STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

9:00 a.m., Friday, April 12, 2019
City of Flagstaff
Council Chambers
211 W Aspen Avenue
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Call to Order

Chairman Sellers called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 10:59 a.m.

Roll Call by Board Secretary was done during the Public Hearing, prior to Board Meeting

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. **In attendance:** Chairman Sellers, Vice Chairman Hammond, Board Member Stratton, Board Member Thompson, Board Member Elters and Board Member Knight. There were approximately 60 members of the public in the audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act was done during the Public Hearing, prior to the Board Meeting

ADOT Executive Officer, Floyd Roehrich, Jr. reminded all attendees to please fill out the optional survey cards to assist our Civil Rights Department.

Call to the Audience for the Board Meeting

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.

- 1. Christine Price, Mayor of Maricopa
- 2. Rich Vitiello, Councilmember, City of Maricopa
- 3. Alicia Chee, Cameron Community Land Use Plan President
- 4. Wayne Williams, Private Citizen
- 5. Otto Tso, 24th Navajo Nation Council

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

City of Flagstaff Council Chambers 211 West Aspen Avenue Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

> April 12, 2019 10:59 a.m.

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE	
2	SPEAKER:	GE:
3	Mayor Christian Price	4
4	Rich Vitiello	7
5	Alicia Chee	8
6	Wayne Williams	10
7	Otto Tso	12
8	AGENDA ITEMS	
9	AGENDA IIEMS	
10	ITEM NO.	GE:
11	Item 1 - Director's Report, Floyd Roehrich, Junior	12
12	Item 2 - District Engineer's Report, Audra Merrick, Northcentral District Engineer	16
13	Item 3 - Consent Agenda	24
14 15	Item 4 - Financial Report, Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer	25
16	Item 5 - Multimodal Planning Division Report, Greg Byres, Division Director, Multimodal Planning	28
17	Item 6 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Greg Byres, Division Director, Multimodal Planning	29
18 19	<pre>Item 7 - State Engineer's Report, Dallas Hammit, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer</pre>	32
20	Item 8 - Construction Contracts, Dallas Hammit, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer	32
2122	Item 9 - Update on the I-11 Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Jay Van Echo, I-11 Project Manager	38
23	Item 10 - Suggestions	49
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: I'll now call to order the meeting for the regular board meeting. I got to use it twice.

MR. ROEHRICH: You're getting good at that.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We will start with a call to the audience, and so the first speaker is a -- welcome back -- Mayor Christian Price.

MAYOR PRICE: Thank you. Thank you, Chairman, members of the Board. Appreciate it. Sorry I've been absent for a few months between sickness and travel and a million other places to be. It just wasn't possible, but I wouldn't leave you too high and dry, and of course, you want to see me coming back for -- to talk about things that are important to Maricopa and other locations.

But I really wanted to give you an update as it pertains to the 347 overpass project. You know, as I sit here and I listen to so many people come month after month and talk about the needs of this state, just like Floyd mentioned, you know, your job is incredibly difficult. We know that. I know that from an elected position standpoint, and so at the end of the day, it's a tough gig, and I understand that. But at the same time, I think it's also about getting creative. This board has said many times from the dais how important it is to find new ways and new revenue sources, et cetera.

And so one of the things I really wanted to share

with you is just the updates on things that you have had to make these hard decisions that affected our community and let you know how things are going.

So with that is the 347 overpass. It was a \$55 million project which the City of Maricopa helped fund, as well as a TIGER grant, and in that process, the project is coming along swimmingly. It's broken out into three phases. The first phase is completed. The second phase is underway. We should be driving over the bridge as of July. And if all things stay on track, then we should be done by November with the third phase, which is all the ancillary tie-in roads to that particular project. You are scheduled to be down in Maricopa for the board meeting in September. So we're excited to have you, and I think there's a lot of great things on the horizon, and I'll tell you more about that as we get closer.

I also just wanted to draw your attention to things that have been mentioned. So just briefly, I-11, tier one EIS is completed. I know you've seen it. And that is something that we're very favorable to, as it goes along the lines of the old Hassayampa Freeway Study done by MAG almost a decade ago. And with that, it provides a whole host of benefits and possibilities, but of course, it's a long way out.

We know there's a whole host of challenges that we have to overcome, and with that, we are trying to do our best as a city and as elected officials to follow Director

Halikowski's counsel to see if we can't get Congress to designate the route, which it will help speed up the study process and help move things forward, thereby saving the taxpayers, you know, millions of dollars and so -- and speed up the process in general. So that's something that we're working towards.

And then last but not least, I just wanted to give you, again, another update. We have started the discussion study group between the I-10 study and the 347 study. Maricopa helped spearhead that with ADOT's efforts and MAG and with Gila River Indian Community, as well as Ak-Chin. We're working very closely to help make sure that those studies become successful. We are working very closely with Governor Lewis from the Gila River Indian Community, and again, Chairman Miguel at Ak-Chin. We're finding that that partnership is helping things move forward at an accelerated rate.

And so while we are far away from where we want to be, I just wanted to give you the update that, you know, the City of Maricopa continues to grow, and with that growth, we've added probably 5,000 people in the last two or three years. We anticipate adding ten more in the next, you know, two or three years, and so that 347 is becoming more and more difficult to traverse. So while that study should help us, and it is on the horizon for another -- end of this year, the fixes that need to happen are on the cusp.

So again, thank you for your time. We appreciate it, and we'll see you shortly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. And I did fail to mention that there is a three minute time limit on the call to the audience.

Next speaker is Rich Vitiello. Did I get that right? Council member, Maricopa.

MR. VITIELLO: Good morning, Chairman, board members. Thank you for doing what you've done for the City of Maricopa. We do appreciate it.

I have something near and dear to my heart, and I just wanted to share it. This is a gentleman -- this is a jersey that we -- who unfortunately for the last three years, that jersey was made to raise funds for a accident that happened on the 347 and Riggs Road, and it's been near and dear to my heart. You probably know about several other accidents that that happened there. The key to this accident was he wasn't even in it. A part flew through his side of his -- side of his window, and it hit him in the head, and he's been in the -- he's basically brain dead.

So the 347 project is a big, big -- big, big, big, big, big project for us, and I just want to let you guys know how we feel about it in the city of Maricopa, because every day out on the street when I'm walking around, Fry's, Bashas', many other establishments, the question is: "When are you going to

1 | fix 347?"

Please understand, I do understand it's a long process. We support how you guys are taking this process, and hopefully we'll see this eventually on your five-year plan, and as Mayor Price said, we have -- the growth is amazing in our city. So I just come to speak with you, and I was -- over the time frame of the overpass, I was at several meetings, and I appreciate what you did for the overpass.

So I want to make sure that you do know I am completely understanding, but this project is -- is so near and dear to people's hearts, because they ask me, "How many more deaths are going to happen before we fix this?" And again, I tell them, "One death is one too many." And I tell them you guys have done a great job of working with the budget that you have, and I appreciate it, and I look forward to working with you guys in the future of fixing the 347 and having the people of Maricopa drive up and down that freeway knowing there won't be another death. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Next we have Alicia Chee.

MS. CHEE: Hello.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Good morning.

MS. CHEE: Hi. Sorry. This is my first time to something this important. My name is Alicia Chee. I come from Cameron, Arizona. We're not very far from Flagstaff. I

volunteer at the Dzil Libei Elementary School, and we -- their school's located at 463. And the way I volunteer is I coach the cross country and the basketball team. We go from kindergarten to fifth grade.

And so my concern is the Milemarker 463, in that area. The speed limit is 65 miles per hour. We have buses that exit and enter into that area, and it's very difficult -- and I know this from personally driving that area. We are in a dip. So it's hard to see oncoming traffic, and when the semi's coming through, it's even harder to gain momentum, and it's harder, also, for our bus driver, because they have to worry about the safety of the children.

Also on Highway 89, we have 11 bus routes, and just like the Many Farms, we also have problems with the shoulder. There's not enough shoulder to exit on. We've had teachers have to exit quickly when there's an oncoming, like, police officer going, you know, whatever speed they need to to get to an accident. And without a shoulder, it puts them at risk of being rear ended, and then the traffic through there doesn't abide by the speed limit. We've brought this attention to NDOT, ADOT, and Navajo PD and DPS, and we just don't have the infrastructure to support the traffic that's coming through on Highway 89.

And we have a lot of residential areas. They haul water and hay to their livestocks. We have a lot of elders

that still commute through the area as well. The roundabout is frequently having accidents. We don't have the data. I've looked at ADOT's safety -- or sorry -- their research from 2018 and it does state insufficient data on Navajo Nation. So I'm working with the Tuba City Hospital to collect that data so -- to further support our -- our need for a lower speed limit or even a safety corridor stating, you know, like, fines doubled through school zone. So -- and the population is growing, and so will the traffic. I'm glad I follow the gentleman that had the statistics for the tourist traffic flow through there. So that's my concern.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

MS. CHEE: Thank you. Have a good day.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Next we have Wayne Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. I'm here as a private citizen, a concerned private citizen. I'm a retired project engineer for BIA roads. I have 20 years of experience in Alaska and eastern Oklahoma, and my issue is with 89 highway from Green Mountain to Page. I feel that this is a very unsafe road, and through my experience, it does not meet current AASHTO specs, which is a highway requirement for a safe road. And as I recall, this road was poorly built back in the days when they were building the dam. It was really hastily built. But that's what I understand. And as a result, it's a very bumpy road, and it's very unsafe, and it is a major artery for people coming

from Phoenix to Page to Lake Powell.

So there are questions about the traffic. So my argument is it is heavily used, and outside -- the size of tourists, like, from -- to Grand Canyon and things like that.

And also, it is a very poor link between the reservation towns and here and Page, because (inaudible) in Page rely on the economic power of the -- in this case Navajo Nation to bring the economy up here. The businesses in Flagstaff really are enjoying the benefits of having all the reservation citizens come here to spend their dollars. That's a fact. So you go into Wal-Mart, and that's just full of Navajos over there and Hopis.

So I've been on the web page without ADOT before, and they always are concerned mostly with freeways from Phoenix to here. It's already a four-lane highway, and they're talking about traffic, unsafe. And to me, the solution there is police enforcements. I've been on that road a lot of times, and people are unsafe drivers. It just needs more enforcements. That's what I feel.

I'm just a concerned citizen. That's all. So I just want you to all know that, and that's about it. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Board secretary, it looks like we may have one more card coming in.

1 MS. PRIANO: (Inaudible.) 2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. Okay. Otto Tso. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He just stepped out. He 4 filled out the card but he left. I don't know if he wants to 5 speak or not. 6 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Then we will move to the Item 7 Number 1 on our regular agenda, which is the director's report, which this morning will be provided by Floyd Roehrich. 8 9 MR. ROEHRICH: Good morning, Mr. Chair, members 10 of the Board. The director unfortunately could not make it 11 today. Sends his regrets. I have no last minute items that he 12 had available. 13 Just a reminder that if the Board does have any 14 issues that you would like the director to request, let him know 15 or me know, and we'll make sure to get them on the agenda. 16 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Yes. Are you Mr. Tso? 18 MR. TSO: Yes. Good morning. Yeah. (Speaking 19 Navajo.) My name is Otto Tso, and I'm a constituent of the 20 state of Arizona, constituent of the county of Coconino, and I 21 come from a small community between the city of Flagstaff and 22 Page, a community called Tuba City. And just -- I have a couple 23 issues with some of the roads that are within the jurisdiction 24 of the State of Arizona.

First of all, I am -- I'm a member of the Navajo

25

Nation Council, and I represent the Tuba City -- the community of Tuba City there, and I am one of the 24 members that is -- current member of the 24th Council. (Inaudible.) Thank you very much for accepting my -- I guess it's sort of like speaking to the public.

And one concern I have in Tuba City, my home,

Tuba City, I really thank the State of Arizona for widening the

road at the intersection of Highway 264 and 160. And those

highways, highway improvement is very beneficial to my

community. And there's streetlights. I'm very gracious. Thank

you very much. You know, thank you for infusing dollars to try

to better the safety for the community there.

One issue that I would like to see is that to see if we could start the negotiations and talk -- talking points in regarding of sidewalks along Highway 89, because you have two communities there in Tuba City. Tuba City is -- our neighbors on the south side of the highway is the Hopi Tribe. So when we get people coming in such as tourists and so forth, you know, the public access, crossing the roads and -- and just that safety corridor is something that we need -- we need to address somewhere, whether it's government-to-government communication.

I'm willing to come to the table, and even I speak with our county supervisor, Mr. Thompson and Mr. Lee Jack from Navajo County. And, you know, I just would like to see if we could entertain that somewhere down the road. We really need

to improve that area just for the sake of safety for the -- a

safety corridor for our people that come to visit Navajo and

Greater Arizona. And that's one area.

The other area is the community of Gap. Like the

lady before me that spoke on behalf of the community of Cameron.

lady before me that spoke on behalf of the community of Cameron, that's the same thing, and if there could be a speed limit reduction three-quarters of a mile before you get to Gap and after Gap, that would benefit that community. In all other rural roads within Navajo --

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Please wrap up now.

MR. TSO: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. Board Member Elters.

MR. ELTERS: I just have have a question on the director's report before we move on.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.

MR. ELTERS: So I recognize that we did receive legislative update a couple of days ago, and perhaps that's why there's no legislative update here, and that's good. That's fine. The only question I have is related to House Bill 2536. There was really no information on that in the legislative update that was provided, and if there is one bill that is of interest to me along with the ones you updated us on is that one. So I'm just wondering if there is an update here today

that you could share with us, and if not, if you can keep us more frequently updated on that. I know legislative session is still ongoing and things get volatile and change quickly, but that ties in to all the discussion we had earlier and the explanation as provided and what was all been waiting for and keeping our fingers crossed. So...

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: And I think, if I might speak to that a little bit, things change so rapidly at the Legislature, I think that's one of the reasons why we are hesistant to provide anything that might sound like a specific update here. I think that if a board member has a specific question on the status of something, they can call Floyd, and he will provide that information to them or to Kevin -- directly to Kevin Biesty.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Sellers, Mr. Elters, that's what I was going to say. I know -- I'm glad you pointed out that there's no legislative report. The decision that was made in consultation with the director, and this was the recommendation by Kevin, is he's only going to now provide those written reports that you're going to see. He's going to work with the staff to try to get them out every week. But what he wants the board members to know, any time they have a question to contact him. And if he's not available, call me and I will run it down for him. But I do not have a specific update in this meeting.

1 MR. ELTERS: Okay. Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Anything else before we 3 move to Item 2? 4 All right. We will now get an update from the 5 district engineer. Audra Merrick. This is for information and 6 discussion only. Audra. 7 MS. MERRICK: No clicker? 8 (Inaudible conversation.) 9 MS. MERRICK: Good morning, Chairman Sellers, 10 members of the Board. My name is Audra Merrick. I'm the ADOT 11 Northcentral District engineer. Thanks for having me here 12 today, and welcome to the Northcentral District. 13 I do have a quick snow trivia fact to share with 14 you. On February 21st this year -- you heard some people talk 15 about it earlier -- it snowed in Flagstaff 35.9 inches in 24 16 hours. That was the snowiest day in recorded history. We did 17 beat the 1915 record of 31 inches. Staff did a phenomenal job. 18 I think there's probably one or two that are still trying to 19 recover from it. But they did a really great job. This is just 20 one of the many photos of an event like this that we saw here in 21 northern Arizona. 22 This is the Northcentral District map. The star 23 on the map is Flagstaff, where we reside right now. The 24 district area is 23,200 square miles. This is about the size of

West Virginia, to put it into perspective. We have just under

25

2,800 lane miles of roadway to maintain, and if you go on Google and ask what the distance is from L.A. to New York City, it's about 2,800 miles.

We coordinate our construction and our maintenance activities along with several other activities with well over 40 stakeholders. But we have five cities, four towns, five national forests, six reservations, four MPOs/COGs, five counties. We have various state parks, national parks, including the Grand Canyon, military. We have Camp Navajo, along with some DOTs like Navajo DOT.

In the interest of your time today, I'm just going to talk about the existing construction projects, and then I'll talk briefly about some partnering awards and opportunities.

I talked about this last time, rollover projects. Here in the district, we talk about rollover projects, and there are two season projects. So they're ones that start last year and roll over to this year. That's the reason we call them rollover. And we have four this season that's rolled over from last season.

Our first one is the I-40/Cataracts to Park project. It's a pavement preservation project of 17 miles on I-40, west of Flagstaff, and it has some reconstruction area to it as well. We're over 60 percent complete, and we'll be complete this season. The photo there is a photo of the milling

operation. And here are two additional photos, really just random photos of some of the reconstruction area.

Our second rollover project is the 17/40 interchange bridges, and that's a bridge deck replacement project along with some rehab. It's 90 percent complete. Still we have remaining on -- on this project in this season is the paving between the bridges itself on I-40, and we still have some painting to do over the rail and really some cleanup work.

This is a photo of some of the lost deck forms on the deck replacement. Lost deck forms are forms that we put in to hold up the deck, and when we pour the concrete, we call them lost, because we don't go back in and try to get them out. They just stay in those open bays of the bridge.

The left photo is a crane lifting the Bidwell machine for the deck up on the bridge, and then the right photo is one of the bridge deck pours.

The third project we have is on Interstate 17.

It's from County Line to the I-40 interchange. It's northbound only. It's a 28.5 mile pavement preservation project. We're over halfway complete. We did have some bridgework on that, and we removed and replaced the Willard Springs bridge, which is complete. These photos I put up here just to show you.

Sometimes it takes us months to build these bridges, and then we take them down in a day. So demo is always interesting and fun.

The last rollover project I have for you are the

I-40/Cottonwood bridges, and that's a bridge deck replacement project located just east of Winslow. We're replacing the eastbound and westbound deck. We're halfway done. We completed the eastbound deck last season, and we're starting the westbound deck this season. So they actually just moved out there probably about a week ago, and they're milling out the old detours, putting in the new detours. We'll put all the traffic on eastbound and then start the westbound.

This picture is a picture of the demo for the eastbound structure. The longitudinal elements are your girders. The horizontal ones are your -- essentially your diaphragms and your pier caps, and you can see how rough that is. In this photo here, to the left, those are the cleaned up girders. Same girders, just cleaned up. And then to the right is just another deck pour.

In addition to the four rollover projects, we have six new projects that are just starting this construction season. We have three in Board Member Knight's area. We have the I-17 Verde River Bridges, which is a scour retrofit project. We have the I-15, Bridges 2, 4 and 5, which are deck rehabs. And then we have the 179, Ranger to Chapel, which is a chip seal project.

The right photos on the screen are the Verde
River Bridges. With all the snow we had this season, and then
we had some snow and rain events. We ended up with a lot of

water in the river, and so we're waiting for that river to come back down and dry out a little bit before we can move the contractor in there.

The left photo is the I-15 gorge, and I believe that's Bridge 5.

The other three new projects we have are in Board Member Thompson's area. We have the I-40/Bellemont traffic interchange, which is a superstructure replacement. We have the I-40 Meteor City traffic intrachange, along with S40 Winslow Spur railroad bridge, and those two -- the Meteor City and Winslow are both deck replacements.

The photos on this screen are Bellemont, and so the left lower photo is the Bellemont girders that we're replacing, and the right is the bridge deck of Bellemont. That does look new -- like new asphalt. If you're looking at it, it actually is new asphalt. We put some asphalt and additional just left on that bridge through our pavement preservation project last year to hold us over until this bridge actually came into construction for this year. And you can see how it's already bleeding through and degrading.

These pictures here are of the Winslow Spur.

This was the deck rehab. The left photo just shows really the remoteness of the project. The top left shows the existing deck that we'll replace. And the lower right shows -- if you look over the railing of the bridge, essentially, that's the railroad

tracks that kind of go out into nowhereland. So it's going to be fun for those guys to be out there. Construction inspectors, when we work near the railroads, we do have some additional requirements. So they had to go through some additional training with the railroad to operate around their facility.

Still to come, we have three additional projects. We have two that are advertising. We have one pending award today. The one project we have advertising is a fog project here in the district. It's a district-wide fog project. All sorts of locations where we'll fog and seal the roads.

We also have the State Route 89A Spur overpass, which is a bridge deck rehab project, and it includes some sidewalk. And for those of you not familiar with Flagstaff, if you come up Interstate 17 into Flagstaff and you hit that first signal, you literally just went over the 89A Spur Bridge. It's probably about just 100, 200 feet south of that signal.

And then we have the I-40 spot repair project, which is a four-mile spot repair project just west of the 17/40 interchange. So the east side of that spot repair project is the interchange, and then it goes five -- four miles to the west.

In addition, I have two additional slides to talk to you about. Last year I shared with you some of our partnering awards that we received. This year is not any different, and so we're really excited here in the Flagstaff

district. We've -- we're going to be receiving the Arizona

Transportation Partnering Excellence Award for 2018 at Roads and

Streets coming here, I believe, next week or the week after for

two projects. One is the I-40 Devil Dog Project, and one is the

I-40 Twin Arrows Projects. They are both with Fann Contracting.

In addition, the I-40 Devil Dog Project received two additional

awards this year, and I just listed them on this slide just for

your reference.

So here in the Northcentral District, we take great pride in partnering with our contractors, but we also like to partner with our local governments as well. And so here are just a very small taste for some of the partnering projects we have going on in the district. In addition, when we had our HSIP applications this year, we've since submitted them. We did reach out to Navajo DOT and asked for additional assistance for crash data to see if they had any data that we didn't have to help facilitate those applications so that they're more competitive statewide.

And that's all I have for you today. I'd be more than happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Just a couple of quick comments. I want to express my appreciation at the reception last night. I heard a lot of really nice comments about how you work with the City of Flagstaff in resolving a bunch of their issues --

```
1
                    MS. MERRICK: Uh-huh.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: -- and on helping them with
 3
     the partnering projects that they've moved forward and been
 4
     sucessful with. So thank you for that.
 5
                    MS. MERRICK: Thank you.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: And I -- the last thing I'll
 7
     mention is I did hear on the news this morning that we're
 8
     expecting snow this evening.
 9
                    MS. MERRICK: There is some snow coming, I heard,
10
     this evening.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any other comments or
12
     questions from the district engineer?
13
                    Yes, Jesse.
14
                    MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, has there been agreement
15
     reached now between the Navajo Nation and ADOT regarding
16
     providing the crash data?
17
                    MS. MERRICK: I'm not sure where that's at in the
18
     process with the IGA. My understanding, it resided within
19
     Navajo Nation and some legalities with it still. But I think
20
     they're -- they're much closer.
21
                    MR. THOMPSON: Okay.
22
                    MS. MERRICK: Yeah.
23
                    MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Other questions or comments?
25
                    Thank you so much.
```

```
1
                    MS. MERRICK:
                                  Thank you.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We'll now move on to the
 3
     consent agenda. Does any member have any item they wish to have
 4
     removed?
 5
                    MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. Board Member King [sic].
 7
                    MR. KNIGHT: Item 3, 3E, I've just got a
 8
     question.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
10
                    MR. KNIGHT: On Item 3E, page 36, if you look at
11
     the bids, it states -- looking at the bids, the apparent low
12
     bidder was FNF. However, if you look at the -- what we're asked
13
     to approve, it's for Pulice Construction, Inc., page 236 on this
14
     -- at least it -- that's what it appears, unless I'm...
15
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
16
                    MR. KNIGHT: That's the A plus B.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Knight, what that
18
     is is, as pointed out, this was an alternative bid project.
19
     wasn't a low bid project. So it was --
20
                    MR. KNIGHT: Okay.
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: -- an alternative bid project that
22
     looked at a combination of the bid, price, the time frame, and a
23
     technical score, and it's selected was on best value. So it
24
     might not be the lowest bid, but it was the best value. And on
25
     that page, it does say number one was Pulice Construction, with
```

```
1
     a technical score -- A score and a B score, and all those added
 2
     together gave it the best value. They were the best value.
 3
                    MR. KNIGHT: Okay.
 4
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Not the lowest, but the best
 5
     value.
 6
                    MR. KNIGHT: That's kind of what I thought. I
 7
     just want to confirm. Thank you.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. All right. Thank you.
 9
                    Do I have a motion to approve the consent agenda
10
     as presented?
11
                    MR. HAMMOND: So moved.
12
                    MR. ELTERS: Second.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member Hammond,
14
     seconded by Board Member Elters. Any discussion?
15
                    All in favor say aye.
16
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
17
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? The motion
18
     carries.
19
                    We'll now move on to the financial report.
20
     Kristine Ward, Agenda Item Number 4. This is for information
21
     and discussion only.
22
                    MS. WARD: (Inaudible.)
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Going to add a little
24
     excitement to our meeting.
25
                    MS. WARD: Excuse me, sir?
```

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: You're going to add some
 2
     excitement to our meeting?
 3
                    MS. WARD: Absolutely.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
 5
                    MS. WARD: Absolutely. The finances are always
 6
     -- I mean, Audra's pictures, you know, of all this, like, really
 7
     cool stuff is far dwarfed by the numbers. She left. Good.
 8
                    All right. Well, we will have a brief report
 9
     today, but I will get to tell you about the bond sale that went
10
     through.
                    Okay. With regards to HURF, our -- the Highway
11
12
     User Revenue Fund, overall, we're a little above forecast. Our
13
     forecasted target zone, March ran a little below forecast.
                                                                 So
14
     while we're a little out of the target zone, we're pretty close,
15
     right on the money. So we saw gas tax at -- running about 1 --
16
     about a percentage below last year, year over year. Diesel use
17
     is running higher. That's actually largely due to, I think, a
18
     forecasting issue that we ran into. Not as much that it was --
19
     that we're seeing any big change in diesel sales. But in VLT,
20
     we're a little bit above forecast.
21
                    Overall, just so you know, we forecasted HURF to
22
     grow at about 2.4 percent for this fiscal year, to put it all
23
     into context.
24
                    So moving on to RARF, Regional Area Road Fund.
```

RARF is, as you can see, pretty much right on forecast.

25

Year-to-date actuals, we got about 307, \$308 million through the door, at 7.4 percent growth year to date, and just a titch above forecast.

So let me talk to you briefly about what happened on March 26th. It was -- we went to -- out into the market to sell the bonds that you approved us to sell to generate \$75 million in proceeds. We ended up being able to sell the bonds at a premium. So we only had to actually issue a par value of about \$63 million worth of bonds. We had a -- it was a very, very good sale. The underwriters on the issue were Wells Fargo was our senior manager, and Citigroup and JP Morgan were our co-managers on the sale.

What was interesting is we had a very -- there was a very large supply of bonds coming into the market that day, and we were watching that, because the -- there were close to \$10 million worth of bonds that were going to be sold. So when -- you're always looking to see, okay, who else is coming to sell, and how will that impact our sale? Because if there's a lot of supply on the market, does that mean that they can -- that we won't have as -- enough demand to meet that supply.

Fortunately, in the scheme of that, close to \$10 million on the market, our type of bonds, there weren't as much supply. So we ended up reaching -- achieving a -- a true interest cost, a interest cost of about 2.42 percent. That is -- that's a lovely thing, and that's on a 15-year term. So like

1 I said, we ended up being able to borrow the full amount that we 2 had come to you with. \$75 million is what we have in the 3 proceeds to support the program that you approved. This is 4 exactly the bond sale we forecasted, and we've delivered that. 5 So that concludes my presentation. 6 I guess I should add in there, I have a deep 7 appreciation for the folks that sell -- that support us through these bond sales. There are a host of folks that support us. 8 9 Our bond counsel, Squire, our financial advisor, RBC, Kurt 10 Fruend, our underwriters that support us, and then the FMS staff, Financial Management Services staff, the division I'm 11 12 responsible for. This really crosscuts and takes many, many of 13 the staff collaborating in order to generate the documents for 14 this. Lisa Danka, in particular, is -- coordinates the whole 15 thing. So I am -- you're looking at a person that's very 16 appreciative for a whole host of people that make this possible. 17 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you. 18 Any comments or questions on the financial 19 report? Okay. 20 MS. WARD: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We'll move on to Agenda Item 22 5, the Multimodal Planning Division report. Greg Byres. 23 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board 24 members. I really don't have a whole lot to report this month. 25 We are continuing working on updating our P2P process and

```
1
     getting ready for a call for projects. So that's what we've got
 2
     upcoming right now. So that's about all I've got to report.
 3
     Thank you.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Very good. And this was an
 5
     item for information and discussion only.
 6
                    So we'll move on to Item Number 6, the PPAC items
 7
     with -- for discussion and possible action. Mr. Byres.
 8
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board
 9
     members.
10
                    We've got -- let's see here. We only have one
11
     project modification item. That is Item 6A that we bring forth
12
     with a recommendation to approve.
13
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Do we have a motion?
14
                    MR. THOMPSON: So moved for approval.
15
                    MR. KNIGHT: Second.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion by
17
     Board Member Thompson, a second by Board Member Knight. Any
     discussion?
18
19
                    All in favor.
20
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
22
     carries.
23
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24
                    Next we have -- there's four new projects that
25
     are coming forth. These are Items 6B through 6E. I would like
```

```
1
     to notate that Items 6D and 6E are contingent on approval by
 2
     MAG's regional council, and we bring this forward with a
 3
     recommendation for approval.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Is there a motion to
 5
     approve PAC new projects Items 6B through 6E?
 6
                    MR. ELTERS: I so move with an intent to ask a
 7
     question about 6B.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
 9
                    MR. HAMMOND: I'll second that.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: I have a motion by Board
11
     Member Elters, seconded by Board Member Hammond.
12
                    Board Member Elters.
13
                    MR. ELTERS: So Mr. Byres, Item 6B is for a
     bridge replacement. It's being advanced from '20 to '19.
14
15
     that advancement due to the bridge conditions? Is that -- is
16
     this amount for both design and construction or is it just
17
     construction?
18
                    MR. BYRES: This is to establish the construction
19
     project itself. So -- and it's being advanced only because we
20
     have some room in 2019. It's being advanced out of 2020. So we
21
     can take and schedule this in, and that's the only reason it's
22
     being advanced, is because it's scheduled in to fit into the
23
     program, and there's funding available currently within 2019.
24
                    MR. ELTERS: So on that -- in the write-up, it
25
     just says capacity is available in 2019, and my question is how
```

```
1
     did that capacity become available? Is it just a project that
 2
     was slated to move forward that didn't or how did that come
 3
     about?
 4
                    MR. BYRES: So we've had projects in 2019 that
 5
     are falling behind schedule. So we're basically just swapping
     one project for the next to be able to accommodate the
 6
 7
     schedules.
 8
                    MR. ELTERS: Fair enough. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Any other discussion?
10
                    All in favor.
11
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
13
     carries.
14
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, we have
15
     one more item. That is Item 6F. This is an airport project,
16
     which, again, we're bringing forward with a recommendation for
17
     approval.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to approve
19
     PPAC airport project Item 6F.
20
                    MR. KNIGHT: So moved.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: So moved by Board Member
22
     Knight.
23
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
24
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Seconded by Board Member
25
     Thompson. Any discussion?
```

1 All in favor. 2. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion 4 carries. 5 MR. BYRES: Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you, Greq. 7 Moving on to Agenda Item Number 7. State 8 engineer's report with Dallas Hammit, for information and 9 discussion only. Dallas. 10 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Currently at ADOT, we have 100 projects under 12 construction totaling about \$1.89 billion. We've finalized six 13 projects in March, totaling 6.6 million, and year to date, we 14 have finalized 79 projects. Unless there's any other questions, 15 that's all I had for the state engineer's report. 16 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any questions for Dallas? 17 All right. We'll move on to Agenda Item 8. 18 Construction contracts for discussion and possible action. 19 MR. HAMMIT: And Mr. Chairman, thank you, and 20 Board, thank you for the approval of the consent agenda. 21 Mr. Knight stepped out. I was going to point out 22 on the design-build that Floyd nailed exactly the reason for 23 that, as I've watched those, the technical score in this case 24 was the deciding factor. I have not usually seen that in a 25 design-build. Usually it is price. Everything was close enough

1 with price, time, and then the technical score. But in this 2 case, the technical score -- well, I guess all of them were a 3 factor. It was the last one read, and it was the deciding 4 factor on that project. 5 You'll see that we are continuing to be above our 6 estimate. We have tightened up our estimates, but the 16.6 7 percent is somewhat deceiving, because as you've done some of 8 these PPAC actions, it's got our -- we upped our estimates. So 9 we're getting close to it. It doesn't mean the projects are 10 coming in lower. We're still about 25 percent higher than we 11 were two years ago on -- as an average in the program. 12 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 13 MR. HAMMIT: Moving to Item 8A, Mr. Chairman, 14 this project is in the area of Nogales. Staff is requesting the 15 Board to defer this project to a future board meeting so that we 16 can work through some DBE irregularities on the submittals. 17 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Is there a motion to 18 postpone Item 8A as presented? 19 MR. STRATTON: So moved. 20 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member 21 Stratton. 22 MR. ELTERS: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Seconded by Board Member 24 Elters. Any discussion? 25 All in favor.

1 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2. CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Opposed? That motion carries. 3 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 Item 8B, this is a project on Interstate 40 on 5 the west end, and it is to replace our truck weight scales. 6 These support our port of entries and really support our 7 pavement, because if we know and can track and stop those heavy 8 trucks coming in, it is critical that we do that. On the 9 project, the low bid was \$1,288,535. The State's estimate was 10 \$886,225. It was over the State's estimate by \$402,309, or 45.4 11 percent. We had higher than expected pricing in -- there's an 12 electrical field office that's needed during construction. Some 13 more cabling, reinforcing steel, mobilization, and a lot of 14 those you still go back to location, the remote location and 15 labor. So we have reviewed the bid and believe it is a 16 responsive and responsible bid and would recommend award to 17 Intermountain West Civil Contractors, Inc. 18 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Is there --19 MR. HAMMOND: I'll move --20 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. 21 MR. HAMMOND: -- for approval. 22 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion made for approval by 2.3 Board Member Hammond. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Second by Board Member

1 Thompson. Any discussion? 2 All in favor. 3 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? The motion 5 carries. 6 MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. 8 MR. STRATTON: If I may, I have a conflict on 9 Item 8C. I'd like the record to reflect I recuse myself. 10 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. So noted. 11 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 12 Item 8C is a rest area rehabilitation project. 13 It's on I-40 at the Meteor Crater Rest Area. The low bid on the 14 project was \$5,896,610. The State's estimate was \$4,464,303, or 15 \$1,432,307, or 32 percent. We saw higher than estimated pricing 16 in the building renovations, reservoir replacement, some of our 17 architectural items, our septic tank and mobilization. Just 18 like the last one, we're in a remote location. And the other 19 thing, these are not standard work that the department generally 20 advertises. As this one and the last one both only had two 21 bidders. We have reviewed the bids and believe it is a 22 responsible and responsive bid and recommend award to Fann 23 Contracting, Inc. 24 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Is there a motion? 25 MR. THOMPSON: I would so move for approval.

1 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member 2 Thompson. 3 MR. ELTERS: Second. 4 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Seconded by Board Member 5 Amy discussion? Elters. 6 All in favor. 7 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 8 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion 9 carries. 10 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Item 8D, this is in the Phoenix valley, on the 12 303, Northern Avenue. This -- the low bid on this project was 13 \$4,360,420. The State's estimate was \$3,952,538. It was over 14 the State's estimate by \$407,882, or 10.3 percent. We had 15 higher than expected pricing in our cameras, our power supply 16 and mobilization. The department has reviewed the bid and 17 believes it is a responsible and responsive bid and recommends 18 award to CS Construction, Inc. 19 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to award 20 Item 8D to CS Construction, Inc. as presented? 21 MR. ELTERS: So moved. 22 MR. KNIGHT: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member Elters, 24 seconded by Board Member Knight. Any discussion? 25 All in favor.

1 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2. CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That carries. 3 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 And the last item I have is Item 8E. These --5 this project is to put speed feedback signs along some safety 6 corridors on the programs. And if you're saying, "What's a 7 speed feedback sign," if you came up from the valley, up by 17, we have three of them, and basically it says, "Your speed is X." 8 9 And you know, Floyd's says 75 when it's 75. So it's always 10 right on. But these, we have found, do slow people down. 11 The low bid on this project was \$337,733. 12 State's estimate was \$287,761. It was over the State's estimate 13 by \$49,972, or 17.4 percent. We had higher than expected for 14 our foundations, for poles and mobilization. This project is in 15 multiple areas. We did underestimate the mobilization. 16 department has reviewed the bid and believes it is a responsive 17 and responsible bid and would recommend award to Stormwater 18 Plans, LLC, doing business as SWP Contracting and Paving. 19 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Do we have a motion to award 20 8E as presented? 21 MR. KNIGHT: So moved. 22 MR. HAMMOND: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Moved by Board Member Knight, 24 seconded by Board Member Hammond. Any discussion? 25 All in favor.

1 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2. CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Opposed? The motion carries. 3 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 5 We'll now move on to Agenda Item 9, for 6 information and discussion only. Jay Van Echo, I-11 Project 7 Manager, will give us an update of the I-11 tier one Draft 8 Environmental Impact Statement. 9 MR. VAN ECHO: Good morning, still, for the next 10 ten minutes. 11 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Good morning. And I -- you 12 know, I'm disappointed so many people left, because I think 13 virtually everybody that had been here today would have liked to 14 know where we stand with I-11, because it's really an important 15 project for the state. 16 MR. VAN ECHO: Well, I thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 would hope they'd stay, too, but we'll have plenty of public 18 hearings come up, as you'll hear. 19 Chairman Sellers, Vice Chair Hammond, board 20 members, audience members who are still left, thank you very 21 much. For the record, my name is Jay Van Echo, and I'm a senior 22 project manager with ADOT Multimodal Planning Division. 23 because I got the gray hair and been doing this for a little 24 bit. So thank you very much for sticking around and hearing 25 this I-11 update. Hopefully it will be a short presentation,

1 but this is a very complex project. You've heard I-11 come up a 2 couple times in public members, and Mayor Price mentioned it, 3 Mayor Kingman -- mayor of Kingman mentioned it on the West 4 Kingman TI. I think as we go forward, this board's going to 5 start hearing more about I-11 as we go forward into the next 10, 6 20, 30 years. 7 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: I'd like to hear more within 8 the next few years. 9 MR. VAN ECHO: Well, it will be the next few 10 years, too. 11 So a little background. This project has 12 actually been on board for over 25 years as the CANAMEX 13 Corridor. Here, the study that we're working on now, the I-11 14 tier one EIS is kind of a continuation of the I-11 Intermountain 15 West Corridor Study. That was the joint study that Arizona 16 Department of Transportation did with Nevada Department of 17 Transportation to look at the corridor through the states of 18 Nevada and Arizona. 19 This study, we are looking at trying to identify 20 the corridor between Nogales and Wickenburg, Arizona. 21 the purpose of this study. We cross five counties, from Santa 22 Cruz, through Pima, Pinal, and Maricopa, on up to Yavapai 23 County, between Nogales and Wickenburg, Arizona. The 2015 FAST 24 Act, U.S. Congress identified the future I-11 corridor from 25 Wickenburg to that new little bridge that we built up at the

Nevada state line as US-93. Our charge is to find where that corridor will be from Nogales to Wickenburg so we have a continuous future I-11 corridor within the state of Arizona.

I want to reiterate or really champion that we are just looking at a corridor now, a 2,000-foot wide corridor within which a future I-11 alignment can be built. Now, tier one is set up through the Department of Transportation. If there's no funding available and no project, no eminent project, FHWA, ADOT can develop a tier one environmental impact statement. It's more of a qualitative as opposed to a quantitative EIS. We do all the chapters of an EIS, but we look at it more at a 30,000 foot elevation and look to build a corridor within which when moneys become available, a future -- as you see on the right-hand side, a future corridor can be built within -- a future alignment can be built within that corridor.

Who's involved in this project? The two lead agencies, our federal partners is Federal Highway

Administration, Arizona Department of Transportation. We're the lead sponsoring agency. This board approved the study, this tier one study, I believe, in December of 2015. I'm pleased to announce that we advertised our Draft Environmental Impact

Statement on this past Friday. It came out on April 5th. We're in the midst of a 45-plus day public hearing comment period.

We have ten cooperating agencies that we're --

been working with on a project almost on a daily basis. Nine of them are federal partners. One is a sister agency, Arizona Game and Fish. We have 52 participating agencies, including many still in the room, Mayor Price and others, local governments between Nogales and Wickenburg, including two tribes, two sovereign nations. We have 92 consulting parties. That includes all 24 tribes in the state of Arizona. And of course, the public at large.

We spent the first year of this study developing a purpose and need. What is the need for a new interstate corridor, a new CANAMEX corridor within the state of Arizona? This is the most important part of a project. We spent the first year working with our tribal partners, working with our participating agencies, working with the public, and what is the need and what is a purpose of a new interstate?

It's really hard to get your mindset in thinking 20, 30, 40 years, when we have so many issues, and especially this board has in front of them. But we've got to start planning. What's going to happen in the future for our children, for our grandchildren? So we spend a lot on of time working out need and purpose for a new interstate.

And these are some of the issues that came up from population employment growth in our state to travel growth, travel time reliability. People want to know that they're going to get to the Grand Canyon in a certain period of time. They

want to travel through the state to see our beautiful state.

They want reliable transportation, and it is -- as DOT, that is our charge to do.

The next year, what we did is we spent developing corridor alternatives. So we worked within the technical team, again, with our partners in looking for alternatives of a new corridor between Nogales and Wickenburg. This wasn't as easy as it sounds. We have to avoid, obviously, our sovereign tribal nations, willederness, federal parks. We had the Ironwood National Forest, the Sonoran Desert National Forest. And so we had to look for alternatives to have a corridor through a very constricted area.

This technical analysis also -- it's an interstate. This is a highest level transportation facility that we design and that we build. So we are at the highest level. So we're looking at the geometry, the engineering constraints of an interstate highway, and then also we're looking at the environmental constraints. We need to avoid, minimize and mitigate impacts to all these constraints that we have along the corridor. So that was the second year of our project.

There's always, always, always a no build alternative. So what would happen if the public decide -- if we decide that we are not going to have a -- an I-11 facility?

What happens is we continue with our transportation plan as is,

with the five-year construction program that we're currently in the public hearing. Those will continue, but I-11, if at the end of the record of decision is a no build decision, then it becomes not part of the long range plan. But as we study through it, as we go through this process, we've determined at this stage that -- with our partners that at this time, a no build really does not address our purpose and need, our long-term purpose and need for a new interstate facility.

We finally came up with three build alternatives to add to that no build from Nogales to Wickenburg. The purple -- we colored them purple, green and orange. The purple alternative was a mix of existing and new corridor options between Nogales and Wickenburg. The green option was primarily new corridors between the two cities, and the orange is mostly an existing interstate and corridors, would be improving I-19, I-10, I-8, double shielding. If you've been back east, sometimes there will be, like, an I-75 and an I-80 or an I-77, dual shields on the same interstate. So we would look at the capacity of our existing facilities, and could they support an I-11 in the year 2040? That's the orange option.

At the end of the day, with all our environmental constraints, we are building a transportation facility. So we look at transportation. This is one of the numbers we look at. What is the time savings in the year 2040 that these options, these new alternatives could have between Nogales, Arizona, and

Wickenburg?

As you could see, the purple alternative came out the best. It's about an hour -- you gain about an hour in travel time between Nogales and Wickenburg. Green's about an hour, too. The orange alternative, which would be improving the existing corridors, about a half an hour. So these would be the time savings that you would get if we start in Nogales, Arizona at an afternoon peak and head to Wickenburg, Arizona.

So what we did and what you'll see in the report, if you -- it's all online. I'll show you in a minute if you want to open the -- I think it's close to 900-page document, our Draft Environmental Impact Statement -- you'll see this recommended corridor alternative in there. It is a hybrid primarily of the purple and green, and the reason for that is it best meets those purpose and needs that I went over here that -- in the first slide, while avoiding minimizing and mitigating those environmental impacts.

Now, one could imagine, putting an interstate highway 280 miles long, we're going to have some impacts. What we need to do and what we are doing in the tier one is addressing those impacts, how best we can, A, avoid them -- that's what we try to do first -- two, minimize them, and three, mitigate them should they become a constraint.

Our timeline. We started this project in 2016. As I mentioned, for the first year, we went and developed our

purpose and need with our agencies and the public. We spent the next year developing those corridor alternatives, 2017. 2018 we were working and involved in our environmental impact statement, our draft exhaustive environmental impact statement, and pleased to announce, as I said, that we published that this past Friday.

We are in the midst of -- by National Environmental Policy Act, we have to have 45 days of public hearing. I believe at this stage, we have 56 days. We started April 5th. We're going to May 31st for public hearings, just as the public hearings that this board had today.

Here's our public hearings. We'll have six public hearings throughout the state. We start off April 29th in Buckeye. We have three in a row. I'm going to have to bulk up again to debate these three public meeting -- public hearings. We go to Wickenburg and then Casa Grande. Then we go to the southern part of the state on the 7th of May, to Nogales, then to Tucson. Board Member Hammond in your area, we'll be in Tucson, and then we'll be over on the other side of the hill in the Marana area, at the Marana High School there off of Sandario Road. I'm personally looking forward to these and getting a lot of good feedback from our friends at all these locations.

We have a lot of comment. This is all online.

The public hearing, we will have court reporters at all six

locations in Tucson and Marana. We'll open up two rooms for the public to make their three minute comments. Of course, online,

we have a software program online called Smart Comment, which is able to capture all the public's comments online. Of course, there's the standard email and phone, and we're accepting comments, again, anywhere we could -- can.

We're anticipating between 15 and 20,000 comments will come in on this document. And then we'll -- I think I have it here. Well, let me go back to the schedule. So we'll close the public hearing period May 31st. Then we'll have to address the -- all the public comments. We anticipate that taking approximately a year.

So this is a recommended corridor alternative.

It can be changed at any time. We'll spend that year addressing those comments, coming up with a final environmental impact statement. That should be about a year from now.

At that stage, we'll have a preferred alternative. So it will go from a recommended to a preferred alternative. Again, in concert with our nine federal partners and the Game and Fish and the public and our tribal partners.

We'll go out for a public comment period again.

It's a 30-day public comment period, and then we'll have a record of decision approximately 30 days after that, and that will be a selective alternative. As I mentioned earlier, either a selected build alternative or a no build alternative. And, of course, if I get invited back here, I'll present that information to this board as well.

1 I believe that's it. That's the website. 2 Interesting, on this website, if you get a chance to go on it, 3 there's interactive maps. You can drill down through Google 4 There's -- you know, I'm -- my IT director's my 5 10-year-old granddaughter. So I've gotten online, and it's very 6 easy, even for somebody like me to use, and you can drill down 7 into the Google Maps and the alignment, and you can get right 8 down where the public will to rooftops. So I'll encourage all 9 of you to get on there and take a look at it. Your constituency probably will be asking questions of you all. I'm available, of 10 11 course, to answer any questions, also. 12 That's the end of my presentation. I'm open to 13 question, Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. And I'll just start 15 with a comment. I -- you know, I think this is a really a 16 critical part of our economic future here in this state as a key 17 commerce corridor, and so anything we can do to move this 18 process along, I would encourage. 19 Any comments or questions from the Board? 20 MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yes. 22 MR. KNIGHT: Yes. I just wondered if -- and I 23 think this is a great alternative corridor, and again, echo 24 Chairman Sellers. But did you also notice that it can connect 25 to ports, to Arizona ports? We've got the San Luis commercial

1 port of entry, which is a brand-new, state-of-the-art port. 2 That is connected through 195 to Interstate 8. Interstate 8 to 3 Gila Bend connects to 85, which is also divided, and it looks 4 like this is going across 85. So we would -- with this 5 corridor, we would have the possibility of connecting not only 6 Nogales to a CANAMEX west corridor, but we could connect San 7 Luis port of entry, commercial port of entry, to -- through already existing divided highway, a couple of them, three of 8 9 them, directly to Interstate 8 just below Buckeye, wherever it 10 -- it looks like that's where it crosses. 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. 12 MR. KNIGHT: So we would have an east and west corridor. 13 14 MR. VAN ECHO: Board Member Knight, Chairman and 15 board members, you're exactly right. It is -- this -- this new 16 core is really key to movement of goods and services and freight 17 and people in the future. It's good for the economic 18 development. As you go back, you saw one of our purpose and 19 needs was meeting existing and future economic developments. 20 As I said earlier, so we met with all our

As I said earlier, so we met with all our participating partners, the MPOs, the council of governments, the local governments, and where are you planning your growth.

Where are you planning your inland ports? Where is growth being planned? And this facility is to meet the needs of all that.

21

22

23

24

25

And you're exactly right, Board Member Knight, is

as it crosses 85, we have that 85 facility, and we have, you know -- as long as we continue to keep improving our existing connections, there will be a system interchange there at 85 that we can bolster transportation and traffic and goods and services to all of our ports throughout -- from that -- that side of the state. MR. KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

Any other comments, questions? Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. Moving to Item

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. VAN ECHO: Thank you, board members.

Number 10. Do we have suggestions from board members for future items?

And I guess I'll just throw out one thing, and I'm not sure how soon we would be able to really report anything on this, but you know, Mayor Price talked about the work we're doing with the Indian communities now on I-10 between -- essentially between Chandler and Tucson. And I think that that study also probably includes 347, because there are right-of-way issues along that corridor as well. And I would be anxious to know where we are with that study and when we think we might have some answers.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, I know that we're in the process of soliciting a professional services consultant to help us start with that study. So it will come back. We will

1	bring that back once we have further developed into that. It
2	might not be for a few months, but I
3	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yeah.
4	MR. ROEHRICH: absolutely think updating as we
5	move along with that, since we're in these early stages, is
6	approporiate. So I would look at that as something later this
7	year.
8	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yeah. And I recognize that
9	we're not ready yet, but I appreciate that. Thank you.
10	Anything else? Okay. Is there a motion
11	MR. ROEHRICH: But I'll remind you then the next
12	board meeting is May 17th. It is in Phoenix at the ADOT
13	auditorium, and that will be the as well the last public
14	hearing on the five-year program before we start the board
15	deliberations.
16	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Does anyone want to
17	continue the meeting, or do I hear a motion to adjourn?
18	MR. ELTERS: So moved.
19	MR. THOMPSON: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All in favor?
21	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN SELLERS: This meeting is adjourned.
23	
24	
25	

<u>Adjournment</u>

A motion to adjourn the April 12, 2019 State Transportation Board meeting was made by Board Member Elters and seconded by Board Member Thompson. In a voice vote, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 12:09 a.m. MST.

Jack Sellers, Chairman

State Transportation Board

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., Executive Officer Arizona Department of Transportation