# STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

9:00 a.m., Friday, December 20, 2019
Maricopa Association of Governments
Second Floor-Saguaro Room
302 N. 1st Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85003

#### **Call to Order**

Chairman Sellers called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

#### Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Board Member Elters.

### **Roll Call by Board Secretary**

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. **In attendance:** Chairman Sellers, Vice Chairman Hammond, Board Member Thompson, Board Member Elters and Board Member Knight were present. Board Member Stratton participated by teleconference. There were approximately 50 members of the public in the audience.

#### **Opening Remarks**

Chairman Sellers stated board members had the opportunity to take a tour of the South Mountain Freeway Thursday afternoon. He added this is the largest infrastructure project in Arizona, which opened ahead of schedule and below budget. He noted how impressed he was with the knowledge and information that Project Manager Rob Samour provided while he gave the tour and added this project is a point of pride for him while he served on this board. Chairman Sellers and the other board members thanked David Martin, the Arizona Chapter Associated General Contractors of America, and the Arizona Council of Engineering Companies of Arizona for hosting a reception for them on Thursday evening to honor Chairman Sellers. Board Member Thompson also spoke about his concerns of dirt roads on the school bus routes and stated he is filled with hope after his meeting with the Governor's staff.

#### Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

ADOT Executive Officer, Floyd Roehrich, Jr. reminded all attendees to please fill out the optional survey cards to assist our Civil Rights Department.

#### Call to the Audience for the Board Meeting

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. There was one member of the public that addressed the board.

- 1. Christian Price, Mayor, City of Maricopa
- 2. Paul Ward, Executive Director, YMPO
- 3. Marcie Ellis, Chairwoman, Traffic Matters
- 4. Ron Harris, Co-Chair, ADOBE Roads Committee

# ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Maricopa Association of Governments 302 North 1st Avenue Second Floor, Saguaro Room Phoenix, Arizona 85003

December 20, 2019 9:00 a.m.

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE	
2	SPEAKER:	PAGE:
3	Christian Price, Mayor of Maricopa	4
4	Paul Ward, Yuma MPO, Executive Director	6
5	Marcy Ellis	7
6	Ron Harris, Co-Chair ADOBE Roads Committee	9
7		
8	AGENDA ITEMS	
9	Item 1 - Director's Report, Floyd Roehrich, Junior, Executive Officer	11
11	Item 2 - Consent Agenda	12
12	Item 3 - Financial Report, Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer	12
13 14	Item 4 - Multimodal Planning Division Report, Greg Byres, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division	14
15	Item 5 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Greg Byres	21
16 17	Item 6 - State Engineer's Report, Dallas Hammit, State Engineer	27
18	Item 7 - Construction Contracts, Dallas Hammit	33
19	Item 8 - Transportation Board Organization, Floyd Roehrich, Junior	42
20	Item 9 - Recognition of Chairman Sellers, Floyd Roehrich, Junior	46
21	Item 10 - Suggestions	57
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 (Beginning of recorded excerpt.)

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. We'll move to call to the audience, and the first speaker card is someone that I don't recognize. Christian Price.

MAYOR PRICE: Well, then let me give you my bio.

No. Just kidding. Thank you very much.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, you might want to remind everybody they only have three minutes.

MAYOR PRICE: I was asking for an extension, Floyd. I appreciate it again.

MR. ROEHRICH: That's what I wanted to know.

MAYOR PRICE: Very shortly. I'm very excited. I got to participate in the opening with the Board Member Sellers and others at the 202 opening with Governor Ducey. What a wonderful event that was and, you know, just as the City of Maricopa, we are very excited for the opening of this South Mountain loop. Obviously Congressman Pastor Freeway. You know, while it won't help us directly because we don't attach to it, we do indirectly attach to it. And one of the things that many of you know if you've come down the 347, you hit Riggs Road, and that is a very large backup point and for a lot of different reasons. So we are hoping that it alleviates a lot of that cross traffic as people try and avoid going through the tunnel in downtown Phoenix by coming over on Riggs and then trying to get up 51. Just by staying on the I-10 for another five miles

gets you over the Loop 202, and then you can go 65 miles an hour the entire way. So again, very excited about that.

And then just very briefly, the 347 study that we were going with MAG and with Gila River should be completed this month, and hopefully we'll have an update and that in your hands here in January or February. So again, just giving you an update on that.

Also have been exploring other options for funding for that. We have come across a few areas in the federal government through the USDA, in rural things through tribal, et cetera, things that we think that working together with Gila River, we'll be able to help create additional funding sources for the potential fixes that will be slated.

And again, I understand the process. I know the difficulties, but also pushing for at the legislative level through the Arizona cities of -- League of Cities and Towns to get extra funding. I met with Speaker Bowers and President Fann the other day, and continue to push for extra funding for ADOT and others to make sure that we have funding for these things here in the future.

So again, doing what we can to help transportation in the state. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. And I should mention that Mayor Price and Board Member Elters and I spend a lot of time in this building with MAG. So very familiar for us.

Next up we have Paul Ward, Executive Director of the Yuma YMPO.

MR. WARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, members of the Arizona State Transportation Board. Just a very brief report.

Last Thursday we changed the name on a state route. I will point out that this wasn't necessarily my idea. This had started a long time before us. But State Route 195 is now being renamed the Robert A. Vaughan Expressway. I'm not entirely certain that he was happy with a road being named RAVE, after the initials, but regardless of that, it is now known as the Robert A. Vaughan Expressway.

Bob Vaughan was the first executive director of YMPO. He served from 1983 until about 1998, and unfortunately had to retire due to illness. That's the only reason he did retire.

From that point of view, though, I'm -- I'd like to thank the state engineer, Dallas Hammit, for coming down to Yuma region, taking part in the dedication ceremony. We had his widow was available. I'm glad to say she came along, and she actually did the unveiling, together with her daughter, and with Bob Vaughan's granddaughters as well. So it was a very nice session, and -- but from that point of view, if you're looking for 195 right now, you'll still find it on the map, but you really need to look for the RAVE in the future.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

3 Next we have Marcy Ellis.

MS. ELLIS: Thank you very much. And I wanted to start first by thanking everyone here for their work in Oak Creek Canyon. The parking memo, parking area closures, filling potholes, repaving, rock fall mitigation have all been done in that area. Special thanks to Audra Merrick and her work, and for Jesse Thompson, who has really helped us and represented our area.

However, our work is not done. We look forward to counting on your interest and counsel as we move forward. Safety is a main canyon issue. As you know, 89A through the canyon is one lane in and out. We're a chimney. We don't want to happen here what happened in California. Emergency response is hindered when traffic is backed up, and we've recently had a child fall 20 feet at Slide Rock, and response was terribly delayed. Uncontrolled parking and families with ice chests going down is difficult.

So we'll continue to hold regular meetings on our committee. Our stakeholders have many demands on their time and money. Some -- and some improvements are underway, and it's easy to assume everything is done. That happened in 2004 when they had a transit plan in motion in Sedona. A new city council came in. Everything was dropped.

So our regular meetings are critical. They keep our leaders talking to each other, and they always turn up little bits of information that are interesting. We'll continue positive advocacy at all levels, more law enforcement and seeking ways to get it, real-time signage that we still need at both ends of the canyon. Reservation systems at all these areas will make it easier to get in and out of the canyon when we have transit. Transit all the way up to the switchbacks. The elimination of even more parking, as we move forward, more public restrooms, and if the day comes when a Sedona bypass becomes necessary and has political support, we'll help support those issues. And, of course, as individuals, advocacy for more money for ADOT.

The Census Bureau predicts 2 million more people moving to Phoenix over the next 20 years, and the scarcity of and lure of water in the north areas will not abate or diminish. We all know it's going to take a regional effort to keep all these longer-term improvements going. We'll stay in front of you. We don't want you to forget us. The good news is that people are coming together with the understanding that decisions to improve the situation can no longer be put off for another generation.

The time is now, and we don't want -- this is important not only to Oak Creek Canyon and Sedona, but to remind you that the entire state has a very strong tourist interest,

and this is the main connection between Phoenix and the Grand Canyon. It's not just a little route in the canyon that we should forget about. It's important to the whole state.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

MS. ELLIS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Next up we have Ron Harris.

MR. HARRIS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. My name is Ron Harris, and I'm a member of the -- a citizens' group in Gold Canyon called ADOBE, and we want you to approve the Gold Canyon bypass and include it on your next five-year plan. If you're not familiar with it, the Gold Canyon bypass would redirect US-60 around Gold Canyon by extending the Superstition Freeway eastward and to the west and the south of the existing road -- roadway.

A while ago a few of us came to the ADOT boardroom and spoke to you about it, and since then we've been drumming up support from the citizens of Gold Canyon. We've written newspaper articles. We've spoken to political leaders. We also gathered a petition. We prepared the petition, and we gathered over 2,800 signatures, and we presented the petition with the signatures to Director Halikowski last month. And -- but the petition's directed to you as the board and to all Arizona government officials.

We need a bypass. Right now, Gold Canyon is a choke point. It's a bottleneck. All the traffic in eastern

Arizona that's headed towards Phoenix metro area and vice versa is forced to flow through the Gold Canyon area, through our community. And to make matters worse, the traffic is increasing. In the past 25 years, Gold Canyon has gone from zero stop signs -- stoplights to six. And all this traffic is increasing our safety concerns. It's just not safe anymore in Gold Canyon.

And we're not just saying that. We've got some statistics to point it out. The Arizona Department of Public Safety provided us with information on the number of vehicle crashes that have happened on US-60 between Mountain View Road, that's where the bypass would start, and the Renaissance Festival, where the bypass would end. That stretch of US-60 is 7.8 miles long. During the 44 months that ended in August of 2019, there were 331 crashes, 113 injuries, and 3 fatalities on that stretch of roadway. So we believe that a bypass would eliminate the congestion through Gold Canyon. It would reduce the crashes, the injuries and the fatalities.

And then there's the matter of road deterioration. All the heavy trucks are beating up US-60. They need to be on a bypass that's specially built for heavy vehicles.

Now, this bypass project has been languishing around for many years. The engineering and the environmental studies were completed in 2012, but nothing has been done since

```
1
     then. It's been going nowhere. So we at ADOBE decided to take
 2
     some action to get the ball rolling. The petition is one of the
 3
     steps. Last month we spoke to Director Halikowski, and starting
 4
     now, a member of ADOBE is going to speak at every meeting you
 5
     have throughout the entire state. So you'll see one of us here
 6
     at every meeting you have.
 7
                    Members of the board, the citizens of Gold
 8
     Canyon --
 9
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Harris, your three minutes are
10
     up. Could you please --
11
                    MR. HARRIS: Okay. And everyone asked -- that
12
     drives through Gold Canyon asks you to pass the -- to build the
13
     bypass. Thank you very much.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
15
                    That's all the speaker cards I have. Madam
16
     Secretary, do we have any more?
17
                    MS. PRIANO: No.
18
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We'll move on to the
19
     director's report. Mr. Roehrich.
20
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good
21
     morning to you and the board members.
22
                    The director, originally scheduled to be here,
23
     received a message to meet with the governor this morning. So
24
     he has a meeting with the governor on another issue, and in
25
     consideration of that, we'll forego with director's report in
```

```
1
     the interest of time and getting the hell out of here this
 2
     Friday. So thank you.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We'll now move on to
 4
     Item Number 2, the consent agenda. Does any member want an item
 5
     removed from consent?
 6
                    Okay. Do I have a motion to approve the consent
 7
     agenda as presented?
 8
                    MR. THOMPSON: I would so move.
 9
                    MR. ELTERS: Second.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member
11
     Thompson, second by Board Member Elters. Any discussion?
12
                    All in favor say aye.
13
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
15
     carries.
16
                    We will now move on to the financial report.
17
     Agenda Item Number 3, Kristine Ward. Nice to see you, Kristine.
18
                    MS. WARD: It's nice to see you as well. Good
19
     morning. Happy Friday.
20
                    MR. HAMMOND: Kristine, I have to apologize for
21
     calling you Michelle earlier.
22
                    MS. WARD: Well, easy come, easy go. I go -- I
23
     can go by Michelle. It's a nice name.
24
                    MR. HAMMOND: Probably not the worst things I
25
     could call you, right?
```

1 MS. WARD: Yeah. Let's just keep it to Michelle.

Well, good morning. So I have a fairly brief report, and I'll have a little present for you at the end of this that we'll be emailing you.

So in terms of Highway User Revenue Funds, we are within forecast. We've finally come back into forecast after dealing with a distribution adjustment we had to make. Year to date, we're just a little under forecast, .7 percent, and we have collected 630 million in revenues.

In terms of the Regional Area Road Fund, right on forecast. And year to date, we've collected about 100 -- almost \$160 million, and that represents about 6.2 percent growth year to date.

I would suggest, you know, in terms of restaurant and bar, if you're planning on accumulating your holiday weight, please go out and make sure that you get that weight via a restaurant and bar. But drive safely, please.

I am happy to report that Congress passed a

1.4 trillion spending bill, and it has been sent to the

President, and he is expected to sign today before the

continuation expires, and that will fully fund transportation

for the balance of the federal fiscal year.

We -- in terms of our bonding program, I believe my co-worker last month gave you the update with regards to we are in the midst of doing a refunding of some HURF bonds, and

```
that is estimated to result in about $40 million worth of

savings. That refunding is on schedule, and we are about to --

I think it's either the end of today or the beginning of Monday

publish the preliminary official statement.
```

We will be sending that wonderful 90-plus page document off to you for your reading pleasure, and that is what I give you as a happy holidays.

If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any questions? Thank you.

MS. WARD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All right. We'll now move on to Agenda Item No. 4, the Multimodal Planning Division report.

Mr. Byres. This is for information and discussion only. I failed to say that in the last.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board members. I'm just going to kind of go through this. This is the end of the year, so I wanted to kind of go through exactly what we've got going as we start off the next year.

So we're going to go through the evaluation and prioritization of projects through our P2P process. Preparation of the tentative 2021-2025 five-year program, the major projects that we have going, the tier ones and the DCRs, as well as there was some questions at the last meeting on grant funding, and so we'll kind of go through what our history is on that and where

we're at.

So to start with, with our P2P process, projects came in from all different areas. Mostly from COGs, MPOs, our different districts, as well as all the technical groups and all the studies that we have done, planning studies that recommend projects. So all of those were all combined coming through.

We take all of those, again, and we take and evaluate them all using technical, policy, safety and district scoring. All of those are weighted. Again, those come through in our expansion projects, our modernization projects and our preservation projects. Each of those has a little different weighting as they go through, because they're different -- they're different functions in each of the different classifications.

So this year we had a total of 1,371 projects that we ran through our P2P process. So it was a somewhat daunting task, but staff did an excellent job going through everything. So we've taken -- we've got just a few more items that we need to complete to get -- have all of our lists completed. The last two that we're waiting for are in our expansion projects that require a little bit more technical evaluation. So once we get that done, we'll have all of those completed.

Again, for all of those, we will have a final list put together, and it is our plan to try and get you that

list beginning of next month so that you'll have it prior to the work session that we're going to have in January -- or the study session.

As far as planning level scoping goes, since we do have the list at least put together far enough that we can actually start through with the planning level scoping, we've already commenced with doing that. Every project that will go into the final program will have planning level scoping that will have a budget that is true down to a -- basically a unit cost level, as well as scoping for all items for each project. That way we're budgeted in the program correctly instead of just a more or less, so...

So the next item we had is the preparation of the five-year program. Where we're at in that right now, we're finalizing all the budget for each year of the program, taking and strategizing exactly what's going to go where. We're in the process of doing that. It's not complete yet, but we're working towards that so that we've got something for the tentative program. We're adjusting projects to accommodate each of the project schedules as necessary. So depending on what the projects are and what year they're going into, making sure that we've got everything set up the way it needs to be so it's seamless and going through our entire program.

We're also taking and adding the prioritized projects. So the P2P, once we completely finalize that list, we

will start looking at putting those in. Most of those will go into the third year of the program as we go forward.

We're also setting up our subprograms. One of the big things that we're trying to do is line item as many projects as we possibly can out of those subprograms so that it's in the program itself. You can see which projects we're talking about and minimize those subprograms as much as possible.

The next thing I was going to go through was all of our tier ones that we have going right now. The first one we is the I-11 corridor. Again, this is a corridor that runs from Wickenburg to Nogales that we're working on. At this point in time, this kind of gives you the timeline of where we're at. We have completed the draft EIS, and we are working on the final EIS. Right now, we've taken all of the comments that came through and are analyzing those for any potential changes that may occur as we get ready to publish the final EIS.

So there was several alternatives that we put forth. You can see here we've got basically three of them. We have a purple, a green and an orange. That went all the way from Nogales up to Wickenburg. Some of them are following existing routes. Some of them are greenfield routes, as we go all the way up through. There was a recommended corridor alternative that we put forth in the -- our draft EIS that goes all the way up through. Again, those were the ones that -- this

was what went forward in our draft EIS to all of our public hearings, where we received all the comments, and with those comments, we're making whatever adjustments we see fit and answering all of the comments that came through.

So again, there was several comments. We had over 12,000 comments that are needing to be addressed. It takes a little bit of time. We have had very good comments that came through, some very good suggestions. So we're taking a look at everything that we can as we come through that.

Next one we have is the Sonoran Corridor study. The Sonoran Corridor is down in Tucson. It's a route between the I-19 and I-10. It runs south of the Tucson International Airport. Right now we're in the process of putting together the draft tier one for that. We've taken and isolated it down to basically three alternatives that we're looking at and trying to come up with a recommended for a single alternative. We're looking at having that draft tier one put together and out for public hearings in the spring of 2020, with the final in the summer of 2020.

The next one we have is the North-South Corridor. This North-South Corridor runs from I-10 up to US-60. This occurs in the East Valley. Basically, taking off from Eloy and up to Apache Junction. So with that, the draft EIS has already come out. We're trying to establish a 1,500 foot wide corridor that routes up through the East Valley. We basically have three

different alternatives, with one of the alternatives being the multiple connection points, as we put it forth in the draft EIS.

We took that and actually broke it up into four different segments. The reason being is because those are how the alternatives that we came up with inter-tie with the different alternatives. So we have some that route out away from different environmental concerns that we had coming through the different alternatives. So -- but the recommended that we came through with is basically what you see right here with the purple alignment.

So the next steps on it, again, we've received roughly about 400 comments through all of our public hearings.

We're taking and analyzing all of those, adjusting as necessary to come up with the final EIS, which we're looking at trying to have out next year.

The next one we have is the I-10/210 project.

This is down in Tucson. What this is is basically a routing from the I-19 down to Kolb Road. This was a widening more than anything else, but also with the connection of 210 coming into I-10. So we've already taken and put together the draft on this. We went out to public hearings down in Tucson. So right now we're actually working on the final. As we go forward, this is -- we're looking at trying to have out -- this will probably be the fall of -- or summer of 2020 is what we're shooting for in trying to get this completed.

This kind of gives you an idea of what we're looking for. There's one of the big thing that came out of this was the implementation plan of how it's all going together. So in our draft, we had this. We're also looking at tweaking it as we go forward for a final.

Last thing I had was the grant funding. This kind of gives you an idea -- what we did is we took and combined all the data that we have from the last five years for the applications that we've put forth for grants. So we had a total of 16 grant applications that we put out. Of those, we actually were awarded six. So we had a success rate of about 38 percent. The total grant funding that was awarded is 190 million.

Actually, almost 191 million. The total cost for putting together those grant applications, all 16 of them, was about 806,000. So it's -- this is a good return on investment trying to get these federal funds.

So the big ones that we have that we're looking for is the INFRA grant is the latest that we try and go after. So any of our very large projects, that's what we're looking for in additional funding. We never try and completely fund a project. We try and utilize projects that are already in our program. We don't want to risk anything in our program. So any funding that comes through to projects that are already in the program, we can minimize any risk that we have if we don't get it. We're not putting ourselves in a loss if we don't get a

1 grant. 2 The other funds that we have is the BUILD grant 3 is the other one, which used to be the TIGER grant. Then we 4 also have other funds. We are constantly searching through the 5 CFRs for notice of funding opportunities from federal highway as 6 well as others. We were also looking at FTA funds, also looking 7 at any kind of funding opportunities that we possibly can as we 8 go through the year. We have one individual that we have as our 9 grant administrator, and that is his sole job, is looking for 10 funding opportunities and then taking and actually putting forth 11 the applications for those. 12 So with that, if you have any questions, I would 13 be... 14 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: First of all, I want to 15 express my appreciation for the effort that you're making to 16 involve the Board earlier in the planning process in all this. 17 MR. BYRES: Uh-huh. 18 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Hopefully that's going to be a 19 productive thing for us. 20 Any board members have any questions or comments? 21 All right. Thank you. 22 MR. BYRES: Thank you very much. 23 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We'll move to the Agenda Item

No. 5, PPAC items. This is for discussion and possible action.

MR. BYRES: So Mr. Chairman, board members, the

24

25

```
1
     PPAC brings before you -- we've got a total of 18 projects.
 2
     have eight projects for modification, ten new projects.
 3
     we'll start off with the modifications. These are Items 5A
 4
     through 5H, and we bring those forward with a recommendation for
 5
     approval.
 6
                    MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Knight.
 8
                    MR. KNIGHT: I've got a question on 5A.
                                                             5A, this
 9
     project was -- the construction project was increased. We only
10
     had one bidder from what I read. Are we just increasing the
11
     project amount to match the bid or what are we doing?
12
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Knight,
13
     no. Actually, what we did was we got with the single bidder
14
     that we had to find out what issues we had. Well, it turns out
15
     that there were some specification issues that we had.
                                                             We have
16
     revised those specifications so that's going back out.
                                                             We also
17
     have figured in doing those revisions, hopefully we've -- we'll
18
     bring in more bidders as well as being able to make it much more
19
     competitive. But we also got a better handle on costs for this
20
     as well.
21
                    MR. KNIGHT: Great. Thank you.
22
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to approve
24
     PPAC project modifications Items A5 through AH?
25
                    MR. HAMMOND: So moved.
```

```
1
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member
 3
     Hammond, second by Board Member Thompson. Any discussion?
 4
                    All in favor say aye.
 5
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? The motion
 7
     carries.
 8
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, the next
 9
     items we have are Items 5I through 5R. These are ten new
10
     projects, and with this, we bring these forward with a
11
     recommendation for approval.
12
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Is there a motion to
13
     approve PPAC new project Items 5I through 5R?
14
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman, I move for those
15
     items. I do have a question on 5J.
16
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a board -- a
17
     motion by Board Member Elters.
18
                    MR. KNIGHT: I do have a question on 5Q.
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, clearly you have a
20
     motion with -- a motion made. Can we get a second, and then you
21
     can ask for that question?
22
                    MR. HAMMOND: Second.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. I have a second by
24
     Board Member Hammond. Okay. Now we have discussion.
25
                    Board Member Elters.
```

1 MR. ELTERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 5J is related to the Deck Park Tunnel, and the 3 statement here reads that work can be performed by City of 4 Phoenix. 5 MR. BYRES: Yes. 6 MR. ELTERS: Is that actually the City of Phoenix 7 workforce doing the work, or are they administering the contract? Can you elaborate a little bit more on that? 8 9 MR. BYRES: So there is an IGA that's signed 10 through the City of Phoenix for this work, and work is going to 11 be performed -- since this is going through the middle of the 12 park, what -- basically we're having to open up the parkway to 13 get down to those Deck Park joints, so they will be working 14 with -- I'm not sure that the work is going to be done by the 15 City of Phoenix, but it will be -- they will be involved in the 16 administration of it, of the contract. Steve can help out with 17 this. 18 MR. BOSCHEN: Nesbitt is doing the work. 19 MR. BYRES: Nesbitt? 20 MR. BOSCHEN: Yes. 21 MR. BYRES: So... 22 MR. ROEHRICH: Greg, could you identify who made 23 that comment so we have... 24 MR. BYRES: This is Steve Boschen. 25 MR. BOSCHEN: Steve Boschen. And Chairman and

1 Board Member Elters, it is Nesbitt that's doing the work for the 2 City of Phoenix through an IGA. We are paying for the work 3 through the IGA, but Nesbitt Contracting is already doing work 4 at the park. They've done previous repairs on the joints. 5 MR. ELTERS: Thank you. 6 Mr. Chairman, I don't have any other questions. 7 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Knight. 8 MR. KNIGHT: Yeah. On 5Q, this particular 9 project is also part of or is within the phase four of hopefully 10 the future widening of US-95 through YPG. So my question is are 11 we -- is that being taken into consideration so that whatever we 12 do at -- with this particular project, it won't have -- it will 13 fit with the widening when that does happen? 14 MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Knight, 15 yes. What this is is this is left-hand turn lanes and 16 southbound. So yes, those will have to be coordinated together 17 in order to make this all function exactly how we need it to 18 work so that way we're not -- one, we're not throwing away work, 19 and two, that they're compatible. 20 MR. KNIGHT: Thank you. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Thompson. 23 MR. THOMPSON: On your 5K, statewide national 24 park pavement preservation, can you elaborate on maybe some of 25 those locations you're talking about? One particular one I'm

```
1
     talking -- thinking about is that Homolovi Park on 87 north of
 2
     Winslow. That request has come up for us before, and I'm
 3
     wondering what the status of that is.
 4
                    MR. BYRES: So Mr. Chairman, Board Member
 5
     Thompson, with these ones, the state parks have come through
 6
     with basically an overall list of what projects they're having.
 7
     So what they've -- in the past they've come through with
 8
     individual projects --
 9
                    MR. THOMPSON: Uh-huh.
10
                    MR. BYRES: -- which as they get ready, they're
11
     -- so it's been very cumbersome. So what we've specifically
12
     worked with them on is bringing all of their projects forward.
13
                    MR. THOMPSON: Right.
14
                    MR. BYRES: So I don't have any details of any
15
     one of the parks that they're doing the work on at this point in
16
            We can certainly -- as we get close to that, we can
17
     certainly take and get you that information as it comes forth.
18
                    MR. THOMPSON: We'll get together. Thank you.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.
20
                    We have a motion and a second. All in favor say
21
     aye.
22
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
24
     carries. Thank you.
25
                    All right. Moving on to Agenda Item No. 6.
```

```
1
     State engineer's report. Mr. Hammit.
 2
                    MR. HAMMIT: Good morning and thank you,
 3
     Mr. Chair.
 4
                    Currently, ADOT, we have 82 projects under
 5
     construction totaling $1.76 billion. In November we finalized
 6
     nine projects totaling 8.3 million, and year to date, we have
 7
     finalized 50 projects.
 8
                    And Mr. Chairman, if you could do me a favor. If
 9
     you see Mr. Samour, could you remind him that this project has a
10
     30 year maintenance phase, and at the end of that phase, I will
11
     be happy to turn over my rein. So if you could please let
12
     Mr. --
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.) Great response.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. I will pass that
15
     message along to him.
16
                    MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.)
17
                    MR. HAMMIT: At the meeting in Wickenburg, we
18
     talked a little bit about escalating costs, and so I have a
19
     couple of slides with it. And when Lynn saw my presentation, he
20
     says it -- Dallas, you have the wrong slide. But I want to take
21
     us back in history a little bit. At the June board meeting in
22
     2018, and that's the year-end, that's our last board meeting, at
23
     that time you can see with our estimate, our state estimate and
24
     our bids, we were within 2 and a half percent of estimating
```

where that -- projects will come in. And basically, out of the

25

almost \$400 million, we were within \$10 million. It changed the next year.

This past June, we were 16 percent over. So -and we saw that going through the year, and we were looking at
why. As we looked at -- we went back in history for the last
three years or four years and looked at what are prices going.
So we used 2016 as our baseline. So as that being one, how have
prices increased? And as you can see by the chart, asphaltic
binder is up 87 percent. We've seen electrical conduit.
Reinforcing seal's another big one that -- in this one and other
agenda items, we're going to have bridge projects. That's up 58
percent.

As a total index, we are up 47 percent. So as Kristine is able to find additional funds, she is not finding funds that will keep up with that 47 percent increase. So even though we may get a few more dollars, we're not going to have as many projects if these escalations continue.

We've done some work on why is this happening.

We have a lot of work out there, and the economy's doing well,

so we have a lot of competition, not only with highway work. We

have competition with private development, and those contractors

have different choices to do, where in the recession we were and

sometimes the only game in town. Now there's lots of

competition.

The labor force, every state -- at the national

conferences that I attend, the labor force is one of the key conversations, both for state DOTs, but also the contracting industry. They cannot find people who want to work in this industry -- or not -- they cannot find enough people who want to work in our industry. So we're dealing with that, and they're demanding more and more on wages to be competitive.

We are tracking this. I get a report on a quarterly basis to see where we're -- how we're doing. We make adjustments to our program. We went up over 25 percent in cost last year. For year to date, last year, we were 16 percent. So we did make adjustments. So we weren't 25 percent under the engineer's estimate. I don't know that that makes me feel really well, because still our prices are up 25 percent. That means 25 percent of the program that we had hoped to do, we can't do anymore because we're spending money on those projects. And I'm happy to bring this back to the Board quarterly or twice a year as you see fit. And if there's any questions, I'd be happy to answer those.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Hammond.

MR. HAMMOND: Dallas, this probably isn't really a question for you, and I think there are some substantive discussions going on now at the Legislature on maybe finding increased revenues for infrastructure, and I think it would be good for the Board to be updated regularly on how those are going. It just kind of breaks my heart to hear all of these

```
1
     good projects, some of which were presented today, and the
 2
     reality is you need money to build them, and our revenues are
 3
     going down every year.
 4
                    So it's -- yeah. I know what you're saying, and
 5
     there's no easy solution at this point in time. There's no
 6
     solution particularly in sight at this point in time, unless you
 7
     want to refute that comment.
 8
                    MR. HAMMIT: I've asked Kristine if she could
 9
     print money and she refuses to do so.
10
                    MR. HAMMOND: Well, we know it -- we all know
11
     it's Kristine's fault.
12
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair -- Mr. Chair,
13
     Mr. Hammond, I would like to say to Kristine (inaudible) she is
14
     doing a phenomenal job with the money she has. But regarding
15
     the legislation coming out, Mr. Hammond makes a very good point.
16
     We are finally getting a lot of retention, if you will, by our
17
     leader, like the leaders in the Legislature in talking to
18
     transportation, and in fact, there's a lot of discussion that
19
     some one-time projects as well this year being discussed,
20
     whether they're long-term solutions to revenue or just one-time
21
     projects with some excess general fund money that they're
22
     looking at.
23
                    So transportation's getting a lot of discussion.
24
     So we'll see where that debate goes. When the Legislature kicks
```

off in January, we can expect routine updates from the

25

legislative team. We'll make sure that we share those with the Board, as we've done in the past, and then make sure that we're keeping topics up -- on topics up to date in front of the Board, but remember, you know, if there's an issue that you deal with regarding transportation, don't hesitate. Talk to your elected leaders. Talk to the people down in Washington -- or Phoenix that can help bring these transportation issues to the forefront.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Well, and I think it's been helping our discussion about the need for funds when they realize that we really are not even able to keep up with maintenance right now, and that's become obvious even in this region that that's an issue.

Board Member Thompson.

MR. THOMPSON: Floyd, earlier I mentioned our meeting with the governor's staff. This was on the one-time funding that we're looking at. It's a road coming out on 264 on the Hopi reservation, going north to -- into Navajo community that is a 13.7 mile stretch of dirt road, and I showed that video to the Board here sometime back, and that's what we're looking at. I don't know where we can fit in in trying to go push for that, those type of projects.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Thompson, those type of projects that the governor's office is working on, they'll ask us questions, and technically, we'll provide them

1 | information, you know, like duration, costs, things like that.

2 But for the most part, that is in their hands to determine if

3 | it's going to get into the governor's budget and if they'll work

4 | with the Legislature to make sure it stays in the legislative

5 budget.

So all those one-time project requests, I know the governor's office has been receiving requests. Various legislators have been receiving requests. They're going to debate through that, and whatever they finally end up with when it's appropriated, funds are given, and we will address it at that time. But the agency's moved on all those. Those are requests that are being handled by our elected leaders, and

MR. THOMPSON: Thank you.

unless requested, we provide input.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Elters.

MR. ELTERS: Just an observation. If you look at the chart that Dallas put up and you look at 2016 as being the baseline, the rate of change is increasing year after year. So 4 percent in '17, 7 percent in -- let me back up. Looking at the total index, 8 percent over baseline in '17 -- more or less 16 percent in '18, and 23 percent in '19. If that continues, 2020 will be even worse. So all the comments that have been made here and the need to find additional revenues is only being exacerbated according to that chart.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you. And on that

1 happy note.

2 MR. HAMMIT: That's all for my state engineer's 3 report, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We'll move on to Agenda Item No. 7, construction contracts.

MR. HAMMIT: And Mr. Chairman, thank you and Board for approving the three projects in the consent agenda.

We do have a number of projects, six of them, to talk about in the justifications, and as you can see right now on the table -- I kind of like seeing the red. That means we're under our program, but the majority of that you saw last month with the project in Tucson, the Ruthrauff project, accounts for almost all of that savings. So it's not across the board. We did get really good bids or we overestimated, one of the two, or a combination of both on that. So as the trend continues, we'll know better how we're doing.

But as we estimate, we're using those escalators that I just talked about to keep our prices as close as possible, and you can see in the six that we'll talk about, we weren't always successful with keeping up with where the market is driving.

So the first project that we need to talk about is Item 7A. This is a project -- and Mr. Chair, this project, there was a request to speak on this if there was a protest. I don't know if one of the -- the second party had showed up, but

```
1
     I just want to make the Board aware that there was that
 2
     opportunity.
 3
                    MR. ROEHRICH: And to that end, thank you,
 4
     Dallas. Mr. Chair, we do have one request, although we've not
 5
     received any other requests. So I think if either party here
 6
     would like to speak, because there was a potential protest and
 7
     we looked at it, you could offer that, but at this point, unless
 8
     there's an objection to the state engineer's recommendation,
 9
     we're holding the request, so...
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: I don't know if there's anybody
12
     who would like to speak to this. If not, then I will just have
13
     Dallas continue.
14
                    MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair.
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: I just heard -- saw Mr. Roos stand
16
     up.
17
                    MR. ROOS: We're fine to waive our right to
18
     appear --
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Okay.
20
                    MR. ROOS: -- since there's no one here for
21
     (inaudible).
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH: The other party. Okay.
23
     you.
24
                    MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chair, this is a project on
25
     State Route 77. It has some safety improvements. On this
```

```
1
     project, the low bid was $1,314,837. The State's estimate was
 2
     $1,154,439. It was over the State's estimate by $160,398 or
 3
     13.9 percent. We saw higher than expected our estimated pricing
 4
     in our roadway excavation and our aggregate base.
 5
                    Similar to a project we heard last month on -- as
 6
     the -- the contractor submitted their paperwork on their DBE
 7
     affidavit. There were some errors that carried from the bid to
 8
     the DBE affidavit. In this case, once those errors were
 9
     corrected and we retallied their DBE goal or their percentage
10
     that they were going to use. They were still well above the
     goal. Their -- the goal was 9.44 percent. Their corrected
11
     number was 12.19 percent, so well above that. In our
12
13
     discussions with staff, in the state engineer's opinion, this is
14
     a non-material variance. Basically, it did not affect any of
15
     the bidding, did not give anyone an advantage.
16
                    With that, the Department believes that the bids
17
     are responsive and responsible and recommends award to Paveco,
18
     Inc.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Board Member Stratton,
20
     this is in your district. Do I have a motion to approve this
21
     item as presented?
22
                    MR. STRATTON: Yes, you do. Move to approve.
                    MR. HAMMOND: I'll second that. I do have a
23
24
     question.
```

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Motion by Board Member

25

```
1
     Stratton, second by Board Member Hammond.
 2
                    MR. HAMMOND: I think maybe I should know this,
 3
     but is this overrun share in percentage between fed and state or
 4
     does the state pick it up?
 5
                    MR. HAMMIT: In -- in what the --
 6
                    MR. HAMMOND: The overage.
 7
                    MR. HAMMIT: It would be in the same percentage
 8
     of the share. So if this project is 5 -- or 4.9 percent federal
 9
     share or state share, that percentage carries through on the
10
     overage, like any of our projects do.
11
                    MR. HAMMOND: So the (inaudible) pick up the
12
     majority of this, I guess, is my question.
13
                    MR. HAMMIT: Yes.
14
                    MR. HAMMOND: Okay. Thank you.
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, before we act on that,
16
     I would like to make sure that we get on the record that in
17
     absence of any formal protest or any other parties speaking,
18
     that Paveco representative had waived the right to speak from
19
     the request. I just want to make sure that we had gotten that,
20
     because I don't know, Mr. Roos, if they heard your comment
21
     saying that you waived. So I want to make sure that we have
22
     that on the record.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.
24
                    Any further discussion? All in favor say aye.
25
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
```

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That passes.

MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Item 7B, this is a project on State Route 89A in the Oak Creek Canyon area. It was a bridge replacement and some sediment control. The low bid was \$8,084,969. The State's estimate was \$4,155,402. It was over the State's estimate by \$3,929,567 or 94.6 percent.

As we reviewed the bids, we saw higher than expected pricing in the silica flume concrete. This is an add mixture to concrete that makes it stronger and less permeable. Higher than expected pricing in our reinforcing steel and our structural concrete.

But we dug into it a little more, and as we talked to the contractor, we saw that in this area that there's some limitations on the season, and so we put some pretty tight restrictions on the contractor. We put some penalties. They bid a lot of risk into this project that the pricing showed up in.

So as we -- we looked at it, the Department requests to reject all bids, allow us to go back. We are going to do a cost assessment or a cost risk assessment on this project, see if we can find ways to reduce some of those risks. One other thing to note on this project, there was only one bidder, so what can we do to make it more desirable for -- to get some more competition and review that. So the Department

```
1
     requests the Board to reject all bids.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Do we have a motion to reject
 3
     all bids on Item 7B as presented?
 4
                    MR. THOMPSON: I'll make the motion with the --
 5
     did you mean that this is not deleting the project? It's only
 6
     going back for rebidding, to re-examine the costs involved in
 7
     this? And do you have a timeline on it?
 8
                    MR. HAMMIT: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Thompson, I'll
 9
     answer the first question first. We do intend to do that
10
     analysis and re-advertise the project. I will have to get back
11
     with you on a time frame, but it will be this fiscal year.
12
                    MR. THOMPSON: Okay.
13
                    MR. KNIGHT: I'll second.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. We have a motion by
15
     Board Member Thompson, a second by Board Member Knight. Any
16
     further discussion?
17
                    All in favor say aye.
18
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
20
     passes.
21
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
                    Item 9C, this is a local project in the town of
     Clifton. The low bid was $1,225,395. The State's estimate was
23
24
     $889,772. It had a difference of $335,623 or 37.7 percent. We
25
     saw higher than expected pricing in -- basically, the enclosure
```

```
1
     they had to do for the work. This is a timber bridge, some of
 2
     the work associated with that. The -- in working with the
 3
     community, they had asked us to request the postponement.
 4
     They're looking to find the funds so they can make this award.
 5
     So at this time, we recommend postpone to a future board
 6
     meeting.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to postpone
 8
     Item 7C as presented?
 9
                    MR. KNIGHT: So moved.
10
                    MR. ELTERS: Second.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member Knight,
12
     seconded by Board Member Elters. Any discussion?
13
                    All in favor say aye.
14
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
16
     passes.
17
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
                    Item 7D, a similar request, and you'll see that
19
     on 7E as well. But on this project, this is in the city of
20
     Avondale. It's to construct a multiuse path. The low bid was
21
     200 -- excuse me -- $2,432,373. The State's estimate was
22
     $2,250,802. It was over the estimate by $181,572 or 8.1
23
     percent. We saw higher than expected pricing for roadway and --
24
     road grading for pavement and aggregate base. In this case,
25
     Avondale has asked us to request postponement so they can find
```

```
1
     the funds to move forward on this project.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to postpone
 3
     Item 7D as presented?
 4
                    MR. ELTERS: So moved.
 5
                    MR. HAMMOND: Second.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member Elters,
 7
     second by Board Member Hammond. Any discussion?
 8
                    All in favor say aye.
 9
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
11
     carries.
12
                    MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13
                    Another project in the city of Avondale and
14
     another multiuse path. In this project the low bid was
15
     $1,311,177. The States's estimate was $1,107,923. It was over
16
     the State's estimate by $203,254 or 18.3 percent. Again, on
17
     this project, the City has asked us to request to postpone so
18
     they can find the additional funds.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to postpone
20
     Item 7E as presented?
21
                    MR. ELTERS: So moved.
22
                    MR. THOMPSON: Second.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member Elters,
24
     second by Board Member Thompson. Any discussion?
25
                    All in favor say aye.
```

```
1
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
 3
     carries.
 4
                    MR. HAMMIT: And our last project, Mr. Chairman,
 5
     is Item 7F. This is some ITS improvements in the city of
     Glendale. On this project the low bid was $1,092,788. The
 6
 7
     State's estimate was $884,809. It was over the State's estimate
 8
     by 207,979 or 23.5 percent.
 9
                    We saw higher than expected pricing in the
10
     mobilization. As we dug into that further, it was more so in
11
     the directional drilling that they'll need to do to put some of
12
     the conduit in for this project. We have reviewed the bid and
13
     believe -- the Department believes it is a responsive and
14
     responsible bid and recommends award to MP Nexlevel, LLC.
15
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Is there a motion to award
16
     Item 7F to MP Nexlevel, LLC as presented?
17
                    MR. ELTERS: So moved.
18
                    MR. KNIGHT: Second.
19
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Motion by Board Member Elters,
20
     second by Board Member Knight. Any discussion?
21
                    All in favor say aye.
22
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Any opposed? That motion
24
     carries.
25
                    Thank you, Dallas.
```

1 MR. HAMMIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Mr. Roehrich, Agenda Item 3 No. 8. 4 MR. ROEHRICH: Thanks, Mr. Chair. And I must say 5 you are making record time. We're going to get out of here in 6 pretty good shape the Friday before Christmas. 7 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Well, it's not done until 8 we're done. 9 MR. ROEHRICH: I know, I know. But considering 10 this is your last meeting as chair, I'm a little sad to see 11 that, because you are blowing through these meetings out here so 12 well, (inaudible) of these. So we'll see. Next year will be 13 another good year I'm sure. 14 Normally -- traditionally or I should say 15 normally the past few years, we have gone through the steps of 16 identifying the chair and vice chair for next year during the 17 December board meeting with the condition that it takes effect 18 January 1st. Meeting with the Board's counsel last week and the 19 board chair, she, after reviewing it formally, said, you know, 20 you're probably not complying with the rule of law if you do 21 that before the month that the chair and vice chair are to take 22 effect. 23 So reviewing that and discussing it with the

board chair, we will hold the designation of the new board chair and vice chair at the January's board meeting. By statute, the

designation is supposed to take place before the third Friday of January for the year. And since the board meeting --

MS. PRIANO: The third Monday.

MR. ROEHRICH: Or the third Monday. The third Monday. Thank you. The third Monday of the year. And since the board meeting is the third Friday, we will go ahead and make the designation at that time, and then the new board chair will take over and conduct the meeting and continue on for the rest of the calendar year.

By statute, the board chair is the board member with the last full-year term. That will be Mr. Hammond, and then the vice chair is the board member with the second least amount of time left, and that would be Mr. Stratton, by statute and by their affiliation.

So normally, we have this on for action this month, but instead we're just identifying it, making sure that we talk with the Board, we're able to answer any questions. And unfortunately, the board attorney is unable to be here. I'll try to answer the best I can. Otherwise, it will be next month we will make the changeover.

In addition, Mr. Sellers, as we've discussed with you, you need to continue on on the board, not as the chair -- you're going to have to give that up -- but you continue on the board until an appointment -- the governor makes an appointment.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Can we vote on this?

```
1
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Unfortunately, no. Well,
 2
     actually, you can do whatever you want. I just can't make it
 3
     effective because of the law, so ...
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: I'd be happy to pass the
 5
     baton.
 6
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Exactly. So we will do that.
 7
     That will be the first item of business when we meet in January,
 8
     and a reminder that January's board meeting is the 17th, and it
 9
     is in the city of Yuma. 17th. I think I got that right.
10
     Friday, the 17th, in the city of Yuma.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you, Floyd.
12
                    And to that point, it is my intention to continue
13
     on the Board until there is a replacement for me, because
14
     otherwise, we would end up with only five board members.
15
     Although, with what's been going on in Maricopa County lately, I
16
     can't quarantee I can make all the meetings, but I will
17
     certainly try.
18
                    Okay. Thank you.
19
                    Moving on to Agenda Item No 9 --
20
                    MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Oh.
22
                    MR. KNIGHT: I just have a question.
23
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Will we allow him to
24
     have a question?
25
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, I don't see any reason
```

```
1
     unless it's not, unless you do.
 2
                    MR. KNIGHT: Are we good?
 3
                    So my question is to Floyd. Did I hear you
 4
     correctly if the term is due on the third Friday of the year --
 5
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Third Monday. The third Monday of
 6
     January, the board chair and vice chair are to be designated by
 7
     the year, by the third Monday.
 8
                    MR. KNIGHT: And the Board is meeting on the
 9
     third Friday. Isn't there an issue there, because the third
10
     Monday is before the third Friday?
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: No. If you look at the calendar
12
     next year, actually, the third Friday is before the third
13
     Monday.
14
                    MS. PRIANO: Yeah. (Inaudible.)
15
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: You know, Board Member --
16
                    MR. KNIGHT: (Inaudible.)
17
                    MS. PRIANO: The third Friday is the 17th, and
18
     the third Monday is the 20th. The first Monday is the 6th.
19
                    MR. HAMMOND: You know, Board Member Knight and
20
     Floyd, the way you're going with this, do you want to object to
21
     the incoming chair? You'll have time.
22
                    MR. KNIGHT: I was hoping to establish a ground
23
     first.
24
                    MR. HAMMOND: Now, Floyd, you're not done yet,
25
     are you?
```

```
1
                    MS. PRIANO: (Inaudible.)
 2
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Mr. Hammond only if
 3
     there's questions again about designating the chair and vice
 4
     chair, but we do have obviously recognitions and some
 5
     acknowledgement that we want to make for Mr. Sellers.
                                                            So
 6
     there's another item.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yeah. He was rushing to
 8
     adjournment. (Inaudible.)
 9
                    MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.) I was going to make
10
     sure.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Well, you know, isn't
12
     this normally where we've already passed the baton and I get to
13
     just...
14
                    MS. PRIANO: (Inaudible.)
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Normally, for the past few years,
16
     yes, but we -- you obviously have a couple of other -- at least
17
     just one more point of business that we'd like to make, and
18
     Mr. Sellers, with your okay, I'm going to move forward into the
19
     next item.
20
                    And that is to talk about your tenure here and
21
     the fact that after six long years, you're probably happy to
22
     relish this time, but I know we are definitely going to miss
23
     having you on the board for a lot of reasons.
24
                    John had some -- a recognition prepared that he
25
     was hoping to give. Obviously in January he will, and if he can
```

make the meeting, I'm sure he's going to want to express his personal appreciation as well, because it has been just a pleasure having you on the Board.

We do have a -- after six years, I've got nothing to present to you. You didn't win any cash prizes, but I've got some wonderful parting gifts for you --

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay.

MR. ROEHRICH: -- in recognition of that. And so what we'd like to do, though, is first I want to read his comments that he was preparing, and then we would like to present to you some recognition for that time, because you -- each of you are volunteers here. I understand you're appointed by the governor and you make commitments, but you give up your time willingly. You're here every month. We need to communicate between months. There's obviously never any hesitation to do that.

So we realize that the effort you put in is probably unsung by a lot of folks, but for us, it really helps us to work with a group of professionals like yourself that are dedicated and committed beyond just the normal of what you do, and there's volunteers into this through your appointment. It's a special relationship we have, but it's also well deserved of what you made. It's a personal, professional commitment to the state of Arizona, and so we do have a couple things that I'd like to say.

These are John's comments. These are the ones he had prepared.

Today is Chairman Sellers' last meeting as a member of the State Transportation Board barring your continued (inaudible). We'd like to take a few minutes to thank him for his service to ADOT and the State of Arizona.

When Mr. Sellers was appointed to the Board back in 2014, then Governor Jan Brewer said he would be a welcome addition to the Board of Transportation because of his understanding and respect for transportation's crucial role and its strength, job growth and global competitiveness. She was right from day one. Mr. Sellers has provided his dedication and expertise to the Board. We appreciate everything you've contributed over the years.

Representing the Maricopa County region,

Mr. Sellers has always been an advocate of the entire statewide
system. He has supported investing in our transportation
infrastructure for the future. So much has been accomplished
during your time on the Board, including many key projects that
helped improve safety and mobility in our state. Around the
valley, the Board moved forward on several key projects over the
last six years, including the expansion of the loop system
along -- improvements on the interstate, obviously the
completion of the Loop 202 South Mountain Freeway, which will
soon be open to drivers.

In recent years, the Board has helped us make progress on several other innovations like the wrong-way driver detection system, moving forward with the I-17 widening project and flex lane project along Interstate 17 between Anthem and Sunset Point.

During your time on the Board, there have been other challenges, too. Over the last six years, we've really had to restructure the way we think about projects. Because of limited funding, we've shifted to a preservation and modernization mindset. The Board has helped us to prioritize that funding, which has also allowed the agency to successfully go after grants that have helped us stretch our funding even further.

You and the Board have also been instrumental in helping to fund and support our key commerce corridors in an effort to boost Arizona's economic growth and development, and also helped us to look to the future when we envision any projects such as Interstate 11, such as the Sonoran corridor, such as some of the other corridors that -- as Greg had previously said, and we are looking setting ourself up for, again, transportation's investment, transportation role in this state's economy and helping the state grow.

On a personal level, you have been so dedicated and just wonderful to work with. You always look for the common ground and are really an advocate for the entire state. You are

widely respected and have had such a great -- and have such a great knowledge that you've brought to the Board. It's obviously made us all work better. We appreciate the time and dedication you've given us over the last six years, and we wish you all the best in the future, and we couldn't as staff express our thanks enough for all that you've done and all that you have meant to us. So thank you, Mr. Sellers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you want to do that in (inaudible).

MR. ROEHRICH: So just a few things that we want to do to recognize. One of the issues is we -- service recognition. Even though you're not integral part of ADOT, as the Transportation Board, you're designated as if you (inaudible) ADOT part of the state system. So you do get a service recognition. Even though you're on the Board for six years, we give you a five-year service recognition in recognition of your dedicated service to the State of Arizona and the citizens. It is signed by the governor as well as the director, and in conjunction with receiving the, if you will, service certificate, you also get this pen and pencil set that is commemorative with the State's logo and the -- well, I'll let you open it -- with the ADOT logo, excuse me, not the state logo, but the ADOT logo, but it's representative, again, of a recognition of your service over those five years, and hopefully it's something that you can use and have available to you in the future so. Thank you for that.

In addition, there's also a commemorative certificate that is -- and I would read this. "It is remembered that Mr. Jack Sellers represented the State of Arizona on the State Transportation Board from January 2014 to January 2020. Jack represented well the interests of the Arizona State Transportation Board, the Arizona Department of Transportation, and the citizens of Arizona. We extend to him our grateful and sincere appreciation for his special dedication to public service. His contributions were numerous, beneficial, and his labors on behalf of all the people of Arizona will long be remembered."

This is one that is signed by each of your peers on the Transportation Board, as well as the director, and again, we hope it's a little commemorative of what you've meant to this whole board, to all your peers here, but as well to all of the staff at the State of Arizona, so thank you -- and ADOT. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

MR. ROEHRICH: And then usually we do another little gift that we ask the board members to get, and that's a little commemorative license plate of the state. It's a copper plate, and it's something that you can personalize for your use, and obviously each board chair always has a nice little saying. We've got one here that Mr. Sellers had picked. It says Jack

1 for Arizona, Jack for AZ, because do I think that as you've 2 shown not only by your dedication, but your service and your 3 relentless spirit, Arizona has always meant something to you, 4 and I know you do a lot of extra work with your trade missions 5 and working with local communities and economic development and 6 all around just looking at how you can better Arizona, which is 7 truly -- truly speaks to your character. So thank you very 8 much, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 10 MR. ROEHRICH: And I know we still have the gift 11 through Arizona Highways that you need to select, and we'll work 12 on getting that set as well, and with that comes whether the 13 gift is or the prescription -- the subscription --14 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Prescription. 15 MR. ROEHRICH: You probably need a prescription, 16 but the subscription is a Highway magazine. Whatever we work 17 out with you, that is also something --18 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 19 MR. ROEHRICH: -- that we will present to you as

MR. ROEHRICH: -- that we will present to you as well to commemorate your time. So as always, thank you,
Mr. Sellers, and we really, truly wish you the best, and we hope this is not the end of us working together. So thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HAMMOND: So Jack, now the roast begins. No. I can remember when I came on to the board, and Joe La Rue, I was talking with him and telling him how six years seems like a

```
long time, and I think Jack would probably concur it goes fast.

You know, and one of the reasons it goes fast is we get to work with wonderful board members and wonderful staff, and projects do a lot of things. Jack has been, in my opinion, one of the steady hands all throughout the six years on looking at things impartially, rationally, fairly, and bringing a lot to bear to this state with your efforts, Jack.
```

We -- you know, as you know, the Board kind of does a collection, and even as stingy as we all are, we put together a nice little gift for you, but I understand Linda did ask you, or somebody did, what you'd like, and you had some pretty expensive requests. So there's two parts to this. This is the --

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: All I asked for was a 2020 Corvette.

MR. HAMMOND: Now, wait a minute. The gift we can afford is in this box. Okay?

MS. PRIANO: It's not a key.

MR. HAMMOND: But the one we're working on, you will get in January. So you will have to show up at that meeting. We'll have another gift, and we'll also have another point in time to take some shots at you. It has been an absolute pleasure working with you, Jack, and on behalf of the Board, thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Very nice. Thank you.

1 MR. HAMMOND: It's a gift card to Costco. It was 2 his request. We wanted to do Nordstrom, but he wanted to be 3 able to buy something with it. 4 MR. ROEHRICH: He wants to get that perfect gift. 5 MR. HAMMOND: Wow. So any other board member 6 want to make a comment, or do you want to wait until January and 7 make sure he shows up? 8 MR. ELTERS: Just in case he does not show up in 9 January, I just want to take the opportunity today and just 10 point out as the junior board member from this direct that Jack represents as well, came on the board, reached out to him. 11 12 was very open, flexible, supportive and very helpful, and 13 indeed, it's been a real pleasure working with you, and I hope 14 we get to do that a few more months. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you. 16 MR. THOMPSON: Very briefly, let me say in Navajo 17 (speaking Native language), which means thank you for your 18 service and accompaniment, and always are taught to always 19 listen to people in the leadership role, and I certainly have 20 respected your quidance during that time that we have known each 21 other on this board. So thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.

MR. KNIGHT: And I just would like to thank Jack for all of his help and support, being also a short timer, and not having been on the board as long as most of the rest of you,

23

24

25

```
1
     but for the last two years, it's been a pleasure to work with
 2
     Jack. He's always been able to answer any questions I've had
 3
     and been fully supportive of what I've been trying to do off and
 4
     on. But the main thing is he just is a great leader, and we
 5
     really will miss him going forward, and I really will be anxious
 6
     to see him show up in Yuma in January. So let's just hope you
 7
     can make it.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 9
                    MR. KNIGHT: Thank you, Jack.
10
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS:
                                       Thank you.
                    MR. HAMMOND: You want to give a stump speech on
11
12
     vote for Jack Sellers --
13
                    MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.
14
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Board Member Stratton.
15
                    MR. HAMMOND: Oh, sorry, Steve.
16
                    MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17
                    First I'd like to apologize for not being there.
18
     I am happy you're going to be in Yuma so I can thank you face to
19
     face for all the guidance and assistance you have given me, and
20
     it's been a true pleasure serving you. Thank you very much.
21
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Well, thank you.
22
                    You know, the six years sounds like a long time
23
     when you're first appointed to the Board, but it really does go
24
     fast, and what a great experience this has been. Aside from
25
     learning how important a viable statewide system is for our
```

total economy, just the getting to meet and become acquainted with the caliber of people I've met all over the state through this process is just incredible. You know, you really do at our meetings, our board meetings, you get to meet the people that are leaders throughout the state, and to be able to have those people as ongoing friends as you complete this term is really a blessing, and I value that a lot.

To that point, I think one of the things that all the chairmen look forward to is the fact that the board meeting in Wickenburg every year is a chairmen's reunion, and aside from the fact that that's a time that the chairmen feel free to tell the current board exactly what they're doing wrong, it really is a great time to get input from all the people that have been in leadership positions for the Board.

You know, I -- even though I've served on the Board during a time that we really have not had the money we need to do the projects that are really important, and you know, everybody's probably getting tired of hearing me say that for Maricopa County and Phoenix being the fastest growing city and county in the United States for three years in a row, and unless we're willing to put together a plan and start investing in infrastructure, our lifestyle is not sustainable. The thing that attracts people to come to this state and to this region isn't sustainable unless we're willing to start investing in our future.

You know, I've said more than once, too, that we really have -- in Maricopa County today, we have a pretty nice system. You know, even though since I now with my position in Maricopa County come downtown pretty often and I complain about traffic, you know, most of the people who move here from somewhere else say you've got nothing to complain about. This is really not bad. Take me an hour to go 20 minutes, they think is really not bad at all. But the reason we have the system we have today is because leadership 20 years ago had a plan, and they got money put into the plan to build the system we have today, and I don't see that happening right now.

We really need -- and so, you know, good news and bad news. The good news is that I'm not done. I -- you know, when I left the Chandler City Council, I said that as well. I said, you know, folks, I'm not going away. The bad news is you're going to have to put up with me and all my ranting and all my -- all the things I want to see get accomplished for as long as people will continue to allow me to serve in a position where I have any kind of influence at all.

So again, I feel so fortunate to have been able to serve in this position. I think that it's been a real blessing for me to meet the people that I've met, and I value those friendships, and I'm not going away.

So thank you.

Okay. I guess I should call for suggestions for

any future meetings. Anyone have any suggestions for future meetings?

MR. THOMPSON: Chairman, earlier in the year I did request for some whether it's going to have happen or not, (inaudible) but I think it's good to put on the table for information purposes is that looking at whether the process and granting right of ways on tribal lands to ADOT, for road improvement and road construction. I'd like to see this be part of a study session or we may maybe invite the Native American community, and depending on maybe the amount of time that it's going to take to do this. So again, that will be my wish. So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. And I actually have one.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir.

Of the South Mountain Freeway, one of the things that our tour guide, Rob, did is explained to us as we drove around to the various areas some of the other projects that are coming up, and one of the things he really talked about a lot was the work that's going to be done on the Broadway Curve soon. And you know, just listening to him talk about that project -- it's going to be a \$700 million project. Just listening to him talk about that project, it sounds like South Mountain is a cakewalk.

The Broadway Curve, particularly for those of us

that have to drive from Chandler to downtown Phoenix in the future is going to be interesting. And so, you know, I thought that passing the baton to Chairman Hammond, incoming Chairman Hammond, might be a good thing, but now that I'm Maricopa County supervisor for District One, I don't think I'm going to be off the hook for complaints that people have about what that project is going to do. You know, very, very necessary project, but I guess what -- long story short, what I'm asking for is I'd like the Board to have an update on that project, because it's really going to be an interesting, challenging project.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, absolutely, because I really -- I got the same sense you did. The thing about South Mountain, remember, is it was a greenfield project for the most part, 22 miles. There was no other traffic they had to deal with. Yes, so I made the connections about both ends of I-10, especially in the West Valley where they had to reconstruct those four and a half miles.

That kind of gave me a taste of what the traffic control and flow of traffic is going to be like when they do the work on the Broadway Curve. With the exception of the Broadway Curve, got 250, 260,000 vehicles a day through it. The west side, you know, of the valley has probably only got about 200,000 or so. So it is going to be a significant impact, had a significant impact on the access to the airport as well.

So I would work with Rob and the state engineer.

I think to kind of give you a overview of the project, constructability issues, and if you will, some of the issues with traffic management control when you look at the impact of widening the existing road as opposed to a new fill road, but that -- it will be quite an impact to drivers.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Yeah. And you know, I think the most striking thing that he said was every single bridge in the Broadway Curve will have to be replaced. Think about that.

MR. ELTERS: Mr. Chairman, a couple of things.

Rob did provide a presentation to the MAG Regional Council on that project, and what the Department and MAG are doing shortly to look ahead and inform the public to understand the origin and destination of traffic and be mindful of the fact that 143 leads to the airport. And so I think it will be really nice to get that update, and I think the Board will be impressed by what the Department and MAG are doing in anticipation of this project.

The other comment that I'd like, if I may, is I did ask at the last board meeting for the information related to grants, how many of them and success rate and such. That was provided today. That is very impressive. The fact that almost \$200 million was brought in and given the comments that board members and staff have made as far as cost increases and what's happening to our ability to deliver the program, I think that goes a long way in helping us. So kudos. Thank you for sharing that information, and the fact that nearly 40 percent success

rate. That's great. So thank you. I just wanted to acknowledge the staff for bringing that information forth.

CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, just some final comments I want to make sure that we get in.

I want to thank Linda Priano for all of the effort that is done to take care of us and the Board this year, getting all the things together for this meeting. But as well, the amount of effort to coordinate with a different venue every month takes quite a bit of work, and her attention to detail and ability to follow through on that really makes these meetings go well. And we don't see a lot of what goes on between meetings, but she — the effort that sometimes goes in, there are times I'm scared to go visit her, because I'm afraid. But if I leave her, she solves every problem. It's just amazing. So I wanted to thank her.

I wanted to wish all of you a happy holiday, and I hope you have a safe holiday as well, and spend it with family and friends. And as well, thank MAG for us coming here. It's always good to use the facilities and having a chance to get the Board and staff and others to come out and use this and continue to meet all the different people out there and hear their issues that affect the state. So as well it helps you, and it helps us. So happy holidays, everyone. Drive safe. Have a joyful holiday.

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: Thank you.
 2
                    MR. HAMMOND: So moved.
 3
                    MR. ELTERS: Second.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We have a motion -- we have a
 5
     motion and a second --
 6
                    MR. ROEHRICH: So did we just move happy
 7
     holidays?
 8
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: We have a motion and a second
 9
     to adjourn.
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Oh, okay.
11
                    CHAIRMAN SELLERS: And let me say something to
12
     you. Robert's Rules of Order, I don't even have to have that
13
     motion. The chair can declare the meeting is adjourned.
14
                    (Meeting adjourned at 10:34 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## **Adjournment**

A motion to adjourn the December 20, 2019 State Transportation Board meeting was made by Board Member Hammond and seconded by Board Member Elters. In a voice vote, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 10:34 a.m. MST.

Jack Sellers, Chairman

Syate Transportation Board

Floyd Roehrich, Jr. ADOT Executive Officer Arizona Department of Transportation