STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING IN PERSON WITH OPTIONAL TELEPHONIC/WEBEX ATTENDANCE

9:00am, March 18, 2022 Town of Marana 11555 West Civic Center Drive Marana, Arizona 85653

Call to Order

Board Chairman Thompson called the State Transportation Board Study Session to order at 9:00 a.m.

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Chairman Jesse Thompson.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (in person): Chairman Thompson, Vice Chairman Knight, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Searle. In attendance (via WebEx): Board Member Daniels, Board Jackie Meck. In attendance (absent): Board Member Stratton. There were approximately 76 members of the public in the audience.

Opening Remarks

Chairman Thompson reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS IN PERSON AND BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

Town of Marana 11555 West Civic Center Drive Marana, Arizona 85653

> March 18, 2022 9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50876

PERFECTA REPORTING (602) 421-3602

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF ELECTRONIC
2	PROCEEDINGS, ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING
3	was reported from electronic media by TERESA A. WATSON,
4	Registered Merit Reporter and a Certified Reporter in and for
5	the State of Arizona.
6	
7	PARTICIPANTS:
8	Board Members:
9	Jesse Thompson, Chairman Gary Knight, Vice Chairman
10	Richard Searle, Board Member Jenn Daniels, Board Member (via Webex)
11	Ted Maxwell, Board Member Jackie Meck, Board Member (via Webex)
12	Steve Stratton, Board Member (Absent)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE
2	Mayor Ed Honea, Town of Marana
3	Mayor Christian Price, City of Maricopa 5 Karla Avalos, Southern Arizona Director for Senator Kelly 8 Kee Allen Begay, Junior, Navajo Council Delegate,
4	Many Farms Chapter
5	Darryl Ahasteen, Commission President (via Webex) 12 Rayond Smith, Navajo Councilman (via Webex)
6	
7	AGENDA ITEMS
8	Item 1 - Director's Report, John Halikowski, ADOT Director
9	Item 2 - District Engineer's Report, Rod Lane,
10	Southcentral District Engineer
11	Item 3 - Consent Agenda
12	Item 4 - Financial Report, Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer
13 14	Item 5 - Multimodal Planning Division Report, Paul Patane, Multimodal Planning Division Director47
15 16	Item 6 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Paul Patane51
17	Item 7 - State Engineer's Report, Greg Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer 63
18	Item 8 - Construction Contracts, Greg Byres 67
19	Item 9 - Suggestions, Floyd Roehrich, Junior 80
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Beginning of excerpt.)
2	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Again, let's move on to call
4	to the audience, and I'll have Floyd introduce those people that
5	are calling in to make comments, including those that are here
6	present.
7	So again, everyone will be muted when they call
8	in to the meeting. When your name is called to provide your
9	comment, you will indicate your presence by virtually raising
10	your hand using your phone keypad or through the Webex
11	application. The Webex host will guide you through the unmuting
12	and muting process following the instructions included with the
13	meeting agenda.
14	In person, there is an opportunity for members of
15	the public to discuss items of interest with the Board. Please
16	fill out a Request For Public Input Form and give to the Board
17	Secretary if you wish to address the Board.
18	In the interest of time, a three-minute time
19	limit will be imposed. So let's proceed.
20	Floyd.
21	MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Our first
22	speaker is Mayor Honea from the Town of Marana.
23	MAYOR HONEA: I am?
24	MR. ROEHRICH: You don't have to be, sir. I can
25	go to somebody else.

MAYOR HONEA: Where do I have to go? 1 2 MR. ROEHRICH: Would you please come up to the microphone, please? 3 4 MAYOR HONEA: I'm used to sitting up there. 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I was going to point that out, but... 6 MAYOR HONEA: I don't even know if this is on. 7 8 Is it on? 9 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. 10 MAYOR HONEA: Anyway, thank you all for coming 11 here today. I don't have any heavy, heavy things to talk to you 12 about. We're honored to have the Arizona Transportation Board, 13 ADOT, represented here, and anything we can do to facilitate 14 your stay or help you, please ask us to do so. So thank you for 15 coming. 16 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mayor Christian Price. 17 MAYOR PRICE: Mr. Chairman, Director, members of 18 19 the Board, I'll take up his time, so don't worry about that. 2.0 I'll do the heavy stuff. No. Just in jest. Appreciate all 21 your service to the state. 22 You know, last time I was before you, I kind of 2.3 talked about 347, the City of Maricopa and the challenges that we face there, and talked a little bit about some of the things 24 2.5 that are -- that we're trying to do like we always do, which is

bring stakeholders together and gather money and be able to move forward together as stakeholders rather than asking you to fund everything.

2.0

2.3

2.5

And so I do have a bit of an update, and that is on the PRTA. The Supreme Court ruled against us, and so the PRTA has been scrapped, which is the Pinal County Regional Transit Authority. We had a variable tax rate in there, and so unfortunately -- in my opinion, the Court should have left the tax in place and just fixed the rate and made it all one standard rate. They chose to scrap the whole thing.

Now, that leaves a couple of opportunities. We could take it back to the voters, fix that issue and leave it as is this November. That is on the table. It is also on the table that we'll come back here in a year and a half and put something new in place. Hopefully we adjust ADOT's cost benefit analysis that, you know, roads that were built or scheduled to be built in 2016 that cost \$1 now cost \$1.74. So almost double the price.

And so the reality is, is that with the varying inflation and gas taxes and gas time, it's a challenge right now to build roads, as you know. And so it is possible that PRT will come back with a larger incentive in order to pay for these astronomical price increases. And so, ultimately, that would create additional leverage to hopefully get more money towards the fixing of these roadways and provide more offsets so that

ADOT's not responsible for everything. So don't count us out quite yet.

I know that also Prop 400 is moving through the Legislature. There's two companion bills. I'm sure we'll get that update later in the legislative report, but it did pass the Senate here yesterday or the day before. We're big supporters of that, because the northern portion of 347 has \$92 million in that -- in that plan, and it is critical to be able to afford all the fixes that the 347 requires.

The last thing I would say is -- I know my time is short -- is that I would really encourage ADOT to also seek after the moneys from the federal. I know we have a representative here from Senator Kelly's office. Please seek after moneys from the federal side of the infrastructure plan for the I-11 tier two funding. I know that there's a bill going through. General Maxwell and I testified on that here this past week. It is moving successfully, but we'd certainly like to see that ADOT continues to be the leader on that and take advantage of this money from the feds. Call down that money. I know we have local matches, but we'll do all that we can as well to help support that, because we feel that the I-11 is such a critical piece of infrastructure for this state.

So thank you very much. Appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you,

25 | Mayor.

2.0

2.3

1	Floyd.
2	MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Karla
3	Avalos.
4	MS. AVALOS: Good morning, Chairman, members of
5	the Board, Mayor Honea. My name is Karla Avalos. I am southern
6	Arizona director for Senator Mark Kelly, and it's a pleasure to
7	be here. It's my first State Transportation Board meeting, so
8	that's exciting.
9	I'd just like to share this morning that our
10	office is working and stands at the ready to work with cities,
11	towns, counties, transit authorities, metropolitan planning
12	organizations and ADOT to make sure our state maximizes federal
13	investments authorized by the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law.
14	Thank you.
15	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you.
L 7	MR. ROEHRICH: This is going to be a short
18	meeting if everybody's so quick. Thank you. That goes for
19	staff as well, by the way.
20	So our next speaker is Mr. Kee Allen Begay.
21	MR. BEGAY: Good morning, ADOT board members,
22	(indiscernible) Thompson. (Indiscernible.)
23	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Indiscernible.)
24	Good morning to everyone, ADOT administrators.
25	The three areas I want to express to the board

members here, you know that there will be construction going on between Many Farms and Chinle, Arizona. We appreciate the construction that's happened. I forwarded the Many Farms Chapter Resolution, MF-36-03-2022. That's requesting extending the construction an additional three miles right into the community of Many Farms. As it is right now, it stops short of -- the construction stops short that is going to go through the community of Many Farms. So we're requesting, humbly ask that the construction extends for at least an additional three miles so that it will go right into the community of Many Farms.

2.5

The other area that I'm continuing to advocate for in working with the broadband with other counties and tribes, and have been talking, the Navajo Nation has passed a resolution requesting the Governor's office to extend his Smart Highway initiative of all the interstate into the northern part of Arizona as well. The state right-of-way. We are advocating particularly for the 191 up into north -- to get the Smart Highway project in place, because I see Senator Kelly's office here. I think we do look to Senator Kelly to secure a lot of these broadband fundings and a lot of these rural construction funding into the state of Arizona.

So I know a lot of these fundings will be distributed directly to the state government. So as tribe -- tribal members, we will be asking the Governor to see if they could be able to set aside some of these fundings for the

projects in -- on the Navajo Nation.

So that's the basic a

So that's the basic area that I wanted to cover today, and I apologize for taking up the whole three minutes here. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Indiscernible.)

Floyd.

2.0

2.3

2.5

MR. ROEHRICH: No apology necessary. It's there for your use.

Our next speaker is Mr. Alton Joe Shepherd.

MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you. Good morning, board members, Mr. Chair, staff.

Three things also I wanted to bring to the attention of the Board in its future planning is, as you know, I'm a board supervisor for Apache County, District 2. I'm going into my second term. Two years into my second term. So I wanted to bring to the attention of the board members we do have four -- or several different public schools that align within -- with on the Nation, of Navajo Nation, and also with that, we do have US-191, which is a total length from the Utah state line all the way down to the southern tip of Apache County.

As far as road widening and shoulder widening and repavement, I-40 going north is getting really, really bad to where the buses have brought to my attention -- the transportation people have -- saying that what can we do to get the road repaved through there for the public schools? And so I

wanted to see -- to bring that to your attention, and proper 1 2 planning on US-191. Southern wise, going south of I-40 is the same 3 way coming up through the southern tip, and so I just wanted to 4 5 bring that. The other is as you move forward, I don't know 6 how the scheduling happens, but hopefully we can get a board 7 8 meeting up on -- in District 2 of Apache County just to kind of 9 give you an idea of, you know, what the area is and how bad --10 how our roads look is one, and there's a second one that I 11 wanted to bring. 12 Also lastly is -- next month will be national counties governance month. So our counties are going to be very 13 14 active in promoting and doing what we do with our constituents 15 and our people and showcasing a lot of things with our county. 16 So, again, I wish you guys have a good meeting, 17 and it's always good to see you and be before you guys just to bring some of these issues and working together as board 18 19 supervisor with you guys as well. So thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Indiscernible.) Thank you 21 very much for those comments. 22 Floyd. 23 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Darryl 2.4 Ahasteen, and he is an online speaker.

Mr. Ahasteen, please raise your hand so you can

25

be unmuted. 1 2 WEBEX HOST: Mr. Ahasteen, I have sent a request to unmute your line. You can unmute your line at this time. 3 MR. AHASTEEN: This is Darryl Ahasteen from 4 5 Nahata Dziil Commission Government. Basically, I sent in my (indiscernible) page presentation already. I have -- some of 6 7 it, I've covered it before. Basically, I just want to update some information for you on us getting support from different 8 9 entities like Fort Defiance agency District 18 council, Fort Defiance agency council themself. I've been talking with the 10 Board of Supervisors, Nelson Davis out of Apache County, and he 11 12 kind of indicated that they will be supporting this. I need to 13 get a resolution up to him so Apache County can support us with 14 it. 15 The school, the school itself, getting with the 16 superintendent, and the idea of moving the port of entry from 17 Milepost 339 down to 318, they're all in favor of it, and basically just gaining support, and that's about it. 18 19 Thank you. Appreciate making time for me. Thank 2.0 you. Bye. 21 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Darryl. 22 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, those are all the

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for all the comments that have been made, and that gives us a better idea as

2.3

24

2.5

requests we've received.

to your situation within your communities. So again, all of these comments have been made will be -- will be going back for us at the end of the year to let us know how many comments have been made by the public and their concerns. So thank you very much.

2.0

2.3

2.5

We will now go on to Item 1, Director's report.

Director.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good morning, Board Members, and I'd like to thank Mayor Honea for those excellent beans last night. It was a good recommendation. I had three helpings, and I think I'm paying for it today, but they definitely stick with you.

So, Mr. Chairman, I'll start off with a little bit of talking about what's going on with the federal side of things. Last week, as you know, Congress funded the IIJA, or as it's known, the BIL. So it's been fully funded, and now we're looking at both the formula, and as the mayor mentioned, grant programs.

Currently we're managing or looking at 24 different grant programs that we'll be dealing with, and there is -- you know, a lot of things happening with trying to understand what these grant programs are going to do. So there is, you know, certainly a lot to do with the 700-page bill. ADOT has established an internal team that's going through the bill and also looking at the grant program.

On the Governor's side, we now have established also an IIJA Executive Committee. As you know, there's a national coordinator named Mitch Landrieu, and the Governor has named a state coordinator. It's his chief of operations, Sarah Webber, and she'll be pulling all the agency heads together that are affected by IIJA, and we'll be looking at this from an enterprise level.

2.0

2.3

2.5

The reason that's important, for example, one subset of IIJA that's getting a lot of talk is electric vehicles, and that's not just something that affects ADOT. We'll probably be working in concert with the Department of Environmental Quality and the Arizona Commerce Authority as we moved forward on this.

And speaking of that plan, we do have to get our state plan, at least the initial portion of it, done and turned in to FHWA by August of this year. So it's a pretty big, heavy lift. There's a lot of stakeholder meetings that have to go on, a lot of public outreach, and so an internal team, subset team, has been established on that, with Floyd heading it up out of the Director's office. So that's what's happening on the federal side.

Turning to the state side. Last week,

Mr. Chairman and board members, you were at the ribbon cutting

for State Route 189. That has -- or is an incredible project,

as you know, for the Nogales area, but more importantly, for the

border region and the overall economy of the state as we seek to safely increase and more speedily move our traffic through that we share with Mexico.

2.0

2.3

2.5

As you know, we have a \$36 billion a year trade with Mexico. So making sure that traffic moves back and forth is very important.

I'd like to give a shout out to Rod Lane and his team. Rod was our district engineer on the project, and really delivered just a beautiful project, Rod. So (inaudible).

Kudos.

The other thing that I want to report on is what's happening over at the Legislature. Right now, there's probably over 20 bills moving through with some kind of appropriation on them for transportation. Most of them have -- I think, have passed out of their initial committees, but they have to head into the approach committees and ultimately into the budget process.

We estimate there's probably somewhere around a couple hundred million dollars worth of projects that are being requested. But as you will recall, the Governor has put in \$400 million in his executive budget request for widening I-10, and I think those bills are going to probably be discussed in light of the Governor's request and what's happening with that.

So we're keeping an eye on those, but eventually, they have to go into what the Legislature calls "the box" or the

amount of available money at the end of session for projects that they're willing to appropriate for.

The Mayor mentioned I-11 and tier two funding.

There is a bill at the Legislature that is encompassing that,
but he also mentioned Board Member Maxwell testifying at -- with
him. So I would be remiss if I didn't mention that that bill
also had tier two funding for the Sonoran Corridor and also for
the North-South Freeway. So that tier two funding would be for
a phase of the tier two study for those three projects.

And Mayor, we will be taking a hard look at grant programs to ensure that, you know, we are taking full advantage of them. So we have now centralized all the grants in an area under Mr. Byres, and as I said, they're all fully being tracked and looked at.

So I'd like to turn a little bit to I-10, if I could, Mr. Chairman, because Mayor Honea asked me about that last night, and I'd just like to give you an update on what's happening there on items that are accomplished and scheduled tasks.

So right now, the project scope through an alternatives analysis, ADOT, MAG and the Gila River Community have agreed upon a development plan for the widening of I-10 from Loop 202 to SR-387. So that scope will include widening to three lanes, with one new general purpose lane in each direction from Riggs Road to 387, and to four lanes with one new HOV lane

and one new general purpose lane in each direction from Loop 202 to Riggs Road. The scope also includes traffic interchange improvements in addition through the encompassed length of the project.

2.0

2.3

2.5

So the draft design concept report has been prepared, and it's been approved by ADOT and MAG and is currently being reviewed by Gila River. I believe that document will probably get finalized pretty soon. The draft environmental assessment's been prepared, and again, that's been reviewed by ADOT and MAG and has been sent to the Gila River Community for their public comment period and approval.

The easement plan change approval is required for the additional main line lanes, in addition to broadband within the existing easement. The approval process has been agreed to with the superintendent of the Bureau of Indian Affairs giving final approval upon completion of the EA and DCR.

Acquisition of additional easement is located at the interchanges and crossroads along the I-10 corridor. The process for acquisition of additional new easement is necessary for the construction of the traffic interchanges, and that's been established and is proceeding in concert with Gila River, MAG and ADOT for surveying, appraisals and property owner negotiations.

Easements are scheduled for clearance following the completion of the environmental assessment, DCR. Following

in the -- or starting in the fall of calendar year of '23. The Gila River Bridge, the environmental categorical exclusion is scheduled for completion by fall of 2022 and final design completed this winter. So construction, it is on schedule to start in early calendar year of '23.

2.0

2.3

2.5

So the construction schedule we're looking at is the project will be phased with construction starting at the south end in Pinal County of the corridor in early '24, with construction at the north end, Maricopa County, starting in late FY '24, early FY '25.

So as you can see, there is a lot going on with this project. There's many different aspects of it as we're moving forward that have to be dealt with on many different levels, with different agencies and officials from different governments.

So I just wanted to let you know, Mr. Chair, we're not sitting idly by waiting for that 400 million. There's a lot of background work going on that's taking place. If that appropriation goes through, that money will certainly help move this project along.

So, Mr. Chair, with that, I'll conclude my report and see if there's any questions.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Director.

It certainly was very enjoyable meeting at the ribbon cutting last week, and I certainly do appreciate you

coming up to Flagstaff and then bringing me down. I really enjoyed that, and had very interesting conversation, people like Karla and others that were there from the Congressional (indiscernible) representing certain senators. I really do appreciate that and the opportunity to talk to that.

2.5

And I do appreciate that money that went into that road. And again, we're talking about \$400 million going into I-10. Thinking wouldn't it be nice, wouldn't it be something if -- if that 50 million could also be approved as (indiscernible) the Rural Transportation Advisory Council. There's a lot of work -- these are roads that are in the rural communities. I think most of them are. So -- and that's my comment on that.

So any of the board members (indiscernible)?

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted.

MR. MAXWELL: So Mr. Chair, Director, first up, I want to echo the words on 189, and I think it's really important for us to remember how 189 came to its completion. It was a phenomenal job. Rod, congratulations on that, but it really took statewide effort from the ADOT team to get that done, but it really took a community effort.

You know, long before I was on this board, there were a lot of folks that were traveling to multiple State

Transportation Board meetings encouraging the Board to move 189

into the five-year plan, because it wasn't. It was originally designed in two phases, and the most important phase being that in flyover, when it hits the intersection of I-19, was critical, but there wasn't enough funding for it.

But the community of Nogales came together with the -- both the community, the port authority, the county, they all came together to provided funding through some of the (indiscernible) fees and their fees that they were collecting, come back into the project, and it was a community effort as well to get it done. But it shows -- I think it -- people have to understand is it shows the ability to engage with the Board, the State Transportation Board, impact the five-year plan, and a lot of times it's just a matter of showing up and really -- and staying engaged. So I'd, one, like to compliment the department, the community down there of -- to making it happen.

If you haven't seen it yet, I got the honor of going -- flying all -- or driving all the way over it when I thought I was going to the ribbon cutting site. Then I eventually figured out that I was in the wrong spot and made my way back, but it is truly an impressive piece of infrastructure, and it is going to make a difference down there.

And it wasn't just about the flow of traffic and goods, which is, trust me, the most important -- the economic impact that's going to have and benefit it's going to have is going to be huge, but it also played into the safe -- and the

high school there is now in a much safer position when it comes to traffic going in and out of that area than it was before. So there's so many things that can go into it. So appreciate that.

The other thing I wanted to say regarding (indiscernible), I did testify with Mayor Price and others on the tier three. I wanted to make sure that everybody understands that that was not an ADOT board -- or an ASTB board position. I made it very clear during my testimony that I was there testing -- testifying on behalf of myself and the Southern Arizona Leadership Council and this region. But the Board has not taken an official position (indiscernible), because if this fund's authorized, then it really comes back to where -- how do these items fit into the five-year plan.

So, Director, my -- to get to the question that I have, that I'd like to hear is two-fold. One, can you kind of describe the process of -- a lot of people think the Legislature approves the money, boom, it's done, and it's now (indiscernible) describe the process of what happens after we get that list of items that they have funded, at least partially, and then also just wanted to -- (indiscernible) I-10 was great. I think it would be important to re- -- possibly re-emphasize, but it sounds like everything's moving forward with the Gila River Community, their partners, and they want to see that I-10 happen as well.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Yeah. So thank you,

Mr. Maxwell, for that, and I'm sorry your MiG-29 couldn't get you down to Nogales that day. It was (indiscernible).

2.0

2.5

Anyway, so taking the last question -- well, I'm sorry. I'm just -- let me go back to the other one.

So the Legislature, as you know, on different fronts, they've got a number of bills they're looking at from an appropriations standpoint. Depending on what those projects are and where their state is as far as readiness, it could be, you know, anywhere from one to two to three years before we might actually start construction on those, and that's something we've asked the Rural Transportation Advisory Council for, is more information in that, you know, you're putting these projects forward, but what's the state of readiness? What work has been done on them? You know, is this funding that you're putting forth going to be adequate, because the numbers didn't come from us. And we're not quite sure how they arrived at those.

And as has already been mentioned, construction cost, labor costs, materials cost, everything is going up. And so money appropriated in today, you know, may not be enough for a project coming into the future.

So depending on the project, whether it's located on the state system or if it's a local project that ADOT might be administering, you know, those are all going to have different variabilities as far as starting time and construction and what can be done. So on that aspect, you know, it's hard to

answer your question categorically. So it would depend on each one.

2.0

2.3

2.5

If the budget request for I-10 is approved, as you noted, the work is moving forward. We'll keep moving forward with that work in much the same way, because a lot of this has both state and federal statu timelines. As you note, the projects are moving forward, and that's due to the relationship we've been able to develop with Maricopa Association of Governments, our folks here in Pinal County, certainly from Pima County as contributing, you know, traffic into the project.

So that relationship is strong, and it's our intent to make sure it stays that way, because really, as you point out, it's a community effort. We all had to work together to accomplish that. So, you know, you won't see shovels in the ground if that money is appropriated right away, but that money allows us to start closing up more of the issues that have to be closed up before construction begins.

So on your other one now, I've got to confess, I think I forgot.

MR. MAXWELL: Director, I think you answered (indiscernible) the relationship, the fact that I-10 is moving forward with the Gila River Community's support above it as well, and I think what you mentioned is good for people to understand, because sometimes, especially those who may not be

as familiar with transportation, think that the money's been appropriated --

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Yeah.

2.0

2.5

MR. MAXWELL: -- and it's going to start tomorrow, and it's -- you know, we -- our five-year plan obviously GETS adjusted based on projects that may not be in it that need to be in it, and so I just appreciate you kind of explaining that it is a process.

And I think probably the best takeaway I had from that is the projects that are already doing the groundwork to be ready, to go and move forward are the ones that you can get to as a -- as a department earlier, and so communities are out there trying to work things through the Legislature, and I think that's the future. I think the federal dollars, state dollars, appropriations is how a lot of the new expansion improvements will occur in the -- in infrastructure.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: Right.

MR. MAXWELL: It's really important for those communities and the elected officials, if you've got regional transportation authority, to do the groundwork so that when the funds available, then ADOT can be responsive instead of having to start the process all over.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: And, Mr. Chairman, to that end, I failed to mention the Governor did also request in his executive budget 50 million for rural communities. That is

communities outside of Maricopa and Pima County to have match 1 2 money for grants, and so that money, if it's approved, will be administered by ADOT, and we'll be working closely with the 3 communities on grants and taking a look at what's viable and 4 5 what can be done with those. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, again. 6 7 MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary. 9 MR. KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 I know I've talked with Floyd. I was hoping that 11 next month's meeting in Nogales, we'll be able to on Thursday 12 afternoon maybe have a brief tour for those of us that had 13 conflicts and were unable to attend so that we can kind of --14 MR. HALIKOWSKI: (Indiscernible.) 15 MR. KNIGHT: -- get a tour of what Ted has 16 described. It's got to be a great piece of engineering. we'd like to see that. 17 DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: All right. We'll see what 18 19 we can do to get a tour set up of not only the flyover, 2.0 Mr. Chairman, but if there's any interest, the port of entry and 21 its functioning, too, because one of the main reasons the 22 flyovers were built because of the increasing truck traffic that 2.3 we're seeing from Mexico. And to that point, realize that ADOT has an 24

entire border master plan. We'll be flying down soon to meet

2.5

with some folks from Douglas who want the connector road, because, you know, Mexico is planning to redo the port in Agua Prieta on their side, which would lead to us working with GSA to redo the port on our side, and there's a lot of requests for the connector road to be built at SR-89.

2.5

So we'll be traveling down there to talk with them about timing and feasibility for that. And then, of course, Board Member Knight, I would be remiss if I didn't -- or if I left San Luis and the plans there, because there's a lot of GSA activity there also that we'll be working with, and we both know the pedestrian and commercial and vehicular traffic in San Luis issues. We have to continue addressing those.

So border master plan. We've got some meetings set up with some delegates from Sonora. We've had an excellent relationship with them under the Governor's administration. We'll again keep that moving, because as we know on the border, it takes both sides to make the project viable.

MR. KNIGHT: Thank you, Director. Thank you Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you. Thank you for the comments, and as I've seen, there's numerous number of opportunities as we move forward.

I'd like to see how we can look into those funds and how we can apply those funds (indiscernible) those projects have been coming before us, but we're not able to fund the funds

```
for (indiscernible) looking into it. So we're (indiscernible)
 1
     right now in the rural area, 191 going north from I-40 all the
 2
     way out into Utah. Apparently that is really in need. So if
 3
     these kind of projects come (indiscernible) we need to
 4
 5
     (indiscernible) you know, the grants that might be available out
     there as a funding opportunity.
 6
 7
                    So thank you very much, Director.
 8
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
 9
     and I just want to remind the Board, though, is that we are
10
     competing for those funds on a nationwide basis. So we really
11
     have to make sure that our applications are done well, and the
12
     grants are not free. I mean, the State will have to put up
13
     matching money, and we'll have to watch that closely with our
     state dollars. So thank you, sir.
14
15
                    VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE:
                                           Jesse.
16
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard.
17
                    VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Yeah.
                                                  Director
     Halikowski, will you please copy me on the trip to Douglas so I
18
19
     can attend?
20
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Yes, sir. I think we're
21
     going on the 24th now.
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Are you talking about the trip --
23
     are you -- they're talking about the board meeting. They wanted
     to do the tour --
2.4
```

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Oh, we're going down to

25

```
meet with Supervisor English and --
 1
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Oh, it's on -- yes. It's on March
 2
 3
     24th.
                    MR. HALIKOWSKI: Yeah. It's been bounced around.
 4
 5
     It was later in the month, but the Governor decided he needed
     the airplane more than me, and I couldn't talk him out of it.
 6
 7
                    VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: If you'd copy me on that,
 8
     I'd appreciate it. Thank you.
 9
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: We'll do that, sir. Thank
10
     you.
11
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you again for the
12
     comments made by the Board as well as the report.
13
                    John, thank you.
14
                    Let's go on to the next item. That's Item 2,
15
     District Engineer's Report, Rod Lane, Southcentral District.
16
                    Rod.
17
                    MR. LANE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of
     the Board. First of all, it's been quite a long time since I've
18
19
     stood in front of this body to do a presentation, so very
2.0
     excited to do that. Nice to see you all in person. Nice to see
21
     everybody's face. I really just enjoy this very much, so thank
22
     you for the opportunity. Onward and upward.
2.3
                    To the next slide, please.
24
                    So you've all been talking about 189. Very
2.5
     appreciative. It is an excellent project down there.
                                                            We
```

completed that. I want to talk about ADOT's -- the Southcentral District's recent successes, and that's the top one that we've got going on down here now. It was a design build by Ames/Horrocks. Construction value of \$82 million with ADOT's GEC, general engineering consultant, being AECOM.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, you've all talked recently about how successful this project is, and I think it's a fantastic project. I think I would be remiss if I didn't take this moment to let you know some of the excellent people that have worked on this, this project. There's three specific ones that I really wanted to point out. I'm just the fortunate one that sits in this chair. These are the folks that did all the work, did all the pushing, really got this thing done. The main one being Jeremy Moore, one of my assistant district engineers, was just excellent on this project. Annette Riley, the project manager that works out of Phoenix, also just top-notch on this job. And then our person in the field representing ADOT down there, Chris Page. Again, excellent. Those are the three that really pushed this project forward and really deserve the Kudos more than anybody else. They were the one that got the calls in the middle of the night and so on. So just excellent, excellent example of a successful project done by them.

On to the next slide, please.

Another project that we have in the area, in this vicinity, is the Ina -- excuse me -- the Ruthrauff Road traffic

interchange at Camino Del Cerro, and that was completed about four or five months ago, a contract by Sundt Construction for \$80 million. It was a low bid/build job with an A plus B component, which means the contractor also bid time. It's a new diamond interchange with the bridge over the Union Pacific Railroad and also Highway Drive. A very successful project. Went very well.

Next slide, please.

2.0

2.5

And then the third success that I really wanted to bring up was the Houghton Road interchange, kind of on the south side of town as you're heading out east on I-10, and that was a contract that was done by Ames Construction for 23.4 million, with a delivery method, just a standard low bid delivery method, but the unique thing about this is this is the region's first diverging diamond. So we've got a few diverging diamonds all over the state, but this was our first, and it seems to be functioning really well. The project went really well. The community seems pretty excited about it, so we're pretty pleased with that one.

On to the next slide, please.

Now we'll get into the existing construction that we have going on in the Southcentral District.

This is a rehab of a bridge deck in Sahuarita at the -- on I-19 where we're removing a bridge deck and a box girder structure. So that's an interesting project, because

obviously when you remove the top of a box girder, you kind of really unstabilize the whole structure, which is why all of that shoring is underneath there, to do that. And we've also combined this project with a -- with a pavement preservation project there in the same area. So Fisher Sand and Gravel is doing that project for \$13.12 million, and we expect to have that one done in early 2022.

Next slide, please.

2.0

2.3

2.5

SR-77 has got a lot of work going on it right now. We've got two projects going through town that are combined in one contract, and that's what we're talking about here. One of them goes from I-10 to River. Then the other one goes from River to Calle Concordia. It's all advertised and was bid as one contract being done by Granite Construction for a value of 34.37 million. Low bid delivery. We expect to have that one done in about a year from now. It's moving along very nicely. It's a big stretch of road, about 10 miles.

Next slide, please.

And then the other section of SR-77 that's just starting is going from the end of that job, so Calle Concordia up to the Tangerine Road portion, and that's being done by Sunland Construction, for a value of 11.8 million, .77 million. Low bid project. We expect to have that one done in about a year, and the good thing about the combination of all of these jobs is it now really completes SR-77 being reconstructed all

the way within Pima County. So in the last five years or so, we've constructed -- reconstructed on I-10 for -- excuse me -- SR-77 all the way from I-10, all the way up to the Pinal County line has all been completely redone within those last five years.

Next slide, please.

2.3

A project in the vicinity down here, kind of right outside the door is going to be a pavement preservation on I-10 from Marana or Tangerine to Ina Road. That one's just kicking off now. We just had our partnering a couple weeks ago. FNF Construction is doing that for a value of 6.3 million. Regular standard low bid/build, and we expect to have that one done this summer. It should go pretty quick while school is out.

Next slide, please.

And on SR-86. Sunland Asphalt is also just kicking off a small pavement perseveration project that been delivered by design/bid/build. That's SR-86 Kinney to I-19. This also kind of completes SR-86. If you'll recall, a couple years ago, a few years ago, we completed SR-86 all the way from the airport to Kinney. Now this one will take it from Kinney to I-19. So again, a full kind of reconstruct of SR-86 in that whole area.

Next slide, please.

I-10B has got a -- got a bridge reconstruction

project, modernization on I-10B down in the Benson area. A complete reconstruction of that bridge, but it's off the main line I-10. That's being done by FNF Construction. We'll have that one done this fall as well.

Next slide.

2.0

2.5

We've got an ITS infrastructure project that's kicking off to take broadband down along I-19, from I-10 to the U.S. border. That's a design/bid/build project. So it's just kicking off, like I said. The contractor/designer is Sundt Construction, and Kimley-Horn is the design firm, for a value of \$14.98 million, and that's got about a year to go. The design is just kicking off on that one, but the construction should go pretty quick.

Next slide.

SR-77 -- or SR-79 has kind of a unique bridge construction method that's happening. This was -- this job is to replace the bridge over the Gila River, just north of Florence, being done by FNF Construction for a contract amount of 22.15 million. It was delivered by our construction manager at risk method. We expect to have this one done by 2023.

This is theoretically one of the methods that's being considered for the reconstruction of the I-10 bridge over the Gila River. So if you look at those slides, you can see that that top corner section on the upper left, that's how we're going to build it.

1 2

2.3

So we're basically going to build a new substructure underneath the existing bridge, put two new bridges on the outside and let traffic go on the center. Okay? Then when we finish the two new bridges on the outside, we'll put the traffic on the outside. We'll demolish that middle section, which you'll see in that -- in that second thing. Then we'll start -- we'll keep -- put traffic on the other side. We'll slide the one bridge over, put traffic to the slide (sic), slide the other bridge over and then cut off the edge of the piers.

So that's kind of the method that we're looking at for constructing the Gila River. Hopefully it will be successful here and we can use that same process on I-10 when we move forward with that one.

Next bridge -- next slide, please.

SR-287, that should be a K, not an -- or that should be an S, not a K. Sorry about that. It should be SR-287 project from SR-87 to SR-79B. That's a pavement preservation project up in Pinal County between Coolidge and Florence. That's just getting kicked off as well. We expect to have that one done this summer.

Next slide, please.

More work going on up in Pinal County area as several bridge preservation and preservation projects, again, in the Florence/Coolidge area, Highline Canal and McClellan Wash and a bridge over the Santa Cruz Wash. We expect to have that

```
work done this summer as well.
 1
 2
                    Next slide, please.
                    And that would be the end of my presentation.
 3
     would like to point out that you are in U of A territory down
 4
     here, and we do have two basketball teams in the NCAA
 5
     tournament. So I couldn't -- I think I would be remiss if I
 6
 7
     didn't say that. So are there any questions?
 8
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Does any member have
 9
     questions for Rod Lane?
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Rod, you can't do it and not say
11
     when the game is. Now everybody's wondering, hey, when's the
12
     game?
13
                    MR. LANE: The game is tonight at 4:30, I think.
14
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 4:30. 4:30.
                    MR. LANE: You're welcome to come to my house.
15
16
     I've got some people coming over.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Who are they playing?
                    MR. LANE: They are playing -- Baylor? Is it
18
19
     Baylor?
2.0
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           Wright State.
21
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           No.
22
                    MR. MAXWELL: Wright State.
23
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're going to play
2.4
     tomorrow at 7:00.
25
                    MR. LANE: Okay.
```

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And again on Monday. 1 2 MR. ROEHRICH: Go Wildcats (indiscernible.) MR. LANE: Very exciting for this region. 3 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Sounds good. Any board 4 5 member? Richard. 6 7 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Rod, just two things. 8 Time goes by fast when you're having fun, but it seems to me like the Ruthrauff project got done a whole lot quicker than the 9 10 Ina Road project. Was it just due to the complexity or was I 11 just missing something? 12 MR. LANE: I believe they were about the same I'm trying to remember. Ruthrauff was done with A plus 13 time. 14 B, so in a A plus B method, you bid time. So there's an 15 accelerant. It's been such a long time. I believe Ina was also 16 done -- no. Ina was a CMAR. Ina was a construction manager at 17 risk. So the contractor did not necessarily bid the time component. So there might have been -- Ruthrauff would have 18 19 been done quicker in that case. 2.0 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. And the other item 21 is the I-10 Gila River Bridge --22 MR. LANE: Uh-huh. 2.3 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: -- that will be in your district? 2.4 2.5 It will not be -- no. That is outside MR. LANE:

my district. 1 2 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted. 3 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 Rod, you're doing a great job. A lot of construction going on down here. That's great to see. 6 7 I did have a question. With the diverging 8 diamond, like you said, it's the first of the kind here. 9 definitely unique. Have -- since it's been open, how is the 10 safety numbers on that bridge look? 11 MR. LANE: I honestly haven't had a chance to look at the safety numbers on it. It's only been open for a few 12 13 months. 14 MR. MAXWELL: Right. 15 MR. LANE: So I'm not sure if that's a good 16 enough sampling to get anything, but we'll certainly be 17 notified. We'll certainly keep an eye on it, and it's supposed to be much safer. It eliminates that left turn conflict as you 18 19 get on there. So it certainly does minimize that. 2.0 MR. MAXWELL: And I think the more that we see of 21 them, we'll be better off, because people will start getting 22 more used to them than -- especially you have -- the nighttime 2.3 drivers, the people that are driving after they've worked all 24 day and (indiscernible) be a factor, and as we know, there's a

lot of other TIs that probably in the next 10 to 15 years will

also be being replaced, and so if we do more of that, I think we 1 2 need to watch the safety side of it, too. MR. LANE: Yes. And the diverging diamond is 3 kind of a typical one that we look at, whether it's a good 4 5 option for those -- for those traffic interchange replacements. MR. MAXWELL: Thank you again. Great job on the 6 7 great projects that are coming to fruition, a lot of them. 8 MR. LANE: Thank you. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody else? Anyone else? 10 Okay. Before we go on to the next item on the 11 agenda, this board is very good about wanting to listen to the public. So I understand -- let's go back to call to the public. 12 13 Floyd, we do have an individual standing by? 14 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, we did receive an 15 online request to speak at the start of the meeting, and I -- so 16 what I'd like to do, based upon your opening call to the 17 audience, I would ask that Mr. Raymond Smith, who said he will be contact -- or attending the meeting through phone, to please 18 19 press star three on your phone to raise your hand, and then the 20 Webex host will unmute your line, and you will have three 21 minutes to make your comments. 22 So, Mr. Smith, will you please raise your hand? WEBEX HOST: Mr. Smith, I have sent a request to 23 2.4 unmute your line. You are unmuted. 25 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Smith, are you there?

Mr. Smith, your line is unmuted at this time. 1 2 MR. SMITH: Good morning. This is Mr. Smith. 3 Can you hear me? MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. We can. Please go 4 ahead and make your comments. 5 MR. SMITH: Yes. Actually, I was just listening 6 7 in. I don't have the agenda, and I know that there is that 8 statement on there when I registered. It said stick with the 9 agenda topics, but I appreciate that and -- but I'd like to continue to listen in on the dialogue. And I know that we have 10 11 some projects within our area, and I don't want to go off topic 12 if I don't have the agenda. So I appreciate the time, and I know that 13 14 Mr. Jesse Thompson works well with the Nahata Dziil community, 15 and I just wanted to introduce myself. I'm Raymond Smith, 16 Junior. I'm the Navajo Nation Council delegate for the areas 17 around I-40, (indiscernible), Houck, Sanders, which is also known as Nahata Dziil. Anyway (indiscernible) the gentlemen and 18 19 staff and ladies that are here on the phone with this meeting. 2.0 Thank you very much. 21 MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Smith, this is Floyd 22 Roehrich. That statement pertains to the -- to the board 2.3 members that they can't deliberate topics that are brought up, 24 but during call to the audience, you have the right to bring up

any transportation-related project or discussion or comments

```
that you want. It's not limited to you. That is limited to
 1
 2
     basically the Board and staff. So if you put in a request
     because you had something you wish to present to the
 3
     Transportation Board on any topic, you have the ability to do
 4
 5
     that.
                    So I will -- now that you know that, I'll give
 6
 7
     you and we'll restart your three minutes. If you have other
 8
     comments that you wanted to make, you have the ability to make
 9
     those, and now is your time.
10
                    Mr. Smith, are you still there?
11
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Muted again.
12
                    WEBEX HOST: Mr. Smith, your line is remuted.
           There you go.
13
     Yes.
14
                    MR. SMITH: Okay. I just got unmuted here.
15
                    Thank you, sir, for that information.
                    Yes, we do have concerns within our area.
16
17
     There's an exit right there at (indiscernible) Road, and folks
     are always wanting to have that -- it was the Querino Bridge,
18
19
     and it's been there for -- I guess since the late '30s, maybe,
20
     during the time when Route 66 used to go through there, and it's
21
     a one-lane bridge, and that bridge is -- you know, it's starting
22
     to crack and there's signs of deterioration. And at one time
23
     the Arizona DPS had rerouted the traffic, because there was an
24
     accident on I-40, and they sent semis across there, and I don't
```

think that bridge is capable of holding all that massive weight,

25

and fortunately, nobody had an accident on that bridge. That's one concern we have, and I brought that our -- Jesse Thompson, the Chair. I think that's who the Chair at the time. I met with him.

2.5

And the community of Houck has used that road on a daily basis, and they're quite concerned about the safety on the bridge, and they're wondering if -- who owns that bridge, and they're wondering who can help replace that bridge, and how do we get on, you know, the radar for that to be known that there is a concern for safety, because that's a bus route, too, and everybody uses that bridge, and we don't want to close it down unless it's mandated by safety procedures. So that's the main concern that I want to bring forth.

And the other one too is the weigh station right there in Sanders, it is a -- it gets congested and it is -- it is dangerous, and we're looking at Exit 320 to improve and to help with the aspects of getting the Arizona Department of Transportation inspection station may be facilitated out there.

So with those two, those are the concerns that I have right now, and I will continue to listen in, and I'm glad that I was invited in this meeting. My LDA, (indiscernible) Hardy, who's given me that information. So I appreciate that, gentlemen and ladies. So this time frame, so that's the big concern is that Querino Bridge. I know that I'm working with Navajo Department of Transportation on that, Bureau of Indian

1	Affairs. We're trying to find out who actually owns so that we
2	can address that. So thank you.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Delegate Smith.
4	Floyd, any others?
5	MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, no. That is all the
6	requests that we have.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Again, thank you for those
8	comments, Delegate Smith.
9	Now we will move on to Item 3, consent agenda.
10	Does any member want any item removed from the consent? If not,
11	do I have a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented?
12	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: So moved.
13	MR. KNIGHT: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Richard and second
15	by Gary. Any discussion?
16	All in favor say aye.
17	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
19	Floyd, conduct roll call for board members
20	attending remotely.
21	MR. ROEHRICH: So for the board members remotely,
22	so we can make sure that we captured your vote, for the record,
23	I will ask Ms. Daniels.
24	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
25	MR. ROEHRICH: And Mr. Meck.

1 MR. MECK: Aye. 2 MR. ROEHRICH: The motion passes. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion passes. 3 Now we will now move on to the financial report 4 5 with Kristine Ward, Item 4, for information and discussion only. Kristine. 6 7 MS. WARD: Good morning. Good morning, board 8 members. So I'm here --9 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning. 10 MS. WARD: -- to give you your update on the 11 status of the funding that supports the five-year program. Rhett or -- if we could go to the next slide. 12 13 That's great. Thank you. 14 So year to date, we are 3.7 percent behind 15 forecast for HURF, and what that means in terms of the impact to 16 the program is -- that represents about 16 million below 17 estimate for the impact to the State Highway Fund. So this is not a concern at this point. The issue that is really -- we're 18 19 seeing some significant variations on are -- besides VLT, which 2.0 I've reported to you a little last month, we're also seeing in refunds in diesel tax. So you'll see that we had a strong 21 22 decline in that month that -- this month, seven and a half 2.3 percent. Moderate growth for the year, but what -- what is --2.4 what got us this month was really diesel tax. 2.5 So if we could move on to the next slide.

You'll see that here when we see -- this chart depicts February's revenues, and you can see use fuel taxes

2.0

2.3

2.5

Moving on to the next slide.

running about seven and a half percent behind forecast.

So this will sound a little unusual, but happy holidays, because what you're seeing now for the Regional Area Road Fund depicts the activity for the month of December. So we -- that's where we would see our holiday shopping and so forth. And the common word throughout the entirety of this slide is strong growth for this month. We are running 3.7 percent above forecast year to date. That is an impact to the freeway funding of about \$7.8 million above forecast.

If you'd go to the next slide for me, Rhett.

So those highlighted items, each one of those highlighted items represents the highest monthly total on record. We experienced some very startlingly high increases for January, over January of 2021. Looking specifically, if you see restaurant and bar, 43 percent of the prior year. Of course, we had COVID hitting the numbers back in 2021, but still, retail, 18.3 percent over what we experienced last year.

If you'll move on to -- actually, Rhett, if you'll move past this next slide so I can go straight into the federal program.

I wanted to give you an update of where we are in terms of the funding since last -- since I reported last month.

We did receive -- and actually, this date is incorrect. So we're received our supplementary tables that identify the suballocations. We received those on February 23rd, not March 14th. I apologize for that date being incorrect. And what suballocations -- what we needed from those tables in these supplementary tables is they identify suballocations which tell us the amount of funds that are required to be used on various -- on projects in certain areas based on that area's population.

2.0

2.3

2.5

The other item that we had been awaiting is the federal budget getting passed, and on Tuesday, President Biden signed the budget that essentially provides the funding for the infrastructure bill. What that means -- if we could go to the next slide, Rhett. Thanks so much.

Now what we are waiting for is now that that -that budget has been passed, now FHWA will begin the
calculations for the funding levels for each state, and that's
known as Obligation Authority. That's what's going to tell us
the amount of funding we've got available in Arizona. We're
also waiting additional rules and guidance, and when do we
anticipate having some of that information? FHWA is estimating
that they will have the funding calculations completed by the
end of this month. Once they complete those, those
calculations, we will then take them and break them down and
build them into our federal model. So I anticipate if not by
next board meeting, the following, having more thorough figures

for you as to any adjustments we can do to the program. 1 2 With that, I would be happy to take any questions. 3 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Does any member have any 4 5 questions for Kristine? Ted. 6 7 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 8 Kristine, one question on the suballocation. 9 that's where you learned where some funds have to be expended 10 based on areas and project types and issues like that. Do those 11 funds still get appropriated or used by ADOT, or are those 12 actually funds that are then distributed to the -- to the other areas and the communities for use? 13 14 MS. WARD: That varies, sir. There are some, 15 like, take, for instance, in MAG, we have some direct 16 suballocations, and in other situations there are areas in which 17 ADOT -- they remain with ADOT. We work with the -- with the local entities, and we program projects in those areas. 18 19 Does that answer your question, sir? 2.0 MR. MAXWELL: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any other questions? 22 Thank you, Kristine. I appreciate your report. 2.3 MS. WARD: Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And you will keep us updated 2.5 as things move forward.

MS. WARD: Yes, sir. Absolutely. 1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Again, thank you. We will now move on to Agenda Item 5, with Paul 3 Patane, for discussion and possible action. 4 5 Good morning, Paul. MR. PATANE: Good morning, Mr. Chair, board 6 7 members. Thank you. 8 Paul Patane, Multimodal Planning Division, and 9 today I'd like to give you an update on the areas of tribal 10 transportation, some planning activities that we have ongoing, 11 and also the current or the tentative 2023 program, specifically 12 on the public participation component that's just started. 13 Next slide, please. 14 So our first update on the tribal transportation 15 matters is related to the Transportation Working Group. This 16 forum enables federal transportation officials, along with state 17 officials and tribal representatives, to get together to have discussion over transportation related matters. 18 19 The first -- they had their first -- their second 2.0 meeting last week on March 10th, and it was represented by -- 12 tribes represented at the meeting. The Bureau of Indian Affairs 21 22 made transportation on the Tribal Transportation Program, along 2.3 with the Tribal Road Maintenance Program. 24 The next meeting is scheduled for -- on April

7th, just to have ongoing communication related to items related

to the tribal matters.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Next slide, please.

This is the upcoming Transportation Traffic
Safety Summit and Injury Prevention. This is scheduled for
November -- this November 2022. The summit will focus on
strategies and resources that prevent reduced tribal injuries
and crashes along the tribal routes. Potential representatives
at this meeting will be ADOT, Arizona Department of Health
Services, Department of Public Safety, the Governor's Office of
Highway Safety, and along with the Federal Highway
Administration.

Next slide, please.

It's another forum with -- for the tribal transportation. So the USDOT, FHWA and the Volpe Center. The focus here would be to have a peer exchange with other states on tribal affairs. Some of the states that will be participating is Arizona, New Mexico, Louisiana and Oklahoma. ADOT communications along with the MPD tribal liaisons will be participating in this peer exchange as well.

Next slide, please.

Before I go on for -- into the planning updates just a couple comments on the tribal liaisons. I think many of you know Ermalinda Gene. She'll be leaving the department April 1st, and she'll be replaced by Paula Brown, the new tribal liaison, who started last week.

So some of the updates. Some of the required deliverables that we have as an agency and the board. The first one is the update on the Long Range Transportation Plan. The purpose of the plan is to, you know -- the 20-year vision of our needs, the available revenues, along with the investment priorities as far as the state highway system, along with the performance. It's policy oriented rather than project specific, and it's required to be completed every five years, and currently we're -- the solicitation is out on the street, and we're looking to get proposals at the end of this month to evaluate and have a consultant begin to prepare that document.

Next slide, please.

2.0

2.5

The next deliverable is we're updating the statewide freight plan. Okay? It's -- again, it's required every five years, and the focus of the freight plan is to get a good handle on short-term and long-term investment strategies for highways and infrastructure. It's a federal requirement, along with the state requirement as well that this plan is completed every five years. It is currently underway, and we anticipate completion toward the fall of this year.

Next slide, please.

So a little update on the transportation program.

Again, the public comment period started today, and it goes
through June 2nd, of summer. And so there's -- this will be
followed up by -- there will be a public hearing in May, that

the public hearing will be -- you can attend virtually or there
will be in -- you can -- accommodations to attend in person.

This will be held at the Salt River Indian -- Salt River Pima
Maricopa Indian Community area.

2.0

2.3

2.5

And so there's many ways to participate this year with virtual comments. We have the website there. We have -- you can email us. You can send us letters. You know, we even have a bilingual phone set up where we can solicit comments. So, you know, this -- really getting the word out there there's -- you're know, hopefully we'll get a lot of participation this year. And there's the link there for the actual the plan. The tentative program's available. And so we're just looking forward to moving forward with this new plan for this year.

That's all I have today.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Does any member of the Board have a question for Paul?

We appreciate that, Paul.

MR. PATANE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And trying to get information out to everyone on there that needs to make comment on the five-year plan. Really appreciate it, and I understand that there's going to be some traveling to different locations regarding some of the concerns that you have. So we do appreciate that. Thank you very much.

1	MR. PATANE: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We will now move on to Item
3	6, PPAC items, with Paul.
4	Paul.
5	MR. PATANE: Mr. Chair, board members, thank you.
6	We're just going over the items for PPAC that we're requesting
7	approval on. So we're requesting approval on Item 6A for the
8	project modifications for SR-80 at the San Pedro River Bridge.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion to approve
10	PPAC modification Item 6A that's presented?
11	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: I'll make the motion.
12	MR. KNIGHT: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard motions and then Gary
14	seconds that.
15	Any discussions?
16	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Jesse, if I could.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Richard.
18	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: This is the bridge at
19	Saint David, correct?
20	MR. PATANE: It's at San Pedro River Bridge.
21	Yes.
22	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Yeah, but at the community
23	of Saint David.
24	MR. PATANE: I'm not absolutely sure if it's at
25	Saint David.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It is.
2	MR. PATANE: It is.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
4	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. And this is driven
5	by just the inflationary costs we're looking at?
6	MR. PATANE: Yeah. The estimate was from 2020, a
7	couple years old. So the increased costs caused us to have to
8	increase the budget.
9	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. And do we remember
10	where this is in the five-year plan?
11	MR. PATANE: We're at stage four. I don't have
12	the exact date. I'm assuming it would be 2022.
13	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. Thank you.
14	MR. PATANE: (Indiscernible) fiscal year, but I
15	can follow up, Mr. Searle.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: There's a motion by Richard,
17	second by Gary to approve Item 6A as presented. All in favor
18	say aye.
19	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
21	Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members
22	attending remotely.
23	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels.
24	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
25	MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Meck.

1	MR. MECK: Aye.
2	MR. ROEHRICH: The motion carries.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. Thank you
4	for your vote.
5	MR. PATANE: Mr. Chairman, if I could follow up
6	with Mr. Searle's question, yes, the project is currently
7	advertised for June of this year.
8	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. PATANE: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Now going on to going to
11	Item 6B to 6G.
12	Paul.
13	MR. PATANE: Yes, Mr. Chair, board members.
14	Requesting Item 6B through 6H, new projects for approval.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is that 6H or 6G?
16	MR. PATANE: 6B through 6
17	MR. ROEHRICH: 6G. It would be 6B through 6G
18	MR. PATANE: 6G.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
20	MR. ROEHRICH: is the project.
21	MR. PATANE: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Is there a motion to
23	approve PPAC projects Items 6B through 6G as presented?
24	MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, I have an question on
25	Item 6G.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary.

MR. KNIGHT: That's for EV stations, and this is with the BIL grant, I understand. Does that require any matching state funds to do this project?

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, that is to fund the study that the Director said is due August 1st. This fund is to develop the statewide implementation plan that ADOT has to submit before we can leverage those funds that were in the IIJA, specifically for EV.

So what we want to do is use some of our existing federal aid funds with the state match, that's our normal match, you know, with 94 to 6 percent or whatever, in order to get the study completed, but we've not -- we will not be able to expend any of those funds specifically for EVs until that plan is submitted and approved by the Federal Highway Administration.

MR. KNIGHT: Okay. Well, here's my concern: Of course, it says -- under description of the budget items, it says privatization, which I totally agree with, because we don't do studies and build gas stations, and these EV charging stations, I don't think should be -- I don't think that state funds that we could use for highway infrastructure should be used for a study or whatever this is to establish the EV charge stations. That's the private sector that should do that.

MR. HALIKOWSKI: So Mr. --

That's -- in my opinion --

2.5

2.0

2.3

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

MR. KNIGHT: -- or if the -- or if the federal government wants those stations in place, then they need to pay for it, and I don't think we should have to use taxpayer dollars that should go to the roads to have anything to do with the electrical vehicle charging. That's my concern.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight your point is well taken. I'll invite Mr. Byres up to the podium with the Chair's permission. My understanding of the way the EV program works is that we have to do this study by the -- by August of 20- -- by August of this year. Those costs are reimbursable from the federal government, but until we complete the study, we can't apply for the reimbursement. So we won't be expending state money once we're reimbursed for the study, but initially, the outlay does have to come from us.

As far as the privatization issue, again, very good points. Certainly points we've been discussing, but it's too soon to tell exactly what model we're going to follow, but certainly, you know, a public-private partnership between the department or between maybe another agency of the state and folks who do this corporation to -- who put, you know, fuel charging stations in might be the way to go.

There are other factors that can affect that, because I think a good 46 percent of the charging stations have to go into low income areas, according to the feds. I'm not quite sure how that's going to play out yet. So all of these

things are right now, I would say, in the mixer, but Greg, correct me, but I believe we are reimbursed for the costs.

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Director, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight.

MR. KNIGHT: Uh-huh.

2.3

2.5

MR. ROEHRICH: There's a little confusion here, and I want to first clarify that. So the Director was talking about the EV program, and he's absolutely correct on that.

Mr. Knight's referencing that the funds to pay for this is coming from a sub item within our five-year program called privatization. Well, it's actually privatization through the P3 program. It's a subprogram that we have of state funds that we use to evaluate projects or to evaluate potential public-private partnership contracts. So in the program, subprogram, it's called a privatization of the P3 fund. So that's what you're looking at.

Your discussion on the policy statement is relevant, and it's probably something that we need to address taking forward, but addressing your comment about this privatization, this is just a suballocated source within the five-year program where the funds are coming from, but they are state funds. We are paying for it, and it is eligible to be reimbursed back from the Federal Highway Administration.

Now, the larger discussion on policy if we should be doing EVs, that is going to come out during the study process

```
and in discussions with the FHWA, USDOT, moving forward.
 1
 2
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So, Mr. Chair, Kristine
     just sent me a text on state match.
 3
                    Kristine, could you click in? I want to make
 4
 5
     sure we cover all bases on this thing.
                    MS. WARD: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
                    So to -- Floyd's -- to carry on from Floyd's
 8
     point, yes, we are -- what's funding the plan is existing
 9
     federal funds with our state match. When we look to get
10
     reimbursed, when we -- after we have filed for that plan, and
11
     the EV funds are then available to us, the match on those funds
12
     will be 20 percent, as I understand it presently. So it's --
13
     that -- that's the information I have today.
14
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: But Kristine, to that
15
     point, that match could come from somewhere else, correct?
16
     doesn't have to come from ADOT funds. The private sector could
17
     put it in.
                    MS. WARD: That is correct. There -- you could
18
     -- the private sector could supply that 20 percent match.
19
20
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So it's -- again,
     Mr. Knight, it's -- all this stuff is just in the mixer as we're
21
     trying to respond to the feds' deadline of August 2022.
22
     as I said before, (indiscernible), but hopefully this clarifies
23
24
     (indiscernible).
25
                    MR. KNIGHT: Thank you.
```

And as long as we're being reimbursed for those funds. I just -- you know, until we start (indiscernible) funding gas stations and hydrogen fuel stations and all the rest that the private sector is doing now and should be doing, I don't think we should be submitting our state funds, except at a reimbursable fashion.

2.0

2.3

2.5

On electric vehicle charge stations, those should be done strictly by the private sector. They're going to reap the profit from selling the electricity to charge the cars. That's the way it's supposed to work. That's the way it should work, and I just can't -- you know, I can't agree with the state having to fund EV stations. It just doesn't make sense. We might be able to provide parking places and where they can put an EV at some rest stops. That's -- you know, that's fine, but to actually fund the EV station itself, I don't think that's something that ADOT should be doing. I think those moneys should be spent on the roads where it belongs.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So to that point,
Mr. Chairman, we'll continue to look at all of those.
Unfortunately, for rest stops, unless you give it away for free,
you can't establish any new charging station there that you're
going to ask people to pay for, because we'd run into conflict
with federal law of vending a product at the rest stops, which
is essentially why we can't allow (inaudible) in general in
there. And just to add to the volatility, no pun intended,

there's a bill introduced in Congress to appropriate 200 million 1 2 to study hydrogen. So more on this to come. 3 MR. KNIGHT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Gary. I think a 4 5 lot of policies and regulations need to be looked at, as well as the concern presented by Gary here. So we're going to plan to 6 7 continue that discussion, and I'm assuming that the answer 8 (indiscernible). 9 Thank you, Gary. 10 Richard, you want to be part of the discussion here? 11 12 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Yes. Just got to simplify 13 It was my understanding this plan is to identify areas things. 14 that need charging stations. Is that --15 MR. ROEHRICH: No, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Searle. 16 This plan is to -- for ADOT to put together conceptually how we 17 would expect to move forward with using the EV funds that are provided as part of the infrastructure bill. What would be an 18 19 implementation plan, will it be something that is -- has been 2.0 identified here from public policy, private industry? You know, how -- what does ADOT intend to do in order to meet the 21 22 requirement to get EV stations installed along our alternative fuel corridors. 2.3 24 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Okay. I would probably 2.5 echo Gary's sentiment that we shouldn't be in the charging

business.

2.3

2.5

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So again, Mr. Chairman, point well taken. We're not in the fuel business, as you know. There are people that sell Big Gulps and Slurpees and hot dogs that know where people want to fill up, and they've been very successful at it. But the federal government has said to the DOTs and the states, We're giving you this amount of money. You guys go come up with a plan on how to put it -- how to build it out to, you know, enable charging stations. So how that gets done is still undecided, but that's essentially what we're supposed to do in the plan.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted

MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

So, again, I just want to clarify what this plan is. It's a plan of how we're going to expend --

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: (Inaudible.) And people that sell chocolate sundaes.

MR. MAXWELL: Yeah, those too.

We're -- it's a plan -- we have to devise how we're going to spend the money that they're going to distribute via the bill. That's really what the plan's about. It's not necessarily an endorsement of -- to the point -- it's not necessarily an endorsement of we need this. It's just how we're going to use the funding that will come to us if we have this

plan. If we don't have this plan, then none of that funding will come to us.

2.3

2.5

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: But that is correct. We will not be able to use any of those funds.

MR. MAXWELL: But it doesn't mean the funds won't be expended in other states.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: That's, again, a point well taken.

MR. ROEHRICH: That's up to the USDOTs.

MR. MAXWELL: So, I mean, I might have questions about the cost of this plan to develop. It seems like an awful loot for a plan that we're really, my opinion, filling a square, to make sure that Arizona does get its fair share of this funding coming forward, and that's -- I mean, I think that in and of itself is reason to do the plan. But I just hope we're not, again, putting so much cost and expenditure in developing the plan that could maybe be simplified just through the department as well.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So this is, Mr. Chairman, an issue all the states are dealing with, and we're watching very closely, because we don't necessarily need to re-invent the wheel. We're looking at if other states are coming up with good ideas, we're discussing this in our national organization. We're trying to make sure we do this in a very deliberate, commonsense fashion.

1	MR. MAXWELL: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Director.
3	Other board members for discussion?
4	Gary.
5	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Mr. Chair, as with that
6	being said, the I would move to approve project items
7	Agenda Items 6B through 6G.
8	MR. MAXWELL: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: There is a motion by Gary,
10	seconded by Board Member Ted. Any discussion?
11	All in favor say aye.
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
14	Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members
15	attending remotely.
16	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels.
17	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
18	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
19	MR. MECK: Aye.
20	MR. ROEHRICH: The motion passes, Board Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Motion carries.
22	Thank you, board members.
23	Now, going on to the next item, airport
24	development.
25	Paul.

1	MR. PATANE: Mr. Chair, board members, I'm
2	requesting approval of Item 6H for your consideration.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion to approve
4	airport development program project Item 6H as presented?
5	MR. KNIGHT: So moved.
6	MS. DANIELS: So moved.
7	MR. KNIGHT: Take her.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Motion by Jenn.
9	MR. KNIGHT: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Garry is the second then.
11	Any discussion?
12	All in favor say aye.
13	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
15	Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members
16	attending remotely.
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels.
18	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
19	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
20	MR. MECK: Aye
21	MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries, and thank you
23	from all the board members for voting.
24	And moving on to item Agenda Item 7, state
25	engineer's report for with Greg Byres. Information

discussion only.

2.0

2.3

2.5

Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, Board Members. Before I get going with what I had on some items, I would like to kind of touch on a couple of things.

One, as far as the IIJA goes or BIL goes, there's a massive amount of discretionary dollars that the Director had spoken about earlier. ADOT has a very well-established grant program that we've put together over the last few years, but it's set up for what we've had in the past.

With the 24 new programs coming aboard, we're looking at an awful lot coming through and hitting this grant program, whether it is grants that ADOT is trying to pursue or grants that are being pursued by other agencies or other entities within the state, where they're wanting us to either help submit those grants or provide support for those grants.

So what we're doing right now is we're starting to beef up that grant program before we get inundated, rather we're trying to be proactive. So we're already starting that process. So we're projecting that there's going to be at least a few notice of funding opportunities coming out within the next couple weeks, which are going to be extensive. So we're trying to get that done as quick as we can. So that was one thing.

The other thing is the Director had also mentioned the smart program that the Governor had -- has

initiated and is currently going through the Legislature. That has to be administered through ADOT. So we are also starting to put together how that's going to work if it does pass the Legislature so that we're not behind the eight ball when it gets here. So, again, we're trying to be proactive. That will also fall in line with our grant program since the two are basically tied together. So just wanted to kind of give you guys an idea of what we're doing before things start hitting the fan. just wanted to put that (inaudible) --DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Chairman, Greg, since you're --MR. BYRES: Yes.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: -- clarifying my remarks, there was a question on if the 400 million for I-10 comes through, what are the next steps there?

MR. BYRES: So on the 400 million, if we get that money coming in, we already have a plan in hand as far as what we're looking at for trying to initialize projects, but one of the big things that we're looking at is the -- the entire project is getting close to about \$900 million. That's for the entire corridor.

So one of the things that we're looking at is at the INFRA grant, which is one of the (indiscernible) that's getting ready to come out, as well as the MEGA project grant program that's getting ready to come out, we're looking at

utilizing that \$400 million as a base in which that we can use 1 2 to try and attract those federal dollars. That way we can -instead of having to piecemeal the project going through, we can 3 have the entire corridor funded, and that way we can actually 4 5 expedite construction to try and get everything done and in a relatively quick manner. So that's a big push. If we get that, 6 7 that's going to be huge, because it really will allow us to have 8 a competitive grant application going in. 9 So with that, that was the only other comments I 10 had. I'll go ahead and go through the items that we have. We 11 do have 72 projects under construction. We're right at 12 \$1.896 billion. We have two projects that we finalized in 13 February, worth \$3 million. Fiscal year to date, we have 14 completed 54 projects that have been finalized. 15 So -- and that's the state engineer's report. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Does any member have any 16 17 questions for Greg? MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 2.0 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you. 21 Well, first off, Greg, thank you for, again, 22 emphasizing that -- the grant program that the department has, 2.3 but also for getting the message out. Here again, and I won't 24 say inundated, but if you're getting a lot of requests coming, 2.5 that's good to see, because there's so many unknowns, and having

people that are experts at the grant process would be great. 1 2 And second, that I think it's -- I appreciate you pointing out the fact that the 400 million is not the only funds 3 that are going to be needed for I-10. There's going to be more, 4 5 and this is the opportunity. So much like the tier two EISs, the other things to get things in a position where we -- the 6 7 projects look more attractive to the federal government then 8 encourage their investment in those projects to get them done, 9 much like SR-189, if we can do the entire I-10 corridor there 10 that we're talking about in one shot instead of having to break 11 it up, it not only will be cheaper. It will be more efficient (indiscernible). So I appreciate the work you're doing on this. 12 13 MR. BYRES: Thank you. 14 MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair. 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Garv. MR. KNIGHT: Yeah. I'd also -- I'd just chime in 16 17 that that also includes widening US-95. So if we can use some of those funds to attract some federal grant dollars, that would 18 19 be great. I appreciate it. Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Moving on to Item 8. 21 Construction projects, for discussion and possible action. 22 Greg. MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board 23 members. We'll start off with Item 8A. 2.4

You can go to the next slide.

25

I would -- before we get started on that, thank
you very much for approving the items that we had in the consent
agenda.

Item 8A, this is a bridge deck rehab on I-15. We

had one bidder on this particular project. The low bid was \$2,384,989. The State estimate was \$1,324,601. The bid was over by \$1,060,388, or 80.1 percent.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Because of the fact that we only had one bidder that came through on this, and the substantial overrun of the bids, we are looking at a recommendation of rejecting all bids at this point in time. What we had seen in the discussions with the one bidder is there was a considerable difference in our estimating in the removal of the bridge barrier, the bridge deck overlay cost as well, as well as the temporary barrier that would be going in with the completion of the bridge.

We also have several embedded signs and temporary signage that was extensively over what we had estimated. So we are currently taking a look at the design that we already have. This project will be rebid within the next couple of months.

VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: I make a motion to reject all bids.

MR. KNIGHT: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: There's a motion by Richard to reject all bids as recommended and seconded by Board Member Gary. Any discussion?

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, one quick question. 1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted. MR. MAXWELL: Thank you. 3 So, Greg, with the department coming through and 4 5 reevaluating its estimate, do you believe there will be an ability to attract more than a single bidder to this project? I 6 7 mean, it's a tough project because it's, you know, that little 8 segment of I-15 running through the state of Arizona. 9 MR. BYRES: So, Mr. Chairman, Board Member Maxwell, yes, it is extremely remote. 10 11 MR. MAXWELL: Yes. 12 MR. BYRES: It's hard to get bidders up there. We will try our best to attract as much as we can and simplify 13 14 the project as much as we can. 15 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you. DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Chairman, Greg, and to that 16 17 point, we met with Associated General Contractors last week. Greg, would you maybe just touch base on the phenomenon of 18 19 you're seeing less bids come in? 2.0 MR. BYRES: So, yes, Director. 21 One of the things that we're seeing, and you'll 22 see this as I complete my report today, we're seeing more and 2.3 more projects with fewer bidders. There's several projects 2.4 that we had last month as well as this month where we only have 2.5 a single bidder coming through.

So one of the things that we're -- we are 1 2 currently putting together data to try and see how close we are getting to capacities within the state of Arizona, particularly 3 on our bridge projects. One of the things that we're starting 4 to see is the number of bidders dropping off, prices going up, 5 and the lack of competition. 6 7 And so with that, we need to really take a look 8 and see if there's anything that we can do, but the first thing we need to do is make sure we're going through the data 10 to find out exactly what we've got, how much money we're 11 releasing within any given month, the number of bidders that 12 we have, the types of projects that we're seeing. So we're collecting all of that data, and then 13 we'll take that, kind of sit down -- ATC is going to be a key 14 15 player with us to try and see if there's a way that we can 16 kind of emphasize competition across the state. So that's --17 but it is a -- it's becoming very crucial at this point in time. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg. 2.0 Again, there is a motion by Richard, second by 21 Gary to reject all bids. All those in favors say aye. 22 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2.3 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed? 24 Floyd, conduct roll call for board members

attending remotely.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels. 1 2 MS. DANIELS: Aye. MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck. 3 MR. MECK: Aye 4 MR. ROEHRICH: The motion carries, Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you for the 6 7 vote. 8 Going on to Item B, Greg. 9 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Board member -- or I'm sorry -- Item 8B is the 10 11 next one. This is a pavement rehab project on I-19. That 12 runs Valencia Road down to 86. With this we have one bidder 13 for this project. The low bid was \$9,552,118. The State estimate was \$7,357,674. The difference was \$2,194,444, or 14 15 29.8 percent. 16 What we saw on this was basically an overrun in the cost of -- from what we had estimated on milling. One of 17 the big concerns there is that we are milling over the top of 18 19 Portland cement concrete paving. So the production rates are 2.0 fairly slow in producing the milling, as well as prepping the 21 surface for the potential friction course that will be going down. Also, the cost of the friction course itself is fairly 22 2.3 extensive. One of the things that we have is instead of it coming from a local source, it will actually be coming all the 24

way from Phoenix due to the amount of work that is being

```
1
     conducted in Tucson -- or the Tucson area.
                    We did review the low bid. We do see it as a
 2
     responsible and responsive bid, and we recommend award to FNF
 3
 4
     Construction, Inc.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion to award
     Item 8B to FNF Construction, Inc., as presented?
 6
 7
                    MR. MAXWELL: So moved.
 8
                    MR. KNIGHT: Second.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Ted and second by
     Gary. Any discussion?
10
11
                    All in favor say aye.
12
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
                    Floyd, conduct roll call.
14
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels.
16
                    MS. DANIELS: Aye.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
17
                    MR. MECK: Aye
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: The motion carries.
19
2.0
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: The motion carries.
21
                    Going on to Item 8C. Gary. I mean -- sorry
22
     about that -- Greg.
2.3
                    MR. BYRES: No problem.
2.4
                    Mr. Chairman, next item, 8C this is a pavement
     preservation project on SR-69. With it we actually had five
2.5
```

1	bidders on this project. The low bid was \$2,697,413. The
2	State's estimate was \$3,282,589. The project came in under the
3	engineer's estimate at \$585,176, or under by 17.8 percent.
4	After speaking with the low bidder on this, the
5	differences that we had is the contractor's rate for paving
6	operations was much faster than what we had estimated. It
7	also has a very short haul distance for the millings
8	themselves, and the traffic control costs were much less than
9	what are anticipated.
10	With that, we did finalize the review and find
11	the bid as being responsible and responsive, and we recommend
12	award to Asphalt Paving and Supply, Inc.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion to award
14	Item 8C to Asphalt Paving, Inc., as presented?
15	MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary.
17	MR. KNIGHT: This is my district, so I will
18	recommend approval of Item 8C and award to Asphalt Paving and
19	Supply, Inc.
20	VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: I'll second it.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and a second
22	by Richard to approve the awarding the contract. Any
23	discussion?
24	All in favor say aye.
25	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed? 1 2 Floyd, conduct roll call for those board members attending remotely. 3 MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels. 4 5 MS. DANIELS: Aye. MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck. 6 7 MR. MECK: Aye 8 MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries. 9 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. Let's go to Item 8D. 10 11 Greg. 12 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Item 8D, this is drainage repairs on SR-87. 13 This project has some fairly extensive work. We did get three 14 15 bidders for this project. The low bid is \$768,937. The 16 States's estimate was \$534,860. The difference is \$234,077 17 over the engineer's estimate, or 43.8 percent. One of the things that we -- in speaking with 18 19 the low bidder on this, the majority of the work for the 2.0 drainage repairs that have to be conducted here are it's an 21 awful lot of handwork. It is occurring at multiple locations, 22 and in the engineers's estimate we had much higher production 2.3 rates than what the contractor's anticipating. So that's the major difference of it. We also have items for removal as well 2.4 2.5 as borrow that the contractor's going to have issues with as far

```
as the remoteness of the work. We did find the low bid as being
 1
 2
     a responsive and responsible bid and recommend award to Combs
     Construction Company, Inc.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion to award
 4
 5
     Item 8D to Combs Construction Company, Inc.?
                    MR. KNIGHT: So moved.
 6
 7
                    MR. MAXWELL: Second.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and second
     by Ted. All in favor -- any discussion?
 9
10
                    All in favor say aye.
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Floyd, conduct roll call.
12
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Daniels. Board
13
     Member Daniels.
14
15
                    Board Member Meck.
16
                    MR. MECK: Aye
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.
17
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries.
18
19
                    We'll move on to Item 8E, Greg.
2.0
                    MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21
                    Item 8E, this is a bridge replacement project.
     We had one bidder on this project. The low bid came in at
22
2.3
                  The State's estimate was $953,665.
     $1,335,742.
                                                      It's a
2.4
     difference of $382,077, or 40.1 percent.
2.5
                    This bridge is a local project occurring in
```

Graham County. The differences that we saw in the costs of 1 2 the bids were the clearing and grubbing costs, as well as the removal of the bridge itself. Production rates for the 3 removal were much slower than what we had estimated. We're also 4 5 looking at a temporary diversion dike that has to be constructed with the removal of the existing bridge. So there's 6 considerations there. 7 8 We are looking at revisions to the plans to try 9 and bring the bridge a little bit closer to budgeted amounts. 10 At this point in time, with the amount over, Graham County 11 cannot handle the additional costs, which they would be 12 responsible for, so we are recommending rejecting all bids. VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: As this is one of my 13 favorite projects, I will make that motion. 14 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. 16 MR. KNIGHT: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard motions and Gary seconds to reject all items as presented. Any discussion? 18 19 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 2.0 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted. 21 MR. MAXWELL: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 Yeah. It's -- you know, it's been real 2.3 interesting in my first year on this board watching this, and you see some of these. As you said, the costs have been going 2.4 2.5 up, and there's been a lot of bids that have come in

significantly over. I think the difference between this bid and 1 2 the one we just approved is that we only did have one bidder. So I appreciate the fact that the idea is to go 3 back, take a look at it, try to make it more competitive, get a 4 5 couple bidders, because on that last one, which was, again, 40 plus percent over cost, too, if you looked at all three bids, 6 7 they were pretty reasonably in the narrow scope. So it showed 8 that everybody who was bidding on it had the same idea. With 9 only one bidder, it's a little harder to take, and I know, you 10 know, Richard wants to get this project. 11 So I appreciate you continuing to move forward 12 with this and make those adjustments and get it going as fast 13 as possible. 14 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: Yeah. I'd like to see 15 it done, but I'd also like it done reasonably, because we're 16 talking a box culvert on the -- on a county road, and I'm just 17 struggling with the cost from day one when we were saying it was going to cost a million dollars. I thought it was too much. 18 19 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. 20 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: There is a motion and a second to reject all bids. Any discussion? 22 2.3 All in favor say aye. 24 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck. 1 2 MR. MECK: Aye MR. ROEHRICH: And, Mr. Chairman, I just want to 3 note that Board Member Daniels has had to leave the meeting. 4 5 the motion does pass. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: So noted. 6 7 Motion carries. 8 Going on to 8F. 9 Greg. 10 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 Item 8F, this is roadway improvements, rumble 12 strip improvements particularly. This is on Stockton Hill 13 This is a local project. The -- we had two bidders on 14 this project. Low bid was \$499,605. The State estimate was \$522,362. The low bid was \$22,757 under the estimate, or 4.4 15 16 percent. 17 There is one contingent item on this. The low bidder does not have the current required licenses and is in 18 the process of procuring. So with that, we did determine the 19 2.0 bid is a responsive and responsible bid and recommend award to 21 Nevada Barricade and Sign Company, Inc., contingent upon 22 procuring the appropriate licenses. 2.3 VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: So moved. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there a motion and a 2.4 2.5 second?

```
VICE CHAIRMAN SEARLE: So moved.
 1
 2
                    MR. KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, I do have a question.
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yeah.
 3
                    MR. KNIGHT: So if -- I looked at the other
 4
     bid. If he doesn't -- if he's not able to get the licenses,
 5
     will that automatically go to the second bidder? Or we'd have
 6
 7
     to rebid it?
 8
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Knight,
 9
     at this point in time, if -- he's given 45 days to complete
     getting his licenses. He is a licensed contractor. He just
10
11
     doesn't have the required license --
12
                    MR. KNIGHT: For Arizona.
                    MR. BYRES: So -- right. So it's a specialty
13
14
     item. So he just doesn't have that. So in order to approve
15
     it, we have to make sure he's licensed properly. But if he --
16
     it fails to get that done within the 45 days that we allow, we
17
     reject his bid, it goes to the -- to the following bidder.
                    MR. KNIGHT: Would it then come back to us for
18
19
     reapproval for the next --
2.0
                    MR. BYRES: It would, because --
21
                    MR. KNIGHT: Yeah.
                    MR. BYRES: -- the award is -- it's null
22
     (indiscernible).
2.3
2.4
                    MR. KNIGHT: Sure. Okay.
                    MR. BYRES: Null and void.
2.5
```

MR. KNIGHT: Thank you. I will second it. 1 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: There's a motion by Richard 2 and seconded by Gary to award Item 8F to Nevada Barricade and 3 Sign Company, Inc. Any discussion? 4 5 All in favor say aye. BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed? 8 Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members attending remotely. 9 MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck. 10 11 MR. MECK: Ave MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries. 12 13 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. 14 Now going to the last item, Item 9. 15 Suggestions. Suggestions, members will have an opportunity to 16 suggest items they would like to have placed on future board 17 item agenda. Board members, any comments or suggestions for 18 future items? 19 2.0 MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, I do have two 21 quick items or comments I do want to update the Board on. 22 Just a reminder the next meeting is April 15th. 2.3 It is in the town of -- the City of Nogales, but we will 2.4 actually be meeting at Santa Cruz County Complex. So we'll be 2.5 meeting at the county complex while -- with the board meeting,

and again, it will be a simulcast meeting, virtual through Webex and in the person for those who can make the meeting.

As Board Member Knight had requested, we'll coordinate with the district as well as Enforcement Compliance Division to get a tour of the Mariposa Port and the 189 project.

And then I want to follow up on an item that the Board had adopted the locations and dates for this year. August 19th board meeting was originally set to be a virtual meeting, but we were asked to see if we could coordinate with the Town of Gilbert facilities. So I've been in contact with the Town Manager's office, and after consulting with -- after them consulting with the mayor, they have offered up the -- their council chambers for the August 19th meeting. So I already briefed the Board Chairman. He asked me to inform the board members, but we'll start (indiscernible) the coordination process as well as updating to the Board's website, but the August 19th board meeting will be -- well -- as well as simulcasted, virtual meeting, but we'll also have the in-person attendants at the Town of Gilbert's Council Chambers.

That's what I have for updates at this point, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Very good.

Is there a motion to adjourn the meeting?

MR. KNIGHT: So moved.

2.0

2.3

1	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary.
2	MR. MAXWELL: Seconded with a quick closing bear
3	down.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And second by Ted.
5	All those in favor say aye.
6	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for all those in
8	attendance in person today and all those that called in
9	remotely. Again, thank you very much.
10	(Meeting adjourned at 10:57 a.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2) ss. COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
3	
4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were reported
5	by me, TERESA A. WATSON, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified
6	Reporter, Certificate No. 50876, State of Arizona, from an
7	electronic recording and were reduced to written form under my
8	direction; that the foregoing 82 pages constitute a true and
9	accurate transcript of said electronic recording, all done to
10	the best of my skill and ability.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of
12	the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the
13	outcome hereof.
14	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 6th day of April 2022.
15	
16	
17	/s/ Teresa A. Watson
18	TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter
19	Certificate No. 50876
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Chairman Jesse Thompson moved to adjourn the March 18, 2022, State Transportation Board meet.		
Meeting adjourned at 10:57 a.m. PST.		
	Not Available for Signature Jesse Thompson, Chairman State Transportation Board	

<u>Adjournment</u>

Not Available for Signature
John S. Halikowski, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation