STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING IN PERSON WITH OPTIONAL TELEPHONIC/WEBEX ATTENDANCE

9:00am, April 15, 2022 City of Nogales 2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 120 Nogales, Arizona 85261

Call to Order

Board Chairman Thompson called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (in person): Chairman Thompson, Vice Chairman Knight, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Searle. In attendance (via WebEx): Board Member Daniels, Board Meck, Board Member Stratton. There were approximately 58 members of the public in the audience.

Opening Remarks

Chairman Thompson reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS IN PERSON AND BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

City of Nogales
Santa Cruz County Complex
2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 120
Nogales, Arizona 85261

April 15, 2022 9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50876

PERFECTA REPORTING (602) 421-3602

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Original)

1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF ELECTRONIC
2	PROCEEDINGS, ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING
3	was reported from electronic media by TERESA A. WATSON,
4	Registered Merit Reporter and a Certified Reporter in and for
5	the State of Arizona.
6	
7	PARTICIPANTS:
8	Board Members:
9	Jesse Thompson, Chairman Gary Knight, Vice Chairman
10	Richard Searle, Board Member Jenn Daniels, Board Member (via WebEx)
11	Ted Maxwell, Board Member Jackie Meck, Board Member (via WebEx)
12	Steve Stratton, Board Member (via WebEx)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE
2	Bruce Bracker, Supervisor, Santa Cruz County
3	Jaime Chamberlain, Chairman, Greater Nogales Santa Cruz Port
4	Authority
5	Greg Lucero, VP Government Affairs, South32
6	Kee Allen Begay, Junior, Navajo Council Delegate, Many Farms Chapter
7	Jim MacLean, City Councilman, City of Winslow (via WebEx) 17
8	Darryl Ahasteen, Commission President, Nahata Dziil Commission Governance (via WebEx)
10	(via WebEx)
11	(via WebEx)
12	AGENDA ITEMS
13	<pre>Item 1 - Director's Report, Floyd Roehrich, Junior 29 Legislative Report, Katy Proctor</pre>
14	Item 2 - District Engineer's Report, Jeremy Moore, Assistant District Engineer, Southcentral District
15 16	Item 3 - Consent Agenda
17	Item 4 - Financial Report, Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer
18	Item 5 - Multimodal Planning Division Report, Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division 66
19 20	Item 6 - Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Paul Patane
21	Item 7 - State Engineer's Report, Greg Byres, Deputy
22	Director of Transportation/State Engineer 76
23	Item 8 - Construction Contracts, Greg Byres
24	Item 9 - Suggestions, Floyd Roehrich, Junior 91
25	

(Beginning of excerpt.) 1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Now we will go on to call to 3 the audience. And again, I'd like to turn this over to Floyd. 4 5 I know that you need to go over some protocols, some things that we need to pay attention to. 6 7 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 We have 13 so far, 13 requests. Five online and 9 eight are in person. We will go ahead and address the eight 10 people here in the -- in person first. Then we will go to the 11 online participants, and again, we that ask we -- all their 12 comments when called, we ask you hold your comments to three 13 minutes in duration. 14 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you very much. 15 And again, we'll turn it back to you, call out those -- the 16 names of the people that wish to make a comment, those that are 17 online and those that are in the audience. 18 So, Floyd, turn it over to you. 19 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Yes, sir. Our first speaker 20 will be Supervisor Bruce Bracker. 21 MR. BRACKER: Good morning. And Chairman Thompson and ADOT board members, good morning. Welcome to Santa 22 23 Cruz County. It is our sincere pleasure to host you in our community and also in our facility. 24 25 In the transportation world, the last two years

have been very, very exciting for us in this part of the world
-- in this part of Arizona. The groundbreaking and completion
of SR-189 flyover has made a huge difference in our community,
and I'd like to thank the Board for all their work in trying to
figure out, and ADOT staff, on how to piece the financing
together on that. It has made a big difference.

Also, the work on I-19 from Tubac through
Sahuarita has really changed our commute to Tucson, which if you
live in Nogales, you probably end up going to Tucson two or
three times a week, and it is a really big change.

Also, the rehabilitation of the bridge at Ruby Road, which was -- has been a series -- you're doing a series of bridges on I-19. So thank you very much for investment in our -- in our transportation system down here.

But I also wanted to give a shout-out to your maintenance crews. Your maintenance crews have been outstanding in cutting back the weeds away from the edges of the road, which is a huge safety issue for us. Cleaning up our roads in the last few months after the pandemic, we know there's been a challenge getting the prisoners out there to help them do that work, but they've really -- you know, they've really come through for us, and our roadways are looking better than they have in the last two years because of the pandemic.

I also want to acknowledge there's going to be some statements from some community members, representatives of

6 1 the Port Authority, Fresh Produce Association, different 2 organizations, about Ruby Road and Rio Rico Drive. So I'm going to leave that to them, but again, welcome to our community and 3 thank you very much for coming down. 4 5 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Supervisor. Floyd. 6 7 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mayor Tom 8 Murphy. 9 MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Chairman Thompson and board members, and good morning, Board Member Daniels. 10 11 the pleasure I thought -- I had the opportunity to see you 12 again, but it was a pleasure always working with her when she 13 was the mayor, and very happy to be down in Nogales, and it's --14 just wanted to offer my congratulations. 15 When SR-189 was being put together, the Town of 16 Sahuarita was very supportive of that, because even though we're

When SR-189 was being put together, the Town of Sahuarita was very supportive of that, because even though we're in Pima County and this is another county down here, Nogales, the fresh produce industry, feeds America, and it's so important to have that infrastructure and -- and thanks. I could almost say ditto of everything that you commented on, with Sahuarita Road, the redecking that's going on.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not only do we appreciate that work that's happening there, but the sensitivity of -- and the communication with our residents, doing a lot of work at night, that's a big pinch point for us, and you've been able to keep that traffic

flowing and the communication with us very well.

And I did notice when we came down the condition of I-19 on the southern end. So the only thing is if you just keep that going north (indiscernible) through Sahuarita, we'd really appreciate that, but our new town manager, who knows a little bit about Nogales, Shane Dille and I, are very proud to be here and just wanted to thank all of you for all of our work, because as a region we either are going to sink or swim and thrive, and we'd rather thrive.

So thank you for everything you do for our community. Appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Murphy.

Floyd.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Our next speaker is Mr. Jaime Chamberlain.

MR. CHAIMBERLAIN: Hello, everyone. Chairman
Thompson and members of the Board, welcome to Nogales. Welcome
to our community. My name is Jaime Chamberlain and I am
chairman of the Greater Nogales Santa Cruz County Port
Authority.

I know last night we had a chance and an opportunity to interact and get to know each other a little bit better. Also, with the ADOT Leadership Board, and I would be remiss if I wouldn't thank you all in person for coming to the SR-189 ribbon cutting, which was absolutely fantastic.

ر

__

Mr. Searle, Mr. Maxwell, Mr. Thompson,

Mr. Stratton, thank you all for being with us a couple weeks ago during that inauguration. It was a tremendous project, and I'd like to congratulate Director Halikowski and all of his team. He had part of his team here today delivering a truly impactful state-of-the-art project that will impact our community and the Arizona/Mexico corridor for generations to come.

And I believe that by now you understand the importance of Nogales and being a gateway for tourism and investment, and obviously the economic trading and security of our -- of our great state of Arizona.

But while SR-189 was a keystone project, it's part of a broader transportation system that we see here in Nogales, and as many as 2,000 trucks a day cross through this port of entry here in Nogales, and we deliver fresh produce and manufactured goods all over North America. A vast number of these trucks come in and deliver our products from our distribution centers, and then they deliver throughout -- they get onto I-19 and deliver throughout the country.

As I stand here today, I want to stress the importance of modernizing the I-19 interchanges at Ruby Road and Rio Rico Drive. I know that you've all heard me speak about this many, many times, but I have to tell you we look at this project in Ruby Road and Rio Rico as the second phase to what was SR-189 here, and it is a transportation system that we're

looking for. And it's not only the trucks that cross the border on -- every year, over 400,000 of them, but it's all the tourists and it's also the hundreds of thousands of trucks that come into our community and go out of our community through our I-19 to deliver all over the country.

The situation at this -- at these interchanges is further complicated by the growth in the local population as well, the non-commercial traffic, and these put a tremendous amount of stress with this population growth in the Rio Rico area and in between Nogales as well.

So I know some of are you going to say, well, you just got some money here from SR-189, and now we're asking for just a little bit more, but like I said, we look at this as a transportation system. So phase one was SR-189, and phase two would be the I-19 interchanges.

In 2018 we finished the DRC, and at that time that project was -- had a cost of about \$26 million. We're now in -- estimating the improvements are more now in the range of about \$35 million.

So again, not all these projects will be -- will address the congestion, but -- I'm sorry -- these projects will address the congestion and the safety of our community.

I thank you very much for bringing me -- coming to Nogales and making time to see us here. Thank you all very much.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Chairman. 2 Floyd. MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Allison 3 4 Moore. 5 MS. MOORE: Thank you, everybody, for being here. My name is Allison Moore. I'm with Fresh Produce Association of 6 7 the Americas, and Jaime made my job really easy. So I'm just 8 going to take what Jaime said and reiterate how important it is 9 for us to look at our transportation infrastructure investments 10 here as an overall system. 11 So we're getting trucks from the port of entry 12 onto I-19 faster, and now they're arriving much more quickly at 13 the Ruby Road interchanges, and we need to make sure that we're 14 facilitating the movement of that traffic through those 15 interchanges for sure. 16 I also want to take this opportunity to say thank 17 you very much to the ADOT staff on all the big projects we've 18 had here. Bruce mentioned them. Bridge redecking, of course, 19 State Route 189, all the construction on I-19. They have been 20 really great at working with the needs of the industry to make 21 sure we still had trucks moving and flowing through some really 22 big construction upheaval, you know, that was going on here in 23 town. 24 So, you know, they never hesitated to try to help 25 us figure out ways to make sure there weren't delays as they

1 were doing construction, and that's hugely important for us, 2 because time is really money in the world of fresh produce and freshness and shelf life. So I do have to give a shout-out to 3 4 ADOT and thank them very much for making all of our big 5 construction projects as painless as possible, and we look 6 forward to working with you to figure out how we're going to 7 move forward on the Rio Rico/Ruby Road project. 8 So thank you very much. 9 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Allison. 10 Floyd. 11 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Greg 12 Lucero. 13 MR. LUCERO: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, board My name is Greg Lucero. I am the vice president of 14 members. 15 government affairs for South32. South32 is a global mining and 16 metals company headquartered in Perth, Australia. We have 17 operations in Australia, South Africa, South America, and in the 18 U.S., we are about to break ground on a significant project for 19 this region. The Hermosa project. It's going to produce two 20 critical minerals, manganese and zinc, along with lead and 21 silver. 22 All these minerals help produce green energy. 23 Manganese, for example, is roughly about 90 percent imported. 24 It's not produced domestically in the United States. 25 critical for EV battery production. All your lithium batteries

need manganese for them to actually be producing energy.

Why I'm here before you is to put this project on the radar for ADOT. We are about to break ground, about this time next year. It's going to be a \$2 billion project. We're looking at roughly about 1,000 employees during construction over the next roughly four years. Once we get into a steady state of production, we're going to have about 600 employees, direct employees, about 1,800 indirect. We intend to this have this project as a carbon neutral project. All our equipment is going to be EV, and we're going to look at renewable energy.

We are working closely with Santa Cruz County to develop an inland port somewhere in the Rio Rico area, and why this is important, because we're going to be operating 24/7, and we're going to be looking at shipping concentrate about eight trucks an hour.

So with this inland port, it's also going to generate significantly more traffic in that area. Don't know what interchange we'll be using or if we -- we'll be looking at a new interchange, but the impact is going to be significant, both to SR-82, I-19 and the port of entry.

Yesterday there was some discussion on charging stations at the ports of entry. I mentioned it to Mark that we need to start looking at charging stations at the Nogales port of entry, because up until we get this in that port, we will likely be trucking to Guaymas. So there's going to be a big

1 demand for charging stations at the border. 2 Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg. 3 4 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. John Moffatt. 5 MR. MOFFATT: Good morning, Chairman Thompson and 6 7 board members. I'm John Moffatt, the Director of Infrastructure 8 for the Southern Arizona Leadership Council. On behalf of the 9 140 CEOs and business leaders of SALC, we're very appreciative 10 of the collaboration between the state legislature, the 11 Department of Arizona Department of Transportation and the 12 Nogales community to ensure that the SR-189 project moved 13 forward quickly, and it's a tremendous success, not only for 14 Santa Cruz County, but also for Arizona. It enables the 2,000 15 trucks a day and -- well, the next step north is Pima County, 16 and as we see this increased traffic, we -- we're working on 17 some projects there as well. 18 The -- I recently retired as the economic 19 development director for Pima County, and I continue to have 20 keen interest in the airport area and the Sonoran corridor, in 21 the Sahuarita -- this is just as important in that as well. 22 The area around Tucson International Airport has 23 seen the addition of over 5 million square feet of industrial 24 space over the past three or four years. With large industrial

developers, these are not local anymore. We have Lincoln

25

```
1
     Properties, we have VanTrust, which is the Van Tuyl family, and
 2
     the Schnitzer property. So they -- they're building spec space,
     which we needed badly. All of these are significant projects,
 3
4
     and many of them are logistics focused.
 5
                    The Tucson Airport Authority has also related a
     plan to develop well over 3,000 acres, both east and south of
6
 7
     the airport. All of this activity is taking place adjacent to
8
     or within two miles of the recommended route identified in the
     tier one study for the Sonoran corridor. If there's potential
9
10
     funding from the Department of Transportation for the tier two
11
     study for the Sonoran corridor and the Legislature, development
12
     in that area is moving very rapidly, and we would encourage --
13
     my goal today is to encourage that your consideration and in
14
     both (indiscernible) urging that we've (indiscernible) that tier
15
     two study as soon as it's funded.
16
                    So thank you for your time.
17
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I appreciate your comment,
18
     John.
19
                    So Floyd.
20
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Kee Allen
21
     Begay, Junior.
22
                    MR. BEGAY: Good morning. (Speaking Native
23
     language.)
24
                    Good morning. My name is Kee Allen Begay,
```

I'm with the Navajo Nation Council from the

25

Junior.

northeastern part of the state of Arizona. I do serve on the Navajo Nation Council. Our oversight is the transportation.

I continue to advocate for Highway 191 in the northeastern part of the state. While everyone is talking about traffic of semis, of course, they probably go through our state and our area. So I guess that we do need to have a better understanding that -- while they go through the -- this community goes up north into wherever they're going, there is a broader need of improvement of the public highways in the northern part of the state of Arizona.

So the one area that I keep talking about is the smart highway. So that's one thing, I believe, that we're really having a discussion at the tribal level. Smart highway, all these internet connections that are -- I guess, the vehicles are getting to be smart as well, but nonetheless, I just went to ask for your support, because a lot of these infrastructures will be within the right-of-way of your roads.

So I think there needs to be an administrative matter, administrative discussion, some detail that needs to be discussed, especially if we knew -- talking about tribal land, reservation, dealing with the BIA and the Department of Interior (indiscernible) federal government.

So those are some areas that I just wanted to continue to ask, and I do appreciate ADOT for initiating and starting the 13-mile right-of-way improvement between Many Farms

and Chinle, Arizona, and we thank you very much for that, and that there's several bridges that's being improved as well in that area. We thank you. Our advocacy continues to work, and our relationship continues to improve.

So, again, I want to say thank you very much for all that you do for the State of Arizona and everyone else and the community of Nogales. Thank you very much. And I believe that you guys will be down in Chinle in the month of December. So we will just be anticipating how we need to coordinate and plan for that session. So again, thank you very much, and you all have a wonderful day.

12 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.)
13 Thank you, Delegate Begay.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Crystal Figueroa.

MS. FIGUEROA: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the State Transportation Board. My name is Crystal Figueroa, and I'm the new executive director for the Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization, YMPO.

First and foremost, I want to thank ADOT staff and the State Board for all of the widening of US Route 95 initial segment efforts. Currently, the next base of the US-95 improvement is at 95 percent design, and YMPO looks forward to working with support and collaboration with ADOT staff and the Board; on construction funding for the next phase of the project

```
and continued effort for future segments -- for completion of
 1
 2
     future segments.
                    Having that said, I'm looking forward to
 3
4
     attending future board meetings and building a relationship with
 5
     each and every every one of you. Thank you.
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those comments
 6
 7
     as well. Appreciate that.
8
                    Floyd.
9
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, the next speaker
10
     requests we have are people who are attending virtually. So
11
     when I call your name, will you please raise your hand and the
12
     WebEx host will work with you on unmuting the line so you will
13
     have your three minutes to make your comments.
14
                    Mr. Jim MacLean. Mr. MacLean, please raise your
15
     hand. Virtually, that is.
16
                    WEBEX HOST: Mr. MacLean, I've requested your
17
     unmute.
18
                    MR. MACLEAN: Good morning. I'm Councilman
19
     MacLean.
                Are we connected?
20
                    WEBEX HOST: Yes.
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Yes, Councilman.
                                                           We can
22
     hear you.
23
                                  Okay. Is it possible for us to
                    MR. MACLEAN:
24
     share our screen with you?
25
                    MR. ROEHRICH: No, sir. It is not. We're not
```

set up for that. I apologize.

MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Okay. Well, anyway, greetings from Winslow, Arizona. We'd like to thank the State Transportation Board for allowing us an opportunity to present what we call the Lindberg Parkway Project to you.

Before we begin, though, we'd be remiss if we didn't first thank Chairman Jesse Thompson for his years of service. He's really helped Winslow and northern Arizona. So Jesse, we want to publicly just say, hey, thank you for your service very much.

Our Winslow Parkway Project is connecting the corridor down State Route 87 between Phoenix and I-40, running north and south. 87 runs through Payson and comes up, and it's become a major connector for traffic, shipping and freight. The difficulty we're having is that here in Winslow, we only have two connection points.

We run into the BNSF railroad tracks. And so when the semis and so forth come through, they have to go through this underpass that's a single lane underpass that was built in 1936. And so what we have there is a safety issue. We have congestion, and it's created a bottleneck, but if there were any emergencies, it actually separates one part of our town from the other part of town.

It's also hobbled our economic development, because we've tried to accommodate new development and new

industry, but they're not able to get their trucks under this underpass because it's at such a sharp angle. I think if you can imagine, you know, in 1936, what they did is they dug a hole under the tracks, and so we cannot get large trucks carrying things like trusses or windmill blades or anything like that under the tracks there.

So what we're proposing is that we create what we're calling the Lindberg Parkway, and it would take off just south of town, and it would go around the airport and around Winslow, and it would connect to an overpass that we have clear on the west end of Winslow, and that way all of the commerce and the freight could go around and actually over the tracks for this project.

So we're not asking that we build a new on ramp to I-40. We're just asking that we use an existing overpass. However, we need help. The project, we estimate to be between 15 and 17 million dollars, but it would reroute the trucks and the freight around Winslow, because they simply can't go under that underpass, and there's a huge bottleneck there. So with this project, it would just open up --

MR. ROEHRICH: So Mr. MacLean, Mr. MacLean, your three minutes are up. Could you please wrap up your comments?

MR. MACLEAN: Sure. We just would like to thank you for addressing you, and we bring this project to you and ask that you'd consider it, and we're hoping to get on ADOT's five-

1 year plan, and we just appreciate the time. We thank you very 2 much. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those wonderful 3 4 comments, Mr. MacLean. So Floyd, next. 5 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Darryl 6 7 Ahasteen. Mr. Ahasteen, please raise your hand. 8 WEBEX HOST: Mr. Ahasteen, I have requested an 9 unmute. 10 MR. AHASTEEN: This is Darryl Ahasteen from 11 Nahata Dziil Commission on Governance out of Navajo Nation, 12 bringing my three minutes in reference to moving the port of 13 entry from its current location out to Milepost 318 on I-40. 14 I did submit a presentation already, and one of 15 the -- one of the things that changed is talking with Puerco, 16 Puerco Valley department, the fire department, talking with the 17 fire chief here, he has indicated that the -- his department is at the level of -- technicians, probably a level 2 reference to 18 19 hazardous material responders, and they can take care of 20 hazardous spills up along the interstate and probably along the 21 rail also, if need be, but he did say that they are HAZMAT 22 responder qualified as technicians. And -- oh, I get way ahead 23 of myself on this three-minute speech. 24 I'd like to thank the Chair and the board members

of the State Transportation Board and also to Kristi and Floyd

25

```
1
     for helping me out every month to get on the agenda and sending
 2
     me the information on how to get on board and stuff like that.
     So (speaking Native language). Thank you very much.
 3
4
                    And personal experience, yesterday I'm coming out
 5
     of Gallup after I bought some groceries and some fuel.
     Approaching the port of entry, there was -- it was congested,
 6
 7
     people -- trucks leaving the port of entry, and then we had some
8
     belly dumps getting -- taking off on Exit 390 -- 339, and they
9
     wouldn't let me back in. So I had to go all the way down to
10
     Chambers and turn around and come back and get off the
11
     interstate on the eastbound side. So it is getting congested,
12
     and our exit right here at 339 is getting really busy.
13
                    So thank you for my three-minute speech.
     (Speaking Native language.) Thank you.
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.)
16
     Thank you, Darryl.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Jaqueline
18
     Begaye. Ms. Begaye, please raise your hand.
19
                    WEBEX HOST: Ms. Begaye, I've requested an
20
     unmute. You will need to unmute your line.
21
                    MS. BEGAYE:
                                 Hello. Hello.
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Ms. -- yes, Ms. Begaye.
                                                                 We
23
     can hear you.
24
                    WEBEX HOST: You are unmuted.
25
                    MS. BEGAYE: Okay. Good morning. My name is
```

Jaqueline Begay. I am with the Many Farms community. I am the Many Farms Chapter secretary/treasurer. Good morning. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to make comment.

First of all, on behalf of Many Farms community and the surrounding areas that utilize the road between Chinle and Many Farms, I want to thank you, Arizona Department of Transportation, Board and employees, for starting the construction to widen the shoulder between Chinle and Many Farms.

The reason I'm calling in is to ask again if you could extend that from Milepost 460.3 to 463, which is from the bridge outside of Many Farms to the turnoff to Many Farms High School, while the contractors are still there, because they're coming in at the end of this week -- not the end of this week, but the end of this month. They should be starting construction, and they will be staying in the vicinity of Many Farms Chapter.

The reason I'm saying 460.3 to 463 is, you know, that's the -- within that community of Many Farms, and as you know if you've been down here, traveling that road, there's a drop in that on the highway. The bus, the headstart bus, people -- buses from Holbrook, (indiscernible), BIA schools, they come through there. And in inclement weather, when it's raining or snowing, when you start skidding, you just end up having to go off the ditch and wait a couple of days or hours

1 before anybody can come out and pull you out. 2 And the most concerning is when there is an emergency vehicle coming. As you know, you have to pull off the 3 4 We can't pull off the road because there's nowhere to 5 pull off. We just end up parking in the middle of the road and giving access to the emergency vehicle. 6 7 But I want to thank you again for funding from 8 Chinle to Many Farms. That is greatly appreciated. The tourist 9 season's opening up and, you know, people use that road to 10 travel to the Canyon de Chelly there in Chinle, from Monument 11 Valley as well. 12 Again, thank you. I want to thank our Delegate 13 Begay for advocating on our -- Navajo Nation's behalf all the 14 time. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.) 16 Thank you for those remarks, Ms. Begaye. 17 Floyd. 18 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Jennifer 19 Thompson. Ms. Thompson, please raise your hand. 20 WEBEX HOST: Ms. Thompson, you are unmuted. 21 MS. THOMPSON: Great. Can you hear me? 22 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Ms. Thompson. We can. 23 MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you. 24 Good morning, everybody. I'm Jennifer Thompson. 25 I'm the controller and townsite utilities manager with FreeportMcMoRan Bagdad, which is a copper mining company in Yavapai County. I'd like to thank the Board, Director Halikowski and the ADOT team for the investments in the current program and the tentative program under construction for U.S. Route 93. These improvements will enhance both the safety and operation of this critical highway for both commercial and non-commercial vehicles.

Many of our Freeport Bagdad team members and their families travel this road, which is why we're here today, to voice our support and express our gratitude.

Freeport-McMoRan has a history of collaboration and partnership with ADOT and most recently, we've partnered with the Northwest District and signed a joint partnership agreement with ADOT where we provided a million dollars for a feasibility study for State Route 97, which is our connection from 93 to the -- to the Bagdad site in an effort to address the safety and capacity needs.

That effort resulted in identification of key safety and capacity improvements needed for that highway, and this has recently become more urgent as we move closer to doubling the size of our copper operations.

Freeport Bagdad stands ready to partner with you and the department to make these important improvements and continue doing our part to support the infrastructure needs of this region.

1	In closing, I'd like to take a moment to
2	congratulate and welcome the newly-appointed district
3	administrator for the Northwest District, Anthony Brozich. I
4	look forward to meeting him and introducing him to our team and
5	continuing our partnership and addressing the needs of both US
6	Highway 93 and State Route 97.
7	And thank you for your time, and wish you all a
8	safe holiday weekend.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms. Thompson.
10	Floyd.
11	MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Joseph
12	Jarvis. Mr. Jarvis, please raise your hand.
13	WEBEX HOST: Mr. Jarvis, as a reminder, if you
14	are a call-in-only user, please press star 3 on your phone to
15	give us that raised hand signal.
16	Floyd, I'm not seeing a raised hand at this time.
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Kristi.
18	Mr. Chairman, that's all the requests that we
19	have to speak.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Maybe we can come back to
21	Mr. Jarvis whenever he's available.
22	MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. If you wanted to open
23	it up again, you can. I think we
24	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yeah.
25	MR. ROEHRICH: should get on with the rest of

1 the agenda, and then maybe at the end of the meeting make one 2 more try. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I try to hear from everybody 3 that wishes to address the Board, and also that all those 4 5 comments that are being made by each one of you, we are reminded of those comments every so often, specifically at the end of the 6 7 year. So again, we do appreciate all those comments that are 8 made. 9 Now we will move on to Item 1. MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okav. Max. 12 MR. MAXWELL: I appreciate it, Mr. Chair. I 13 decided to follow up and take an opportunity to make a couple 14 comments. 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead. 16 MR. MAXWELL: First off, call to the audience is 17 great. It's very important to all of us on the Board, because 18 we get to hear from the community throughout the state and here 19 at the local region that we're holding the meeting. Those --20 these meetings being public and having public participation is 21 incredibly important to our mission. 22 So first I want to apologize to the folks that 23 put on the dinner last night. I understand I missed an 24 incredible time. It -- my apologies coming down. 25 I want to congratulate you on SR-189. There were a ton of folks involved in that project, and when you see it, you will see the magnitude and the impact it's going to have, but if it wasn't for the county and the city and the produce associations and the community working together to build statewide support for moving one -- SR-189 into the five-year plan, it never would have happened. So it wasn't just local. It wasn't just ADOT. It was really a statewide effort that got that moved forward in the five-year plan.

And in regards to all the comments and what we're hearing today, it is so important to understand the input to the five-year plan. We have our upcoming five-year plan input session coming on (indiscernible) have input to the five-year plan, and I'd encourage anyone who's interested in projects in their region and getting things done to make sure that they're taking a good look at the five-year plan, provide your input and then show up and remain engaged.

I think that was the key to Santa Cruz County, to the City of Nogales, to the -- to the business community down here. You remained engaged until the Board really heard what you said and the case had been made, and that is why SR-189 went forward. And congratulations on that.

And again, my apologies for missing the event last night. The interaction with the community is one of the most important things we do. So thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Board Member Ted

1 Maxwell. We appreciate the comments and now some specific 2 details about our next meeting and the five year approval, the five-year plan will be announced at the end of this meeting. 3 4 (Indiscernible.) 5 Gary? VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I've got just a short comment 6 7 on Ted's comments. Your comments to the Board are extremely 8 important, but remember that as soon as we approve the five-year 9 plan that we're working on now, work starts on the next five-10 year plan. 11 So what we hear from you today and at our 12 meetings, even though it may be too late to actually get into 13 the five-year plan that we're going to approve this June, keep 14 in mind that those comments do not go unnoticed, and when the 15 work starts on the next five-year plan, that's when those 16 comments will really be looked at closely, if there wasn't 17 anything that we could do to get them in this five-year plan. 18 So just keep in mind it's an ongoing process, and 19 you need to keep your comments coming so that we know where your 20 desires lie so that we can get them into a five-year plan. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Gary. 23 Anybody on the WebEx wishing to make a comment? MR. ROEHRICH: 24 Mr. Chairman, I would caution the We're -- you're starting to deliberate amongst 25 Board.

1 yourselves on topics that are not on the agenda. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah. MR. ROEHRICH: I realize they were just general 3 comments in reference to call to the audience and input from the 4 5 public, I think, which is good to make, but if you start responding to each of the board members, I think you're off 6 7 agenda topic. 8 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Floyd. That's why 9 you're there. 10 MR. ROEHRICH: Might be also why you get rid of 11 me (indiscernible). 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Don't tempt us. 13 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Now, our next -- we will now move on to Item 1, the director's report. 14 15 Floyd. 16 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 The Director is conflicted and unable to attend 18 today. He does send his apologies, but it's a last minute item. 19 I was going to address two things, and I appreciate the input 20 from Board Members Knight and Maxwell, because I was going to 21 talk about the five-year program coming up, because I think it 22 is important that the public does review the five-year agenda 23 online, provide their comments either through the online portal, 24 through telephone comments or the public hearing, which will be 25 May 20th next month. It is an in-person as well as virtual

meeting set up for, and at that time it is important if you have comments to bring them in.

And, Mr. Knight, I very much appreciate the comment you made in that we try to address every project this year, but it is an ongoing process, and sometimes you get in the comments start as deliberating for future years. So don't feel your input isn't successful one time. It's successful over time, and I think it's very important that we continue to do that.

So next month's meeting is in the valley. It's at the Salt River Pima -- Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Council Chambers. It will be at that site Friday, May 20th.

The second point I wanted make real quick is we continue to get a lot of questions about the infrastructure bill that Congress passed and the funding and what does that mean for the program. We're working very closely with the COGs and MPOs, as well as other stakeholders.

As our staff is evaluating the implementation of that and looking at the new programs and working with our federal partners at the Federal Highway Administration. We continue to take the guidance we're getting, and we're evaluating how to move that forward. You've already heard a couple little presentations on that so far. You'll probably hear more as we get closer to the public hearing. You'll also hear from Kristine what some of the financial impacts are that

we've been able to assess.

So there's a lot of information still working, and I know people want immediate answers. I just want to remind everybody staff is breaking that down. It was a big bill. Thousands of pages with a lot of new programs, a lot of funding, and as we continue to work and implement the guidance and the programs, we will continue to be briefing this board, briefing our stakeholders and our partners in the COG and MPO regions as well. There's a lot of good information, but it's taking a little while to digest. We ask people to be patient.

I also would also note that it's a big impact to the state, and the Governor's office has started a task force that will be headed by Sarah Weber, their -- I think it's the chief operating officer for the Governor's staff, and she has been leading a task force with state agencies and key personnel who are going to be looking at how this is affecting the state and our component on it -- on ADOT transportation will be a key -- a member of that as we begin to look at the breadth of that bill, which is an extensive bill. Not just transportation, but it covered many, many areas, and again, the State is looking at that. So just wanted to remind that to everybody and let them know that we're moving forward.

I also know -- would like to now bring up

Ms. Katy Proctor. She's going to give a state and federal

legislative update and may address a little bit more of that as

well. 1 2 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Katy. MS. PROCTOR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 3 4 members. Thanks for having me today. It's great to see 5 everybody in person. I'm going to focus on the state update today, as opposed to federal, and just let you know a few things 6 7 about what's going on with the Legislature. 8 Today would be the 96th day of session. 9 Unfortunately, I do not think we're wrapping up in 100 days this 10 year, so we can just take that one off the table right now. At 11 this point committees have wrapped up, and bills that have 12 fiscal impact are parked in the rules committees, and the floor 13 calendars are slowing down a little bit. Everything's getting a 14 little bit lighter as budget negotiations continue. 15 This year we also have several large policy items 16 and tax issues that are on the horizon as well that will go in 17 conjunction, I think, with those continued budget conversations. 18 The Finance Advisory Committee met last week, and 19 JLBC issued some new revenue numbers, and I think that's really 20 important to note. It looks like there could be up to 21 \$1.3 billion available for ongoing expenditures and an 22 additional 2.8 billion for one-time expenditures that would be 23 added to the baseline potentially going forward. 24 That's a large amount of money. It's a little 25 bit higher than what was expected back in January as well.

that will figure back into those budget negotiations.

Speaking to some members this week, there's a lot of different scenarios at play. Nobody seems to have exactly what the path forward is right now. That's probably pretty normal for this time of year, especially with such a large surplus to consider.

One thing I would like to point out is that as you probably recall, the executive had a proposal to put \$400 million onto the I-10 widening project, and that was in the executive's budget back in January when it was released.

As Paul is going to report to you later, one of the new programs created in the federal infrastructure legislation was a discretionary grant called the Mega Grant, and ADOT will be applying for that Mega Grant for the I-10 corridor and is hopeful to use those state moneys to promote a significant state match. What we want to do is demonstrate that we have significant state and local commitment to the project and make it the most competitive application possible.

In order to do that, we need the Legislature to appropriate that money by May 13th, and that is the drop dead date for ADOT to be able to include those state moneys as that significant state match and demonstrate that significant commitment in that grant application process.

So this is something that we are meeting with our stakeholders on right now and really trying to get the word out

```
1
     for folks. We do believe there's a good opportunity here for
 2
     the state, especially in light of that significant budget
     surplus. It seems like all of the stars are potentially in
 3
     alignment, so we're really trying to work with our stakeholder
4
 5
     community right now and make sure that folks know that this
     opportunity's on the horizon, and we need help to get across the
 6
 7
     finish line. And again, that day is May 13th. It's a really
8
     great opportunity, and we look forward to moving forward with
9
            I know Paul is going to talk a little bit more about the
10
     grant process later.
11
                    So Mr. Chair and Members, I'd be happy to answer
12
     any questions you might have.
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any members here in person or
     those online have any questions for Katy?
14
15
                    Thank you, Katy. I think every so often you keep
16
     us informed on these kinds of issues. So thank you very much.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, that ends the
18
     director's report. Thank you.
19
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: So that includes your last
20
     minute items to report? You don't have any?
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. All my items were last
22
     minute items, because I just made it up. Katy came prepared.
23
     just made it up when the director said he wasn't -- unable to
24
     make the meeting.
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. If he's still coming
25
```

```
1
     on, we'll try to hear from him as well?
 2
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. I do not expect him,
     though, but --
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: -- we'll watch to see if he logs
 5
     in.
          Thank you, sir
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. We will now move on to
8
     Item 2, District Engineer's report. Jeremy Moore, Assistant
9
     District Engineer for Southcentral District.
10
                    MR. MOORE: Thank you.
11
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning.
12
                    MR. MOORE: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members
13
     of the Board.
                    My name is Jeremy Moore. I am the assistant
     district engineer for the Southcentral District.
14
15
                    I want to start off by providing (indiscernible)
16
     to the community to, the City of Nogales, Santa Cruz County, the
17
     Nogales school district, Port Authority, ADOT staff, Ames
18
     Construction, Fresh Produce and many more for their patience and
19
     support during the construction of 189.
20
                    I also want to note that I am very proud and
21
     honored to have been part of that project as well. So with
22
     that, I'll go ahead and talk a little bit about 189 and some
23
     other upcoming projects in the district -- in the area.
24
                    Next slide.
25
                    So State Route 189. This project's delivery
```

method was design/build. The design/builder was Ames Construction and Horrocks Engineers. Part of the funding for this project was the \$25 million TIGER grant. The contract amount for this was 82 million. Contract was awarded in February of 2020, and the start of construction was March of 2020, with a estimated completion date of May of 2022. The only thing that we have left on this project is the final striping, which we need the correct ambient and surface temperatures to do that successfully.

Next slide, please.

Project improvements. All right. We've got the Mariposa Road to I-19 flyover connection. We've got the two-lane roundabout, intersection at Target Range Road. We've got improvements at Grant Avenue and Mariposa. You've got the raised median along Mariposa. You've got the widening at Mariposa Road. We've got drainage improvements, lighting improvements, signing and striping, sidewalk and curb and gutter, ADA improvements, additional storage capacity for the turning lanes at the intersections, and intersection improvements.

Next slide, please.

So one of the things that made this project kind of unique and was one of -- a first for me was the delivery method on this project, which was a design build. So our typical delivery method that I'm used to is, you know, we design

a project. It's advertised. Contractors bid the jobs, and then you've got the lowest bidder that is tentatively awarded the project, in the (indiscernible).

ADOT holds the design in that process, whereas on 189, we utilized a different method, which was the design/build, and that's basically where the contractor now hires the design team. They are in charge of the construction and the design process. And so ADOT would put out a request for qualifications, technical proposals, the cost to build the job and build time of the project, so all of the proposers are on that -- are interested in the contract.

Once that's submitted, then ADOT forms a selection panel, and then that selection panel will go through, review all the scoring, score the qualifications, the typical proposals, cost and the time to build the job, and then we end up with the selected candidate. And on this project it was Ames Construction (indiscernible). But that's a little bit unique in it was a very interesting process, and I really enjoyed it, so...

Next slide, please.

So some other projects in the area. We've got the Potrero Canyon Bridge, which is a scour retrofit project. We've got Southwest Concrete Paving as the contractor on that one. The contract alone is 790,000. Delivery method is design bid build. Traditional delivery method with an expected

1 completion date of late spring of 2022. 2 Next slide, please. Then we've got our I-19 broadband project. 3 4 is kind of similar to 189, because the delivery method is again 5 design/build. And so our design/builder on this is Sundt Construction, and then Kimley-Horn is the designer. Contract 6 7 amount is 14.9 million. Delivery method is, again, 8 design/build, and the expected completion date is early 2023. 9 Next slide, please. 10 Then we've got our I-10 San Pedro River Bridge 11 project. This is out in the Benson area and Cochise County, and 12 it is a whole bridge replacement, new girders, new beams, new 13 deck, new columns, the whole entire structure, substructure, 14 superstructure. Contractor on this project is FNF Construction. 15 Contract amount was 6.14 million. Delivery method is 16 design/bid/build, traditional method, with an expected 17 completion date fall of 2022. 18 And next slide, please. 19 And that concludes the district update. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board Members, do you have 21 any questions? 22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jeremy, on the -- on the 23 Benson project, are they on schedule? Are they ahead? Behind? 24 How are we looking for that? MR. MOORE: They're on schedule. Yeah, they're a 25

1 little bit ahead, but I think we're going to beat the completion 2 date on that one, as long as we've got no issues with weather or anything, should be on target. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Sounds good. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. MOORE: 6 Thank you. 7 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Maxwell. 9 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, Jeremy, first thing I 10 wanted to say is congratulations on a job well done. You know, 11 we've talked a lot about the support necessary to get a project 12 funded and move forward sometimes on the five-year plan, but 13 when it comes down to it, it really is the contractor that 14 performs the actual work as well as the ADOT team that oversees 15 and supervises and ensures that it's all done -- SR-189, when 16 you go out and see it, you know it is a truly (indiscernible) 17 example of what's possible. 18 My question for is you say the thing that you 19 found most interesting was the design/build, and noticed that on 20 another one of the bids, design/build is also the method of 21 choice. Do you see that going forward, and what do you think 22 the advantages are, just to kind of educate us on using that 23 type of process? 24 MR. MOORE: Sure. Mr. Chair, members of the 25 Board, so yeah, you know, with 189, what I saw is we took -- we

took a four-year project and having condensed it down to
essentially a two-year project. So you don't -- you didn't have
to go through, like, you know, an 18-month, 14-month design
process and then go into a two-year construction process. We
took it down to a two-year process. We kind of designed it as
we built it at the same time. So we really shrunk that timeline
down.

Second thing I also see as far as the benefit is innovation. Right? We're getting the contractor's ideas on how they can utilize their equipment, their men and stuff like that get, you know, a good -- a good dollar amount on this project, and it takes a lot of risk off the department and puts the risk back onto the contractor.

So it's -- that was two of the biggest things that I saw was just innovation, the risk, getting them involved on how to build this team. So that when we get into construction, we don't have a lot of change orders and a lot of, you know, disagreements with what the plans are. They are in charge of the plans. They control it. We oversee it. We review it, and then we move forward as a team.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you for that information. Maybe a follow-up. I don't know if it's for you or if, Floyd, you take it on behalf of the Director. Since that is obviously something new -- this is new, first time you've ever saw it, it's going forward -- how is it determined on these

projects going forward? Obviously by the time they get to the Board, the bid technique has been decided. How is that determined on projects?

MR. ROEHRICH: So -- so Mr. Chairman,

Mr. Maxwell, the infrastructure and delivery ideal -- what's --

Mr. Maxwell, the infrastructure and delivery ideal -- what's -- infrastructure delivery and operations group, they have a matrix when they're looking at projects in the five-year program for the potential, which should we do traditional design/bid/build, which we do design/build, which do we use for maybe our P3 opportunities, because you can put design/build in there, but then you have more flexibility in the procurement process. And then is there construction manager at risk.

So there's a number of different options available to us contracting wise, and the technical team through the state engineer's office and through the (indiscernible) division, we'll evaluate those projects, determine which is the best forward. On a lot of projects if the -- if the scope is pretty straightforward, we'll just design it and then put it out for bid, because there's not a lot of, as Jeremy said, opportunity or room for innovation.

Where there is room to either bring projects together or take more complicated projects and get the contractor and the designer together as a team to work on that, to save not only time, but sometimes you can save a little bit of efficiencies and moneys and things like that, then we'll look

at that as an opportunity. But not all projects align itself to that, because there is still some things when we talk about transferring risk to the contractor or to others. We as an owner can't transfer. We have to take that on. So we'll balance what are those conditions in the -- in the project.

And then there are other projects where we know there's some innovations, kind of complicated, but we want to technically control it, and then that's where we'll do the construction manager at risk. We'll hire a contractor, and then we'll hire a designer separate, but then we will still take the lead in that role to make sure that the design elements, the technical settlements we want are addressed, but then the contractor could bring in some efficiencies within that.

So we go through a process to do that. I know we've heard from people, Hey, if design/build is so efficient and effective, why don't you do all projects design/build? Not all projects are real strong candidates for that. That's why we have a process to go through, and again, a lot of people are experienced with it. They've worked on a number of them, and now we're starting to see as an opportunity where it is, but we evaluate that every year as we evaluate the five-year program.

MR. MAXWELL: Director -- or Mr. Chair, Floyd, Jeremy, thank you for the response. I thought it was good to get that out so that the public could hear maybe -- they heard the words it's more efficient. It gets it done in a timely

1 manner, and at possibly cost savings. You think it all can be 2 done that way, but it's good to have that background, so I 3 appreciate your answers. 4 MR. ROEHRICH: And Mr. Chairman and Mr. Maxwell, 5 I don't want to speak on it directly. You have the state engineer here. I don't know if he would like to offer any 6 7 additional comments or corrections to my comment but... Put him 8 on the spot a little bit. 9 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Floyd. 10 Actually, Floyd did an excellent job describing 11 exactly what we go through. One of the big things that we have 12 is in evaluating each of the different projects is one of the 13 things that Jeremy just brought up, and that's risk, and when we 14 transfer that risk, we also increase costs of projects. So 15 that's something that we have to -- kind of have to be very 16 careful about as we balance on projects. So other than that, 17 what Floyd said is dead nuts on how we take and process 18 everything through. 19 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Greg. 20 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Jeremy, thank you. And 21 then -- thank you, Jeremy. 22 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And then moving an engineer

and all the engineers within our area, (indiscernible) some

assistance, we reach out to one of you. So thank you

24

25

1 (indiscernible). 2 MR. MOORE: Thank you. Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Now, we will now move on to 3 Item 3, consent agenda. I know that one of you have an item 4 5 that you want removed from the consent agenda, or do any of you want to make any corrections on the agenda? 6 7 So we'll go to Richard first. 8 MR. SEARLE: Yes. Chairman Thompson, I would 9 like to make a note on the minutes for our Marana meeting has a 10 correction. I notice in the minutes that I was referenced as 11 the vice chair all through the meeting, and although I 12 appreciate the honor, I think it belongs to Mr. Knight here. 13 MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Searle, I 14 realize that on the front page they identified Mr. Knight as 15 vice chair, but I guess I did not look at -- through the 16 narrative, and approving the minutes with your correction will 17 correct those minutes. 18 MR. SEARLE: Very good. 19 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Your time is coming, Richard. 20 MR. SEARLE: I'm in no hurry. 21 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: So with that, do I have a 22 motion to approve the consent agenda as presented? MR. SEARLE: So moved. 23 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we're -- you should move to have it amended. 25

1	MR. SEARLE: As amended.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
3	MR. SEARLE: Well, that's what I mean.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
5	MR. ROEHRICH: Perfect. If we could approve the
6	consent agenda as amended.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Right.
8	MR. ROEHRICH: So you have
9	MR. SEARLE: That's my motion.
10	MR. ROEHRICH: a move motion by Board Member
11	Searle.
12	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And I'll second it.
13	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. There's a motion by
14	Richard and then second by Gary to approve the amend the
15	consent agenda as amended. Now (indiscernible) the Board now
16	that we have a motion and a second, is there any discussion on
17	this other than what Richard mentioned? Anybody online?
18	There being none, all those in favor say aye.
19	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
21	Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members
22	attending remotely.
23	MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	The record will note that all the present members
25	said aye, and now we will go on to the online members.

1	Board member excuse me. Board Member Daniels.
2	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
3	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
4	MR. MECK: Aye.
5	MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Stratton.
6	MR. STRATTON: Aye.
7	MR. ROEHRICH: Chairman, the motion passes.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you very much, Floyd.
9	Your vote lets that motion carries.
10	We will now move on to the financial report, with
11	Kristine Ward. Item Agenda Item 4 for information and
12	discussion only.
13	Ms. Ward.
14	MS. WARD: Good morning, board members.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning.
16	MS. WARD: So we'll start out go ahead and
17	move to the first slide.
18	And I'll give you your Highway User Revenue Fund
19	update, how we're doing through the year. You can see we're
20	running a little below forecast, about 2.8 percent. We have got
21	strong growth in the gas tax. You can see moderate growth in
22	diesel tax, but our mystery for the month was VLT. It we had
23	a very unusual spike there. It gave economists something to
24	track down and analyze, and what

Let me go on to the $\operatorname{--}$ to the next slide, if you

would, Rhett.

And you'll see that vehicle license tax number for -- we're covering the month of March. You'll see that it's 21.2 percent over last year, and what you're seeing is the -- kind of a culmination of what the economy has experienced in the rental car surcharge facet. So within vehicle license tax, there's a rental surcharge, revenues that come in, and that is a surcharge on -- that each of us have when we go and rent a car.

Well, if you'll recall, the rental -- the car rental industry took quite a hit with COVID, and then they came out of that as the vaccinations and so forth came out, and people started going out more. Suddenly there was a great demand after many of the rental car companies had sold off a tremendous amount of their vehicles. The result was that increased demand with that limited supply left -- led to skyrocketing prices, and therefore, that 5 percent charge, which was based on those prices, went up significantly.

We saw an over doubling of our -- of rental surcharge revenue come in this month. So we had a -- we had a -- a fun little mystery on our hands. A roller coaster that I am sure the rental car companies did not enjoy.

If we could go on to the next slide, and we'll cover the Regional Area Road Fund.

As you can see, we are a little ahead of forecast on that for the year. 3.7 percent ahead of last year. Year to

date, we've gotten about \$431 million in. About 20 percent over last year, and about 3.7, as you can see, above our forecast.

We had strong growth in all of the large categories, those being retail and restaurant and bar. Contracting has also been strong.

Rhett, if can you go to the next page for me -- next slide. Excuse me.

You'll see the breakdown of the -- of the major revenue categories here, and you can see restaurant and bar, that growth over last year is tremendous at 28.7 percent over last year. Retail sales, also over 18.3 percent over last year when we're looking at February, for the month of February.

Moving on -- Rhett, let's go ahead, I -- and I'm going to spend some time on the federal aid program and give you an update on IIJA.

So it has been -- it has been an exciting month since we last -- since I last reported to you. Myself and my two compadres, Paul Patane and Greg Byres, we have been -- we have -- we've been on the road, virtually, meeting with COGs and MPOs and Rural Transportation Group, Kevin Adams' group, and really discussing and rolling out the funding levels associated with IIJA. This was one of the slides. This has been updated, an updated version since the ones I've been showing you, as we have gotten more clarity in the numbers, I've been updating the information. And so -- and let me clarify. The people that do

the work, I owe them a great deal of thanks, the FMS staff, for continually updating this information.

So what you see here is just an update of the overall funding levels that we're getting through the infrastructure bill, and one of things that -- the feedback -- one of the items we got feedback on that the COGs and the MPOs are also experiencing is this perception that -- and kind of adding some clarity and reality to this perception that the State got 5 billion dollars of new money. And so I -- their feedback was they're battling the same thing.

In reality, what we got just between -- is we're going to get about -- over the life of IIJA, we'll get about \$1.3 billion more over the five-year period. Between '21 and '22, you can see that variation going from 801 to the 1 billion 25. So we're getting about 225 additional -- in additional funding.

And I think a point of clarification that the COGs and the MPOs were also trying to emphasize to their stakeholders is that, you know, 120 million of that is associated with continuation of FAST Act programs. That's -- that is a real increase on existing programs that we are -- we are familiar with, and it -- with some expanded eligibilities. But 105 of that 225 million is for new programs, and with those new programs come new guidelines, new restrictions. In some cases they are expanding in areas that we have not worked in

```
1
     specifically before, and as you might expect, the electric
 2
     vehicles, the NEVI program, National Electric Vehicle
     Infrastructure Program, also carbon reduction, the Protect
 3
     Program, these are -- these are programs that are new.
4
 5
                    And Rhett, if you go on to the next slide for me.
     Great. Thank you.
6
 7
                    Oh, goodness. This didn't -- this didn't
8
     transpose very well. I apologize. This doesn't look quite
9
     right.
                    But the -- what this does is it provides a
10
11
     comparison of the programs that were continued from the FAST
12
     Act, as well as depicts the new programs that came along with
13
     IIJA. And so we are indeed -- and quite thankful and happy
14
     about it -- getting additional funds from IIJA, but it's not
15
     quite what folks have -- some of the common parlance out there,
16
     the discussion that's going on of an additional 5 billion, and
17
     it also comes with new programs that have new restrictions.
18
                    So moving on to the next slide, if you would,
19
     Rhett.
20
                    So I'm going to maintain the same cadence of kind
21
     of telling you what we know, what we don't know and when we
     think we'll know more information. So since the last I reported
22
23
     to you, we learned a lot more. We're still learning, but we
24
     learned a lot more since last month. FHWA provided us the
25
     funding levels that -- that were established in the budget that
```

was signed by the President last month.

We got -- in terms of when you think of the overall funding levels that I showed you on that first slide, when the budget comes through, that's when they determine how much of those limits, those upper funding limits that were shown on that first slide, how much -- how many dollars are actually going along with that.

So 91.3 percent is what we're getting of the apportionments that I showed you on the previous page. So that's -- that is -- that is the number that we have really -- one of the numbers we've really been waiting for. So we're getting 91.3 percent of the funding that you -- we've been discussing thus far. There's actual money behind those apportionments.

Also, since last month, as I mentioned, we've had a number of meetings with the COGs and the MPOs, walking them through what we've learned, what we've learned about the programs, what we've learned about the funding levels to those programs. We also rolled out earlier this week their ledgers that -- and those ledgers identify the known funding that's being passed through to them. So it's been a -- it's actually been a -- it's been a very exciting last month, and Greg and Paul and I have been spending a lot of time together. No comments by either one of them if they enjoyed that or not.

If we could move on to the next slide. Thanks,

Rhett.

So what we don't know. We still are awaiting some guidance on some of these new programs. We've got to remember that our federal partners are figuring some of this out and scrambling as quickly as they possibly can to get us out information, and I have to say it has been a pleasure working with our FHWA division here in Arizona. They are an incredible group to work with. It is -- it is truly a partnership, and just -- it's gone very smoothly and I'm very appreciative.

A I said, we are -- we're all kind of in a kind of a holding pattern sometimes, awaiting further guidance coming down. So we need that additional federal guidance in order to -- the next thing we need to take on is recasting the funding levels for the tentative five-year program. We're getting closer. We're much, much closer, but we need those -- that additional guidance from -- on these remaining federal programs because, you know, if something's getting passed through, then -- to, say, the COGs or MPOs, then it doesn't roll into the funding that goes to the tentative five-year program that rolls through the RAC, which is the resource allocation of the 37 percent to MAG, the 50 percent to Greater Arizona, the 13 percent to PAG. So that's what we don't know at this time, and we're still waiting for it, and we look forward to receiving that so we can finalize and recast the tentative program.

You might recall from -- excuse me. You might

```
1
     recall when I presented the tentative program, funding levels to
 2
     you back, I think, in the study session in January or early
     February, I told you that I had added some dollars in, assumed
 3
     some funding levels in those numbers, and I had. I'd assumed
4
 5
     $100 million each year. So I anticipate that once we finish
     these sub-allocations and firm them up and, you know, we've
6
 7
     had -- gotten this additional guidance, I expect there to be
8
     additional funds that will flow into the program.
9
                    So when will we know more? Notice all the
     question marks. I don't know, and the FHWA division office
10
11
     can't tell me either. So they have also been very -- you know,
12
     we nudged -- we nudged the division office, and poor Anthony
13
     then nudges the -- you know, the CFO's office and said, Hey --
     you know, the FHWA's CFO, and we're just -- we're just not quite
14
15
     certain yet of when we'll get those additional numbers.
16
                    So with that, that concludes my remarks.
                                                              I think
17
     you can go to the next slide. It should just be a questions
18
     slide. Yeah.
                    If anyone has any questions, I'd be happy to
     answer them.
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Kristine, thank you very much
21
     for that information. There is a lot of concern and questions
22
     about, you know, how we move on from trying to identify ways --
23
                    MS. WARD: If we've got audio, I am not hearing
24
     anything.
```

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead, Kristine.

25

1	MR. ROEHRICH: Kristine, can you hear?
2	MS. WARD: Yes, I can now.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They have her muted.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I'm okay from this end.
5	MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. Yes, sir. You are,
6	Mr. Chair. Go ahead. You can make your comments now.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anyway, Kristine, we do thank
8	you for those information that a lot of concern in the
9	public. They're asking about the infrastructure funding that
10	are coming down, how we're going to move forward to get some
11	projects going. And then, again, (indiscernible) information
12	that we need to move forward to the public.
13	So with that comment, does any member have
14	questions for Kristine?
15	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary.
17	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.
18	Kristine, go back three or four slides to the
19	IIJA apportionment estimates.
20	MS. WARD: Uh-huh.
21	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: At the bottom of that slide,
22	you've got a note that supplemental programs consist of bridge
23	program, 45 million in National Electric Vehicle infrastructure
24	of 11.3. That do we know if that 11.3 million for electrical

vehicle infrastructure, is that going to -- is that going to

1 require any state matching funds? 2 MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, yeah, I believe we've got a -- I believe we have a 20 percent match on 3 4 that. 5 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So that was (indiscernible). MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Yes. Yes. 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. It's a yes. 8 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, it's a 9 20 percent match, meaning that we can -- on projects or on 10 expenditures, 80 percent can be the federal funds, and then 20 11 percent have to be state funds. 12 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. Because I've got a 13 problem with us spending our road money on electric vehicle 14 infrastructure. In my mind, that should be a private sector. 15 We don't build gas stations, and I don't think we should be 16 building vehicle charging stations. I think the private sector, 17 which sells electricity, should be, and the -- of course, the 18 other -- we've got Circle Ks and all kinds of convenient stores 19 that sell gas that can have -- they can put in charging stations 20 and actually make revenue off of them, but for us to use the --21 our money that we would normally use for roads to build charging 22 stations, I've got a real problem with that. 23 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 24 MS. WARD: So if I may --25 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Kristine.

1 MS. WARD: -- Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, another 2 aspect, and I thank my phone-a-friend in Karla, reminding me that, you know, that 20 percent match, it is a 20 percent match 3 requirement, but that can be met by private sector, if the 4 5 private sector comes in to participate. Just an additional facet I thought that I should mention. 6 7 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Kristine. As long 8 as it's private sector, then I have no problem with it. So that would make it a P3. 9 10 MR. ROEHRICH: Well, Mr. Chairman, 11 Mr. Stratton --12 MS. WARD: Yeah. It really remains to be seen. 13 That's exactly right. You know, MR. ROEHRICH: 14 the requirement of the law is that the state develop an 15 implementation plan, which is due August 1st, and we are just 16 starting the process. We're taking the guidance that has been 17 given to date. We're doing some research on what kind of 18 possibilities are out there. We are bringing a consultant 19 onboard. We will develop a draft implementation plan by 20 August 1st. That will at least identify a path forward as we 21 look to involve the NEVI electric vehicle -- National Electric 22 Vehicle Infrastructure Plan as far as how the state's strategy 23 is going to be. Comments like yourself, comments we're going to 24 25 get from stakeholders and comments from the public, because

```
1
     there will be a public involvement process, will all be analyzed
 2
     as we decide as a state how we are going to move that initiative
     forward.
 3
 4
                    MR. SEARLE: Jesse.
 5
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, you have another
     comment.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard.
8
                    MR. SEARLE: Gary, thank you for those comments.
9
     I think they're spot on, and it sounds like -- the information
10
     is good this morning. It sounds like we have a great grant
11
     opportunity for the private sector on this. So with that, thank
12
     you.
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Richard.
14
                    Ted.
15
                    MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
                    For follow-up probably, Floyd, to you, and then
17
     I've got a couple for Kristine. And the follow-up question I've
18
     got is that plan that's being developed by ADOT, who's the
     approval authority for that plan? Is it the department or is it
19
20
     the Board?
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell, it's an
22
     administrative point at this time, but as the Board advises the
23
     director on, you know, transportation issues, as well as then
24
     any implementation that would come through to the five-year
```

program, you would have direct relationship in that.

25

1 MR. MAXWELL: Okay.

MR. ROEHRICH: Your input will be through the guidance that you give, the advice you give, and we do tend to bring back to you the implementation plan when we have it drafted prior to implementing it to, again, get further comments from the Board, but it is an administrative function that the director will submit.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. And for the record, I tend to agree with Member Knight and Member Searle on it, that the final investment after it's made is going to be in private sector hands, then it should be the private sector funding that comes up, I think, and I think you're not going to have a shortage of people looking to get that kind of -- with a 20 percent match, getting 100 percent built. So I'd strongly encourage the department to consider that as they develop the plan. I know you will.

So I did have two follow-ups for Kristine. The first one is on the bridge money. One of the questions I get -- I hear more than any from the municipalities in the region is they've already identified the bridges they want to get done. So is any of that fundamental -- or that supplemental funding for the bridge programs going direct to the municipalities or is it all going to be managed at the state level?

MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell, the
45 million breaks down into two components. The amount that is

- 59 1 for off-system bridge that would -- that would be the the local 2 side would -- is about 6.8 million, and I would defer some of this, addressing of your question, to Greg if I'm off in any way 3 here, or Paul. I'm sorry. But I believe we got about 4 5 6.8 million of that -- of that 45 that is -- that is for off 6 system. 7 Now, how that gets programmed, I'm going to --8 I'm going to defer to Paul or Greg, because it is slipping my 9 mind right this moment. The 38 million component will run down 10 and go through the normal RAC allocation and be programmed in 11 the 50, 37, 13 breakdown between, you know, Greater Arizona, MAG 12 and PAG. 13 I think that's a -- you know it's a really 14 important point that I've had to bring up with COGs and the MPOs 15 or I had brought up with COGs and the MPOs through our discussions is if it's -- it's either -- if it's -- it's either 16 17 passed through to them or it goes -- it comes to them through 18 the Casa Grande accord and those allocations. 19
 - So I don't know if that helps you, sir. I -- did that answer your question? And I phone -- I'll call a friend, a virtual friend, in Paul and Greg if I've missed any part of that. Does that help you, sir?

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MAXWELL: Kristine, I appreciate that answer. It really does. That lets me know a little bit more about the funding, when the municipalities are mentioning it also, working

1 within the COGs and the MPOs as well. And I know Greg, your 2 call-a-friend, is standing at the podium, so I'm sure he's going to give us some more information. So thank you for that answer. 3 4 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Greg. 5 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The off-system bridge portion that is going out 6 7 to basically the locals, there's a caveat that goes with that in 8 that the bridges that those dollars are used on has to take 9 those bridges from poor or fair condition to good. So that's 10 going to be the number one criteria that we have to go through. 11 So as either locals bring that to us through the COGs, through the MPOs, then there's a consideration that will be made for 12 those -- for those dollars. 13 14 MR. MAXWELL: Outstanding. Thank you for that 15 answer, and Mr. Chair, just one more question for Kristine. 16 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Ted. 17 MR. MAXWELL: The follow-up is 91.3 of the funds, 18 in the formula funding had been apportioned, and I know that's a 19 good thing to know, because now we know the number now. 20 question is what happens to that 8.7 percent remaining? 21 MS. WARD: Oh, okay. There -- Mr. Chairman, 22 Mr. Maxwell, so that is the -- what you're asking about is the 23 difference between apportionments and obligation authority. 24 that's all lovely federal lingo. 25 So when they get apportionment, the -- those are

the numbers I've been reporting out to you. When you saw that blue -- that chart with the blue bars that said 801 in FF -- 801 million in FFY '21, and then in FFY '22, you saw the new IIJA program numbers, and that was, like, 969. That 801 went to 969.

Those -- that whole chart represents and conveys to you apportionments. I won't get into any more detail than that on that, because there are some variations, but apportionments are not actual funding. They're not actual money. They are upper limits. It says you may spend -- think of it -- think of apportionments a little bit like checks, and think of yourself as having multiple checkbooks that -- and the -- then you've got this cash, and that cash that we get to fund those apportionments is only 91.3 percent. That's what the feds told us. You -- of those apportionments, you only get 91.3 percent in actual cash.

Now, those apportionments go over multiple categories, like those multiple different bank accounts. We have -- think of it as a -- as a bank account for national -- our National Highway Program, NHPP. We have a bank account for the safety program. HSIP, Highway Safety Improvement Program, we have a bank account. So you're kind of -- hopefully you're following -- you're kind of getting the -- that differentiation.

Then -- and you have checks associated with each one of those programs, but much like, you know, the old joke of,

```
1
     hey, I have checks, I can -- as long as I have checks, I can
 2
     still spend money, you need apportionments and you need the
     cash, the fund -- that funding level, a/k/a the actual
 3
     obligation authority.
4
 5
                    That money comes through the budget, and what
     they -- what the feds have -- what Congress has said is of those
6
 7
     apportionments, you get 91.3 percent in actual funding, and the
8
     max you can spend in any one of those categories, the banking --
9
     bank account that was for safety or the bank account that was
10
     for national highway -- the max you can spend is those -- is
11
     topped off by those checks, the number of checks you had. So I
12
     hope this is kind of coming across, because I can't really see
13
     your facial expression to see how badly this is going. Is this
14
     starting to (indiscernible) --
                    MR. MAXWELL: I guess my question is -- I guess
15
16
     my question, if we spend 95 percent of what they've told us we
17
     could spend, we're 3 percent short, what happens from what
18
     they've already given us cash, or do we have to -- can we not
19
     spend it until we go back and get their authority to obligate?
20
                    MS. WARD: Sir, we cannot over-expend, because we
21
     only have 91.3 percent of the -- that -- those total
22
     apportionments. You really -- you just -- you don't -- we do
23
     not have -- that is not available to us. The actual
24
     (indiscernible) --
                    MR. MAXWELL: (Indiscernible.) I understand.
25
```

```
1
     Kristine, thank you. I understand that now, and I guess it's
 2
     important when we talk about the amount of money that they've
     got, it's really only 91.3 that we've got. So despite what the
 3
     numbers in the books may be, we don't have all that money to
4
 5
     expend. We've got 91.3 percent of that money. So it's -- you
     know, what's out in the public and what's being told what we can
6
 7
     actually do are two different things. I appreciate the
8
     clarification, and I hope the rest of the Board's not looking at
9
     me like you should already know that, but -- but as the new
10
     person, I ask a lot of those questions. So thank you.
11
                    MS. WARD: Mr. Chair (indiscernible) thank you.
12
                    (Speaking simultaneously.)
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online wish to make a
14
     comment?
15
                    MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.
16
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        If not, I'd just like to say
17
     that there are certain bridges that were part of the state
18
     highway system that they know that the state has no longer a
19
     need for (indiscernible) take a different route.
20
     public (indiscernible) responsibility of the state, even though
21
     they kind of set it aside, because they got new road -- new
22
     bridges. So that's the only comment I'm going to make, but
     that's -- as we go along, they'll be, you know -- they'll be
23
     presented to us.
24
25
                    With that, and I think a lot of the discussion we
```

```
1
     have talked about the funding for electric vehicles. We talked
 2
     about that. I know (indiscernible). I know that the
     administration is hearing us loudly, and we'll move forward with
 3
     those (indiscernible) recommendation. So with that, if there's
4
 5
     no other comments to be made, like to go on to --
                    MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead. Stratton?
8
                    MR. ROEHRICH: It's Mr. Stratton. Yes, sir.
9
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Proceed.
10
                    MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
                    I would like to echo the comments of my fellow
12
     board members about the charging stations. I feel like it's
13
     almost a misuse of funds. We don't build gas stations to help
     those producers. Why should we build electric charging stations
14
15
     at our cost or even our 20 percent?
16
                    And then, Kristine, I'll ask you this. It -- I
17
     believe our normal match on road projects is 5.7 percent to the
     federal monies?
18
19
                    MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, you are --
20
     that is very accurate. You are correct, sir.
21
                    MR. STRATTON: So the 2.2 million, which is 20
22
     percent of the 11 million, approximately, we could fund about
23
     $39 million worth of road projects if we use that for the 5.7
24
     percent; is that correct?
25
                    MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, I haven't
```

1 done the math in my head, but that sounds about right. 2 MR. STRATTON: Thank you. So that's just a point I'd like to make to the 3 board members as these opportunities come up that that would be 4 5 another \$39 million worth of road projects we could utilize that money for to match federal funds. 6 7 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody else on the line? 9 MS. DANIELS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is Jenn Daniels. 10 11 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels, proceed. 12 MS. DANIELS: Thank you. 13 I just wanted to state historically, actually, if 14 we go back quite a few years, before any of us were alive, there 15 was quite a bit infrastructure that the government supported in 16 order to make sure that we have the gas station network that we 17 have today. It definitely laid the groundwork for that, and so 18 I just want to make the comment that, yes, while this is new 19 technology, and on its face it appears as though we may be 20 investing in something that the private sector should be doing, 21 I think it's really important to note that we didn't get the gas 22 station network that we have today without government support

23

24

25

Now, do I believe that people should be paying

way back when, and the infrastructure that we need for electric

vehicles is definitely a component.

```
1
     their fair share when they go to connect or use the actual
 2
     electricity just as someone would pay for their own gas? Yes.
     Absolutely, but it does take a bit of sometimes investment and
 3
4
     intervention on behalf of -- for government on behalf of new
 5
     technologies in order to see those things become available and
     prolific, and given the network that we have in the state of
 6
 7
     Arizona and the opportunities that we have here, I actually
8
     think it behooves us to support an electric vehicle network.
9
     think both in the short and long term it supports the state on a
10
     variety of levels.
11
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those comments,
12
     Ms. Daniels.
13
                    Anybody else?
                                   Jackie?
14
                    If not, let's go move on to Agenda Item 5.
15
                    Paul. Thank you, Paul.
16
                    MR. PATANE: Good morning, Mr. Chair, board
17
               I'm Paul Patane, the Multimodal Planning Division.
18
     Today I'd like to give you an update on what's happening in the
19
     Multimodal Planning Division.
20
                    The areas I'll cover, the tribal transportation
21
     update, some planning updates and some studies we're working on,
22
     along with an update and some of the comments received for the
23
     2023 Tentative Five-Year Transportation Program.
24
                    So the first area, the tribal transportation
25
     update, is with the Intertribal Council of Arizona. This is a
```

transportation working group with a focus of having state and federal partners working with our tribal partners and going over some of the transportation issues.

The last couple meetings -- the last meeting was held on April 7th. The topics they covered were the Highway Traffic Safety Improvement Program, the HSIP, along with federal tribal transportation program. They also covered different areas of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law provisions. We had nine representatives from six tribes, and the next meeting will be held May 5th to cover the Long Range Transportation Plan, along with the NEVA study, the National Electrical Vehicle Deployment Plan.

Next slide, please.

So this is a new group that's starting up. It's a multi-state agency tribal liaison group. It consists of various areas, both private and non-government and governmental, ADOT, Advisory Council on Indian Health Care, First Things First, Health Care Containment System, Office of Tourism. And their out- -- their focus of their outreach meetings is really to help tribal liaison understand the roles and responsibilities going over agency tribal consultation policies and discuss recommendations for and improve outreach.

So some of the planning updates, some of the studies we're working on. Just a quick update on these. The first one is the Long Range Transportation Plan. This is a

requirement that's due. It must be completed every five years. We've got two review proposals. We have two proposals that we received, and we'll be making the -- hopefully the consultant selection by the end of April with the notice to proceed into May.

Floyd -- as Floyd mentioned earlier, the National Electrical Vehicle Infrastructure Plan, the NEVA program, again, our plan is due August 1st, and currently we received three proposals that are currently under review. And both these programs and plans do require tribal consultation and tribal liaison involvement, a requirement.

So a little update on the discretionary grant programs. So right now the ones that are out, the National Infrastructure Project Assistance, that's the Mega program, and ADOT is currently having a consultant on board. We're working on that to apply for a project using the Mega grant. The project there will be along the I-10 corridor.

Then the next grant would be the INFRA grant. We are getting a consultant on board, and the project -- projects we're reviewing for the INFRA grant are on US-93.

And the Road Surface Transportation Grant Program, we're not submitting an application for that one as of yet.

New ones that came out were the National Scenic Byways Program and the RAISE grant, just closed yesterday for grant applications.

So what the -- this is kind of the new -- just wanted to put this on your radar. This is a new link that the Department of Transportation is publishing now. Gives us a heads up on the notice of -- Notice of Funding Opportunity announcements, and so this way we have a heads up of potential discretionary grant programs that are coming out (indiscernible) may be eligible for.

So update on the five-year program. Floyd kind of went over a lot of the things. The public comment period ends June 2nd. We do have a meeting scheduled for May 20th at the Salt River Pima Maricopa Community Center, and so we're moving toward that.

So I just want to kind of give you a update on some of the comments that we received to date. So we've received over 120 comments, okay, so far, and -- both from using the SurveyMonkey along with the email we received, and some of the major things of the comments were the widening from I-10 from Phoenix to Casa Grande, along with improvements on I-17 from Anthem to Sunset Point, along with the need to repair along State Route 88, Apache Trail.

So some of the things that we've gone (indiscernible) to date to increase the public participation is we've done news releases. We've done two government delivery notices. We've done ADOT blog posts and -- ADOT blog posts

```
1
     about the tentative program, used social media promotion on
     Facebook -- on Facebook and Twitter. We have ADOT websites
 2
     update and media articles written from the ADOT news release.
 3
4
     So those -- we've done a pretty progressive, you know, campaign
 5
     of trying to get the word out we're soliciting comments on the
     five-year program.
 6
 7
                    Next slide, please.
8
                    Any questions?
9
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any questions from board
     members?
10
11
                    MS. DANIELS: Chairman, this is Jenn Daniels
12
     again.
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels.
14
                    MS. DANIELS: Thank you.
15
                    It came up yesterday, it was brought up about the
16
     State Route 88. Can you tell me where we are and if a portion
17
     of that or some component of it is being included in the five-
18
     year plan or is being moved into the five-year plan?
19
                    MR. PATANE: Mr. Byres will help us out there.
20
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Daniels,
21
     right now where we're at is with the funding that we received
22
     last year from the Legislature for a design concept report and
23
     study, we are currently in that phase right now. We're just
     getting that DCR going. We've done some preliminary work to get
24
25
     that study up and going with the scoping that was necessary for
```

it. The consultant is -- I believe that consultant is on board.

If not, he will be within -- I was going to say within the month

so that we can get that going.

The deadline for that, we are trying to get the study completed by the end of the year. So one of the big things that we're concentrating on in that study is the stabilization of the upslope above the roadway. Without us being able to stabilize that slope above the road, any road improvements are in danger of the same thing happening -- or occurring again with the first big rain, so -- but that's the status that we're at right now.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels, does that answer your question?

MS. DANIELS: -- any portion included in the five-year plan?

MR. BYRES: Board Member Daniels, Mr. Chair, at this point in time, no, there are none. What we're looking for at this point in time with the DCR is we have to have a scoped project to be able to put something in the five-year program with an associated cost, and we've got to make sure that we can -- we know where it's going to go as far as timing goes. That will all be determined with the DCR.

MS. DANIELS: So I know we've all gotten a lot of emails about that particular project. So is it safe to say that -- to the groups that are working on this that we ask them

1	to continue their efforts, but next year after the DCR is
2	complete, because there's not room for not necessarily room
3	for it, but because we're not ready to include it in the five-
4	year plan for this year.
5	MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Daniels,
6	that is correct. As soon as we have a determined project that
7	we can put into the five-year program, provided that there's
8	funding available, we will most certainly be able to start
9	advancing those projects.
10	MS. DANIELS: Okay. So at that point it will be
11	more of a funding source conversation for next year rather than
12	trying to sort that out this year?
13	MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, that's
14	correct.
15	MS. DANIELS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms. Daniels.
17	Paul, do you have any other information?
18	MR. PATANE: Not at this time, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any questions from the board
20	members?
21	There being none, thank you very much for that
22	presentation.
23	Now we will move on to Item 6.
24	Again, Paul.
25	MR. PATANE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, board members.

```
1
                    At this time I'd like to make recommendations
 2
     from our Priority Planning Advisory Committee, PPAC, to the
     Board to -- for their consideration on changes to the FY '22-26
 3
 4
     Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program.
 5
                    So at this time -- I had to break it into pieces.
     I wanted to talk about -- you know, (indiscernible) a few
 6
 7
     projects, so -- for -- the first action I'm requesting is
 8
     requesting approval of Item 6A through 6D, project
     modifications.
 9
10
                    MR. SEARLE: So moved.
11
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We need the motion to
12
     approve, again, 6A through -- Paul?
13
                    MR. PATANE: 6D, sir.
14
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 6A through 6D. There's a
15
     motion to approve it.
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second (indiscernible).
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And there's a second by Gary.
18
                    Any discussion on that?
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a
20
     discussion. Paul, the agenda, the way it was print out --
21
     printed shows project modifications from 6A to 6I. And I know
22
     you said 6A to 6D, but I'd like to verify. Is it Item 6A
23
     through 6I?
24
                    MR. PATANE: Yeah. I was -- Mr. Chair, board
25
     members, going off my -- the -- some notes I got from staff,
```

```
and -- but no, I could -- I could do it either way, sir
 1
 2
     (inaudible).
                    MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, I would like to
 3
     amend the motion, if we could.
4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: That -- to approve contract -- or
 6
 7
     excuse me -- project modifications 6A through 6I.
8
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        Richard, you want to restate
9
     your motion?
                    MR. SEARLE: That's -- my motion will remain,
10
11
     yeah, as correct by Floyd.
12
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary?
13
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I'll second it, but I do have
14
     a question on 6H.
15
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Why don't you do that? Okay.
16
     There is a motion and second to approve Items 6A through 6I as
17
     presented. Now we can go to discussion. Gary.
18
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes. My question is the
19
     5 million increase on 6H, is that -- whose money is that? Is
20
     that MAG money? State money? Where's that money coming from?
21
     All it's got is an account number, and I...
22
                    MR. PATANE: Being this job is in Maricopa
23
     County, I would make the assumption that it is coming from the
24
     RARF, but I think --
                                           (Indiscernible.)
25
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
```

```
1
                    MR. PATANE: RARF funding. RARF funding, Mr. --
 2
     Board Member Knight.
 3
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. Thank you.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there any further
 5
     discussion on that? There being none, all those in favor say
 6
     aye.
 7
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 8
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
 9
                    Floyd, conduct the roll call for board members
     attending remotely.
10
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. For the
12
     record, all the present board members voted aye.
13
                    So I'll now go to Board Member Daniels.
14
                    MS. DANIELS: Aye.
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
16
                    MR. MECK: Aye.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Stratton.
18
                    Oh, Mr. Chairman, it looks like Board Member
19
     Stratton did have to leave early. So he is gone. So no vote,
20
     but we have -- motion passes with six ayes.
21
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. Let's go on
22
     to the next set of projects. Paul.
23
                    MR. PATANE: Okay. Chairman Thompson, Board
24
     Members, requesting approval of new projects, Items 6I through
25
     6N.
```

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, we already did I.
2	MR. ROEHRICH: So Mr. Chair
3	(Speaking simultaneously.)
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6J through 6N.
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
6	MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. 6J through 6N. Yes, sir.
7	Thank you.
8	MR. MAXWELL: So moved.
9	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion and second to approve
11	Items 6J to 6N as presented. Is there any discussion?
12	There being none, all those in favorite say aye.
13	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
15	Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members
16	attending remotely.
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	So we'll go to Board Member Daniels.
19	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
20	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
21	MR. MECK: Aye.
22	MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. With that,
24	move on to Item 7. State engineer's report with Greg Byres,
25	information and discussion only.

Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board
members. Before I get into the slide that's -- we have up
there, I just wanted to go over a couple of things that you

are -- make sure that you're aware of that we're seeing across

6 the state.

One of the big things that we're seeing on construction is we've seen a 20 percent increase in construction costs since the beginning of the year. So it is substantial, and we don't see it slowing down. So along with that cost that we're seeing, we're also starting to see some shortages. The state right now is experiencing shortages on Portland cement, as well as some difficulties in trying to acquire different types of steel. Specifically, Ductal (indiscernible).

So we're seeing this. It is having an effect on cost. We also believe it is having an effect on the competition that we're getting for projects. You'll see -- if you recall last month when you approved projects, we had several projects with only one bidder or two bidders. What you're going to see today is pretty much that same thing. So that's becoming much more of a trend that we're seeing than we've seen before.

So just trying to make you aware of what's going on. We're keeping a very close eye on it. So we're trying to be as strategic as we possibly can in putting projects out. No matter what, we still have to get these projects out. We have

1 to spend dollars. We have to be able to stay fiscally 2 constrained with our program. So we're still putting these projects out as quick as we can, but we are using some strategic 3 initiatives and trying to get those out. So I just wanted to 4 make that clear to the Board. 5 6 As far as the state engineer's report goes, we do 7 have 76 projects under construction, worth roughly \$1.9 billion. 8 We had six projects finalized in March, worth \$115 million. 9 Fiscal year to date, we've had 60 projects finalized. 10 And that's the state engineer's report. 11 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Greg, there's a lot of 12 projects that we've already approved. There's more coming up. 13 All these projects now that there's an increase in the 14 construction dollars (indiscernible) for these projects, it 15 appears to me that every project is going to be impacted. 16 MR. BYRES: So, Mr. Chairman, board members, 17 several of the projects that you just approved through PPAC --18 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Uh-huh. 19 MR. BYRES: -- have an increased cost, and what 20 we're trying to do is making sure that when those projects go 21 out, they're -- we're putting together the best budgets possible 22 for those projects so that when they're going out, the 23 engineer's estimates are accurate to the date of expenditure, and that's exactly what we're doing. We're making sure -- we're 24

trying to be as progressive as we possibly can with the

25

tentative five-year program. We have done just that. We've gone through every single project that is in the five-year program, adjusted those to the date of expenditure so that we're trying to be as proactive as we can and realistic as we can with the costs for construction. So we're not sitting on our laurels not doing anything. We're -- we know this is happening. We're having to adjust some of our contingencies to be able to make sure that those projects are realistic in their costs.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg.

Any of the board members have questions for Greg?

MR. SEARLE: Just a quick comment.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard.

MR. SEARLE: You know, going back to Kristine's report, you know, we're all appreciative of the extra money we're getting from the feds, but it also sounds like with the increase in costs, it might just be a wash. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Searle, I would like to quick answer that. I think that's a very observant point at this point in time, realizing that we've got a five-year program. So as we evaluate what happens with industry over the next few years, we may see some normalization of that. I don't know that we'll continue to see costs climb. We don't know, but that's why year to year we will have to balance each budget to make it fiscally constrained.

1 So I agree, we're going to be challenged this 2 next year because of the way costs go, but over the five-year life, it might normalize. I'm trying to put some hope into 3 this, because I don't want to sound like it's going to be all --4 5 all this difficult and challenging. MR. SEARLE: I appreciate your optimism, Floyd. 6 7 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you (indiscernible). 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And to that point, Floyd, 9 I agree there's some normalization. The question is what's the 10 normal going to be? Is it going to be the new normal -- I don't 11 think --12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exactly. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- as with all things, as 14 they prove that people are willing to pay more for something, 15 the chance of it going all the way back to where it was is 16 probably not likely. 17 So I appreciate the fact, Greg, and I was going 18 to ask about the five-year plan, but I'm glad to hear that 19 you're on top of trying adjust that as we go through this 20 process so that we're not overpromising and then 21 under-delivering when we find out that the costs just haven't 22 returned to where either they were or what's realistic. We also 23 don't know when the shortages are going to go away. So I 24 appreciate the work that you're doing to keep that five-year

25

plan as accurate as possible.

1 MR. BYRES: Thank you. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I think we do all feel the 2 Thank you for all the work that you're doing on that, 3 same wav. 4 keeping up updated, (indiscernible) a couple things. Those those were the concerns that we have. 5 So moving on to Agenda Item 8, construction 6 contracts for discussion and possible action. 7 8 Greg. 9 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board 10 members. 11 With the projects that were approved under the 12 consent agenda, thank you very much. We do have four items that 13 we'll be bringing up to you, but I did want to kind of go 14 through and show you where we're at. To date for the fiscal year, we're about 4.9 15 16 percent over what our projected costs were. So we're not too 17 far off. We're staying fairly accurate with our estimates or 18 the engineer's estimates for the projects overall. Some not so 19 much and some were under, but we're staying fairly close. Less 20 than 5 percent. 21 Next slide, please. 22 So the first project that I'm bringing up is 23 Item 8A. This is a pavement preservation project on US-89. 24 This runs south of Page to the Utah state line. We have three

bidders on this project. The low bid was \$3,624,256.

25

```
Engineer's estimate was $3,140,531. The difference was
 1
 2
     $483,725, or 15.4 percent.
                    One of the biggest items that we had as far as
 3
4
     the differential goes on this was the cost of mobilization.
 5
     Just basically because of the remoteness of the project. Other
     than that, it was -- we -- everything fell pretty much in line.
 6
 7
     We do find the low bid as responsive and a responsible bid and
8
     recommend award to Staker & Parsons Companies.
9
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg.
10
                    Is there a motion to award Item 8A to Staker &
11
     Parsons Companies as presented?
12
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So moved.
13
                    MR. SEARLE: Second.
14
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and second by
15
     Richard. Any discussion?
16
                    MR. SEARLE: Just a quick comment.
17
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Richard, go ahead.
18
                    MR. SEARLE: It's not serious, but I see we had a
19
     bidder out of Utah on this one, and maybe they'd bid on that
20
     I-11 project that we had to turn down last time.
21
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any other discussion?
22
                    There being none --
23
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, I'd just like to
24
     comment that I was happy to see funding is 100 percent.
25
                                        Thank you, Gary.
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
```

1	All those in favor say aye.
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
4	Floyd, conduct roll call.
5	MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
6	For the record, all members present voted aye.
7	On the line, Member Daniels.
8	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
9	MR. ROEHRICH: Member Meck.
10	MR. MECK: Aye.
11	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And, Mr. Chairman, I did
12	receive a note from Board Member Stratton that at 8 excuse
13	me 10:40, he had to leave the meeting. So he'll no longer be
14	participating.
15	With that said, the motion passes.
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: The motion carries. Going to
17	Item 8B.
18	Greg.
19	MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Item 8B,
20	this is another pavement preservation project. This is SR-89A.
21	Glassford Hill Road to Coyote Springs Road. On this particular
22	project, we had four bidders. The low bid was \$818,459. The
23	State estimate was \$1,030,022. The difference was \$211,563
24	under the engineer's estimate, or 20.5 percent under the
25	engineer's estimate.

1	The main difference on this was the milling of
2	the friction course was a little bit faster than what was
3	originally estimated, as well as the maintenance and protection
4	of traffic. They have less in what they were putting into it
5	than what we had projected. Mobilization was also less. The
6	contractor or the low builder on this particular case was
7	right there close to the project. Construction surveying/layout
8	is also a little bit lower.
9	After analyzing the bids, this is a responsive
10	and responsible bid, and we recommend award to Asphalt Paving
11	and Supply, Inc.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members, is there a
13	motion to award Item 8B to Asphalt Paving and Supply?
14	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, since this is in
15	my district, I would like to recommend approval and award to
16	Asphalt Paving and Supply, Inc.
17	MR. MAXWELL: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and a second
19	by Ted. Any discussion?
20	All in favor say aye.
21	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
23	Floyd, conduct roll call.
24	MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	All presented voted aye.

1 Online. Member Daniels. 2 MS. DANIELS: Aye. MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Meck. 3 4 MS. MECK: Aye. 5 MR. ROEHRICH: Motion passes. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion passes. 6 7 Going on to Item 8C, Greg. 8 MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 9 Item 8C is another pavement preservation project. 10 This is on SR-90. It goes from I-10 to Railroad Drive. We had 11 one bidder on this project. The low bid was \$5,426,215. State's estimate was \$4,089,276. It was \$1,336,939 over, or 12 13 32.7 percent. The reason for the differential was the cost of 14 15 the milling of the existing friction course, the bonded wearing 16 course that was recommended in the specifications, the costs of 17 the asphaltic concrete, which at this point in time was going to be hauled all the way from Phoenix to Benson due to the lack of 18 19 supply within the Tucson region, and mobilization. 20 With that, we have done some research and found 21 that at this point in time in the Tucson area, we do not --22 there's so much work from the County and from the City, it would 23 be best to reconsolidate this and repackage this project and 24 rebid at a later date. So at this point in time, we recommend 25 rejection of all bids.

1 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members, is there a 2 motion to reject all bids? 3 MR. SEARLE: So moved. 4 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second. 5 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion (indiscernible) Motion by Richard and second by Gary. Any discussion 6 second. on it? 7 8 MR. SEARLE: Just a comment, Jesse, that --9 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Richard. 10 MR. SEARLE: I appreciate that although this is 11 is in the work (indiscernible) work, it has plenty of time. We've got time to wait on this one. It's not in that bad of 12 13 shape. So I think it's a wise move to postpone it until we can 14 bring the costs (indiscernible). 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any other discussion? 16 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 17 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted. 18 MR. MAXWELL: First, I appreciate Member Searle's 19 input to it, and obviously he's been out and taken a look or has 20 gotten good feedback on it not being in that bad of shape. 21 I appreciate that you've already looked into 22 what's going on in the region in Tucson, and there's no doubt if 23 you drive through Tucson right now, especially within the city limits, there's projects going all the over the place. They're 24 25 also looking at an extension of their half cent sales tax to

```
1
     continue even more road repair and maintenance, particularly in
 2
     the neighborhood.
                    So I -- there may be something we need to explore
 3
     a little bit more about options, because I'm not sure that
4
 5
     construction in the region is going to necessarily go down as we
     approach the renewal of the RTA. In Pima County, there's going
 6
 7
     to be a flurry to make sure we get those projects done, to
8
     provide the voters the confidence that's going on, and there --
9
     you're absolutely right. There is a lot of effort going on
10
     there. So I think we need to a look at it, and I would say
11
     defer on this one to the board member of that region and his
12
     recommendations.
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for your reporting
14
     on those. So there's a motion and a second to reject all bids
15
     as presented. Anybody opposed?
16
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, you -- call for the
17
     vote, please. You need to ask for the vote
18
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, he did.
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH:
                                   Did you all vote?
20
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           (Indiscernible) any
21
               Nobody opposed it.
     opposed.
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH:
                                   Okay. So --
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We need that. We need --
23
24
                    MR. ROEHRICH: -- (indiscernible) maybe call for
     approval?
25
```

```
1
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Oh, so -- well, all those in
 2
     favor say aye.
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Floyd.
 5
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                    I'm not trying to be a stickler. I really wanted
 6
 7
     to hear you all say aye. It makes me happy, because I know
8
     projects that get out there.
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
9
                                           (Indiscernible.)
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. Yeah. We'll go online.
11
                    Board Member Daniels.
12
                    MS. DANIELS: Aye.
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
14
                    MR. MECK: Aye.
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.
16
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries.
17
                    Thank you for your vote, and let's go to Item 8D.
18
                    Greg.
19
                    Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20
                    Item 8D, this is another pavement preservation
21
     project. This is on SR-264. It's running from Milepost 465 to
     the New Mexico state line. With this we had two bidders. The
22
     low bid was $5,995,500. The State's estimate was $5,044,546.
23
24
     It's a difference of $950,954, or 18.9 percent.
25
                    The big differences that we saw in this was the
```

```
1
     cost of the friction course, maintenance and protection of the
     traffic, in other words, the traffic control that was -- is
 2
     going to be necessary for the project itself, as well as
 3
     mobilization.
4
 5
                    After taking and reviewing the low bid, we do
     find it as being a responsive and a responsible bid and
 6
 7
     recommend award to Sunland Asphalt and Construction, Inc. or --
8
     excuse me -- Construction, LLC.
9
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Is there a motion to
     approve the award as presented?
10
11
                    MR. SEARLE: So moved.
12
                    Richard.
13
                    MR. SEARLE: Yeah.
14
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        Second?
15
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT:
                                        Second.
16
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        Gary seconds it. Any
17
     discussion?
                                        I think Ted -- I think Ted
18
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT:
     said --
19
20
                                        Ted, did you second it?
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
21
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT:
                                        Oh, okay. (Indiscernible)
           I thought he beat me.
22
     did.
23
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        Okay.
24
                    MR. MAXWELL: You got me that time, Gary.
25
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We're restating it. So Board
```

1	Member Richard, motion, and second by Gary.
2	And discussion?
3	Let the board know that as I was traveling on
4	I-40, and then going this way, I'm taking 264, there's a
5	shout-out ADOT for all that have been down on that route from
6	Window Rock to Tubac. Remember that remember the day we met
7	down in Hopi land, in Tuba City. A lot of discussions that took
8	place. But that short that went right through the state
9	line. That was the only part that they mentioned they needed
10	you know, they needed here, and we pay attention
11	(indiscernible). So thank you in their behalf, everyone.
12	So with that, is there any other discussion?
13	All in favor say aye.
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?
16	Floyd, conduct roll call.
17	MR. ROEHRICH: For online, Board Member Daniels.
18	MS. DANIELS: Aye.
19	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.
20	MR. MECK: Aye.
21	MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: The motion carries.
23	Moving on to Agenda Item 9. Suggestions. Board
24	members will have the opportunity to suggest items they would
25	like to have placed on future board meeting agenda.

```
1
                    MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, if I could
 2
     interrupt real quick, you did comment once about Mr. Jarvis, who
     was not able to -- or was not online at the time, call to the
 3
 4
     audience.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON:
                                        Right.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Did you want to open call to the
 6
     audience to see, please?
 7
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Please.
 8
 9
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Or do you just want to go on to
     Item 9?
10
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes. Kind of move back and
11
12
     (indiscernible).
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: So, with that, with the Chairman
     opening the call to the audience, I would ask if Mr. Joseph
14
     Jarvis is online. Mr. Jarvis, if you are there, will you please
15
16
     raise your hand?
17
                    Kristi, do you see any -- anything?
18
                    WEBEX HOST: No. We don't have any hand raised
     at this time.
19
20
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you.
21
                    With that, Mr. Chairman, I would say let's move
22
     on to Item No. 9.
23
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members?
24
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           No, sir.
25
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online?
```

1	MR. ROEHRICH: I don't see see anything,
2	Mr. Chairman. So you can adjourn and go home or
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
4	MR. ROEHRICH: If you choose. I mean, it's your
5	choice.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I think we'll take it, you
7	know, for a motion.
8	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Motion to adjourn.
9	MR. MAXWELL: Second.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.
11	MR. ROEHRICH: The motion was made by Member
12	Knight. The second one was by Member Maxwell.
13	MR. MAXWELL: Ah, beat him to it.
14	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
15	(Indiscernible conversation.)
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All those in favor say aye.
17	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
18	MR. ROEHRICH: That's all you can need. You
19	can gavel. We're done. Thank you everyone. Travel safe.
20	(Meeting adjourned at 11:16 a.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 STATE OF ARIZONA SS. COUNTY OF MARICOPA 2 3 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were reported 4 by me, TERESA A. WATSON, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified 5 Reporter, Certificate No. 50876, State of Arizona, from an 6 7 electronic recording and were reduced to written form under my 8 direction; that the foregoing 92 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of said electronic recording, all done to 9 the best of my skill and ability. 10 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of 12 the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the 13 outcome hereof. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 11th day of May 2022. 14 15 16 17 /s/ Teresa A. Watson 18 TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter 19 Certificate No. 50876 20 21 22 23 24 25

<u>Adjournment</u>
A motion to adjourn the April 15, 2022, State Transportation Board meeting was made by Vice Chairman
Gary Knight and seconded by Board Member Ted Maxwell. In a voice vote, the motion carried.
Meeting adjourned at 11:16 a.m. PST.

Not Available for Signature

Jesse Thompson, Chairman

State Transportation Board

Not Available for Signature

John S. Halikowski, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation