIFY BY
7	SAYING AYE.
8	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:25:13 9	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
10	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:25:14 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO OPPOSITION,
1:25:15 12	THE MOTION CARRIES.
11:25:17 13	ITEM 10 B, MR. HAMMIT.
11:25:20 14	MR. HAMMIT: MR. CHAIRMAN, ITEM 10 B IS A
11:25:23 15	LOCAL PROJECT IN THE CITY OF SHOW LOW. THIS IS A BRIDGE
11:25:26 16	PROJECT ON WHIPPLE ROAD. THIS PROJECT WAS ESTIMATED AT
11:25:30 17	\$642,700. THE LOW THE BID CAME IN AT 600 EXCUSE ME,
11:25:38 18	\$784,400, A DIFFERENCE OF OVER, APPROXIMATELY, \$141,600.
11:25:49 19	WHEN WE REVIEWED THIS, WE SAW A COUPLE
11:25:51 20	AREAS, AGAIN, THERE IS A SMALL PROJECT, A NUMBER OF
11:25:54 21	MOBILIZATIONS WITH THE EARTHWORK AND THE CONCRETE. AND
11:25:58 22	ALSO THIS PROJECT HAD A CONCRETE ARCH BRIDGE, WE DON'T
11:26:03 23	BUILD A LOT OF THESE AND OUR ESTIMATE WAS A LITTLE LOW ON
11:26:07 24	THAT.
11:26:08 25	AFTER REVIEW, WE DO BELIEVE THIS IS A GOOD

	51
11:26:10 1	BID AND RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF ITEM 10 B.
11:26:13 2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. ROGERS, THIS IS IN
11:26:16 3	YOUR DISTRICT 5, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS
11:26:18 4	REGARDING IT?
11:26:19 5	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: I DON'T, BUT I WOULD
11:26:21 6	MOVE TO APPROVE.
11:26:21 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
11:26:23 8	MR. ROGERS TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO
11:26:27 9	AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR ITEM 10 B TO MCCAULEY CONSTRUCTION
11:26:31 10	COMPANY.
11:26:31 11	IS THERE A SECOND?
1:26:32 12	BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: SECOND.
11:26:33 13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A SECOND BY
11:26:34 14	MR. SELLERS TO APPROVE THE MOTION.
11:26:35 15	ANY DISCUSSION ON THE PENDING MOTION?
16	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:26:37 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE,
11:26:38 18	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSED MOTION,
11:26:40 19	SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
20	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:26:43 21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
22	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:26:43 23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO OPPOSITION,
11:26:44 24	ITEM 10 B CARRIES AS PRESENTED.

ITEM 10 C, MR. HAMMIT.

11:26:47 25

11:26:52 1	MR. HAMMIT: MR. CHAIRMAN, ITEM 10 C IS A
11:26:54 2	CHIP SEAL PROJECT ON U.S. 191. THE STATE'S ESTIMATE WAS
11:26:59 3	\$730,600, THE LOW BID CAME IN AT \$614,300. THE PROJECT
11:27:07 4	WAS UNDER THE ESTIMATE BY \$116,300.
11:27:11 5	REASONS FOR THIS, WE SAW BETTER PRICES FOR
11:27:15 6	OUR ASPHALT AND OUR COVER MATERIAL, WHICH IS OUR CHIPS FOR
11:27:19 7	THE CHIP SEAL. THERE WAS A SOURCE THAT WAS CLOSER. WE
11:27:23 8	SAW BETTER PRICES AND A BETTER HAUL, BECAUSE IT WAS A
11:27:27 9	SHORTER HAUL.
11:27:27 10	WE DO THINK THIS IS A GOOD BID AND WOULD
11:27:30 11	RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF ITEM 10 C.
1:27:33 12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THIS IS IN BOARD DISTRICT
11:27:35 13	NUMBER 3.
11:27:35 14	MR. CUTHBERTSON, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
11:27:38 15	OR COMMENTS?
11:27:39 16	BOARD MEMBER CUTHBERTSON: NO QUESTIONS.
11:27:40 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: AT THIS POINT THE CHAIR
11:27:41 18	WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE STAFF'S
11:27:44 19	RECOMMENDATION TO AWARD THE CONTRACT FOR ITEM 10 C TO
11:27:48 20	SOUTHERN ARIZONA PAVING & CONSTRUCTION COMPANY.
11:27:51 21	BOARD MEMBER CUTHBERTSON: SO MOVED.
11:27:52 22	THERE IS A MOTION BY MR. CUTHBERTSON.
11:27:54 23	IS THERE A SECOND?
11:27:55 24	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: SECOND.
11:27:56 25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: SECOND BY MR. ROGERS TO

	53
11:27:57 1	APPROVE AND ACCEPT THE MOTION AS PRESENTED ON ITEM 10 C.
11:28:03 2	DISCUSSION?
3	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:28:03 4	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE.
11:28:04 5	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION, SIGNIFY BY
11:28:06 6	SAYING AYE.
7	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:28:07 8	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
9	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:28:08 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, ITEM 10 C
11:28:11 11	AS PROPOSED CARRIES.
11:28:13 12	ITEM 10 D, AS IN DAVID, MR. HAMMIT.
11:28:17 13	MR. HAMMIT: MR. CHAIRMAN, ITEM 10 D IS IN
11:28:20 14	THE CITY OF GLENDALE ON PEORIA AVENUE. THIS PROJECT IS
11:28:24 15	BASICALLY TO ADD SOME ITS, UM, DIGITAL OR SOME DYNAMIC
11:28:29 16	MESSAGE BOARDS ON THE PROJECT.
11:28:31 17	THE LOW BIDDER, FORTIS NETWORKS', BID CAME
11:28:34 18	IN VERY LOW. WE EXAMINED THAT AND SAW THAT THE BID WAS
11:28:39 19	MATHEMATICALLY UNBALANCED. AND BASICALLY WHAT THEY DID ON
11:28:43 20	THE DYNAMIC MESSAGE BOARD, THEY UNDERESTIMATED
11:28:46 21	DRAMATICALLY NOT UNDERESTIMATED, THEY HAD AN ERROR IN
11:28:50 22	THE FOUNDATIONS THE SIGN STRUCTURES AND ACTUALLY THE
11:28:54 23	SIGN THE DMS SIGNS THEMSELVES. THEIR BID WAS
11:28:58 24	MATHEMATICALLY UNBALANCED. WE RECOMMEND REJECTION OF

11:29:03 25 THEIR BID AND AWARDING THE PROJECT TO CONTRACTORS WEST.

	No. 1997
11:29:08 1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THIS IS IN DISTRICT
11:29:09 2	NUMBER 1, THE CENTER OF THE UNIVERSE'S DISTRICT. IS THERE
11:29:14 3	ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?
11:29:17 4	BOARD MEMBER LA RUE: MR. CHAIR, NO
11:29:18 5	QUESTIONS. I NOTICE THAT FORTIS HAS SUBMITTED
11:29:20 6	COMMUNICATION AGREEING THAT THEIR BID IS UNBALANCED ASKING
11:29:23 7	TO WITHDRAW, SO, THEREFORE, I WOULD MOVE TO RECOMMEND THE
11:29:26 8	OR AWARD THE RECOMMENDATION AS SET OUT SET FORTH BY
11:29:30 9	STAFF.
11:29:30 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. LA RUE HAS MADE THE
11:29:32 11	MOTION TO ACCEPT AND APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO
1:29:34 12	REJECT THE BID OF FORTIS NETWORKS, INCORPORATED, AND AWARD
11:29:38 13	THE CONTRACT FOR ITEM 10 D, AS IN DAVID, TO CONTRACTORS
11:29:42 14	WEST, INCORPORATED. MR. LA RUE HAS MADE THE MOTION, IS
11:29:46 15	THERE A SECOND?
11:29:47 16	BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: SECOND.
11:29:47 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A SECOND BY
11:29:48 18	MR. SELLERS.
11:29:49 19	DISCUSSION?
11:29:49 20	BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: I JUST HAVE ONE
11:29:50 21	QUESTION. IF IT'S STILL LOWER THAN THE STATE ESTIMATE,
11:29:55 22	THIS BID.
11:29:56 23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MR. HAMMIT.
11:29:58 24	MR. HAMMIT: YES. MR. CHAIRMAN, MS. BEAVER,
11:30:01 25	THIS BID IS LOWER. WE WE HAVE REVIEWED IT. CONTRACTOR

	55
11:30:05 1	WEST BID WE BELIEVE IS A GOOD BID AND WOULD RECOMMEND
11:30:08 2	APPROVAL.
11:30:09 3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE
11:30:10 4	FLOOR, THERE HAS BEEN
11:30:11 5	A REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION?
6	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:30:12 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, ALL THOSE
11:30:14 8	IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION AS PRESENTED ON ITEM 10 D, AS IN
11:30:18 9	DAVID, SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE.
10	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:30:20 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
12	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:30:21 13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO OPPOSITION,
11:30:23 14	ITEM 10 D IS APPROVED AS PRESENTED.
11:30:25 15	THANK YOU, MR. HAMMIT.
11:30:30 16	AT THIS POINT WE'LL HAVE, ON OUR AGENDA, AN
11:30:33 17	UPDATE ON THE PROPOSED SOUTH MOUNTAIN CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE
11:30:37 18	DELIVERY OPTIONS. AND OUR DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR POLICY
11:30:40 19	MR. FLOYD ROEHRICH WILL BE MAKING THAT PRESENTATION.
11:30:41 20	MR. ROEHRICH.
11:30:44 21	MR. ROEHRICH: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
11:30:45 22	BOARD MEMBERS. WE WERE ASKED TO COME TO THE BOARD, KIND
11:30:51 23	OF UPDATE THEM ON WHERE WE'RE AT ON THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN, AS
11:30:52 24	WELL AS THE DELIVERY METHODS THAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. AND I
11:30:55 25	THINK ONE OF THE CONSIDERATIONS WAS THE POTENTIAL

11:32:37 6

11:32:41 7

11:32:44 8

11:32:48 9

11:32:53 10

11:32:57 11

11:33:01 12

11:33:04 13

11:33:07 14

11:33:11 15

11:33:14 16

11:33:17 17

11:33:20 18

11:33:23 19

11:33:26 2 0

11:33:29 21

11:33:30 22

11:33:35 23

11:33:39 24

11:33:40 25

11:31:00 1 11:31:03 2 11:31:05 3 11:31:09 4 11:31:11 5

11:31:14 6 11:31:15 7 11:31:18 8 11:31:20 9 11:31:24 10 11:31:28 11 1:31:30 12 11:31:35 13 11:31:38 14 11:31:40 15 11:31:43 16 11:31:46 17

11:31:49 18 11:31:54 19 11:31:57 20 11:32:01 21 11:32:05 22 11:32:11 23 11:32:14 24

11:32:18 25

PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP P3 CONTRACT I BELIEVE HAS BEEN WORKING, AND THEN ANALYZING AND REVIEWING OVER THE COURSE OF THESE PAST FEW MONTHS. AND I KNOW WE'VE HAD A PRETTY EXTENSIVE OVERVIEW BEFORE BY GAIL LEWIS (PHONETIC), I JUST WANTED TO TOUCH ON SOME TOPICS AND ANY QUESTIONS THE BOARD MEMBERS MAY HAVE.

FIRST AND FOREMOST, WE'RE STILL, ON THIS CORRIDOR, WHICH IS A NEW CORRIDOR IN MARICOPA COUNTY, THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN LOOP 202, THIS CORRIDOR IS STILL IN THE NEPA PROCESS, WE'RE STILL EVALUATING AND FINALIZING THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT, THE EIS, WITHOUT THE PUBLIC HEARING, AND WE'RE IN THE PROCESS NOW OF ADDRESSING COMMENTS, MAKING FINAL EVIDENCE, FINAL ADJUSTMENTS TO THE -- TO THE EIS.

IT CARRIES THE TWO OPTIONS MOVING FORWARD, A NO BUILD OPTION, WHICH IS THE ONE IN CONSIDERATION, AND THE OTHER CONSIDERATION IS TO BUILD THIS CORRIDOR ALONG THE ROUTE THAT BASICALLY GOES EAST/WEST ALONG PECOS, TURNS NORTH IN THE VICINITY ABOUT 55TH AVENUE AND CONNECTS INTO I-10 AND THE WEST -- THE WEST VALLEY AROUND 55TH AVENUE. SO AS THAT PROCESS CONTINUES TO MOVE FORWARD, WE'RE LOOKING AT FINALIZING A DRAFT FINAL EIS LATER THIS SUMMER. THERE IS ABOUT A 60 DAY OPEN PERIOD, COMMENT PERIOD WHERE -- WHERE IT'S UNDER ANY PUBLIC REVIEW, ANY FINAL AGENCY, COORDINATION AGENCY REVIEW, ANY FINAL COMMENTS. ONCE WE

11:32:21 1 GET THOSE BACK, WE WORK WITH THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY 11:32:24 2 ADMINISTRATION, WE COMPLETE THE EIS THAT WE SUBMITTED FOR A RECOMMENDED DECISION. ANTICIPATE THAT WILL PROBABLY 11:32:27 3 11:32:32 4 HAPPEN LATE THIS YEAR, AT THE END OF THE YEAR WILL BE THE RECOMMENDED DECISION, AND THEN FROM THERE WE MOVE FORWARD. 11:32:34 5

> IN CONJUNCTION OF THE POSSIBILITY OF -- AND I DO NEED TO POINT OUT THAT BECAUSE THERE IS TWO OPTIONS ON THE TABLE, NO BUILD AND BUILD OPTION, ANY EFFORTS WE'RE MAKING TO LOOK AT EVALUATING WHETHER IT'S A P3 OR ANY DELIVERY METHOD, A REGULAR DESIGN BID BUILD, DESIGN BUILD CMAX, CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK, CMAR, ANY OF THOSE OPTIONS IS IN CONSIDERATION OF BEING PREPARED TO MOVE FORWARD IF THE BUILD OPTION IS THE FINAL RECOMMENDED DECISION AND THAT IS WHAT COMES OUT OF THE RECOMMENDED DECISION. IF IT ENDS UP BEING A NO BUILD, THEN OBVIOUSLY WE DON'T BUILD. THAT'S THE DECISION, WE MOVE FORWARD.

> I THINK WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW, AND THE REASON WHY A LOT OF WHAT WE'RE DOING NOW IS ONLY INTERNAL TO THE AGENCY, IS WE DO NOT WANT TO IMPACT THE CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT THROUGH THE NEPA PROCESS OF THE EIS. SO THE NUMBER OF THINGS THAT WE'RE DOING INTERNAL ARE JUST PREPLANNING EFFORTS FOR US, BUT THEY'RE NOTHING THAT IS BEING DONE THAT WOULD IMPACT OUR MOVING FORWARD WITH THE NEPA PROCESS

> > SO IN CONSIDERATION OF A POTENTIAL FOR THERE

11:40:11 14

11:40:14 15

11:40:18 16

11-40-22 17

11:40:28 18

11:40:32 1 9

11:40:34 20

11:40:38 21

11:40:41 22

11:40:45 23

11:40:49 24

11:40:52 25

11:39:18 25

TO BE A BUILD OPTION, WE DID RECEIVE LAST YEAR THE
UNSOLICITED P3 PROPOSAL TO BUILD THE WHOLE CORRIDOR AS ONE
PROJECT UNDER A DESIGN BUILD AND FINANCE A COMPONENT IN
ORDER TO ACCELERATE THE DELIVERY OF THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN
PROJECT. IN ADDITION TO THAT, THERE IS A POTENTIAL TO
LOOK AT IS THERE A MAINTENANCE COMPONENT THAT COULD BE
ADDED TO THIS PROJECT IN THE MORE LONG TERM.

SO WE LOOKED THROUGH THAT PROPOSAL, WE MADE
THE DECISION THAT THERE IS PARTS OF THAT THAT ARE
WARRANTED FOR THE AGENCY TO CONSIDER, AND THEN PARTS THAT
WEREN'T. WE HAVE DECIDED THAT THE UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL IS
NOW MOVING INTO A VALUATION PHASE BY THE DEPARTMENT THAT
LOOKS AT THE (INAUDIBLE) OF THE UNSOLICITED PROPOSAL IN
CONJUNCTION WITH ULTIMATELY WHAT TYPE OF A PROPOSAL DOES
THE AGENCY WANT.

SO WE ARE NOW LOOKING AT A P3 PROPOSAL THAT
BASICALLY IS LOOKING AT ADDING A DESIGN BUILD FINANCE AND
MAINTENANCE OPERATION. AGAIN, SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE
LOOKING AT ACCELERATING THIS PROJECT, DOING IT IN ONE
PROJECT AS OPPOSED TO MULTIPLE PHASES, ALSO DESIGN BUILD
MAINTENANCE P3 PROJECT THAT WOULD NOT HAVE THE FINANCE
COMPONENT, WE WOULD JUST FINANCE IT AS WE ROLL THROUGH THE
-- THROUGH THE PROGRAM, AND WE'LL ADJUST ANY ACCELERATION
COSTS, WE'LL GIVE THAT CONSIDERATION.

SO THOSE ARE THE P3 OPTIONS WE'RE

EVALUATING, AS WELL AS WE'RE STILL LOOKING AT SHOULD THIS 11:39:21 1 11:39:25 2 BE MULTIPLE SEGMENTS, SHOULD WE HAVE DIFFERENT DESIGN 11:39:28 3 BUILD OPTIONS, OR, AGAIN, CMAR OPTIONS AND THOSE TYPE OF 11:39:32 4 COMPONENTS. WE DO FEEL THAT THERE IS A VALUE IN POTENTIALLY DOING IT AS ONE LARGE PROJECT IN ORDER TO --11:39:36 5 11:39:39 6 TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF EFFICIENCIES, COST SAVINGS BY -- BY A 11:39:46 7 SINGLE CONTRACT, AGAIN, ACCELERATING THE PROJECT DELIVERING, BEING ABLE TO USE EFFICIENCY OF DESIGN 11:39:51 8 11:39:57 9 CONSTRUCTING AND LETTING ONE CONTRACTOR PHASE THAT 11:39:59 10 THROUGH. LOOK AT SOME OF THE RISKS THAT COULD BE SHIFTED OFF OF THE AGENCY ONTO THE PRIVATE SECTOR FOR THIS 11:40:03 11 1:40:06 12 ACCELERATED DELIVERY AND SOME OF THE COORDINATION EFFORTS 11:40:10 13 IN ORDER TO MOVE THAT FORWARD.

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

ALL THAT IS BEING LOOKED AT AS PART OF THE

CONSIDERATION, AS WELL AS ANY OTHER COMPONENTS OR

CONSIDERATIONS ON A POLITICAL NATURE OR A PUBLIC NATURE IN

REGARD TO ONE PROJECT AS MULTIPLE PROJECTS. SO ALL THAT

IS BEING LOOKED AT BY A TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

THAT'S MADE UP OF ADOT, MAG, AND FHWA INDIVIDUALS. AND,

AGAIN, THEY'RE EVALUATING THE SELECTION PROCESS THROUGH A

VALUE OF MONEY ANALYSIS, THE TIME SAVINGS THROUGH

EFFICIENCY, PREPARING THE DIFFERENT DELIVERY METHOD

COMPARISONS BETWEEN THE TWO P3 OPTIONS, AS WELL AS SOME OF

THE OTHER OPTIONS WE TALKED ABOUT, AND EVALUATING THE

RISK, AS WELL AS ANY ADDITIONAL OTHER OPTIMIZING VALUE OF

PROJECTS COMPONENTS FOR THE DELIVERY METHOD.

11:43:26 25

61

11:41:50 18

12:41:34 **1**2

11:41:37 13

11:41:54 19 11:41:57 20 11:42:00 21 11:42:05 22 11:42:08 23

11:42:14 25

AND WE DID HOLD AN INDUSTRY FORUM IN FEBRUARY PERTAINING TO A POSSIBLE P3 CONTRACT, AND ASKED FOR ANY OF THE INPUT FROM THE INDUSTRY IN REGARD TO THIS. WE RECEIVED 11 WRITTEN RESPONSES AND EIGHT REQUESTS FOR INDIVIDUAL MEETINGS, SO WE GOT QUITE A -- QUITE A BIG INTEREST IN THIS PROJECT, AGAIN, NOT ONLY OF THE MAGNITUDE OF IT, AS WAS POINTED OUT WITH 1.4 BILLION, BASICALLY, IN POTENTIAL CONSTRUCTION, BUT IT'S BASICALLY ALMOST A \$2 MILLION CORRIDOR WHEN YOU FACTOR RIGHT-OF-WAY, UTILITY RELOCATIONS AND OTHER COSTS, SO IT'S A VERY SIGNIFICANT COMPONENT OF THE NEXT FIVE-YEAR PROGRAM WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE VALUE OF THAT. SO WE'RE IN THE PROCESS NOW OF ADDING THIS TAC TEAM, FINALIZE THEIR ANALYSIS. THEY INTEND TO MEET WITH THE LEADERSHIP TEAM OF THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, ADOT AND MAG LATER THIS MONTH, WITH THE POTENTIAL FINAL DECISION ON A DELIVERY METHOD MOVING FORWARD HOPEFULLY LATER THIS SUMMER. AGAIN, WE'RE LOOKING AT TRYING TO TIE THE DECISION OF MOVING FORWARD WITH ANY

11:42:18 1 AND WE IF WE DO MOVE FORWARD WITH A SOLICITATION OF SOME SORT, IT WOULD PROBABLY HAPPEN REAL 11:42:21 2 CLOSE IN TIME FRAME WITH THE RECOMMENDED DECISION, AND 11:42:24 3 11:42:27 4 THEN IMMEDIATELY AFTER THAT, AS OUICKLY AS POSSIBLE, MAKE THE SELECTION OF WHETHER IT'S A ONE CONTRACT TO P3 OR 11:42:30 5 11:42:34 6 MULTIPLE CONTRACTS THROUGH DIFFERENT ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY 11:42:37 7 METHOD, AND THEN MOVE FORWARD WITH TRYING TO ACCELERATE 11:42:40 8 THIS PROJECT AND COMPLETE IT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. 11:42:43 9 THAT'S KIND OF A GENERAL OVERVIEW WHERE 11:42:45 10 WE'RE AT. IF THERE ARE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, WE CAN 11:42:47 11 DISCUSS THAT. BUT THE OTHER PART IS UNTIL WE HAVE MORE 11:42:49 12 DEFINITION OF WHERE THE EIS IS GOING TO BE, THE TIME FRAME 11:42:54 13 FOR THAT, WE'RE CAUTIOUS ABOUT MOVING FORWARD WITH THE 11:42:58 14 DEFINITIVE ANSWERS ON A SOLICITATION OR A DELIVERY METHOD 11:43:02 15 IN THE EVENT THAT NO BUILD IS SELECTED. 11:43:05 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY OUESTIONS OF 11:43:06 17 MR. ROEHRICH? 11:43:06 18 MR. SELLERS. MR. SELLERS. 11:43:10 1 9 BOARD MEMBER SELLERS: YEAH. AND I VERY 11:43:12 20 MUCH APPRECIATE THE UPDATE AND IT SOUNDS ENCOURAGING. T 11:43:16 21 JUST WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE BIGGEST CONCERN I HAVE IS 11:43:19 22 ENSURING THAT WE'RE DOING EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO MAKE THIS 11:43:22 23 PROJECT MOVE FORWARD AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. 11:43:25 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: MS. BEAVER.

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

TYPE OF A SELECTION PROCESS FOR THE DELIVERY OF THE BUILD

OPTION IN CONJUNCTION WITH WHEN THE RECOMMENDED DECISION

OPPOSED TO NOT BUILD. SO ALL THAT IS BEING CONSIDERED IN

COMES IN THAT SAYS WE HAVE THE DECISION TO BUILD, AS

THE EVALUATION PROCESS RIGHT NOW.

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602,264,2230

BOARD MEMBER BEAVER: AND I DON'T KNOW IF

11:45:57 25

11:43:34 3

11:43:40 4

11:43:45 5

11:43:49 6

11:43:51 7

11:43:54 8

11:44:01 9

11:44:08 11

1:44:08 12

11:44:13 13

11:44:15 14

11:44:18 15

11:44:22 17

11:44:24 18

11:44:28 19

11:44:30 2 0 11:44:32 2 1

11:44:35 22

11:44:37 23

11:44:41 24

11:44:45 25

THIS WOULD BE THE PLACE, MAYBE I JUST NEED TO MEET WITH SOMEBODY PRIVATELY TO JUST INQUIRE, BECAUSE I DON'T KNOW THE HISTORY OF THIS. IS IT JUST AN ALTERNATIVE ROUTE AS OPPOSED TO THE I-10/I-17, THAT -- THAT WAS JUST ONE QUESTION I HAD, SO I JUST -- I HAVEN'T BEEN ON THE BOARD THAT LONG TO KNOW ALL OF THE HISTORY THAT BROUGHT IT UP TO THIS POINT. THE ONLY THING THAT YOU DID MENTION THAT KIND OF CAUSED ME PAUSE WAS WHEN YOU INDICATED THAT IN THE FIVE-YEAR PLAN, SO IS THAT GOING TO BUMP OTHERS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN IN THE FIVE-YEAR PLAN?

MR. ROEHRICH: MR. CHAIRMAN AND BEAVER, NO,
IT'S IN THERE NOW AND THE COMPONENT -- IT'S PART OF THE
MAG'S PROGRAM, SO IT'S PART OF THE MAG PROGRAM THAT IS IN
THERE. IT WOULD NOT AFFECT THE STATEWIDE PROGRAM. THERE
ARE NO OTHER STATEWIDE FUNDS THAT WOULD GO INTO THIS.
THIS PROJECT -- AND REAL QUICKLY BECAUSE I -- THIS PROJECT
HAS BEEN AROUND, WE'VE BEEN STUDYING IT FOR 13 YEARS
THROUGH THE WHOLE EIS PROCESS. THERE IS A GREAT AMOUNT OF
INFORMATION ON LINE AT THE ADOT WEB SITE. I WOULD
RECOMMEND THAT YOU MAYBE REVIEW THAT AND LOOK AT THAT TO
GET ALL OF THE BACKGROUND AND HISTORY, AND THEN GIVE ME A
CALL, WE CAN TALK THROUGH ANY OTHER ISSUES OR DISCUSSIONS
THAT YOU WANT. BUT THIS IS IN THE MAG REGION, THIS IS ALL
PART OF THE MAG'S RTP, REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN, AND
IT WILL BE FUNDED OUT OF THEIR SHARE OF ANY STATE, FEDERAL

AND OBVIOUSLY (INAUDIBLE) FUNDS THAT THEY HAVE. 11:44:47 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? 11:44:52 2 11:44:53 3 MR. ANDERSON. BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I 11:44:54 4 11:44:55 5 REQUESTED THIS TO BE ON THE AGENDA TODAY. AND, YOU KNOW, THANKS TO YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE HAVE DETAILED MINUTES FROM 11:44:58 6 ALL THE MEETINGS. AND I BROUGHT UP THE MINUTES FROM THE, 11:45:01 7 11:45:03 8 I THINK IT WAS THE PRESCOTT VALLEY MEETING WHEN GAIL GAVE 11:45:07 9 THE PRESENTATION ON IT, AND CHAIRMAN FOREST (PHONETIC) HAD A LOT OF QUESTIONS IN TERMS OF THE UNSOLICITED BID AND 11:45:09 10 11:45:13 11 THIS PROJECT. I THINK WE HAD TWO SPEAKERS DURING THE PUBLIC HEARING TALK ABOUT P3 AND HOW THAT COULD BE USED 11:45:15 12 11:45:19 13 THROUGHOUT THE STATE TO ADVANCE PROJECTS, TO FINANCE 11:45:21 14 PROJECTS. 11:45:22 15 AND SO, MR. ROEHRICH, I MEAN, IS A P3, IS --A TRUE P3, WOULD NOT A PRIVATE SECTOR FUNDING BE PART OF 11:45:27 16 11:45:32 17 THAT WHOLE ENTIRE PACKAGE? AND I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY 11:45:36 18 FINANCE ON THE PROJECT -- ON THE PRIVATE SECTOR A ROAD, I 11:45:39 19 DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S SOMETHING YOU CAN KIND OF EXPLAIN TO 11:45:43 20 THE BOARD OR --11:45:45 21 MR. ROEHRICH: MR. CHAIR, MR. ANDERSON, I 11:45:48 22 THINK THIS IS A STAFF ITEM, BUT WE CAN HAVE A MORE 11:45:51 23 COMPREHENSIVE DISCUSSION ON WHAT A P3 PROGRAM WOULD LOOK LIKE, BUT NORMALLY A P3 IS A PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP. 11:45:53 24

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters

IT'S USUALLY A COMBINATION WHERE A PUBLIC AGENCY WANTS TO

11:48:19 18

11:48:22 19

11:48:24 20

11:48:27 21

11:48:30 22

11:48:34 23

11:48:38 24

11:48:41 25

11:46:01 1 11:46:04 2 11:46:07 3 11:46:11 4 11:46:15 5 11:46:18 6 11:46:21 7 11:46:24 8 11:46:27 9 11:46:32 10 11:46:34 11 1:46:37 12 11:46:39 13 11:46:42 14 11:46:46 1.5 11:46:50 16 11:46:54 1.7 11:46:57 18 11:47:01 19 11:47:04 20 11:47:07 21 11:47:12 22 11:47:15 23 11:47:18 24

11:47:19 25

BUILD A FACILITY BUT LACKS THE FUNDS, SO THEN A PRIVATE ENTITY WILL COME IN WITH THE FUNDING. AND A LOT OF TIMES IT'S REALLY FUNDING THROUGH A FINANCE MECHANISM WHERE THE PRIVATE ENTITY WILL ACQUIRE THE FUNDS. AND IT MIGHT NOT BE ALL THE FUNDS. A LOT OF TIMES FOR THESE PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS TO WORK, THE PUBLIC AGENCY DOES HAVE TO COME IN WITH SOME FUNDS, OR HAVE TO COME IN WITH SOMETHING, THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OR MAYBE A, SOME TYPE OF A -- PART OF IT, AND THEN WHAT THE -- THE PRIVATE INVESTMENT IS PAID BACK THROUGH A TOLL OR THROUGH (INAUDIBLE) REVENUE STREAM.

THERE ARE COMPONENTS, AND SOUTH MOUNTAIN IS
ONE OF THOSE, THERE HAVE BEEN PROJECTS, THOUGH, WHERE IT
DOES HAVE FUNDING AVAILABLE, IT'S JUST STRETCHED OUT
FARTHER ALONG BECAUSE OF THE CASH FLOW OF WHEN THE FUNDS
WOULD BE ABLE MAG'S PROGRAM. SO REALLY WHAT THIS PUBLIC/
PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP IS, IS NOT TO GENERATE REVENUE, IT'S
TO GENERATE FINANCING, ALMOST, AS YOU WILL, WE BRING IN
THE MONEY BUT YOU PAY US BACK AS AVAILABILITY PAYMENTS OUT
OF THE FUNDS YOU HAVE IN YOUR PROGRAM. AND THERE ARE SOME
P3S THAT HAVE WORKED LIKE THAT, AN AVAILABILITY PAYMENT.
IF A PUBLIC AGENCY DOESN'T HAVE THE ABILITY TO BOND OR GO
OUT AND ACCELERATE THE PROJECT ITSELF, THE FINANCING
COMPONENT OF IT, THEY COULD DO THAT AND THEN IT'S PAID OFF
OVER TIME.

BUT THE TRADITIONAL P3 ROUTE, THOUGH, HAS

BEEN IT'S A PROJECT THAT GENERATES THE REVENUE THAT PAYS 11:47:21 1 11:47:24 2 BACK THE PRIVATE COMPANY'S INVESTMENT OVER SOME PERIOD OF 11:47:28 3 TIME. AND IT CAN STRETCH OUT FROM 30 YEARS TO, YOU KNOW, 11:47:32 4 80 YEARS, WHATEVER IS THE CONDITIONS OF IT TO BE A PUBLIC/ PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP. SO IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THE SOUTH 11:47:36 5 11:47:42 6 MOUNTAIN IS NOT GOING TO BE A TOLL ROAD, AND IT DOES NOT GENERATE REVENUE, IT WAS APPROVED BY THE VOTERS AND THERE 11-47-44 7 IS FUNDING IN MAG'S RTP, IT WAS LOOKED AT AS A POTENTIAL 11:47:48 8 11:47:52 9 TO FINANCE IT WITH AVAILABILITY PAYMENT BACK BY 11:47:54 10 ACCELERATING THE PROJECT, ANYWHERE FROM ONE TO TWO, MAYBE 11:47:57 11 THREE YEARS, AND THEN THE FUNDS WOULD BE PAID BACK OUT OF 11:48:01 12 -- AT A COST, OBVIOUSLY, THERE WOULD BE A VALUE FOR THE 11:48:03 13 COST OF MONEY FOR THE FINANCING, WHICH IS ALSO WHAT WE'RE 11:48:07 14 LOOKING AT, AS THE P3 PROPOSER HAS SAID THAT WE CAN 11:48:12 15 FINANCE THIS, WE'VE GOT THE MONEY, AND THEN YOU PAY US 11:48:15 16 BACK AND THEN WE'LL COORDINATE, WE'LL WORK OUT, NEGOTIATE ON WHAT THOSE COSTS ARE. 11:48:18 17

WE INTERNALLY HAVE BEEN EVALUATING IT, COULD WE STILL ACCELERATE AND WHAT FINANCIAL TOOLS DO WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO DO THE SAME THING, AND THEN WHAT WILL THAT COST BE TO COMPARE THEM, AGAIN, LOOKING AT THE VALUE OF MONEY, LOOKING AT THE VALUE OF TIME FOR THAT FINANCING. SO THIS P3 IS NOT A FUNDING P3 THAT BRINGS IN REVENUE, IT JUST BRINGS IN A FINANCING POSSIBILITY.

NOW, THE OTHER COMPONENT TO THAT THAT WE'RE

11:50:00 1

11:50:05 2

11:50:07 3

11:50:11 4

11:50:14 5

11:50:15 6

11:50:17 7

11:50:21 8

11:50:25 9

11:50:30 10

11:50:43 11

1:50:48 12

11:50:52 13

11:50:56 14

11:51:01 15

11:51:03 16

11:51:06 17

11:51:10 18

11:51:14 19

11:51:15 20

11:51:16 21

11:51:20 23

11:51:22 24

11:51:26 25

22

11:49:53 23

11:49:57 24

11:49:58 25

LOOKING AT, THOUGH, THAT ISN'T A PART OF OUR TRADITIONAL,
IS THE LONG TERM MAINTENANCE. AND THEN PART OF OUR ISSUE
IS A POSSIBILITY A 35-YEAR MAINTENANCE WINDOW WHERE THIS
PROJECT, MAJOR COMPONENTS OF IT, THE BRIDGES, THE ROADWAY,
THE RUBBERIZED ASPHALT SURFACING, SOME OF THOSE COMPONENTS
COULD BE BROUGHT IN WITH EXPECTABLE PERFORMANCE LEVEL THAT
IF THERE IS EITHER FAILURE OR AT SOME PERIOD OF TIME WHEN
IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS FAILURE, THERE IS A POT OF MONEY
THERE TO COME BACK AND GET ADDRESSED BY THIS CONTRACTOR
OVER -- AND WE'RE LOOKING AT I THINK THE 30 OR 35 YEAR
WINDOW AS A POSSIBILITY TO DO SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

AND THAT'S VERY GENERAL. THERE IS A LOT

AND THAT'S VERY GENERAL. THERE IS A LOT

MORE DETAIL IN THE SPECIFICS OF THE TYPES OF P3S OUT

THERE. AND IF THE BOARD WOULD WANT TO DELVE INTO THAT, WE

COULD PREPARE A MORE COMPREHENSIVE AND MORE DETAILED

DISCUSSION OF THE VARIOUS TYPES OF P3S.

BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: BUT I THINK THE -THE DEPARTMENT OR EVEN THE TAC ARE NOT AGAINST ANY TYPE OF
PRIVATE FINANCING OF A PROJECT WITHIN THE STATE OR A
(INAUDIBLE) PROJECT, SO TO SPEAK.

MR. ROEHRICH: MR. CHAIR, MR. ANDERSON,

ABSOLUTELY NOT, WE'RE NOT AGAINST THAT AT ALL, BUT THE

REAL COMPONENT TO THAT HAS TO BE, THOUGH, IS THE PUBLIC

AND POLITICAL ACCEPTABILITY TO ACCEPT A TOLL ROAD, OR

ACCEPT A TOLL AT SOME -- IN SOME COMPONENT IF WE ENTER

INTO A P3 PROJECT WHERE WE ARE GOING TO TRY TO GENERATE REVENUE TO PAY IT BACK, BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE MONEY FOR IT. SO IF IT TRULY IS A P3 TO BRING FUNDING TO THE PROJECT, WE'RE GOING TO NEED A FINANCE MECHANISM TO PAY IT BACK.

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

THIS PROJECT, SOUTH MOUNTAIN, HAS IT,
BECAUSE THERE IS MONEY IN THE RTP. AND THAT'S WHY WHEN
WE'VE BEEN TOLD, WELL, WHY AREN'T YOU LOOKING AT THIS
CORRIDOR AS A TOLL ROAD, WHY AREN'T YOU LOOKING AT THAT
CORRIDOR AS A TOLL ROAD, WE ARE AND WE'RE EVALUATING IT,
BUT, ONE, IT HAS TO MAKE FINANCIAL SENSE THAT IT HAS A
VIABLE AMOUNT OF USAGE, USERS THAT COULD GENERATE THE
REVENUES TO PAY IT BACK, AND IS THERE ACCEPTABILITY AT THE
PUBLIC AND POLITICAL LEVEL TO ACCEPT THE TOLL ROAD IN THE
STATE OF ARIZONA. ANY TIME WE GO OUT AND TALK ABOUT TOLL
ROADS, THE FIRST THING WE HEAR AND THE FIRST THING THE
AGENCY AND THE DIRECTOR GETS BEAT UP IN THE MEDIA IS, BY
GOD, THERE IS NO TOLL ROADS IN ARIZONA. WE DON'T WANT

 $\label{eq:chairman christy: any further questions of $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ROEHRICH?}$

MR. LA RUE.

BOARD MEMBER LA RUE: FLOYD, I THINK WE

HEARD SOME PUBLIC COMMENT TODAY AND CLEARLY QUESTIONS FROM

THE BOARD, AND THIS IS NOT NEW, AS YOU KNOW, P3 IS A VERY

11:52:59 1

11:53:03 2

11:53:07 3

11:53:07 4

11:53:10 5

11:53:13 6

11:53:16 7

11:53:20 8

11:53:24 9

11:53:27 10

11:53:30 11

11:53:33 12

11:53:36 13

11:53:39 14

11:53:41 15

11:53:45 16

11:53:48 17

11:53:52 18

11:53:58 1 9

11:54:02 20

11:54:04 21

11:54:08 22

11:54:11 23

11:54:15 24

11:54:16 25

11:51:29 1

11:51:29 2 11:51:33 3

11:51:40 4

11:51:45 5

11:51:48 6

11:51:51 7

11:51:54 8

11:52:01 9

11:52:03 10 11:52:06 11

1:52:10 12

11:52:14 13

11:52:15 14

11:52:17 15

11:52:22 16

11:52:25 17

11:52:28 18

11:52:31 1 9

11:52:35 20 11:52:41 21

11:52:45 22

11:52:49 23

11:52:51 24

11-52-56 25

INTERESTING IDEA TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO BUSINESS DIFFERENTLY GOING FORWARD AND HOW WE CAN MAXIMIZE WHAT LIMITED RESOURCES WE HAVE INTO THE FUTURE. AND SO GIVEN THAT'S A VERY, VERY HOT TOPIC, VERY COMPLEX TOPIC, I HEARD YOU USE "WE" A NUMBER OF TIMES WHEN YOU FIRST STARTED THE CONVERSATIONS, YOUR REPORT SAYING, YOU KNOW, WE'RE LOOKING AT THIS, WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT. UM, I THINK, BECAUSE THIS IS SO NEW, THIS P3 AND THE COMPLEX, IT'S GOING TO HAVE RAMIFICATIONS, IT'S GOING TO HAVE POLICY CONSIDERATIONS. IT'S GOING TO HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT ARE OF INTEREST TO THE BOARD. I GUESS MY OUESTION IS WHO IS THIS "WE" THAT'S LOOKING AT IT? YOU MENTIONED A TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, IS THAT THE WE?

AND THEN, FINALLY, IS THERE -- WHERE IS THE BOARD LIAISON AND THE BOARD CONNECTIVITY TO THIS, SINCE THIS IS GOING TO IMPACT SOME BOARD POLICY TYPE QUESTIONS IN THE FUTURE?

MR. ROEHRICH: MR. CHAIR, MR. LA RUE, THE "WE" IS GAIL LEWIS'S TEAM. SHE'S THE MANAGER OF OUR -- OF P3 INITIATIVES, AND SHE'S GOT THE STAFF, AS WELL AS A CONSULTANT SUPPORT TEAM THAT SHE HAS THAT WORKS WITH HER. THEY'RE LOOKING AT DIFFERENT ROADS, WHEN PEOPLE COME IN AND SAY, WELL, WOULD YOU CONSIDER TOLLING, FOR INSTANCE, THE BYPASS OF 189 DOWN TO NOGALES. WELL, THEY DID AN ANALYSIS ON THAT. THEY LOOKED AT THE VALUE OF MONEY AND

THE VOLUME TO TOLL, AND THEY DID SOME LOOKS AT THAT, SO HER TEAM IS LOOKING AT WHEN CORRIDORS ARE BROUGHT UP AND SOMEONE SAYS, WELL, HAVE YOU CONSIDERED THIS.

SO WE, WHEN I SAY "WE," THEY START WITH THE INITIAL DISCUSSION, REVIEW, AND THEN BRING IT TO THE EXECUTIVE STAFF, THAT'S THE DIRECT, MYSELF, BUT THE STATE ENGINEER, JENNIFER TOTH, THE PLANNING DIRECTOR, SCOTT OMER IS PART OF THAT, IS PART OF REVIEWING THAT. SO WHEN I SAID THAT WE HAVE STUDIED IT, IT'S OUR P3 OFFICE, THEY'RE LOOKING AT THOSE THINGS FROM THE INITIAL, INITIAL ANALYSIS. THIS TAC, TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE, IS ONLY LOOKING AT THIS P3 PROPOSAL FOR THE SOUTH MOUNTAIN, IT'S ONLY LOOKING AT THAT IN CONSIDERATION OF MOVING THAT SOUTH MOUNTAIN FORWARD.

NOW, THE P3 OFFICE DOES HAVE AN EXECUTIVE TEAM THAT ADVISES THEM THAT DOES INCLUDE PEOPLE, AS I SAID, MYSELF, THE DIRECTOR, MR. OMER, JENNIFER, BUT IT'S ALSO BROUGHT IN MARY PETERS AS AN ADVISOR WITHIN THAT, MAG REPRESENTATIVES ARE IN THAT, I THINK PAG IS PART OF THAT. I CAN'T REMEMBER OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD WHO WE BROUGHT IN IN ORDER TO EVALUATE THAT -- FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION WAS A PART OF THAT -- SO THAT TEAM IS ALSO LOOKING AT IT FROM THE LARGER POLICY PERSPECTIVES AT THE AGENCY LEVEL.

WHERE I SEE THE BOARD COMING IN IS WHEN WE

11:56:33 12

11:56:34 13

11:56:35 14

11:56:36 16

11:56:38 17

11:56:41 18

11:56:46 19

11:56:49 20

11:56:49 22

11:56:52 23

11:56:56 24

11:56:59 25

21

15

11:55:46 25

WOULD EVALUATE AND DETERMINE IF THERE IS A POSSIBILITY OR VIABLE P3 OPTION OUT THERE, JUST LIKE WE DID WITH THE REST AREAS, IN ORDER TO GET IT PROGRAMMED AND FUNDED FOR US TO MOVE FORWARD WITH WHATEVER COMPONENT THE PUBLIC PART WILL BE, IT WILL HAVE TO COME THROUGH THIS BOARD FOR THAT. NOW, IF THE BOARD WOULD CHOOSE TO, FROM OUR OPINION, SIT DOWN AND TALK MORE ABOUT THIS P3 AND MAYBE A POLICY, A STATEMENT AROUND THAT, WE CAN AGENDA THAT STUDY SESSION BEFORE AND TALK ABOUT WHERE WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO, EITHER INCREASE OR AT LEAST HEIGHTEN THAT AWARENESS AND THAT COORDINATION AND THAT MOVING FORWARD, BUT, IN MY MIND, THE BOARD WILL BE THERE BECAUSE WE COULD NOT PROGRAM THIS PROJECT, WE COULD NOT MOVE FORWARD AND FUND IT IF THERE IS A PUBLIC COMPONENT TO ANY FUTURE P3 PROJECT THAT REQUIRES. IT WOULD HAVE TO COME THROUGH THIS BOARD.

BOARD MEMBER LA RUE: YOU KNOW, MR. CHAIR, I MIGHT SUGGEST CONSIDERATION AT THE BOARD LEVEL TO THINK ABOUT WHETHER THERE IS A BOARD LIAISON IN THAT EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OR SOMETHING TO HELP WITH -- THIS IS A VERY COMPLEX AREA, AND I KNOW THAT WE'LL HAVE TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS WHEN THERE IS A PROJECT, BUT I THINK THERE IS MANY DECISIONS AND MANY THINGS ACCEPTED OR REJECTED AT A LOWER LEVEL THAT THE BOARD MAY NEVER HEAR, SO JUST A SUGGESTION TO PUT OUT THERE TO THINK ABOUT

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: I AGREE. I THINK IT'S --

11:55:48 1	IT REQUIRES THAT KIND OF ATTENTION. I WOULD LIKE TO,
11:55:52 2	HOWEVER, NOT TO NEGATE THE IMPORTANCE OF IT, BUT TO PUT IT
11:55:57 3	OFF FOR, PERHAPS, SEVERAL BOARD SESSIONS, BECAUSE WE STILL
11:56:02 4	HAVE TO GET THROUGH THE FIVE-YEAR PLAN PROCESS, WE HAVE A
11:56:04 5	VERY AGGRESSIVE AGENDA FOR THE MAY 20TH STUDY SESSION, AND
11:56:09 6	THEN WE HAVE THE ULTIMATE APPROVAL PENDING FOR THE
11:56:13 7	FIVE-YEAR PLAN. AND SO MAYBE IN THE FALL OR LATE SUMMER
11:56:17 8	WE MIGHT WANT TO DISCUSS THAT, IF YOU WOULD BE SO KIND AS
11:56:21 9	TO REMEMBER IT EITHER AT A MEETING OR TO BRING IT UP TO ME
11:56:25 10	OR MR. ROEHRICH, WE COULD AGENDIZE (SIC) IT AND SEE WHAT
11:56:30 11	KIND OF A TOPIC SETTING YOU WANT TO IMPLEMENT ON THAT.

Requested Portion Beginning Ms. Merrick - May 9, 2014

BOARD MEMBER LA RUE: OKAY. THANK YOU.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS

REGARDING THIS TOPIC TO MR. ROEHRICH?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: IF NOT, THE CHAIR WILL ENTERTAIN SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE BOARD MEETING AGENDA ITEMS. ANYBODY HAVE ANY SUGGESTIONS? ANY FINAL COMMENTS FOR THE GOOD OF THE WILL OR THE WILL OF THE GOOD OR WHATEVER?

(NO RESPONSE.)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NO COMMENTS, AGAIN, I WANT TO THANK OUR FLAGSTAFF HOSTS FOR A WONDERFUL MEETING HERE AND FOR OUR SPEAKERS WHO TOOK THE TIME TO SPEAK TO US, AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING MANY OF YOU IN

	72
11:57:04 1	WILLCOX NEXT MONTH.
11:57:04 2	AND AT THIS POINT THE CHAIR WOULD ENTERTAIN
11:57:07 3	A MOTION TO ADJOURN THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
11:57:10 4	MEETING.
11:57:10 5	BOARD MEMBER ROGERS: SO MOVED.
11:57:11 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: THERE IS A MOTION BY
11:57:12 7	MR. ROGERS.
11:57:13 8	IS THERE A SECOND?
9	BOARD MEMBER ANDERSON: SECOND.
11:57:13 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: BY MR. ANDERSON.
11:57:15 11	ALL THOSE IN FAVOR, SAY AYE.
12	BOARD MEMBERS: AYE.
11:57:16 13	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: OPPOSED?
14	(NO RESPONSE.)
11:57:17 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTY: HEARING NONE, WE ARE
11:57:19 16	ADJOURNED. THANK YOU.
17	* * *
18	
19	
20	'
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters 602.264.2230

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn was made by Hank Rogers and seconded by Kelly Anderson. In a voice vote, the motion carries.

Meeting adjourned 12:19 p.m. MST

Stephen Christy, Chairman State Transportation Board

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Deputy Director for Policy Arizona Department of Transportation