## STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

Friday, April 20, 2018
Immediately Following Public Hearing
City of Flagstaff
Council Chambers
211 W. Aspen Avenue
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Board Meeting started immediately after the adjournment of the public hearing at 10:20 a.m. MST

**Opening Remarks:** Board Member Stratton thanked ADOT staff for the work they did to alleviate the traffic congestion during the Renaissance Festival.

## Call to the Audience for the Board Meeting:

The following members of the public addressed the Board:

- 1. Paul Ward, Executive Director, Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization
- 2. Jerry Showalter, Vice Chair of Traffic Matters
- 3. Lance Diskan, one of the Founders of the Flagstaff Dark Skies Coalition
- 4. Jeff Sandquist, Fresh Produce Assoc. of the Americans
- 5. Eric Duthie, Tusayan Town Manager
- 6. Clayann Cook, Business Owner and Tusayan Resident
- 7. Bruce Northern, Tusayan Town Clerk
- 8. Anne Wittke, Resident of Flagstaff
- 9. Marilyn Schwind, Resident of Flagstaff

## INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE
2	APRIL 20, 2018 BOARD MEETING
3	SPEAKER: PAGE:
4	Paul Ward5
5	Jerry Showalter7
6	Lance Diskan9
7	Jeff Sandquist11
8	Eric Duthie14
9	Clayann Cook16
10	Bruce Northern18
11	Anne Wittke20
12	Marilyn Schwind (Comments Read by Chairman Cuthbertson)21
13	AGENDA ITEMS
14	Item 1 - District Engineer's Report, Audra Merrick24
15	Item 2 - Director's Report, John Halikowski35
16	Item 3 - Consent Agenda37
17	Item 4 - Legislative Update, Floyd Roehrich, Junior39
18	Item 5 - Financial Report, Kristine Ward42
19	Item 6 - Multi-modal Planning Division Report, Greg Byres44
20	Item 7 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee, Greg Byres45
21	Item 8 - State Engineer's Report, Dallas Hammit48
22	Item 9 - Construction Contracts, Dallas Hammit49
23	Item 10 - Update on Former US Route 80 Designations, Floyd Roehrich, Junior52
24	Item 11 - Suggestions53
25	Teem II Daggeottemer
- 1	

(Beginning of excerpt.)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: We'll move directly right into our regular board meeting, and just to open it, Board Member Sellers has asked us -- Board Member Stratton, I'm sorry, has asked for -- to make a couple of opening remarks.

MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As most of you have heard in the past, I carry messages from the Copper Corridor mayors of Gila County and Pinal County and complaints about the Renaissance traffic. I'd like to thank staff for their attention to that problem. I had so many complaints after the Renaissance started. I called Floyd, and he contacted Dallas and his department, I believe.

And my wife and I had the opportunity to go through that traffic three separate days, separate times, different times of the day, and our longest wait was four and a half minutes. So I would really like to thank staff and commend them for the job that they did and the work that they did on this, and I appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, the staff only has one question of Mr. Stratton, and that's whether or not he got one of the giant turkey legs.

> MR. STRATTON: I had no control over that. Thank you. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. So let's -- we'll move right into the call to the

23

24

25

audience for the regular board meeting. I'll call Paul Ward, Executive Director for the Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization.

PAUL WARD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the State Transportation Board. Just wanted to address you this morning. Arguably, this could have been done on the program portion, but in this particular case, since we're not part of the program for this particular request, I wanted to come to you afterwards.

In particular, if I may refer to a handout that I am -- gave to the board secretary titled "Identified U.S. State Route 95 Corridor Phases." Just one single-page sheet. And this basically is a follow-up to the presentation I gave to the State Transportation Board when you came out to Yuma, and we very much enjoyed that visit. I've been working with -- and this isn't totally my work. I must come clean. Although I've been discussing this on and off with the Southwest District engineer, Paul Patane, who is also one of our executive board members. We've been discussing with this -- on and off for the past year. His staff have been working together to actually give an updated cost. The updated cost is in the right-hand column.

Most -- or should I say the first portion of this particular project on State Route 95 -- and I think all of you are aware that we're looking to widen State Route 95 -- in

particular the limits of that widening, the first segment of that widening will be from Avenue 9E all the way through to Aberdeen Road, which is just past the Yuma Proving Ground.

From that point of view, one portion of this particular project has already been done, and that's the Fortuna Wash Bridge. That has now been built, I'm glad to say, and a good job it was. In particular, that portion of the design for the portion leading up to it, the two miles leading up to it and the bridge has already gone to 95 percent design plans.

Unfortunately, there wasn't enough money to build the whole thing, so they decided to build the bridge. The whole point is, is the first portion, essentially, has already gone to 95 percent design. So I guess the question is, is: Well, when is that due to actually go to construction?

The other three portions are being submitted for consideration. I will be working with the appropriate staff members at ADOT, senior staff members at ADOT, to see if it's at all possible under the current funding scenarios to get this particular widening project funded.

And Mr. Chairman, just looking at the numbers in the plan, it appears that the Yuma metropolitan region -- or should I -- beg your pardon -- Yuma County in particular, which happens to encompass the Yuma metropolitan region, does not have any funds at all in years 2022 and years 2023, unlike some other counties of almost identical size which have actually got more

money than we've got in the whole five-year program.

2 So Mr. Chairman, that concludes my remarks.

3 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

Jerry Showalter, Vice President -- Vice Chair of Traffic Matters, Oak Creek Canyon Traffic Management Committee.

JERRY SHOWALTER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, board members. My name is Jerry Showalter, and I am the co-chair of both the Traffic Matters Committee and the Oak Creek Traffic Management Committee.

We have been working for the past year and a half on improving the traffic flow from 89A, moving south through Oak Creek Canyon and into Sedona. The City of Sedona has completed their traffic study and is now in the design phase. The improvements will include a raised median on 89A through uptown, with a decorative divide to direct traffic to -- I'm sorry -- to direct pedestrians to control crossings. An additional southbound travel lane on 89A through uptown, a turnaround, a roundabout at the north end of town and at Jordan Road to allow cars to come back on the other side of the median; a one-way access from 89A to free parking via Schnebly Hill -- or Schnebly Road. Construction is due to start in 2019 and be completed sometime in 2020. This is only part of the road improvement set or scheduled to take place in Sedona over the next several years.

The Oak Creek Canyon Traffic Management Committee is a collaborative effort among the major stakeholders in Oak Creek Canyon. The committee consists of representatives from ADOT, U.S. Forest Service, Arizona State Parks, City of Sedona, Sedona city chamber -- or Sedona Chamber of Commerce, Coconino County, DPS, volunteer citizens of the Traffic Matters Committee.

Our notable attendees, too. Our committee
meetings include: John Halikowski, Jesse Thompson, Floyd
Roehrich, Jill Dusenberry, Representative Bob Thorpe, Supervisor
Randy Garrison, Supervisor Matt Ryan, State Senator Karen Fann,
State Senator Tom O'Halleran, and representatives from Senator
McCain's and Senator Flake's offices.

The committee has been a very successful and productive collaborative effort on the part of all its members and participants. Some of the area improvements and proposed improvements include the award of the grant to ADOT for the new slip lane at the Y roundabout. That will be a 50/50 project with the City of Sedona.

Arizona State Parks is conducting a new ingress and egress study to try and eliminate the backups at the entrance to the park on 89A. They are also anticipating putting up a fencing along the edge of the highway north of the park to create a safe walkway for pedestrians and keeping them off of the highway.

ADOT has created the parking memo looking at 1 restricting or limiting roadside parking in Oak Creek Canyon. 2 This memo has gone to the U.S. Forest Service for their NEPA 3 4 study. The big project is the Oak Creek Canyon Transit 5 Study being funded by Coconino County, the City of Sedona and 6 160,000 from the Federal Transit Authority Fund. Once this 7 study is -- and design is done and brought to the Board for its 8 consideration of inclusion in the five-year plan, it will have 9 been a true collaborative effort on the part of all the 10 11 stakeholders. So thank you very much. We will keep you 12 13 informed as to our progress. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you. Lance Diskan, a Flagstaff resident speaking for 15 16 the Flagstaff darkness coalition. LANCE DISKAN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 17 members of the Board. That's the Flagstaff Dark Skies 18 19 Coalition. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yeah. Yeah. Thank you 20 for that clarification. I'm sorry. 21 LANCE DISKAN: My name is Lance Diskan. I'm one 22 of the founders of the coalition. I appreciate the opportunity 23 24 to speak with ADOT regarding impacts of ADOT policies and

projects, specifically potentially detrimental environmental

25

impacts in northern Arizona.

Northern Arizona is a special environment. I don't need to tell any of you this is -- we are obviously not the same as Maricopa County. One of the three best places on earth to see an unspoiled night sky, and the only site that's on an interstate. It's a matter -- dark skies are a matter of deep and wide local concern in this community for more than half a century.

Since 2000 we have been awarded the status as the world's first international dark sky community. We are a global leader in lighting science and technology. Flagstaff's lighting code was developed with input from local and regional astronomers. Unpolluted night skies are a major economic asset for northern Arizona that ADOT affects directly.

Dark sky ecotourism from around the planet is already coming. Last year I was interviewed by the BBC for a story that reached 20 million pairs of eyeballs in 17 countries. People are coming.

I have participated in ADOT public hearings in the past to bring this matter to your attention. Our question is, are you hearing us regarding a fast growing public constituency and an endangered ecosystem? The tentative five-year document mentions noise, litter, landscape, but I see no mention at all of night skies in 100 pages. What do you need from us to fully address this critical policy issue? I

understand, we understand that ADOT has a draft policy regarding the use of LED lighting. Local experts here in Flagstaff can provide critical input. This is not always a simple task dealt with exclusively by internal ADOT engineering.

Previously ADOT personnel have indicated that ADOT will follow, quote, "community standards," regarding lighting. Within the ADOT mission, how can that promise be clarified and actually executed? We hope to work with ADOT to better integrate assessment of lighting impacts on the night sky in the current and future multi-year planning and operational construction in roadway build-out.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

Jeff Sandquist, speaking for the Fresh Produce Association of the Americas.

JEFF SANDQUIST: Good morning. Thank you,
Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Jeff Sandquist here on
behalf of the Fresh Produce Association of the Americas, housed
in Nogales, Arizona, which is -- just so you know, it's the -it's an international trade association of growers, harvesters,
marketers, importers and distributors of produce out of Mexico
that are doing business around the largest port of entry, the
Mariposa port of entry, in terms of (inaudible) port of entry
for produce grown in Mexico.

The -- of 39, Mr. Chairman, there's about 39

percent of all produce that comes into the United States that's feeding the U.S. comes from Mexico every year, and of that, a significant portion, over 60 percent, comes through the Mariposa port of entry, and so it's important.

I'm here today to talk about Item No. 4, basically, the legislative update, and just in particular Senate Bill 1065, which the Fresh Produce Association was one of the main advocates for its passage. And it relates to also your previous public hearing meeting in item -- not item, but the five-year plan with respect to State Route 189, which is the major route serving that port of entry.

The -- Mr. Chairman, we are grateful and thankful for you and congratulate you for the award of the TIGER grant of \$25 million for the port of entry, and it's our understanding that that is an essential component for the state route -- the acceleration of State Route 189, both phases, Phase I and II. Earlier you saw phase costs up there, but it's actually two phases, and this will be with additional assistance to help complete that project.

In -- what it does for that -- for our community is it will allow us to improve the efficiency of the northbound and south -- north and southbound, but northbound in particular, of State Route 89, allowing for those produce trucks and those maquila trucks to get to distribution centers quicker to keep that, in the case of produce, fresh and ready to go to the

markets to serve the U.S.

The -- it also improves safety. As you heard Mr. Bracker say in the public hearing, it improves safety with regard to Nogales in particular. It will also improve the road safety for the drivers on the truck.

The -- in terms of what Mr. Bracker mentioned earlier, there's more to do, obviously. This was one -- the TIGER grant was one component to the funding. ADOT has been working with us and is committed to trying to find the additional revenue necessary to complete Phase I and II together in the project, and we're grateful for that.

Senate Bill 1065, how it helped, Bruce mentioned earlier, is it will allow you to take the -- or the Department to take into the State Highway Fund that portion of the overweight fees that come to Nogales and Santa Cruz County, and to let the Department put it in the Highway Fund, which then allows it to leverage it for bonding purposes, and we're grateful for that. We're grateful for your efforts.

Mr. Chairman, there's more to do. We left on the table, as you heard from Mr. Bracker, the axle fee. I'm not sure that we'll be back with that effort, but we'll be looking at how we can help ADOT with the depletion of this project as well as the improvements necessary to take that traffic off 189 to the distribution centers, the Ruby Road and Rio Rico improvements that are necessary.

With that, Mr. Chairman, thank you.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

Eric Duthie, Tusayan Town Manager.

ERIC DUTHIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. Particular appreciation to Member Thompson for the invitation to try to get on your radar a little bit.

We're addressing today -- you'll hear from a few

of us. You've heard from one business owner and a lifelong resident of the -- of Tusayan, the Grand Canyon, in the five-year plan discussion.

We're going to be addressing you about Highway 64. Highway 64 runs from Williams, into the canyon, through Tusayan, through the canyon, and then out to Cameron. The particular issues that we are going to be talking about is the concern that we have on Highway 64 being a two-lane highway that's 86 years old as a two-lane highway, and during that time has just had a few -- I think it's a total of four, two in each direction, brief passing lanes.

When we have those brief passing lanes in 60 miles, we run into a significant amount of problems. We have international drivers that don't read the signage, don't understand the signage, and don't necessarily drive in their own country, but they get here with a passport, get a rental car, and here they go.

You heard from Mrs. Vail this morning that she

was -- she looked up to see a bus in her lane on this two-lane highway. That's every day. That's every hour. It occurs. You're going to hear from my town clerk shortly about some statistics on fatalities. It occurs.

Here is our concern, is that we have aggressive drivers. We have impatient drivers. We have people who are excited to get to the canyon, and they'll do crazy things on a two-lane highway. The record-setting numbers of attendees to the canyon is continuing. The last three years, it's gone from 5-plus million to 6.25 million last year, and it's on its way to 7 million this year. The vast majority of those being on a two-lane highway.

It is very unsafe, very dangerous. We all who live there recognize that. We all have the worries about coming to this meeting because we have to pay very close attention to make sure we're not driven off the road, or we come across a curve and we have a vehicle coming in our lane. It happens every day. We've been fortunate. We have people with great survival skills, apparently, but we have had fatalities. So we are looking to get Highway 64 on your radar.

There are no alternative routes. I mentioned
Highway 180 comes from Flagstaff and then joins into Highway 64
at Valle. The main thoroughfare is Williams to the Grand
Canyon. It continues on to Cameron. However, the vast majority
of that traffic that is going into the canyon turns around and

comes back on that same highway, the vast majority. They're going back to Phoenix. They're going to Las Vegas. They're going to Sedona. They're making the route. We have those concerns about not having any alternative highway.

Tusayan itself is a community that has incorporated only eight years ago. We're a good partner. We want to work with ADOT. We appreciate the staff here, and they're working with us on these issues. You'll be hearing from us more, but we wanted to introduce that problem to you, and thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

Clayann Cook, Grand Canyon resident and here just about Highway 164.

CLAYANN COOK: Yikes. Clayann Cook. I -- I've lived at the Grand Canyon in -- for the last 16 years, but even long before that, I've known about the canyon, obviously. I'm a multi-generational Arizonan. My grandfather actually built Highway 64 in 1932. He also built the Kingman cutoff and several other of the small roads in Arizona.

I can tell you that in the last 16 years -- and I'm going to try not to cry -- in the last 16 years that I've lived there, I've lost five employees, and along with those five employees, I've also lost members of their family.

Most recently, on March 10th, I lost an employee that's worked for me for the last six years. He's been a

lifelong resident of Tusayan, has also worked for Clarinda's family, and Salvador was like a rock. He was very important to our entire -- to our business, but to our community and to our family. And he was killed because of a damn head-on accident, which is repetitively happening more and more and more.

And Eric mentioned the 7 million people that are going to be coming to the canyon this year. These people — this morning coming in, I came up 180, which I hate 180, but I came up 180, and almost like Clarinda, I got pushed over to the side of the road, because coming around one of those blind curves, people were passing. They don't understand a double yellow. They don't understand a passing lane. They don't — they don't get it.

This morning I did notice coming down that there are some new signs, the reader boards that are up that say slow down, et cetera. I'm appreciative of that. Thank you. If we can do whatever we can do in order to slow the traffic down, create a positive -- when I say that we've got a double lane -- I -- my grandparents actually had the original homestead at Christopher Creek, so I'm very familiar with 260 from Payson to the Rim. And now that it's a divided four-lane highway, and that's for people to get to their summer cabins, and we've got 7 million people coming to the Grand Canyon, which is the heart of Arizona tourism from all over the world. I talk to people every single day, and we've not done anything but add some

passing lanes in the early 2000s to fix and to take care of this road. The asphalt's bad.

It's just -- wake up, guys. We're losing more and more people, and I think we've lost nine people since Christmas, and those are tourists. You know, we've lost some residents, but we've also lost some tourists that have saved for years and years and years to come see the Grand Canyon, and they go home with a memory of losing their family. So we got -- you got to face up. You got to help us. So anyway, thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

Bruce Northern, Town Clerk for Coconino County.

I guess this is Tusayan.

BRUCE NORTHERN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Town Clerk for Tusayan.

BRUCE NORTHERN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. As we mentioned, I'm the town clerk in Tusayan, and I'm kind of one of the new guys in town. So my history is transportation planning, this kind of work. So I know a little bit about it.

I think that Manager Duthie and Clayann kind of told you about the human impact of the problem pretty well.

What we seek to do -- and I understand the funding. I really do, and I understand that there are many projects that rank above these. I do understand all that.

So the long-term solution is still four-laning this road, and I realize those funds aren't available anytime soon. But what we'd like to discuss with this group eventually is some unique traffic calming measures, and to address it in a way, I think, that's unique to the rest of the state, because with all the international visitors -- and I think Clayann addressed it real well, and so did Manager Duthie -- that a lot of these folks come over here. They don't have a lot of driving experience. They don't speak the language, and in many cases, even if they -- you know, maybe even different characters. We have a lot of Asian visitors. So it has to be a combination of, you know, the standard traffic calming stuff. Some rumble strips where the speed limit drops down. But in particular, we need to get very creative with the signage to make sure we let these drivers know what they're coming into.

We mentioned, you know, a few of the statistics. We have lost nine people since Thanksgiving last year, and almost every time we drive that road, literally, when you live in Tusayan, you have to plan around when you know the traffic's going to be bad. I mean, you want to get your shopping done in Flag before it gets dark because that road's dangerous.

So I just wanted to get up here and start talking a little bit about the statistics and some of the unique problems. And while we're at it, though, I did want to point out this is not a reflection on the district offices. We have a

great relationship with the engineers here. They're very responsive. So I did want to say that. But we will be back with some suggestions, and thank you very much for letting me speak. God bless you all.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

We've got Anne Wittke, a citizen from Flagstaff to talk about 64.

ANNE WITTKE: Hi. I'm Anne Wittke, and I've been a resident in Flagstaff for 28 years, and I want to speak about safety on Route 64. We have an observatory south of the Grand Canyon, so it's in the dirt roads way back there, and so lighting is also important for us. We do not want a lot of lighting. I don't want any lights on that road. I see headlights coming up 64 from eight miles away from our observatory.

But we need more passing lanes. I totally agree with the people who spoke earlier. I know people who have died on that road. I -- I heard of people even before I moved here 28 years ago who had died on that stretch of road. I also heard of a friend who saw a car hit a mountain lion on that road. So it's not just people. It's the animals, too. We need some kind of wildlife overpass, underpass, at least allowance for that. Having that would reduce casualties related to wildlife.

Passing lanes would increase safety, especially at night when people can't gauge distances and can't even tell

when their view is obstructed due to hills and dips because the skies are dark out there, and that's something I value. But the roads need to be redesigned with people and animals in mind.

And, you know, pictorial displays for the international people who can't read, things, you know, saying there's a passing lane, you know, ahead.

It was a great improvement when they added the passing lane short of Barber Road. That was a great improvement, but there's nothing after that. And I have driven that road at night, and it's very scary when you see a headlight coming toward you or two headlights coming toward you. It could be one car behind another, but you don't know. It could be somebody trying to pass and you really can't tell.

So thank you very much, and I hope you consider that.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you.

So I've got a final form here. This is from a Marilyn Schwind from -- a citizen from Flagstaff. She would like to submit this comment for the record. Doesn't want to come up and present it, but asked that I read the comment. So I will do that.

Marilyn says there's desperate need for a stoplight at Woody Mountain Road and some round -- and the same roundabouts on Thompson and RR Springs. So I trust that (inaudible).

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, yeah, we'll just take that and it will be in our -- the Board minutes.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.

So Board Member Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, members, in addition to what the Chairman indicated on your last public hearing individual, there are -- I did -- like was mentioned earlier, I did various contacts, and they also contact me about a local -- when the Board will be coming locally up here, and some of them wanted to be here, but they can't be here because of other commitments and... They do want to introduce projects similar to those that are talked about today. So how else can we -- because I think our next meeting's way down south. It's going to be quite a distance to drive for them. So how else can they communicate their projects to us?

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: So Floyd, can you help with that?

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Mr. Chair, Mr. Thompson, there are a number of ways. If they wanted to present comments on either the five-year program or the projects that add in the five-year program, there's obviously -- you can do it on our website. You can send a letter in if they want. You can contact the local district office and provide that information to them, online, or a letter. It will go to Mr. Byres team, Greg's team, and they will bring it in as part of the public

comment process.

Any time that they have issues that they can go through their district engineer's office and provide comments to them and -- and again, those get brought into the discussion, either during this period of time or even after the five-year program's been adopted, because we start planning for the next year's five-year program. If local citizens have issues, they can submit those requests in.

There's the Board info website. They can submit comments in that way, and they can -- we can gather them, make sure they get brought forward. So there are a number of ways that they can get in, providing, obviously, they have the ability to get on to the website, get on to the -- ADOT's website and the Board's website and connect to those links, or they go to reach out to their -- to their local district engineer or the district office within the department.

And then, otherwise, they can just send letters in to the -- you know, care of myself or the director or the state engineer's office, and when we get those letters, we pass them along to the people necessary to -- the planning groups and the -- and the predominant groups in order for them to give consideration to those requests.

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you, Floyd. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.

That concludes the call to the audience for the regular board meeting.

We'll now move on to the agenda items, and Item 1 on the agenda, Audra Merrick, the Northcentral District Engineer, will provide the district engineer's report. For information and discussion only.

MS. MERRICK: Good morning, or I guess it's almost afternoon, I guess, right? Good morning, Chair, members of the Board. My name is Audra Merrick. I'm the district engineer up here for the Northcentral District, and thank you for giving me the opportunity to come speak to you today.

I want to talk to you today about some current construction projects we have going on in the local area, and then also partnering some awards that we have and upcoming partnering projects with our local agencies.

Here is a map of the current construction projects in the local area. This is primarily Interstate I-40 and Interstate 17. We have the project in pink on I-40 and the project in green on I-40 are rollover projects from last season. And so their first season was last season. Their second season is this season. They're large projects, so they embody two seasons. We also have three new additional projects on the system, a yellow project up on I-40, and then the orange and the blue line as well.

We will have 35 miles of construction on

1 Interstate 40 this season, and we'll have 28 miles under construction on Interstate 17 this season, including the 17/40 2 3 interchange. The combined total of the five projects is \$118 4 million. 5 Again, we have two rollover projects, the pink 6 line and the green line. The pink line is the Devil Dog to 7 Williams project, and the green line is the Parks to Riordan 8 project. 9 So going back to the pink, the Devil Dog to 10 Williams project. On the picture you see on the right side of 11 the screen is a before condition of that project. And this is 12 somewhat an after picture. It's under construction. 13 eastbound I-40 under construction last season. And so the Devil 14 Dog project is a five-mile reconstruction project, and it's five 15 miles on eastbound and five miles on westbound. 16 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, I just have a 17 quick question. 18 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yes. 19 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Audra, we're not familiar with 20 the term "rollover." 21 MR. ROEHRICH: I want to make sure that we're not 22 designing the freeway, the roads to roll over. That's not 23 (inaudible). 24 MS. MERRICK: No.

MR. ROEHRICH: That's what I was just telling the

25

1 director. That's not --MS. MERRICK: I thought I'd try to explain that. 2 MR. ROEHRICH: -- that type of project. 3 MS. MERRICK: No. Rollover to us means a project 4 that is a two construction season project, so it starts last 5 season, and then the construction rolls over to this season, and 6 it's the second season. So (inaudible) rollover --7 MR. HALIKOWSKI: I think we're going to use --8 it's a construction continuation (inaudible). 9 MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.) 10 MS. MERRICK: Thanks for the clarification. That 11 12 would have been bad. MR. ROEHRICH: I didn't want (inaudible) not 13 14 considering safe. MS. MERRICK: Yeah. 15 So this is the Devil Dog to Williams project, or 16 rollover project, I guess. The first season was last season, 17 and we constructed five miles of eastbound I-40, and the 18 westbound side will be done this season. It's starting now. 19 We're starting to put some median crossovers in to facilitate 20 that activity. The contract's a \$34 million contract. It is 60 21 percent done, because we did eastbound last year. We're working 22 on westbound this year. The contractor's Fann, and we expect to 23 have that westbound side done in October of this year. 24 Our second rollover project is the Parks traffic 25

interchange to the Riordan bridge. This is a 12-mile pavement preservation project. It is 12 miles in each direction. So it's 12 miles on eastbound and 12 miles on westbound.

And this is a before picture of that project, and this is kind of an ongoing after, slash, picture. This was taken last construction season.

This project, we have most of the base course down. We'll have that final lift of AC we'll place this season, which is a friction course. Probably start in May, and we expect this to have to be done in the fall. This started last April. It's a \$14 million contract. It's 85 percent complete, and the contractor is FNF.

Our first new project for this season is the yellow line up on interstate 40. It's the Cataract Lake to Parks pavement preservation project, and it's a 17-mile, I think, pavement preservation project. It includes some reconstruction work. It is on eastbound and westbound. It's 17 miles in each direction. It was just awarded in February, and so we're just kicking off this project now. They are starting to construct some of the median detours to facilitate some of that reconstruction area. The contractor's Fann. It's a \$35 million contract, and this will be a two-season project. So it's a -- we'll start this season, and we'll roll that project over for the second season next year.

Our second new project is the orange line, which

is down on Interstate 17, and that's our Coconino County line to the system interchange project. It's a 28-mile northbound pavement preservation project. It does have some bridgework associated with it. We'll be working on the Willard Springs bridge northbound, replacing the superstructure and widening that bridge. It also has some other more minor components of some of the bridges on that corridor, like some approach slab work and some joint work. There will be some minimal work on southbound 17 for the bridges, like the joints and stuff, but the majority of it is really northbound.

This was just awarded last month. We just kicked off -- kicked it off with a partnering meeting, and so you'll see the contractor starting out there shortly. It's a \$24 million contract. The contractor is Fisher or d/b/a Southwest Asphalt.

And then lastly, for our new projects, we have the blue line, which is the 17/40. We call this interchange bridges, but it's really the I-40 bridges over I-17, and then also the I-40 bridges over Beulah, and Beulah parallels I-17 just slightly to the west of that interchange. This was awarded in November of last year. We put it into winter shutdown, and as soon as that weather broke, we released that project, and so they're starting now. The contractor's FNF. But right now they're putting up false work underneath the I-40 bridges that go over Beulah Boulevard, and when they get done with that,

they'll start moving. They'll have that false work activity under the I-40 bridges over I-17. It's a \$10 million contract. This project actually is a one-season project, and we expect it to be done fall of this year, late fall.

This is just an interesting picture. This is a before picture of the I-40 bridges over Interstate 17. If you look at the photo on the left, you can see some of the icicles forming on the bridge joints of those structures. Our maintenance crews in the winter months do go out and knock off those icicles so that they're not a hazard to the travelers, whether it's the vehicles, the pedestrians, or the bicyclists.

So you can see we have a bunch of -- we have a lot of volume of construction activity on Interstate 40 and Interstate 17 this year. This area hasn't seen this volume of construction in many, many years. I did recognize this early, and our district team is working tremendously with communications, and specifically to this season, we are having monthly stakeholder meetings. We call them traffic system -- traffic system management meetings.

And we're bringing all five projects together with the stakeholders and having those conversations as what we're doing now and what we're going to be doing next. So all our shakeholders hopefully are on the same page as us.

We do have various individual stakeholder meetings. Sometimes our stakeholders, because they're not used

to the construction, the terminology, how we operate, they need a little bit more one-on-one time, and so we've been doing some individual stakeholder meetings as well.

What we have started doing is giving kind of email notification blasts, and so two weeks prior to traffic shifting on those projects, we'll email blast those stakeholders, and then we actually give them a one-week reminder that things are shifting. And this is so if they make -- need to make adjustments in their operations, they can, or if there's going to be slight delays in traffic, they're aware of that.

And then, additionally, we have monthly public meetings with these five projects, and so what we -- what we're doing monthly is we're having our stakeholder meeting with our contractors and our stakeholders, all five projects together.

And then after that meeting, the next few hours, we make it a public meeting so the public can come in and talk to us about the projects, and we can answer any questions that they might have.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: So Mr. Chairman, Audra.

MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: And this may be a communications question. If I'm a member of the public, how do I know about those monthly meetings? We've heard --

MS. MERRICK: Magically, they're disseminating that information. How's that?

1 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Okav. 2 MS. MERRICK: I know they're sending it out. 3 They have put them, I believe, in the paper and doing their 4 traditional means, whatever that is. 5 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Okay. Because we've heard from 6 a number of people today about what's a stakeholder and how do I know about your meeting and are you listening and can we come 8 and talk to you, so ... 9 MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh. 10 MR. HALIKOWSKI: I think it's something we need 11 to focus on the communications, how we make sure people are 12 aware of these meetings. 13 MS. MERRICK: Yeah. We just started it this 14 month. We had our first one. The public meeting portion of it 15 wasn't as well attended as I think we'll get once they see us 16 out there impacting the roadways. 17 MR. HALIKOWSKI: All right. Thank you. 18 MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh. 19 And then we're just kind of doing some of the 20 standard outreach that we typically do, whether that's media, 21 social media, email blasts, so on and so forth. 22 So the Northcentral District does take great 23 pride in partnering, whether it's with our contractors or our 24 local governments, and last year I showed you some of the

partnering awards that we've received in construction. And this

25

year is no different. We've received the Arizona Transportation Partnering Excellence Award on our 260 project with Sunland Asphalt, and we received that at Roads and Streets just a few weeks ago, and so that team was -- was excited and honored to receive that award.

And then lastly, I have -- we're working on a few local partnering projects. We have -- with the City of Flagstaff, we have the Fourth Street bridge. With City of Page, we have the US-89 Horse Shoe Bend, and with City of Sedona, we have a State Route 89A, State Route 179 project.

Fourth Street's on the left. That's probably the one you're most familiar with. That consists of replacing those bridges and widening with some cost sharing with the City of Flagstaff. The two you're probably more unfamiliar with is the one in the center and the one in the right, and the reason why is because those were minor applications where we competed statewide for that funding and just received that notice about a week ago of '20-'21 construction funds.

And so what the middle one is is that's a partnering project with the City of Page up there on the Horse Shoe Bend turn off. We have some challenges up there. We have a southbound right turn lane there today, and we need a northbound left. And then we have parking on the side of the road. And so the City of Page and Park are working together to increase the parking capacity at Horse Shoe Bend so we can get

the cars off the road, and then in partnership with what they're doing, we've applied for that minor project to get that northbound left in there.

On the right, we're partnering with the City of Sedona on State Route 89A, State Route 179 intersection. And what we're calling that is a right slip lane project, for lack of better words. And that one I'll explain, Director.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: It's not a rolling slip lane.

MS. MERRICK: It's not a rolling slip lane.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Okay.

MS. MERRICK: But that project is -- City of Sedona did a transportation study, and they had an interest in putting these slip lane concepts at that roundabout. We used to call it the Y before it was a roundabout. And so what that would look like is when you're coming off 17, driving 179 into Sedona, before hitting that roundabout, you would actually have a slip lane that would take you to 89A to uptown. So you don't actually have to go through the roundabout circle, but you just kind of veer off, and you go up to uptown.

And then the second one would be as you're coming towards Sedona from Cottonwood on 89A, it's the same concept. When you hit that Y intersection of 89A and 179, you'd have that slip lane that would take you from 89A to 179, and then you could go back to I-17 from there. And that's a partnership with the City of Sedona, and that is a cost share partnership, and

we're working on an IGA with them now trying to set up a meeting 1 to have those discussions so that we can assist with putting 3 that in the program. MR. HALIKOWSKI: So Mr. Chairman, just to let you 4 know, we met -- I met with the mayors this past month, and we're 5 going through a lot of their issues right now. But going back 6 to the middle one, Audra, I've gotten some letters on the Horse 7 Shoe Bend and that it's not moving fast enough. Can you talk a 8 little bit about where you are with the process there? 9 MS. MERRICK: Yeah. We actually applied for 10 minor money for the second time this spring, and we just 11 12 received that. MR. HALIKOWSKI: Minor money as, like, dollars or 13 14 change or what's minor money? MS. MERRICK: Minor money is a program. 15 16 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Okay. MS. MERRICK: It's -- once a year there's a minor 17 program -- I'm not sure how much it's actually funded for, but 18 there's a minor program, and all the districts in the Traffic 19 System Management Operation Division submit projects. You can 20 submit four projects per district -- or per discipline, and it's 21 22 a statewide competitive process. And essentially, all the -- the -- all the 23

applications are scored. There's so much money, and then they

just work in to see which projects they can fund. And so we

24

25

1 actually submitted the project last year as well, and it was 2 unsuccessful. We did not get it. And so we resubmitted it 3 again this year and made some tweaks with the application and 4 worked with the City on that and were successful. 5 MR. HALIKOWSKI: So Mr. Chairman, I've met with 6 the State Parks director. Just to let you know, the two 7 agencies are working on this issue of the turn lanes, but more 8 importantly, the parking. 9 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you. 10 MS. MERRICK: So that's all I have for you today. 11 I just wanted to thank you for giving me the opportunity to 12 speak, and thanks for your support of the Northcentral District. 13 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Questions for 14 Audra? 15 Thank you, Audra. 16 MS. MERRICK: Thanks. 17 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Item 2 on the agenda,, 18 ADOT director John Halikowski will provide the director's report 19 for information and discussion only. 20 MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, I don't really 21 have any additional items this month. I just met with Board 22 Member Knight and the mayor of Yuma, Summerton and San Luis to 23 talk about border issues. And so, you know, just suffice to say 24 that there's a lot of activity going on around the state that 25 we're trying to work with.

As Mr. Elters and I were talking about earlier, 1993 revenues, and obviously, as you've heard, we're growing a great deal, burgeoning at the seams in some cases, and we're trying to balance the system out with the revenues that we have to work with. So I really don't have anything else to talk about.

question?

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thanks.

MR. HAMMOND: Director. Can I ask the director a

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yes. Yes. Board Member

MR. HAMMOND: You did mention the border, so I just had to ask. There seems to be a lot of -- it sounds like optimism on the side of the Nogales folks and get the full build-out put together. Do you share that optimism, and do you want to make any comments on that?

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Well, I haven't talked to each and every board member. My hope is that the Board would look favorably upon that full build-out, because as you're seeing, our transportation needs are continuing to grow across the border. We've got, I think, roughly 20 projects we're working on with our border master plan. We're doing \$18 billion a year with Mexico right now. I think that the Nogales improvements are critical to the economy of the state. We have the study going on with MX15.

```
MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair and Mr. Director, since
 1
     this was not an agendaed topic, I think we're getting very deep
 2
     into a board policy and agency discussion on this.
 3
                    MR. HALIKOWSKI: This is my last minute report.
 4
     Well, maybe we could talk outside, Mr. Hamilton. But suffice to
 5
     say that --
 6
                    MR. ROEHRICH: You meant Mr. Hammond.
 7
                    MR. HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Hammond. We did
 8
 9
     (inaudible).
                    MR. HAMMOND: I didn't mean to mess him up.
10
                    MR. HALIKOWSKI: He didn't mess me up, so... But
11
12
     anyway, we'll talk soon.
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Okay. Next we'll
13
     move on to the consent agenda. The Board will consider items on
14
     the consent agenda for information and possible action. Are
15
     there any items board members would like pulled from the consent
16
17
     agenda for individual discussion?
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman.
18
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yes. Mr. Elters.
19
                    MR. ELTERS: I'd like to pull Items 7J and 7K for
20
21
     further discussion later.
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Items 7 -- 7 --
22
23
                    MR. ELTERS: 7J and 7K.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Elters, those items are
24
     not in the consent agenda. So those are under the PPAC item
25
```

```
1
     under Item 7. Right now you're asking for anything to be pulled
 2
     out of Item 3 in the consent agenda. So the items that are
 3
     within the consent agenda, Item 3.
 4
                    MR. ELTERS: Okay. Forgive me.
                                                     I did see an
 5
     asterisk next to both of them, and so I assumed they were on the
 6
     consent agenda.
 7
                    MR. ROEHRICH: They're not.
 8
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
 9
                    MR. ROEHRICH: They're separate. That means
10
     (inaudible).
11
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Star means for action.
12
                    MR. ELTERS: Okay.
13
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Well, we'll
14
     address those when we get to them.
15
                    MR. ELTERS: I take my request back.
16
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.
17
                    MR. SELLERS: Okay. Mr. Chairman.
18
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yes.
19
                    MR. SELLERS: I move for approval of the consent
20
     agenda as submitted.
21
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
22
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: We have a motion by Vice
23
     Chair Sellers and second by Board Member Stratton to approve the
24
     consent agenda as presented. All in favor say aye.
25
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
```

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: All opposed, nay?

The motion passes.

So we'll move on to Item 4 on the agenda.

Kristine Ward will provide the -- no. I'm sorry. Item 4 is the legislative report. Floyd Roehrich will provide the legislative report. For information and discussion only.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and members of the Board.

So there are -- obviously the state Legislature's still in session. There's a few items of particular note I'd like to -- that Mr. Biesty had asked that I talk about. One of them is Senate Bill 1200, which really helps with the elimination of some of our rule making authority, but it helps streamline the process within ADOT. So this is a bill that we've been working with to try to get that better defined. That is moving its way forward.

In addition, during this bill, there was the repeal, if you remember, of the PHSRAC. That's the Parkways Historic and Scenic Highways Advisory Committee, with the authority of designating scenic and historic roadways. Going from the PHSRAC, this committee that was created years ago back to the State Board on Geographical and Historic Naming. And that is set to take place when approved by July of 2019, and I know that later on we're going to talk about the US-80 historic designation, but this is kind of related. That all came out

during that process. So those -- those issues are moving forward (inaudible).

Another bill, Senate Bill 1287, is -- was signed by the governor, which helped prepare the Motor Vehicle Division for modernizing and expanding its database system.

In addition, Senate Bill 1065, which was put out by Mr. Bracker and Mr. Sandquist, I think it mentioned as well, dedicate a portion of the overweight fees that are collected both by the City of Nogales and Santa Cruz County, and those will be rolled through into a memorandum of understanding — excuse me — an IGA, intergovernmental agreement, to bring those funds in to help with the expansion of the State Route 89, help fund the full build-out of the State Route 189, and we'll be talking about that as we get to the study session in June on how we feel that would fit within the new five-year program.

There are still ongoing discussions on a number of bills that we've not finalized yet. Some of them deal with the funding mechanisms for the Department of Public Safety's Highway Patrol Program, how those could be funded in a way to not impact directly to the HURF funds. Those discussions are going on. There's also discussions looking to provide a greater parity for vehicle license tax between traditional vehicles, fuel vehicles and alternative fuel vehicles. That's still moving forward.

And then there's still bills moving that will

look at giving the counties the ability to collect excise taxes for transportation purposes. That could be expanded up to one cent and allow them to go to their voters for that purpose. We'll continue to monitor those bills and that legislation as they move forward, and as the Legislature and the governor move forward with completing this session, we'll make sure to keep the Board abreast of where they're going.

On the federal level, there's still a couple of things out there recently. We talked previously about the infrastructure spending that was included, the potential infrastructure spending that was included in the omnibus bill. That was an appropriations bill that was -- act that was signed by the President. We're still working with our federal partners and trying to glean out of that bill what that's going to truly mean for Arizona, what's that going to truly mean for funding programs, and what other opportunities will there be for us to look for additional transportation funding as that law gets enacted and we are able to take advantage of the opportunities within there.

Let's see. The bill did create a couple of new programs that Kevin wanted to talk about. There's 600 million directed to the Department of Agriculture to assist in providing broadband infrastructure to rural parts of the county. The State and ADOT have been working on ways -- how we can get ourself prepared to look -- to work for that and see what -- as

that program matures, how we can get additional funding that would help our expansion of broadband infrastructure, especially in the rural areas.

2.3

They created a new rural bridge program and a new autonomous vehicle demonstration grant program. Again, we'll be working with our federal partners as more information comes out -- comes available within that.

Let's see. Other than that, there's still additional discussion going on as Congress and the White House deal with all of the actions that are taking place. And at this time, Mr. Chair and board members, that's his report. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Hearing none. Thank you, Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Item 5 on the agenda. Now Kristine Ward, ADOT Chief Financial Officer, will provide an update on the financial report. For information and discussion.

MS. WARD: If I could just kind of tag on to what Floyd was saying. We expect to see some notices coming out of FHWA in the next two weeks. So my hope is that I'll be able to provide you some additional information at the next board meeting with regards to the changes that came with the omnibus bill.

So with that, go right into the regular monthly report. I'm happy to report we are right within our targeted forecast. We had a -- we're a little below forecast. March came in low. 4.1 percent below forecast, at \$118 million worth of revenues. But year to date, we are only .6 percent below forecast, and we just topped over the 1 billion mark. We're at 1.1 billion in revenues collected.

While March was actually above last year, we are, in all of our categories but gas, running a little below forecast. Gas is what -- sales is what have sustained those others being below. But overall, we're right in the target zone, and it's no concerns with regard to the program funding.

Moving on to RARF, also we are right within our forecast zone. Year to date actuals, about \$287 million. So 5 percent growth year to date. We have a forecast of about 4.3 percent growth in Regional Area Road Funds for this year, so we are a bit above forecast. 1.1 percent above forecast. Retail sales, also 1.3 above. Contracting, above. Unfortunately, restaurant and bar is just a little below forecast. I don't know if any of you had New Year's resolutions that could be impacting that. Please consider turning back those resolutions.

Moving on to the federal program, don't have much to say. Like I mentioned, I don't have much to say on that program at this board meeting, but I'll be able to give you more information hopefully at the next board meeting about impacts,

potential impacts to the program. Nothing further to report on 1 debt financing. I have nothing to come to you with at this 2 point in terms of seeking additional bonding capacity. 3 So with that, I'd be happy to take any questions. 4 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. 5 MS. WARD: It was a pleasure talking. 6 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Thank you. Thank you, 7 8 Kristine. We'll continue on to Item 6 on the agenda. Greg 9 Byres will present an update on the current planning activities 10 pursuant to ARS 28-506. For information and discussion only. 11 MR. BYRES: For the Multi-Modal Planning report, 12 I really don't have a whole lot. We are working on trying to 13 get our five-year program through. That's our heavy lift right 14 15 now. So -- but we are also looking forward to next 16 year's program. As such, we're doing some modifications, some 17 upgrades to our P2P program, trying to make it a little bit -- a 18 little bit cleaner, a little bit easier to run through and come 19 up with hopefully the projects with the biggest needs, the way 20 that the program should be. So we're making those adjustments. 21 We're also working with the districts. Over the 22 next couple months we'll be meeting with the districts in their 23 monthly meetings going through the P2P process as well as how 24

we're going to approach next year's program. So I'll try and

25

```
1
      get that -- get a foot in the door and try and get that program
  2
      going. So other than that, that's pretty much what we've going
  3
      on. I appreciate it.
  4
                     CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: All right. Thanks.
  5
      Ouestions?
  6
                     Okay. We'll continue on to Item 7 on the agenda.
 7
      Greg will present the recommended PPAC actions, including
 8
      consideration of changes to the 2018-2022 Statewide
 9
     Transportation Program for discussion and possible action.
10
                     MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, the
11
     Priority Planning Advisory Committee has met and is providing
12
     the following projects: 7A through 7H for approval. These are
13
     project modifications. The only item that I have on here is
14
     several of these projects are contingent upon approval of the
15
     MAG Regional Council.
16
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, I just want to make
17
     sure. Greg, you will address the two items that Mr. Elters had
18
     brought up previously, right?
19
                    MR. BYRES: Yes.
20
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Was that 7J and 7K?
21
                    MR. ELTERS: Yes.
22
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. So have I got a
23
     motion to accept and approve the modifications, Items 7 through
24
     7H as presented?
25
                    MR. STRATTON: So moved.
```

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Moved by Board Member 1 2 Stratton. 3 MR. THOMPSON: Second. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Seconded by Board Member 4 5 Thompson. 6 All in favor say aye. 7 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: All oopposed, nay. 8 9 The motion passes. MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, we also 10 have new projects, which are Items 7I through 7R, and I just --11 I realize there's a couple of questions on 7J and K. So we can 12 13 go ahead and go into those if you'd like. 14 MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Byres, could you just take a minute or two and help me understand the 15 16 relationship between -- between those two projects, and in 17 particular why 7K, which is in La Paz County, is conditional on 18 the approval of the MAG Regional Council. 19 MR. BYRES: So let me start off with 7J. 7J is 20 -- these are both pavement preservation -- or 7J is a pavement rehabilitation project. There's a two-inch mill and inlay 21 22 project that expands for about 3.33 miles. And that starts at Milepost 82, which is fully in Maricopa County. So that's --23 24 that's that project. 25 And then the 7K project is a pavement rehab

1	project, and that's going to be a chip seal project. Part of
2	that project is in Maricopa County. Part of that project is in
3	La Paz County. So as such, for the Maricopa planning portion of
4	that, that is why this project is going in front of the MAG
5	Regional Council.
6	MR. ELTERS: Okay.
7	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.
8	MR. ELTERS: That takes care of it, Mr. Chairman.
9	Thank you, Mr. Byres.
10	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Any other items in
11	7 under between 7I and 7R, projects that board members
12	have questions on or want to pull?
13	Then do I have a motion to accept and approve the
14	new project Items 7I through 7R as presented?
15	MR. ELTERS: I so move.
16	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Moved by Board Member
17	Elters.
18	MR. THOMPSON: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Seconded by Board Member
20	Thompson.
21	All in favor say aye.
22	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Opposed, nay?
24	The motion passes.
25	MR. BYRES: Thank you.
- 1	

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Byres.

We'll move on to Item 8 on the agenda. Dallas Hammit, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer will report to the -- on the status of highway projects under construction. For information and discussion only.

MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Currently we have 97 projects under construction totaling approximately \$1.5 billion. In March we finalized four projects totaling 6.1 million, and year to date, we have finalized 82 projects.

And the director did step out, but I wanted to clarify one thing on the minor program that was talked about. Each district engineer will submit projects for the minor program. In years past, each district was given \$2 million as a district minor program. It was state funds. As those state funds disappeared a few years ago, it wasn't -- we couldn't put together projects in the districts for that low amount that we wanted to do.

The minor program allows the district to submit a \$4 million project, but it competes against all districts. So you don't have your own pot of money, but you can request and get a bigger project that works. So each district submits on that each year, and the state engineer's team reviews that and makes a recommendation.

1	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Thank you.
2	MR. SELLERS: Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yeah. Board Member
4	Sellers.
5	MR. SELLERS: So who decides which projects have
6	the highest priority?
7	MR. HAMMIT: There's members from the state
8	engineer's team and the planning division, as well as the TSMO
9	division make those recommendations, and they come through the
10	PPAC when we add the project
11	MR. SELLERS: Okay.
12	MR. HAMMIT: into that area.
13	MR. SELLERS: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Next.
15	MR. HAMMIT: Ready for the next item?
16	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yes. Are we moving on to
17	construction contracts?
18	MR. HAMMIT: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Okay. Dallas will
20	present the recommended construction project awards that are not
21	on the consent agenda for discussion and possible action.
22	MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you
23	Board for approving the two projects on the consent agenda, and
24	we have two projects to discuss a little more. When I saw this
25	and only saw four projects, I asked what was going on. We I

1 was assured next month we will have 17 projects. So I may be up 2 here a little longer next month. But January was when these 3 projects went out, and that's typically a low month to advertise 4 projects. So that's why it's a little smaller amount. 5 The first project, this project is in the -- in 6 Page. It is a local project to do sidewalk and curb and gutter. 7 The low bid was \$589,259. The State's estimate was \$482,415.10. It was over the State's estimate by \$106,843.90, or 22.1 8 9 The local agency is looking for additional funds. 10 They want to make this project happen, but the staff does 11 recommend postponement while the local agency looks for those 12 funds. 13 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Ouestions? 14 Do I have a motion to accept and approve staff's 15 recommendation to postpone the contract for item 8A as 16 presented? 17 MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, so -- sorry. The group 18 that you're working with, the transportation people, they are 19 aware that this is on the agenda today? 20 MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair, Mr. Thompson, yes. 21 staff has worked with them, and they were looking for the 22 additional funds --23 MR. THOMPSON: Right. 24 MR. HAMMIT: -- since this is a local project, 25 that they come up with those funds.

1 MR. THOMPSON: I'll go ahead and move for 2 approval. 3 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. The motion as presented was moved by Board Member Thompson. 4 5 MR. SELLERS: Second. 6 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Seconded by Vice Chair 7 Sellers. 8 All in favor say aye. 9 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 10 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Opposed, say nay. 11 The motion passes. 12 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 Item 9B is a bridge deck replacement project on I-40 near Seligman. The low bid was \$4,250,251.25. The State's 14 estimate was 300 -- \$3,436,678.24. It was over the State's 15 estimate by \$813,573.01, or 23.7 percent. In talking to the 16 17 contractor, we did because of the -- this location, it's very critical we get in and get out quickly. We did put a short time 18 19 frame for them to work, and in talking to them, they did put higher pricing because they're going to have to increase their 20 21 crew size. We have reviewed those bids and believe it is a 22 responsive and responsible bid, and we recommend award to Pulice 23 Construction, Inc. 24 CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: All right. Any questions? 25 Do I have a motion to accept and approve staff's

```
1
     recommendation to award the contract for Item 9B to Pulice
 2
     Construction, Inc. as presented?
 3
                    MR. ELTERS: I so move.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Moved by Board Member
 5
     Elters.
 6
                    MR. STRATTON: Second.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Seconded by Board Member
 8
     Stratton.
 9
                    All in favor say aye.
10
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Opposed, nay?
12
                    Okay. The motion passes.
13
                    MR. HAMMIT:
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
14
                    CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Yeah. Thank you.
15
                    Item 10 on the agenda is a -- staff will present
16
     an update on -- regarding the historic designation of the status
17
     of the highway system of former US Route 80. For information
18
     and discussion.
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20
     short update here.
21
                    Since last month, we have continued to work on
22
     the State's portion of the former US Route 80, evaluating it to
23
     determine its historic significance. If you remember, the --
24
     parts of the former US Route 80 that are in local jurisdictions
25
     have all been designated -- those are completed, and we have
```

been starting to coordinate with local governments on the memorandum of understanding that would be required for them to go ahead and start signing it and advertising it as historic. That is also ongoing.

So we're in the process of wrapping up our report probably by the end of the month. We still need to submit it to the state historic -- what's -- the state historical archive and library for their review. Now, we hope to have that done in May, and then we're hoping that by June or July, we'll be able to come back to the Board with the final recommendation from staff on when segments of former US Route 80 would be deemed historic that are on the state route. Then that would complete the request that has been asked by Mr. Clinco and his team. And then from there we would move forward with any signings, markings and advertisements necessary to identify it as a historic route.

So we are moving forward. We still have one more committee to go through at the state level, but we feel that we're on track. When we said that we'd come back this summer, we feel that we're on track in the June/July time frame to be able to wrap up our portion. And with that, I'll answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Questions? Doesn't look like we have any questions. Thank you for the update, Floyd.

Item 11, suggestions. Are there suggestions by

board members for items to be placed on future meeting agendas? 1 Board Member Hammond. 2 MR. HAMMOND: Floyd, did I hear SR-189 will be on 3 the June study session? Is that --4 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Hammond, yes. 5 Because of the TIGER grant came after we had started the 6 tentative five-year program, and as the law passed and the MOU 7 passed, we're evaluating those as conditions that would go 8 through the public hearing process, and then we'd bring them to 9 the study phase on June 5th to talk about how now we can 10 effectively evaluate and use those within the final modification 11 of the five-year program. So it's going through staff analysis 12 now; will be brought to the Board for your consideration. 13 MR. HAMMOND: Okay. So it won't need to be in 14 our board meeting. Can I ask our attorney not be invited to 15 16 future meetings? MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Hammond, I would 17 recommend not to do that, because if anybody fouls on a play, 18 you want her defending you, because she is -- she's a hard ass, 19 man. You don't want to -- you want her on your side. 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She's a what? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We already knew that one, 22 23 Floyd. MR. ROEHRICH: I apologize. 24 MR. HALIKOWSKI: I'm glad I came back in to calm 25

him down. Language, Floyd. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Other recommendations for future meeting agendas? Okay. MR. ROEHRICH: Just a reminder, Mr. Chair. just want to let everybody know, May 18th is the next board meeting. We'll do it in Phoenix. They get the combination of public hearing, normal board business, and then from there, we'll roll into the study session on June 5th to talk about the final adjustments and recommendations of -- from the staff as well as the Board for the tentative program. CHAIRMAN CUTHBERTSON: Okay. Great. MR. ROEHRICH: I hope everybody drives safe. careful. (End of excerpt.) 

## <u>Adjournment</u>

A motion to adjourn the April 20, 2018 State Transportation Board meeting was made by Board Member Thompson and seconded by Board Member Hammond. In a voice vote, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 11:33 a.m. MST.

William F. Cuthbertson, Chairman

State Transportation Board

John Halikowski, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation