Welcome to a meeting of the Arizona State Transportation Board. The Transportation Board consists of seven private citizen members appointed by the Governor, representing specific transportation districts. Board members are appointed for terms of six years each, with terms expiring on the third Monday in January of the appropriate year.

BOARD AUTHORITY
Although the administration of the Department of Transportation is the responsibility of the director, the Transportation Board has been granted certain policy powers in addition to serving in an advisory capacity to the director. In the area of highways the Transportation Board is responsible for establishing a system of state routes. It determines which routes are accepted into the state system and which state routes are to be improved. The Board has final authority on establishing the opening, relocating, altering, vacating or abandoning any portion of a state route or a state highway. The Transportation Board awards construction contracts and monitors the status of construction projects. With respect to aeronautics the Transportation Board distributes monies appropriated to the Aeronautics Division from the State Aviation Fund for planning, design, development, land acquisition, construction and improvement of publicly-owned airport facilities. The Board also approves airport construction. The Transportation Board has the exclusive authority to issue revenue bonds for financing needed transportation improvements throughout the state. As part of the planning process the Board determines priority planning with respect to transportation facilities and annually adopts the five year construction program.

PUBLIC INPUT
Members of the public may appear before the Transportation Board to be heard on any transportation-related issue. Persons wishing to protest any action taken or contemplated by the Board may appear before this open forum. The Board welcomes citizen involvement, although because of Arizona’s open meeting laws, no actions may be taken on items which do not appear on the formal agenda. This does not, however, preclude discussion of other issues.

MEETINGS
The Transportation Board typically meets on the third Friday of each month. Meetings are held in locations throughout the state. Due to the risks to public health caused by the possible spread of the COVID-19 virus at public gatherings, the Transportation Board asks that people attending Board meetings in person take safety precautions they feel appropriate to protect themselves and others. In addition, for the time being the Transportation Board will conduct concurrent telephonic/WebEx virtual meetings. In addition to the regular business meetings held each month, the Board may conduct at least one public hearings each year to receive input regarding the proposed five-year construction program. Meeting dates are established for the following year at the December organization meeting of the Board.

BOARD MEETING PROCEDURE
Board members receive the agenda and all backup information one week before the meeting is held. They have studied each item on the agenda and have consulted with Department of Transportation staff when necessary. If no additional facts are presented at the meeting, they often act on matters, particularly routine ones, without further discussion. In order to streamline the meetings the Board has adopted the "consent agenda" format, allowing agenda items to be voted on en masse unless discussion is requested by one of the board members or Department of Transportation staff members.

BOARD CONTACT
Transportation Board members encourage citizens to contact them regarding transportation-related issues. Board members may be contacted through the Arizona Department of Transportation, 206 South 17th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, Telephone (602) 712-4259.
NOTICE OF PUBLIC SPECIAL BOARD MEETING OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will hold a public hearing and board meeting open to the public on Friday, May 20, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. Due to ongoing health concerns regarding Covid, participants will still have the option to participate by joining telephonically/WebEx. The Board may vote to go into Executive Session to discuss certain matters, which will not be open to the public. Members of the Transportation Board will attend either in person or by telephone conference call. The Board may modify the agenda order, if necessary.

EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation of legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, May 20, 2022, relating to any items on the agenda. Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03(A), the Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

CIVIL RIGHTS

Pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), ADOT does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, sex or disability. Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact the Civil Rights Office at (602) 712-8946 or email CivilRightsOffice@azdot.gov. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the state has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

De acuerdo con el título VI de la Ley de Derechos Civiles de 1964 y la Ley de Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (ADA por sus siglas en Inglés), el Departamento de Transporte de Arizona (ADOT por sus siglas en Inglés) no discrimina por raza, color, nacionalidad, edad, género o discapacidad. Personas que requieren asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por idioma o por discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con 602.712.8946. Las solicitudes deben hacerse lo más pronto posible para asegurar que el equipo encargado del proyecto tenga la oportunidad de hacer los arreglos necesarios.

AGENDA

A copy of the agenda for this meeting will be available at the office of the Transportation Board at 206 S. 17th Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

ORDER DEFERRAL AND ACCELERATIONS OF AGENDA ITEMS, VOTE WITHOUT DISCUSSION

In the interest of efficiency and economy of time, the Arizona Transportation Board, having already had the opportunity to become conversant with items on its agenda, will likely defer action in relation to certain items until after agenda items requiring discussion have been considered and voted upon by its members. After all such items to discuss have been acted upon, the items remaining on the Board's agenda will be expedited and action may be taken on deferred agenda items without discussion. It will be a decision of the Board itself as to which items will require discussion and which may be deferred for expedited action without discussion.

The Chairman will poll the members of the Board at the commencement of the meeting with regard to which items require discussion. Any agenda item identified by any Board member as one requiring discussion will be accelerated ahead of those items not identified as requiring discussion. All such accelerated agenda items will be individually considered and acted upon ahead of all other agenda items. With respect to all agenda items not accelerated. i.e., those items upon which action has been deferred until later in the meeting, the Chairman will entertain a single motion and a single second to that motion and will call for a single vote of the members without any discussion of any agenda items so grouped together and so singly acted upon. Accordingly, in the event any person desires to have the Board discuss any particular agenda item, such person should contact one of the Board members before the meeting or ADOT Staff, at 206 South 17th Avenue, Room 135, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, or by phone (602) 712-4259. Please be prepared to identify the specific agenda item or items of interest.

Dated this 12th day May, 2022
Pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the State Transportation Board and to the general public that the State Transportation Board will hold a public hearing and board meeting open to the public on Friday, May 20, 2022, at 9:00 a.m. The Board may vote to go into Executive Session, which will not be open to the public. Members of the Transportation Board may attend in-person at 10091 East Osborn Road, Scottsdale, Arizona 85256 or by telephone or video conference call. The Board may modify the agenda order, if necessary.

Public Participation Members of the public who want to observe or participate in the Transportation Board meeting can either attend in person or access the meeting by using the WebEx meeting link at www.aztransportationboard.gov. Join the meeting as a participant and follow the instruction to use your telephone to enable audio.

EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431.03 (A)(3), notice is hereby given to the members of the Arizona State Transportation Board and to the general public that the Board may meet in Executive Session for discussion or consultation for legal advice with legal counsel at its meeting on Friday, May 20, 2022. The Board may, at its discretion, recess and reconvene the Executive Session as needed, relating to any items on the agenda.

PLEDGE
The Pledge of Allegiance led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

ROLL CALL
Roll call by Board Secretary

OPENING REMARKS
Opening remarks by Chairman Thompson

TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, as amended.
Reminder to fill out survey cards by Floyd Roehrich, Jr. https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSe4D2CiaW1iAlkGtVgGx_BqtrFgSE_ASt26bf6JnVkd3HiKeg/viewform
CALL TO THE AUDIENCE for Public Hearing on the FY 2023-2027 Tentative Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program and Board Meeting agenda (information only)

VIRTUAL:
An opportunity for citizens to discuss items of interest with the Board. To address the Board please fill out a Request for Public Input Form and email the form to boardinfo@azdot.gov. The form is located on the Transportation Board’s website http://aztransportationboard.gov/index.asp. Request for Public Input Forms will be taken until 8:00 AM the morning of the Board Meeting. Since this is a telephonic/WebEx conference meeting everyone will be muted when they call into the meeting. When your name is called to provide your comments, you will indicate your presence by virtually raising your hand using your phone keypad or through the WebEx application.

To raise your hand over the phone:
If you have joined us using your telephone, raise your hand by pressing *3 on your phone keypad. You will be unmuted by the meeting moderator and asked to make your comments. When you have finished speaking or when your time is up, please lower your hand by pressing *3 on your phone keypad.

To raise your hand using the WebEx computer or internet browser application:
If you have joined us using the WebEx computer or internet browser application, open your participant panel located on the menu on the bottom left of your screen. When the participant panel opens, click on the hand icon on the right side of your name on the participant panel. You will be unmuted by the meeting moderator and asked to make your comment. When you have finished making your comment, the moderator will mute your line and we ask that you please lower your hand by clicking on the hand icon again.

To raise your hand using the WebEx iPhone or Android application:
If you have joined us using the WebEx iPhone or Android application, select the three dot menu icon on the bottom of the screen. When it opens, select “Raise Hand” at the top of the menu screen. You will be unmuted by the meeting moderator and asked to make your comment. When you have finished speaking, the moderator will mute your line and we ask that you please lower your hand by clicking on the hand icon again.

IN PERSON:
An opportunity for members of the public to discuss items of interest with the Board. Please fill out a Request for Public Input Form and turn in to the Secretary if you wish to address the Board.

A three minute time limit will be imposed.
PUBLIC HEARING (PRESENTATION)

Presentation of FY 2023-2027 ADOT Tentative Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program Recommendations [http://azdot.gov/planning/transportation-programming/tentative-program](http://azdot.gov/planning/transportation-programming/tentative-program)
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division)

ITEM A: Overview of the Tentative FY 2023 - 2027 Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program
Staff will present an overview of the tentative FY 2023–2027 Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program.
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division )

ITEM B: FY 23-27 Five Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program
Staff will present an overview of the FY 2023-2027 Statewide Highway Construction Program. (Excluding MAG and PAG)
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division )

ITEM C: FY 23-27 MAG Freeway Life Cycle Program (FLCP)
Staff will present an overview of the FY 2023-2027 MAG Transportation Improvement Program.
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division )

ITEM D: FY 23-27 PAG Transportation Improvement Program
Staff will present an overview of the FY 2023-2027 PAG Regional Freeway Highway Program.
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division )

ITEM E: FY 23-27 Airport Development Capital Improvement Program
Staff will present an overview of the FY 2023-2027 Airport Development Program.
(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division )

*Adjournment

BOARD MEETING

ITEM 1: Director’s Report
The Director will provide a report on current issues and events affecting ADOT.
(For information and discussion only — John Halikowski, Director)

A) State and Federal Legislative Report
B) Last Minute Items to Report

(For information only. The Transportation Board is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action on any matter under “Last Minute Items to Report,” unless the specific matter is properly noticed for action.)
ITEM 2: District Engineer’s Report
Staff will provide an update and overview of issues of regional significance, including an update on current and upcoming construction projects, district operations, maintenance activities and any regional transportation studies.
(For information and discussion only — Randy Everett, District Administrator, Central District)

*ITEM 3: Consent Agenda
Consideration by the Board of items included in the Consent Agenda. Any member of the Board may ask that any item on the Consent Agenda be pulled for individual discussion and disposition.
(For information and possible action)

Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board Meeting
- Minutes of Special Board Meeting
- Minutes of Study Sessions
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
  - Low bidder is no more than 15% under state estimate
  - Low bidder is no more than 10% over state estimate
- Programming changes for items that are a part of the approved scope of the project if they do not exceed 15% or $200,000, whichever is lesser.

ITEM 4: Financial Report
Staff will provide an update on financing issues and summaries on the items listed below:
(For information and discussion only — Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer)

- Revenue Collections for Highway User Revenues
- Maricopa Transportation Excise Tax Revenues
- Aviation Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- HELP Fund status
- Federal-Aid Highway Program
- HURF and RARF Bonding
- GAN issuances
- Board Funding Obligations
- Contingency Report
ITEM 5: **Multimodal Planning Division Report**
Staff will present an update on the current planning activities, including tribal transportation issues, pursuant to A.R.S. 28-506.

**Five-Year Plan Survey link:**
https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/ADOTFiveYearSurvey

**Tentative Five-Year Program web page link:**
https://azdot.gov/planning/transportation-programming/tentative-five-year-program

(For information and discussion only — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division)

*ITEM 6: **Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC)**
Staff will present recommended PPAC actions to the Board including consideration of changes to the FY2022 - 2026 Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program.

(For discussion and possible action — Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division)

ITEM 7: **State Engineer’s Report**
Staff will present a report showing the status of highway projects under construction, including total number and dollar value. Provide an overview of Construction, Transportation and Operations Program impact, due to the public health concerns.

(For information and discussion only — Gregory Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer)

*ITEM 8: **Construction Contracts**
Staff will present recommended construction project awards that are not on the Consent Agenda.

(For discussion and possible action — Gregory Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer)

ITEM 9: **Suggestions**
Board Members will have the opportunity to suggest items they would like to have placed on future Board Meeting agendas.

*Adjournment

*ITEMS that may require Board Action
Items on the Consent Agenda generally consist of the following:

- Minutes of previous Board Meeting, Special Board Meeting and/or Study Session
- Right-of-Way Resolutions
- Construction Contracts that have no bidder protest or State Engineer inquiry and meet the following criteria:
  - Low bidder is no more than 15% under state estimate
  - Low bidder is no more than 10% over state estimate
- Programming changes for items that are a part of the approved scope of the project if they do not exceed 15% or $200,000, whichever is lesser.

MINUTES APPROVAL

*ITEM 3a: Approval of January 11, 2022 Board Meeting Minutes Page 14
*ITEM 3b: Approval of April 8, 2022 Board Meeting Minutes Page 17
*ITEM 3c: Approval of April 15, 2022 Board Meeting Minutes Page 20

RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS (action as noted) Page 117

*ITEM 3d:
RES. NO. 2022–05–A–017
PROJECT: 303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303–A(209)N
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: Camelback Road – Olive Avenue (Northern Avenue T. I.)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCEL: 7–12818
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new donated access control as a state route and state highway under the above referenced project necessary to enhance the convenience and safety for the traveling public.

*ITEM 3e:
RES. NO. 2022–05–A–018
PROJECT: 030 MA 000 H6876
HIGHWAY: TRES RIOS FREEWAY
SECTIONS: S. R. 303L – 127th Avenue; and 127th Avenue – S. R. 202L Santan
ROUTE NO.: State Route 30
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCELS: 7–12476, 7–12477, 7–12544, 7–12592, 7–12594, 7–12595, 7–12746, 7–12812, and 7–12813
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route through early and advance acquisitions necessary to alleviate hardship situations and forestall development along the alignment of the future Tres Rios Freeway.
RIGHT OF WAY RESOLUTIONS (action as noted)

*ITEM 3f:
RES. NO.  2022–05–A–019
PROJECT:  095 YU 034 F0359 / 095–B(214)T
HIGHWAY:  SAN LUIS – YUMA – QUARTZSITE HIGHWAY
SECTION:  Rifle Range Road – Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.:  U. S. Route 95
DISTRICT:  Southwest
COUNTY:  Yuma
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced roadway widening and drainage improvement project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

*ITEM 3g:
RES. NO.  2022–05–A–020
PROJECT:  010 PM 249 H7583 / 010–D(211)A
HIGHWAY:  CASA GRANDE – TUCSON
SECTION:  Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.:  Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT:  Southcentral
COUNTY:  Pima
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced roadway widening and improvement project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

*ITEM 3h:
RES. NO.  2022–05–A–021
PROJECT:  303L MA 136 F0424 / 303–A(228)T
HIGHWAY:  BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION:  51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.:  State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT:  Central
COUNTY:  Maricopa
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new right of way as a state route and state highway to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced traffic interchange improvement project necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

*ITEM 3i:
RES. NO.  2022–05–A–022
PROJECT:  F–027–1(11)
HIGHWAY:  SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION:  Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.:  State Route 77
DISTRICT:  Northeast
COUNTY:  Navajo
PARCELS:  9–1512 and 9–1513
RECOMMENDATION: Establish new donated fee right of way as a state route and state highway, encompassing recently completed improvements including a new traffic signal, curbing, sidewalk and driveway opening, constructed by a developer under ADOT Permit, necessary to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.
Contracts: (Action as Noted)

Federal-Aid ("A" "B" "T" "D") projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 3j:  BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 5

   BIDS OPENED:  APRIL 22, 2022
   HIGHWAY:  SHOW LOW – SPRINGERVILLE HIGHWAY (US 60)
   SECTION:  NORFOLK RD – SR 61
   COUNTY:  APACHE
   ROUTE NO.:  US 60
   PROJECT: TRACS:  060-F(203)T: 060 AP 352 F035801C
   FUNDING:  94.3% FEDS  5.7% STATE
   LOW BIDDER:  SHOW LOW CONSTRUCTION, INC.
   LOW BID AMOUNT:  $ 1,519,743.02
   STATE ESTIMATE:  $ 1,511,583.00
   $ OVER ESTIMATE:  $ 8,160.02
   % OVER ESTIMATE:  0.5%
   PROJECT DBE GOAL:  8.75%
   BIDDER DBE PLEDGE:  9.20%
   NO. BIDDERS:  2
   RECOMMENDATION:  AWARD
**Contracts: (Action as Noted)**

Federal-Aid ("A" "B" "T" "D") projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 3k: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 4

BIDS OPENED: APRIL 15, 2022
HIGHWAY: TUCSON – ORACLE JCT – GLOBE HWY (SR 77)
SECTION: SADDLEBROOKE BLVD – REDINGTON RD
COUNTY: PINAL
ROUTE NO.: SR 77
PROJECT: TRACS: 077-A(220)T: 077 PN 088 F040101C
FUNDING: 100% FEDS
LOW BIDDER: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY
LOW BID AMOUNT: $ 4,494,477.00
STATE ESTIMATE: $ 4,546,896.50
$ UNDER ESTIMATE: $ 52,419.50
% UNDER ESTIMATE: 1.2%
PROJECT DBE GOAL: 5.78%
BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 5.79%
NO. BIDDERS: 2
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD
Federal-Aid (‘A’ “B” “T” “D”) projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 3I: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 3

BIDS OPENED: APRIL 08, 2022
HIGHWAY: WHETSTONE T.I. – JCT SR 80 HIGHWAY (SR 90)
SECTION: KARTCHNER CAVERNS – CAMINO DE PAMPAS
COUNTY: COCHISE
ROUTE NO.: SR 90
PROJECT: TRACS: 090-A(208)T: 090 CH 298 F035601C
FUNDING: 94.30% FEDS 5.7% STATE
LOW BIDDER: FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.
LOW BID AMOUNT: $2,625,592.20
STATE ESTIMATE: $2,745,172.00
$ UNDER ESTIMATE: $119,579.80
% UNDER ESTIMATE: 4.4%
PROJECT DBE GOAL: 3.58%
BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 3.60%
NO. BIDDERS: 3
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD
Call to Order
Board Chairman Stratton called the State Transportation Board Special Telephonic Only Meeting to order at 11:30 a.m.

Pledge
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia
A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (via telephone): Chairman Stratton, Vice Chairman Thompson, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Daniels, Board Member Knight. (Absent): Board Member Meck, Board Member Searle. There were approximately 10 members of the public in the audience.

Opening Remarks
Chairman Stratton reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience
An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.
STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
SPECIAL TELEPHONIC ONLY BOARD MEETING MINUTES
11:30am-11:39am Tuesday, January 11, 2022

Board members present – via telephone
1) Steve Stratton, Chairman
2) Jesse Thompson, Vice Chairman
3) Gary Knight, Board Member
4) Ted Maxell, Board Member
5) Jenn Daniels, Board Member

Board Members not present
6) Jackie Meck, Board Member
7) Richard Searle, Board Member

Meeting was called to order at 11:30 a.m. There were approximately 11 attendees on-line.

Chairman Steve Stratton – Call this Board Meeting

Floyd Roehrich, Jr. – Pledge of Allegiance

Sherry Garcia – Roll Call

Floyd Roehrich, Jr. - Title VI

Chairman Steve Stratton - Opening Remarks

Call to the Audience (None)

Item 1: Transportation Board Organization – Board Chairperson and Vice Chairperson
Designation for 2022
Motioned by Board Member – Board Member Ted Maxwell
Seconded by Board Member – Board Member Jenn Daniels
The board approved unanimously Jesse Thompson as the 2022 Board Chairperson and Gary Knight as the 2022 Vice Chairperson

Motion to Adjourn the Board Meeting
Motioned by Board Member – Steve Stratton
Seconded by Vice Chairman – Gary Knight
The board approved unanimously

Meeting adjourned at 11:39 am
Adjournment

Chairman Steven Stratton moved to adjourn the January 11, 2022, State Transportation Board Special Telephonic Only meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m. PST.

Not Available for Signature
Steven Stratton, Chairman
State Transportation Board

Not Available for Signature
John S. Halikowski, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation
Call to Order
Board Chairman Thompson called the State Transportation Board Special Telephonic Only Board Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Pledge
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia
A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (via telephone): Chairman Thompson, Vice Chairman Knight, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Searle, Board Member Daniels, Board Member Stratton. (Absent): Board Member Meck. There were approximately 10 members of the public in the audience.

Opening Remarks
Chairman Thompson reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience
An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.
STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
SPECIAL TELEPHONIC ONLY BOARD MEETING MINUTES
09:00am-9:08am Friday, April 8, 2022

Board members present – via telephone
1) Jesse Thompson, Chairman
2) Gary Knight, Vice Chairman (Note: Vice Chairman Knight was having difficulty with his audio connection, however, he was present and did vote to approve the item presented for approval on this date)
3) Richard Searle, Board Member
4) Steve Stratton, Board Member
5) Jenn Daniels, Board Member
6) Ted Maxell, Board Member

Board Members not present
7) Jackie Meck, Board Member

Meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. There were approximately 11 attendees on-line.

Chairman Jesse Thompson – Call this Board Meeting

Floyd Roehrich, Jr. – Pledge of Allegiance

Sherry Garcia – Roll Call

Floyd Roehrich, Jr. - Title VI

Chairman Jesse Thompson - Opening Remarks

Call to the Audience (None)

Item 1: Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC)
Staff will present recommended PPAC actions to the Board including consideration of changes to the FY2022 – 2026 Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program. (For discussion and possible action – Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division)

Motion to Approve PPAC new project Item 1a
Motioned by Board Member – Board Member Steve Stratton
Seconded by Board Member – Board Member Ted Maxwell
The board approved unanimously

Motion to Adjourn the Board Meeting
Motioned by Board Member – Richard Searle
Seconded by Board Member – Steve Stratton
The board approved unanimously

Meeting adjourned at 9:08 am
Adjournment

Chairman Jesse Thompson moved to adjourn the April 8, 2022, State Transportation Board Special Telephonic Only meeting.

Meeting adjourned at 09:08 a.m. PST.

Not Available for Signature
Jesse Thompson, Chairman
State Transportation Board

Not Available for Signature
John S. Halikowski, Director
Arizona Department of Transportation
Call to Order
Board Chairman Thompson called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Pledge
The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia
A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (in person): Chairman Thompson, Vice Chairman Knight, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Searle. In attendance (via WebEx): Board Member Daniels, Board Meck, Board Member Stratton. There were approximately 58 members of the public in the audience.

Opening Remarks
Chairman Thompson reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience
An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

IN PERSON AND BY VIDEOCONFERENCE

City of Nogales
Santa Cruz County Complex
2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 120
Nogales, Arizona 85261

April 15, 2022
9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY:
TERESA A. WATSON, RMR
Certified Reporter
Certificate No. 50876

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Original)
REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF ELECTRONIC
PROCEEDINGS, ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING

was reported from electronic media by TERESA A. WATSON,
Registered Merit Reporter and a Certified Reporter in and for
the State of Arizona.

PARTICIPANTS:
Board Members:

Jesse Thompson, Chairman
Gary Knight, Vice Chairman
Richard Searle, Board Member
Jenn Daniels, Board Member (via WebEx)
Ted Maxwell, Board Member
Jackie Meck, Board Member (via WebEx)
Steve Stratton, Board Member (via WebEx)
CALL TO THE AUDIENCE

Bruce Bracker, Supervisor, Santa Cruz County
Tom Murphy, Mayor of Sahuarita
Jaime Chamberlain, Chairman, Greater Nogales Santa Cruz Port Authority
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Item 7 - State Engineer's Report, Greg Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer

Item 8 - Construction Contracts, Greg Byres

Item 9 - Suggestions, Floyd Roehrich, Junior
(Beginning of excerpt.)

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Now we will go on to call to the audience. And again, I'd like to turn this over to Floyd. I know that you need to go over some protocols, some things that we need to pay attention to.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We have 13 so far, 13 requests. Five online and eight are in person. We will go ahead and address the eight people here in the -- in person first. Then we will go to the online participants, and again, we that ask we -- all their comments when called, we ask you hold your comments to three minutes in duration.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you very much. And again, we'll turn it back to you, call out those -- the names of the people that wish to make a comment, those that are online and those that are in the audience.

So, Floyd, turn it over to you.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Yes, sir. Our first speaker will be Supervisor Bruce Bracker.

MR. BRACKER: Good morning. And Chairman Thompson and ADOT board members, good morning. Welcome to Santa Cruz County. It is our sincere pleasure to host you in our community and also in our facility.

In the transportation world, the last two years
have been very, very exciting for us in this part of the world -- in this part of Arizona. The groundbreaking and completion of SR-189 flyover has made a huge difference in our community, and I'd like to thank the Board for all their work in trying to figure out, and ADOT staff, on how to piece the financing together on that. It has made a big difference.

Also, the work on I-19 from Tubac through Sahuarita has really changed our commute to Tucson, which if you live in Nogales, you probably end up going to Tucson two or three times a week, and it is a really big change.

Also, the rehabilitation of the bridge at Ruby Road, which was -- has been a series -- you're doing a series of bridges on I-19. So thank you very much for investment in our -- in our transportation system down here.

But I also wanted to give a shout-out to your maintenance crews. Your maintenance crews have been outstanding in cutting back the weeds away from the edges of the road, which is a huge safety issue for us. Cleaning up our roads in the last few months after the pandemic, we know there's been a challenge getting the prisoners out there to help them do that work, but they've really -- you know, they've really come through for us, and our roadways are looking better than they have in the last two years because of the pandemic.

I also want to acknowledge there's going to be some statements from some community members, representatives of
the Port Authority, Fresh Produce Association, different organizations, about Ruby Road and Rio Rico Drive. So I'm going to leave that to them, but again, welcome to our community and thank you very much for coming down.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Supervisor.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mayor Tom Murphy.

MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Chairman Thompson and board members, and good morning, Board Member Daniels. I had the pleasure I thought -- I had the opportunity to see you again, but it was a pleasure always working with her when she was the mayor, and very happy to be down in Nogales, and it's -- just wanted to offer my congratulations.

When SR-189 was being put together, the Town of Sahuarita was very supportive of that, because even though we're in Pima County and this is another county down here, Nogales, the fresh produce industry, feeds America, and it's so important to have that infrastructure and -- and thanks. I could almost say ditto of everything that you commented on, with Sahuarita Road, the redecking that's going on.

Not only do we appreciate that work that's happening there, but the sensitivity of -- and the communication with our residents, doing a lot of work at night, that's a big pinch point for us, and you've been able to keep that traffic
flowing and the communication with us very well.

And I did notice when we came down the condition of I-19 on the southern end. So the only thing is if you just keep that going north (indiscernible) through Sahuarita, we'd really appreciate that, but our new town manager, who knows a little bit about Nogales, Shane Dille and I, are very proud to be here and just wanted to thank all of you for all of our work, because as a region we either are going to sink or swim and thrive, and we'd rather thrive.

So thank you for everything you do for our community. Appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Murphy.

Floyd.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Our next speaker is Mr. Jaime Chamberlain.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN: Hello, everyone. Chairman Thompson and members of the Board, welcome to Nogales. Welcome to our community. My name is Jaime Chamberlain and I am chairman of the Greater Nogales Santa Cruz County Port Authority.

I know last night we had a chance and an opportunity to interact and get to know each other a little bit better. Also, with the ADOT Leadership Board, and I would be remiss if I wouldn't thank you all in person for coming to the SR-189 ribbon cutting, which was absolutely fantastic.
Mr. Searle, Mr. Maxwell, Mr. Thompson,

Mr. Stratton, thank you all for being with us a couple weeks ago during that inauguration. It was a tremendous project, and I'd like to congratulate Director Halikowski and all of his team.

He had part of his team here today delivering a truly impactful state-of-the-art project that will impact our community and the Arizona/Mexico corridor for generations to come.

And I believe that by now you understand the importance of Nogales and being a gateway for tourism and investment, and obviously the economic trading and security of our -- of our great state of Arizona.

But while SR-189 was a keystone project, it's part of a broader transportation system that we see here in Nogales, and as many as 2,000 trucks a day cross through this port of entry here in Nogales, and we deliver fresh produce and manufactured goods all over North America. A vast number of these trucks come in and deliver our products from our distribution centers, and then they deliver throughout -- they get onto I-19 and deliver throughout the country.

As I stand here today, I want to stress the importance of modernizing the I-19 interchanges at Ruby Road and Rio Rico Drive. I know that you've all heard me speak about this many, many times, but I have to tell you we look at this project in Ruby Road and Rio Rico as the second phase to what was SR-189 here, and it is a transportation system that we're
looking for. And it's not only the trucks that cross the border on -- every year, over 400,000 of them, but it's all the tourists and it's also the hundreds of thousands of trucks that come into our community and go out of our community through our I-19 to deliver all over the country.

The situation at this -- at these interchanges is further complicated by the growth in the local population as well, the non-commercial traffic, and these put a tremendous amount of stress with this population growth in the Rio Rico area and in between Nogales as well.

So I know some of are you going to say, well, you just got some money here from SR-189, and now we're asking for just a little bit more, but like I said, we look at this as a transportation system. So phase one was SR-189, and phase two would be the I-19 interchanges.

In 2018 we finished the DRC, and at that time that project was -- had a cost of about $26 million. We're now in -- estimating the improvements are more now in the range of about $35 million.

So again, not all these projects will be -- will address the congestion, but -- I'm sorry -- these projects will address the congestion and the safety of our community.

I thank you very much for bringing me -- coming to Nogales and making time to see us here. Thank you all very much.
CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Chairman.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Allison Moore.

MS. MOORE: Thank you, everybody, for being here. My name is Allison Moore. I'm with Fresh Produce Association of the Americas, and Jaime made my job really easy. So I'm just going to take what Jaime said and reiterate how important it is for us to look at our transportation infrastructure investments here as an overall system.

So we're getting trucks from the port of entry onto I-19 faster, and now they're arriving much more quickly at the Ruby Road interchanges, and we need to make sure that we're facilitating the movement of that traffic through those interchanges for sure.

I also want to take this opportunity to say thank you very much to the ADOT staff on all the big projects we've had here. Bruce mentioned them. Bridge redecking, of course, State Route 189, all the construction on I-19. They have been really great at working with the needs of the industry to make sure we still had trucks moving and flowing through some really big construction upheaval, you know, that was going on here in town.

So, you know, they never hesitated to try to help us figure out ways to make sure there weren't delays as they
were doing construction, and that's hugely important for us,  
because time is really money in the world of fresh produce and  
freshness and shelf life. So I do have to give a shout-out to  
ADOT and thank them very much for making all of our big  
construction projects as painless as possible, and we look  
forward to working with you to figure out how we're going to  
move forward on the Rio Rico/Ruby Road project.  
So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Allison.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Greg Lucero.

MR. LUCERO: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, board members. My name is Greg Lucero. I am the vice president of  
government affairs for South32. South32 is a global mining and  
metals company headquartered in Perth, Australia. We have  
operations in Australia, South Africa, South America, and in the  
U.S., we are about to break ground on a significant project for  
this region. The Hermosa project. It's going to produce two  
critical minerals, manganese and zinc, along with lead and  
silver.

All these minerals help produce green energy.  
Manganese, for example, is roughly about 90 percent imported.  
It's not produced domestically in the United States. It's  
critical for EV battery production. All your lithium batteries
need manganese for them to actually be producing energy.

Why I'm here before you is to put this project on the radar for ADOT. We are about to break ground, about this time next year. It's going to be a $2 billion project. We're looking at roughly about 1,000 employees during construction over the next roughly four years. Once we get into a steady state of production, we're going to have about 600 employees, direct employees, about 1,800 indirect. We intend to this have this project as a carbon neutral project. All our equipment is going to be EV, and we're going to look at renewable energy.

We are working closely with Santa Cruz County to develop an inland port somewhere in the Rio Rico area, and why this is important, because we're going to be operating 24/7, and we're going to be looking at shipping concentrate about eight trucks an hour.

So with this inland port, it's also going to generate significantly more traffic in that area. Don't know what interchange we'll be using or if we -- we'll be looking at a new interchange, but the impact is going to be significant, both to SR-82, I-19 and the port of entry.

Yesterday there was some discussion on charging stations at the ports of entry. I mentioned it to Mark that we need to start looking at charging stations at the Nogales port of entry, because up until we get this in that port, we will likely be trucking to Guaymas. So there's going to be a big
demand for charging stations at the border.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. John Moffatt.

MR. MOFFATT: Good morning, Chairman Thompson and board members. I'm John Moffatt, the Director of Infrastructure for the Southern Arizona Leadership Council. On behalf of the 140 CEOs and business leaders of SALC, we're very appreciative of the collaboration between the state legislature, the Department of Arizona Department of Transportation and the Nogales community to ensure that the SR-189 project moved forward quickly, and it's a tremendous success, not only for Santa Cruz County, but also for Arizona. It enables the 2,000 trucks a day and -- well, the next step north is Pima County, and as we see this increased traffic, we -- we're working on some projects there as well.

The -- I recently retired as the economic development director for Pima County, and I continue to have keen interest in the airport area and the Sonoran corridor, in the Sahuarita -- this is just as important in that as well.

The area around Tucson International Airport has seen the addition of over 5 million square feet of industrial space over the past three or four years. With large industrial developers, these are not local anymore. We have Lincoln
Properties, we have VanTrust, which is the Van Tuyl family, and the Schnitzer property. So they -- they're building spec space, which we needed badly. All of these are significant projects, and many of them are logistics focused.

The Tucson Airport Authority has also related a plan to develop well over 3,000 acres, both east and south of the airport. All of this activity is taking place adjacent to or within two miles of the recommended route identified in the tier one study for the Sonoran corridor. If there's potential funding from the Department of Transportation for the tier two study for the Sonoran corridor and the Legislature, development in that area is moving very rapidly, and we would encourage -- my goal today is to encourage that your consideration and in both (indiscernible) urging that we've (indiscernible) that tier two study as soon as it's funded.

So thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I appreciate your comment, John.

So Floyd.

MR. ROEHRIC: Our next speaker is Mr. Kee Allen Begay, Junior.

MR. BEGAY: Good morning. (Speaking Native language.)

Good morning. My name is Kee Allen Begay, Junior. I'm with the Navajo Nation Council from the
northeastern part of the state of Arizona. I do serve on the
Navajo Nation Council. Our oversight is the transportation.

I continue to advocate for Highway 191 in the
northeastern part of the state. While everyone is talking about
traffic of semis, of course, they probably go through our state
and our area. So I guess that we do need to have a better
understanding that -- while they go through the -- this
community goes up north into wherever they're going, there is a
broader need of improvement of the public highways in the
northern part of the state of Arizona.

So the one area that I keep talking about is the
smart highway. So that's one thing, I believe, that we're
really having a discussion at the tribal level. Smart highway,
all these internet connections that are -- I guess, the vehicles
are getting to be smart as well, but nonetheless, I just went to
ask for your support, because a lot of these infrastructures
will be within the right-of-way of your roads.

So I think there needs to be an administrative
matter, administrative discussion, some detail that needs to be
discussed, especially if we knew -- talking about tribal land,
reservation, dealing with the BIA and the Department of Interior
(indiscernible) federal government.

So those are some areas that I just wanted to
continue to ask, and I do appreciate ADOT for initiating and
starting the 13-mile right-of-way improvement between Many Farms
and Chinle, Arizona, and we thank you very much for that, and that there's several bridges that's being improved as well in that area. We thank you. Our advocacy continues to work, and our relationship continues to improve.

So, again, I want to say thank you very much for all that you do for the State of Arizona and everyone else and the community of Nogales. Thank you very much. And I believe that you guys will be down in Chinle in the month of December. So we will just be anticipating how we need to coordinate and plan for that session. So again, thank you very much, and you all have a wonderful day.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.)

Thank you, Delegate Begay.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Crystal Figueroa.

MS. FIGUEROA: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the State Transportation Board. My name is Crystal Figueroa, and I'm the new executive director for the Yuma Metropolitan Planning Organization, YMPO.

First and foremost, I want to thank ADOT staff and the State Board for all of the widening of US Route 95 initial segment efforts. Currently, the next base of the US-95 improvement is at 95 percent design, and YMPO looks forward to working with support and collaboration with ADOT staff and the Board; on construction funding for the next phase of the project
and continued effort for future segments -- for completion of future segments.

Having that said, I'm looking forward to attending future board meetings and building a relationship with each and every one of you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those comments as well. Appreciate that.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRIC: Mr. Chairman, the next speaker requests we have are people who are attending virtually. So when I call your name, will you please raise your hand and the WebEx host will work with you on unmuting the line so you will have your three minutes to make your comments.

Mr. Jim MacLean. Mr. MacLean, please raise your hand. Virtually, that is.

WEBEX HOST: Mr. MacLean, I've requested your unmute.

MR. MACLEAN: Good morning. I'm Councilman MacLean. Are we connected?

WEBEX HOST: Yes.

MR. ROEHRIC: Yes. Yes, Councilman. We can hear you.

MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Is it possible for us to share our screen with you?

MR. ROEHRIC: No, sir. It is not. We're not
set up for that. I apologize.

MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Okay. Well, anyway, greetings from Winslow, Arizona. We'd like to thank the State Transportation Board for allowing us an opportunity to present what we call the Lindberg Parkway Project to you.

Before we begin, though, we'd be remiss if we didn't first thank Chairman Jesse Thompson for his years of service. He's really helped Winslow and northern Arizona. So Jesse, we want to publicly just say, hey, thank you for your service very much.

Our Winslow Parkway Project is connecting the corridor down State Route 87 between Phoenix and I-40, running north and south. 87 runs through Payson and comes up, and it's become a major connector for traffic, shipping and freight. The difficulty we're having is that here in Winslow, we only have two connection points.

We run into the BNSF railroad tracks. And so when the semis and so forth come through, they have to go through this underpass that's a single lane underpass that was built in 1936. And so what we have there is a safety issue. We have congestion, and it's created a bottleneck, but if there were any emergencies, it actually separates one part of our town from the other part of town.

It's also hobbled our economic development, because we've tried to accommodate new development and new
industry, but they're not able to get their trucks under this underpass because it's at such a sharp angle. I think if you can imagine, you know, in 1936, what they did is they dug a hole under the tracks, and so we cannot get large trucks carrying things like trusses or windmill blades or anything like that under the tracks there.

So what we're proposing is that we create what we're calling the Lindberg Parkway, and it would take off just south of town, and it would go around the airport and around Winslow, and it would connect to an overpass that we have clear on the west end of Winslow, and that way all of the commerce and the freight could go around and actually over the tracks for this project.

So we're not asking that we build a new on ramp to I-40. We're just asking that we use an existing overpass. However, we need help. The project, we estimate to be between 15 and 17 million dollars, but it would reroute the trucks and the freight around Winslow, because they simply can't go under that underpass, and there's a huge bottleneck there. So with this project, it would just open up --

MR. ROEHRICH: So Mr. MacLean, Mr. MacLean, your three minutes are up. Could you please wrap up your comments?

MR. MACLEAN: Sure. We just would like to thank you for addressing you, and we bring this project to you and ask that you'd consider it, and we're hoping to get on ADOT's five-
year plan, and we just appreciate the time. We thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those wonderful comments, Mr. MacLean.

So Floyd, next.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Darryl Ahasteen. Mr. Ahasteen, please raise your hand.

WEBEX HOST: Mr. Ahasteen, I have requested an unmute.

MR. AHASTEEN: This is Darryl Ahasteen from Nahata Dziil Commission on Governance out of Navajo Nation, bringing my three minutes in reference to moving the port of entry from its current location out to Milepost 318 on I-40.

I did submit a presentation already, and one of the -- one of the things that changed is talking with Puerco, Puerco Valley department, the fire department, talking with the fire chief here, he has indicated that the -- his department is at the level of -- technicians, probably a level 2 reference to hazardous material responders, and they can take care of hazardous spills up along the interstate and probably along the rail also, if need be, but he did say that they are HAZMAT responder qualified as technicians. And -- oh, I get way ahead of myself on this three-minute speech.

I'd like to thank the Chair and the board members of the State Transportation Board and also to Kristi and Floyd
for helping me out every month to get on the agenda and sending me the information on how to get on board and stuff like that. So (speaking Native language). Thank you very much.

And personal experience, yesterday I'm coming out of Gallup after I bought some groceries and some fuel. Approaching the port of entry, there was -- it was congested, people -- trucks leaving the port of entry, and then we had some belly dumps getting -- taking off on Exit 390 -- 339, and they wouldn't let me back in. So I had to go all the way down to Chambers and turn around and come back and get off the interstate on the eastbound side. So it is getting congested, and our exit right here at 339 is getting really busy.

So thank you for my three-minute speech. (Speaking Native language.) Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.) Thank you, Darryl.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Jaqueline Begaye. Ms. Begaye, please raise your hand.

WEBEX HOST: Ms. Begaye, I've requested an unmute. You will need to unmute your line.


MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Ms. -- yes, Ms. Begaye. We can hear you.

WEBEX HOST: You are unmuted.

MS. BEGAYE: Okay. Good morning. My name is
Jaqueline Begay. I am with the Many Farms community. I am the Many Farms Chapter secretary/treasurer. Good morning. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to make comment.

First of all, on behalf of Many Farms community and the surrounding areas that utilize the road between Chinle and Many Farms, I want to thank you, Arizona Department of Transportation, Board and employees, for starting the construction to widen the shoulder between Chinle and Many Farms.

The reason I'm calling in is to ask again if you could extend that from Milepost 460.3 to 463, which is from the bridge outside of Many Farms to the turnoff to Many Farms High School, while the contractors are still there, because they're coming in at the end of this week -- not the end of this week, but the end of this month. They should be starting construction, and they will be staying in the vicinity of Many Farms Chapter.

The reason I'm saying 460.3 to 463 is, you know, that's the -- within that community of Many Farms, and as you know if you've been down here, traveling that road, there's a drop in that on the highway. The bus, the headstart bus, people -- buses from Holbrook, (indiscernible), BIA schools, they come through there. And in inclement weather, when it's raining or snowing, when you start skidding, you just end up having to go off the ditch and wait a couple of days or hours.
before anybody can come out and pull you out.

And the most concerning is when there is an emergency vehicle coming. As you know, you have to pull off the road. We can't pull off the road because there's nowhere to pull off. We just end up parking in the middle of the road and giving access to the emergency vehicle.

But I want to thank you again for funding from Chinle to Many Farms. That is greatly appreciated. The tourist season's opening up and, you know, people use that road to travel to the Canyon de Chelly there in Chinle, from Monument Valley as well.

Again, thank you. I want to thank our Delegate Begay for advocating on our -- Navajo Nation's behalf all the time. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Speaking Native language.) Thank you for those remarks, Ms. Begaye.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRIC: Our next speaker is Jennifer Thompson. Ms. Thompson, please raise your hand.

WEBEX HOST: Ms. Thompson, you are unmuted.

MS. THOMPSON: Great. Can you hear me?

MR. ROEHRIC: Yes, Ms. Thompson. We can.

MS. THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you.

Good morning, everybody. I'm Jennifer Thompson.

I'm the controller and townsite utilities manager with Freeport-
McMoRan Bagdad, which is a copper mining company in Yavapai County. I'd like to thank the Board, Director Halikowski and the ADOT team for the investments in the current program and the tentative program under construction for U.S. Route 93. These improvements will enhance both the safety and operation of this critical highway for both commercial and non-commercial vehicles.

Many of our Freeport Bagdad team members and their families travel this road, which is why we're here today, to voice our support and express our gratitude.

Freeport-McMoRan has a history of collaboration and partnership with ADOT and most recently, we've partnered with the Northwest District and signed a joint partnership agreement with ADOT where we provided a million dollars for a feasibility study for State Route 97, which is our connection from 93 to the -- to the Bagdad site in an effort to address the safety and capacity needs.

That effort resulted in identification of key safety and capacity improvements needed for that highway, and this has recently become more urgent as we move closer to doubling the size of our copper operations.

Freeport Bagdad stands ready to partner with you and the department to make these important improvements and continue doing our part to support the infrastructure needs of this region.
In closing, I'd like to take a moment to congratulate and welcome the newly-appointed district administrator for the Northwest District, Anthony Brozich. I look forward to meeting him and introducing him to our team and continuing our partnership and addressing the needs of both US Highway 93 and State Route 97.

And thank you for your time, and wish you all a safe holiday weekend.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms. Thompson.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Joseph Jarvis. Mr. Jarvis, please raise your hand.

WEBEX HOST: Mr. Jarvis, as a reminder, if you are a call-in-only user, please press star 3 on your phone to give us that raised hand signal.

Floyd, I'm not seeing a raised hand at this time.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Kristi.

Mr. Chairman, that's all the requests that we have to speak.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Maybe we can come back to Mr. Jarvis whenever he's available.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. If you wanted to open it up again, you can. I think we --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yeah.

MR. ROEHRICH: -- should get on with the rest of
the agenda, and then maybe at the end of the meeting make one
more try.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I try to hear from everybody
that wishes to address the Board, and also that all those
comments that are being made by each one of you, we are reminded
of those comments every so often, specifically at the end of the
year. So again, we do appreciate all those comments that are
made.

Now we will move on to Item 1.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Max.

MR. MAXWELL: I appreciate it, Mr. Chair. I
decided to follow up and take an opportunity to make a couple
comments.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead.

MR. MAXWELL: First off, call to the audience is
great. It's very important to all of us on the Board, because
we get to hear from the community throughout the state and here
at the local region that we're holding the meeting. Those --
these meetings being public and having public participation is
incredibly important to our mission.

So first I want to apologize to the folks that
put on the dinner last night. I understand I missed an
incredible time. It -- my apologies coming down.

I want to congratulate you on SR-189. There were
a ton of folks involved in that project, and when you see it, you will see the magnitude and the impact it's going to have, but if it wasn't for the county and the city and the produce associations and the community working together to build statewide support for moving one -- SR-189 into the five-year plan, it never would have happened. So it wasn't just local. It wasn't just ADOT. It was really a statewide effort that got that moved forward in the five-year plan.

And in regards to all the comments and what we're hearing today, it is so important to understand the input to the five-year plan. We have our upcoming five-year plan input session coming on (indiscernible) have input to the five-year plan, and I'd encourage anyone who's interested in projects in their region and getting things done to make sure that they're taking a good look at the five-year plan, provide your input and then show up and remain engaged.

I think that was the key to Santa Cruz County, to the City of Nogales, to the -- to the business community down here. You remained engaged until the Board really heard what you said and the case had been made, and that is why SR-189 went forward. And congratulations on that.

And again, my apologies for missing the event last night. The interaction with the community is one of the most important things we do. So thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Board Member Ted
Maxwell. We appreciate the comments and now some specific
details about our next meeting and the five year approval, the
five-year plan will be announced at the end of this meeting.
(Indiscernible.)

Gary?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I've got just a short comment
on Ted's comments. Your comments to the Board are extremely
important, but remember that as soon as we approve the five-year
plan that we're working on now, work starts on the next five-
year plan.

So what we hear from you today and at our
meetings, even though it may be too late to actually get into
the five-year plan that we're going to approve this June, keep
in mind that those comments do not go unnoticed, and when the
work starts on the next five-year plan, that's when those
comments will really be looked at closely, if there wasn't
anything that we could do to get them in this five-year plan.

So just keep in mind it's an ongoing process, and
you need to keep your comments coming so that we know where your
desires lie so that we can get them into a five-year plan.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Gary.

Anybody on the WebEx wishing to make a comment?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, I would caution the
Board. We're -- you're starting to deliberate amongst
yourselves on topics that are not on the agenda.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

MR. ROEHRICH: I realize they were just general comments in reference to call to the audience and input from the public, I think, which is good to make, but if you start responding to each of the board members, I think you're off agenda topic.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Floyd. That's why you're there.

MR. ROEHRICH: Might be also why you get rid of me (indiscernible).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Don't tempt us.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Now, our next -- we will now move on to Item 1, the director's report.

Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Director is conflicted and unable to attend today. He does send his apologies, but it's a last minute item. I was going to address two things, and I appreciate the input from Board Members Knight and Maxwell, because I was going to talk about the five-year program coming up, because I think it is important that the public does review the five-year agenda online, provide their comments either through the online portal, through telephone comments or the public hearing, which will be May 20th next month. It is an in-person as well as virtual
meeting set up for, and at that time it is important if you have
comments to bring them in.

And, Mr. Knight, I very much appreciate the
comment you made in that we try to address every project this
year, but it is an ongoing process, and sometimes you get in the
comments start as deliberating for future years. So don't feel
your input isn't successful one time. It's successful over
time, and I think it's very important that we continue to do
that.

So next month's meeting is in the valley. It's
at the Salt River Pima -- Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian
Council Chambers. It will be at that site Friday, May 20th.

The second point I wanted make real quick is we
continue to get a lot of questions about the infrastructure bill
that Congress passed and the funding and what does that mean for
the program. We're working very closely with the COGs and MPOs,
as well as other stakeholders.

As our staff is evaluating the implementation of
that and looking at the new programs and working with our
federal partners at the Federal Highway Administration. We
continue to take the guidance we're getting, and we're
evaluating how to move that forward. You've already heard a
couple little presentations on that so far. You'll probably
hear more as we get closer to the public hearing. You'll also
hear from Kristine what some of the financial impacts are that
we've been able to assess.

So there's a lot of information still working, and I know people want immediate answers. I just want to remind everybody staff is breaking that down. It was a big bill. Thousands of pages with a lot of new programs, a lot of funding, and as we continue to work and implement the guidance and the programs, we will continue to be briefing this board, briefing our stakeholders and our partners in the COG and MPO regions as well. There's a lot of good information, but it's taking a little while to digest. We ask people to be patient.

I also would also note that it's a big impact to the state, and the Governor's office has started a task force that will be headed by Sarah Weber, their -- I think it's the chief operating officer for the Governor's staff, and she has been leading a task force with state agencies and key personnel who are going to be looking at how this is affecting the state and our component on it -- on ADOT transportation will be a key -- a member of that as we begin to look at the breadth of that bill, which is an extensive bill. Not just transportation, but it covered many, many areas, and again, the State is looking at that. So just wanted to remind that to everybody and let them know that we're moving forward.

I also know -- would like to now bring up Ms. Katy Proctor. She's going to give a state and federal legislative update and may address a little bit more of that as
MS. PROCTOR: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members. Thanks for having me today. It's great to see everybody in person. I'm going to focus on the state update today, as opposed to federal, and just let you know a few things about what's going on with the Legislature.

Today would be the 96th day of session. Unfortunately, I do not think we're wrapping up in 100 days this year, so we can just take that one off the table right now. At this point committees have wrapped up, and bills that have fiscal impact are parked in the rules committees, and the floor calendars are slowing down a little bit. Everything's getting a little bit lighter as budget negotiations continue.

This year we also have several large policy items and tax issues that are on the horizon as well that will go in conjunction, I think, with those continued budget conversations.

The Finance Advisory Committee met last week, and JLBC issued some new revenue numbers, and I think that's really important to note. It looks like there could be up to $1.3 billion available for ongoing expenditures and an additional 2.8 billion for one-time expenditures that would be added to the baseline potentially going forward.

That's a large amount of money. It's a little bit higher than what was expected back in January as well. So
that will figure back into those budget negotiations.

Speaking to some members this week, there's a lot of different scenarios at play. Nobody seems to have exactly what the path forward is right now. That's probably pretty normal for this time of year, especially with such a large surplus to consider.

One thing I would like to point out is that as you probably recall, the executive had a proposal to put $400 million onto the I-10 widening project, and that was in the executive's budget back in January when it was released.

As Paul is going to report to you later, one of the new programs created in the federal infrastructure legislation was a discretionary grant called the Mega Grant, and ADOT will be applying for that Mega Grant for the I-10 corridor and is hopeful to use those state moneys to promote a significant state match. What we want to do is demonstrate that we have significant state and local commitment to the project and make it the most competitive application possible.

In order to do that, we need the Legislature to appropriate that money by May 13th, and that is the drop dead date for ADOT to be able to include those state moneys as that significant state match and demonstrate that significant commitment in that grant application process.

So this is something that we are meeting with our stakeholders on right now and really trying to get the word out
for folks. We do believe there's a good opportunity here for the state, especially in light of that significant budget surplus. It seems like all of the stars are potentially in alignment, so we're really trying to work with our stakeholder community right now and make sure that folks know that this opportunity's on the horizon, and we need help to get across the finish line. And again, that day is May 13th. It's a really great opportunity, and we look forward to moving forward with that. I know Paul is going to talk a little bit more about the grant process later.

So Mr. Chair and Members, I'd be happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any members here in person or those online have any questions for Katy?

Thank you, Katy. I think every so often you keep us informed on these kinds of issues. So thank you very much.

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, that ends the director's report. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: So that includes your last minute items to report? You don't have any?

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. All my items were last minute items, because I just made it up. Katy came prepared. I just made it up when the director said he wasn't -- unable to make the meeting.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. If he's still coming
on, we'll try to hear from him as well?

    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. I do not expect him, though, but --

    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

    MR. ROEHRICH: -- we'll watch to see if he logs in. Thank you, sir

    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. We will now move on to Item 2, District Engineer's report. Jeremy Moore, Assistant District Engineer for Southcentral District.

    MR. MOORE: Thank you.

    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning.

    MR. MOORE: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. My name is Jeremy Moore. I am the assistant district engineer for the Southcentral District.

    I want to start off by providing (indiscernible) to the community to, the City of Nogales, Santa Cruz County, the Nogales school district, Port Authority, ADOT staff, Ames Construction, Fresh Produce and many more for their patience and support during the construction of 189.

    I also want to note that I am very proud and honored to have been part of that project as well. So with that, I'll go ahead and talk a little bit about 189 and some other upcoming projects in the district -- in the area.

    Next slide.

    So State Route 189. This project's delivery
method was design/build. The design/builder was Ames
Construction and Horrocks Engineers. Part of the funding for
this project was the $25 million TIGER grant. The contract
amount for this was 82 million. Contract was awarded in
February of 2020, and the start of construction was March of
2020, with an estimated completion date of May of 2022. The only
thing that we have left on this project is the final striping,
which we need the correct ambient and surface temperatures to do
that successfully.

Next slide, please.

Project improvements. All right. We've got the
Mariposa Road to I-19 flyover connection. We've got the
two-lane roundabout, intersection at Target Range Road. We've
got improvements at Grant Avenue and Mariposa. You've got the
raised median along Mariposa. You've got the widening at
Mariposa Road. We've got drainage improvements, lighting
improvements, signing and striping, sidewalk and curb and
gutter, ADA improvements, additional storage capacity for the
turning lanes at the intersections, and intersection
improvements.

Next slide, please.

So one of the things that made this project kind
of unique and was one of -- a first for me was the delivery
method on this project, which was a design build. So our
typical delivery method that I'm used to is, you know, we design
a project. It's advertised. Contractors bid the jobs, and then
you've got the lowest bidder that is tentatively awarded the
project, in the (indiscernible).

ADOT holds the design in that process, whereas on
189, we utilized a different method, which was the design/build,
and that's basically where the contractor now hires the design
team. They are in charge of the construction and the design
process. And so ADOT would put out a request for
qualifications, technical proposals, the cost to build the job
and build time of the project, so all of the proposers are on
that -- are interested in the contract.

Once that's submitted, then ADOT forms a
selection panel, and then that selection panel will go through,
review all the scoring, score the qualifications, the typical
proposals, cost and the time to build the job, and then we end
up with the selected candidate. And on this project it was Ames
Construction (indiscernible). But that's a little bit unique in
it was a very interesting process, and I really enjoyed it,
so...

Next slide, please.

So some other projects in the area. We've got
the Potrero Canyon Bridge, which is a scour retrofit project.
We've got Southwest Concrete Paving as the contractor on that
one. The contract alone is 790,000. Delivery method is design
bid build. Traditional delivery method with an expected
completion date of late spring of 2022.

Next slide, please.

Then we've got our I-19 broadband project. This is kind of similar to 189, because the delivery method is again design/build. And so our design/builder on this is Sundt Construction, and then Kimley-Horn is the designer. Contract amount is 14.9 million. Delivery method is, again, design/build, and the expected completion date is early 2023.

Next slide, please.

Then we've got our I-10 San Pedro River Bridge project. This is out in the Benson area and Cochise County, and it is a whole bridge replacement, new girders, new beams, new deck, new columns, the whole entire structure, substructure, superstructure. Contractor on this project is FNF Construction. Contract amount was 6.14 million. Delivery method is design/bid/build, traditional method, with an expected completion date fall of 2022.

And next slide, please.

And that concludes the district update.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board Members, do you have any questions?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jeremy, on the -- on the Benson project, are they on schedule? Are they ahead? Behind? How are we looking for that?

MR. MOORE: They're on schedule. Yeah, they're a
little bit ahead, but I think we're going to beat the completion
date on that one, as long as we've got no issues with weather or
anything, should be on target.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Sounds good.

Thank you.

MR. MOORE: Thank you.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Maxwell.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, Jeremy, first thing I
wanted to say is congratulations on a job well done. You know,
we've talked a lot about the support necessary to get a project
funded and move forward sometimes on the five-year plan, but
when it comes down to it, it really is the contractor that
performs the actual work as well as the ADOT team that oversees
and supervises and ensures that it's all done -- SR-189, when
you go out and see it, you know it is a truly (indiscernible)
example of what's possible.

My question for is you say the thing that you
found most interesting was the design/build, and noticed that on
another one of the bids, design/build is also the method of
choice. Do you see that going forward, and what do you think
the advantages are, just to kind of educate us on using that
type of process?

MR. MOORE: Sure. Mr. Chair, members of the
Board, so yeah, you know, with 189, what I saw is we took -- we
took a four-year project and having condensed it down to essentially a two-year project. So you don't -- you didn't have to go through, like, you know, an 18-month, 14-month design process and then go into a two-year construction process. We took it down to a two-year process. We kind of designed it as we built it at the same time. So we really shrunk that timeline down.

Second thing I also see as far as the benefit is innovation. Right? We're getting the contractor's ideas on how they can utilize their equipment, their men and stuff like that get, you know, a good -- a good dollar amount on this project, and it takes a lot of risk off the department and puts the risk back onto the contractor.

So it's -- that was two of the biggest things that I saw was just innovation, the risk, getting them involved on how to build this team. So that when we get into construction, we don't have a lot of change orders and a lot of, you know, disagreements with what the plans are. They are in charge of the plans. They control it. We oversee it. We review it, and then we move forward as a team.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you for that information. Maybe a follow-up. I don't know if it's for you or if, Floyd, you take it on behalf of the Director. Since that is obviously something new -- this is new, first time you've ever saw it, it's going forward -- how is it determined on these
projects going forward? Obviously by the time they get to the Board, the bid technique has been decided. How is that determined on projects?

MR. ROEHRICH: So -- so Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell, the infrastructure and delivery ideal -- what's -- infrastructure delivery and operations group, they have a matrix when they're looking at projects in the five-year program for the potential, which should we do traditional design/bid/build, which we do design/build, which do we use for maybe our P3 opportunities, because you can put design/build in there, but then you have more flexibility in the procurement process. And then is there construction manager at risk.

So there's a number of different options available to us contracting wise, and the technical team through the state engineer's office and through the (indiscernible) division, we'll evaluate those projects, determine which is the best forward. On a lot of projects if the -- if the scope is pretty straightforward, we'll just design it and then put it out for bid, because there's not a lot of, as Jeremy said, opportunity or room for innovation.

Where there is room to either bring projects together or take more complicated projects and get the contractor and the designer together as a team to work on that, to save not only time, but sometimes you can save a little bit of efficiencies and moneys and things like that, then we'll look
at that as an opportunity. But not all projects align itself to that, because there is still some things when we talk about transferring risk to the contractor or to others. We as an owner can't transfer. We have to take that on. So we'll balance what are those conditions in the -- in the project.

And then there are other projects where we know there's some innovations, kind of complicated, but we want to technically control it, and then that's where we'll do the construction manager at risk. We'll hire a contractor, and then we'll hire a designer separate, but then we will still take the lead in that role to make sure that the design elements, the technical settlements we want are addressed, but then the contractor could bring in some efficiencies within that.

So we go through a process to do that. I know we've heard from people, Hey, if design/build is so efficient and effective, why don't you do all projects design/build? Not all projects are real strong candidates for that. That's why we have a process to go through, and again, a lot of people are experienced with it. They've worked on a number of them, and now we're starting to see as an opportunity where it is, but we evaluate that every year as we evaluate the five-year program.

MR. MAXWELL: Director -- or Mr. Chair, Floyd, Jeremy, thank you for the response. I thought it was good to get that out so that the public could hear maybe -- they heard the words it's more efficient. It gets it done in a timely
manner, and at possibly cost savings. You think it all can be
done that way, but it's good to have that background, so I
appreciate your answers.

MR. ROEHRICH: And Mr. Chairman and Mr. Maxwell,
I don't want to speak on it directly. You have the state
engineer here. I don't know if he would like to offer any
additional comments or corrections to my comment but... Put him
on the spot a little bit.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Floyd.

Actually, Floyd did an excellent job describing
exactly what we go through. One of the big things that we have
is in evaluating each of the different projects is one of the
things that Jeremy just brought up, and that's risk, and when we
transfer that risk, we also increase costs of projects. So
that's something that we have to -- kind of have to be very
careful about as we balance on projects. So other than that,
what Floyd said is dead nuts on how we take and process
everything through.

MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Greg.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Jeremy, thank you. And
then -- thank you, Jeremy.

MR. MOORE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And then moving an engineer
and all the engineers within our area, (indiscernible) some
assistance, we reach out to one of you. So thank you
(indiscernible).

MR. MOORE: Thank you. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Now, we will now move on to Item 3, consent agenda. I know that one of you have an item that you want removed from the consent agenda, or do any of you want to make any corrections on the agenda?

So we'll go to Richard first.

MR. SEARLE: Yes. Chairman Thompson, I would like to make a note on the minutes for our Marana meeting has a correction. I notice in the minutes that I was referenced as the vice chair all through the meeting, and although I appreciate the honor, I think it belongs to Mr. Knight here.

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Searle, I realize that on the front page they identified Mr. Knight as vice chair, but I guess I did not look at -- through the narrative, and approving the minutes with your correction will correct those minutes.

MR. SEARLE: Very good.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Your time is coming, Richard.

MR. SEARLE: I'm in no hurry.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: So with that, do I have a motion to approve the consent agenda as presented?

MR. SEARLE: So moved.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we're -- you should move to have it amended.
MR. SEARLE: As amended.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. SEARLE: Well, that's what I mean.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

MR. ROEHRICH: Perfect. If we could approve the consent agenda as amended.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Right.

MR. ROEHRICH: So you have --

MR. SEARLE: That's my motion.

MR. ROEHRICH: -- a move motion by Board Member Searle.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. There's a motion by Richard and then second by Gary to approve the amend- -- the consent agenda as amended. Now (indiscernible) the Board now that we have a motion and a second, is there any discussion on this other than what Richard mentioned? Anybody online?

There being none, all those in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members attending remotely.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The record will note that all the present members said aye, and now we will go on to the online members.
Board member -- excuse me. Board Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Stratton.

MR. STRATTON: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Chairman, the motion passes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you very much, Floyd.

Your vote lets -- that motion carries.

We will now move on to the financial report, with Kristine Ward. Item -- Agenda Item 4 for information and discussion only.

MS. WARD: Good morning, board members.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Good morning.

MS. WARD: So we'll start out -- go ahead and move to the first slide.

And I'll give you your Highway User Revenue Fund update, how we're doing through the year. You can see we're running a little below forecast, about 2.8 percent. We have got strong growth in the gas tax. You can see moderate growth in diesel tax, but our mystery for the month was VLT. It -- we had a very unusual spike there. It gave economists something to track down and analyze, and what --

Let me go on to the -- to the next slide, if you
would, Rhett.

And you'll see that vehicle license tax number
for -- we're covering the month of March. You'll see that it's
21.2 percent over last year, and what you're seeing is the --
kind of a culmination of what the economy has experienced in the
rental car surcharge facet. So within vehicle license tax,
there's a rental surcharge, revenues that come in, and that is a
surcharge on -- that each of us have when we go and rent a car.

Well, if you'll recall, the rental -- the car
rental industry took quite a hit with COVID, and then they came
out of that as the vaccinations and so forth came out, and
people started going out more. Suddenly there was a great
demand after many of the rental car companies had sold off a
tremendous amount of their vehicles. The result was that
increased demand with that limited supply left -- led to
skyrocketing prices, and therefore, that 5 percent charge, which
was based on those prices, went up significantly.

We saw an over doubling of our -- of rental
surcharge revenue come in this month. So we had a -- we had a
-- a fun little mystery on our hands. A roller coaster that I
am sure the rental car companies did not enjoy.

If we could go on to the next slide, and we'll
cover the Regional Area Road Fund.

As you can see, we are a little ahead of forecast
on that for the year. 3.7 percent ahead of last year. Year to
date, we've gotten about $431 million in. About 20 percent over
last year, and about 3.7, as you can see, above our forecast.
We had strong growth in all of the large categories, those being
retail and restaurant and bar. Contracting has also been
strong.

Rhett, if can you go to the next page for me --
next slide. Excuse me.

You'll see the breakdown of the -- of the major
revenue categories here, and you can see restaurant and bar,
that growth over last year is tremendous at 28.7 percent over
last year. Retail sales, also over 18.3 percent over last year
when we're looking at February, for the month of February.

Moving on -- Rhett, let's go ahead, I -- and I'm
going to spend some time on the federal aid program and give you
an update on IIJA.

So it has been -- it has been an exciting month
since we last -- since I last reported to you. Myself and my
two compadres, Paul Patane and Greg Byres, we have been -- we
have -- we've been on the road, virtually, meeting with COGs and
MPOs and Rural Transportation Group, Kevin Adams' group, and
really discussing and rolling out the funding levels associated
with IIJA. This was one of the slides. This has been updated,
an updated version since the ones I've been showing you, as we
have gotten more clarity in the numbers, I've been updating the
information. And so -- and let me clarify. The people that do
the work, I owe them a great deal of thanks, the FMS staff, for continually updating this information.

So what you see here is just an update of the overall funding levels that we're getting through the infrastructure bill, and one of things that -- the feedback -- one of the items we got feedback on that the COGs and the MPOs are also experiencing is this perception that -- and kind of adding some clarity and reality to this perception that the State got 5 billion dollars of new money. And so I -- their feedback was they're battling the same thing.

In reality, what we got just between -- is we're going to get about -- over the life of IIJA, we'll get about $1.3 billion more over the five-year period. Between '21 and '22, you can see that variation going from 801 to the 1 billion 25. So we're getting about 225 additional -- in additional funding.

And I think a point of clarification that the COGs and the MPOs were also trying to emphasize to their stakeholders is that, you know, 120 million of that is associated with continuation of FAST Act programs. That's -- that is a real increase on existing programs that we are -- we are familiar with, and it -- with some expanded eligibilities. But 105 of that 225 million is for new programs, and with those new programs come new guidelines, new restrictions. In some cases they are expanding in areas that we have not worked in
specifically before, and as you might expect, the electric vehicles, the NEVI program, National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program, also carbon reduction, the Protect Program, these are -- these are programs that are new.

And Rhett, if you go on to the next slide for me.

Great. Thank you.

Oh, goodness. This didn't -- this didn't transpose very well. I apologize. This doesn't look quite right.

But the -- what this does is it provides a comparison of the programs that were continued from the FAST Act, as well as depicts the new programs that came along with IIJA. And so we are indeed -- and quite thankful and happy about it -- getting additional funds from IIJA, but it's not quite what folks have -- some of the common parlance out there, the discussion that's going on of an additional 5 billion, and it also comes with new programs that have new restrictions.

So moving on to the next slide, if you would, Rhett.

So I'm going to maintain the same cadence of kind of telling you what we know, what we don't know and when we think we'll know more information. So since the last I reported to you, we learned a lot more. We're still learning, but we learned a lot more since last month. FHWA provided us the funding levels that -- that were established in the budget that
was signed by the President last month.

We got -- in terms of when you think of the overall funding levels that I showed you on that first slide, when the budget comes through, that's when they determine how much of those limits, those upper funding limits that were shown on that first slide, how much -- how many dollars are actually going along with that.

So 91.3 percent is what we're getting of the apportionments that I showed you on the previous page. So that's -- that is -- that is the number that we have really -- one of the numbers we've really been waiting for. So we're getting 91.3 percent of the funding that you -- we've been discussing thus far. There's actual money behind those apportionments.

Also, since last month, as I mentioned, we've had a number of meetings with the COGs and the MPOs, walking them through what we've learned, what we've learned about the programs, what we've learned about the funding levels to those programs. We also rolled out earlier this week their ledgers that -- and those ledgers identify the known funding that's being passed through to them. So it's been a -- it's actually been a -- it's been a very exciting last month, and Greg and Paul and I have been spending a lot of time together. No comments by either one of them if they enjoyed that or not.

If we could move on to the next slide. Thanks,
Rhett.

So what we don't know. We still are awaiting some guidance on some of these new programs. We've got to remember that our federal partners are figuring some of this out and scrambling as quickly as they possibly can to get us out information, and I have to say it has been a pleasure working with our FHWA division here in Arizona. They are an incredible group to work with. It is -- it is truly a partnership, and just -- it's gone very smoothly and I'm very appreciative.

A I said, we are -- we're all kind of in a kind of a holding pattern sometimes, awaiting further guidance coming down. So we need that additional federal guidance in order to -- the next thing we need to take on is recasting the funding levels for the tentative five-year program. We're getting closer. We're much, much closer, but we need those -- that additional guidance from -- on these remaining federal programs because, you know, if something's getting passed through, then -- to, say, the COGs or MPOs, then it doesn't roll into the funding that goes to the tentative five-year program that rolls through the RAC, which is the resource allocation of the 37 percent to MAG, the 50 percent to Greater Arizona, the 13 percent to PAG. So that's what we don't know at this time, and we're still waiting for it, and we look forward to receiving that so we can finalize and recast the tentative program.

You might recall from -- excuse me. You might
recall when I presented the tentative program, funding levels to you back, I think, in the study session in January or early February, I told you that I had added some dollars in, assumed some funding levels in those numbers, and I had. I'd assumed $100 million each year. So I anticipate that once we finish these sub-allocations and firm them up and, you know, we've had -- gotten this additional guidance, I expect there to be additional funds that will flow into the program.

So when will we know more? Notice all the question marks. I don't know, and the FHWA division office can't tell me either. So they have also been very -- you know, we nudged -- we nudged the division office, and poor Anthony then nudges the -- you know, the CFO's office and said, Hey -- you know, the FHWA's CFO, and we're just -- we're just not quite certain yet of when we'll get those additional numbers.

So with that, that concludes my remarks. I think you can go to the next slide. It should just be a questions slide. Yeah. If anyone has any questions, I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Kristine, thank you very much for that information. There is a lot of concern and questions about, you know, how we move on from trying to identify ways --

MS. WARD: If we've got audio, I am not hearing anything.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead, Kristine.
MR. ROEHRICH: Kristine, can you hear?

MS. WARD: Yes, I can now.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They have her muted.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I'm okay from this end.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. Yes, sir. You are, Mr. Chair. Go ahead. You can make your comments now.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anyway, Kristine, we do thank you for those information that -- a lot of concern in the public. They're asking about the infrastructure funding that are coming down, how we're going to move forward to get some projects going. And then, again, (indiscernible) information that we need to move forward to the public.

So with that comment, does any member have questions for Kristine?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.

Kristine, go back three or four slides to the IIJA apportionment estimates.

MS. WARD: Uh-huh.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: At the bottom of that slide, you've got a note that supplemental programs consist of bridge program, 45 million in National Electric Vehicle infrastructure of 11.3. That -- do we know if that 11.3 million for electrical vehicle infrastructure, is that going to -- is that going to
require any state matching funds?

MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, yeah, I believe we've got a -- I believe we have a 20 percent match on that.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So that was (indiscernible).

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Yes. Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. It's a yes.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, it's a 20 percent match, meaning that we can -- on projects or on expenditures, 80 percent can be the federal funds, and then 20 percent have to be state funds.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. Because I've got a problem with us spending our road money on electric vehicle infrastructure. In my mind, that should be a private sector. We don't build gas stations, and I don't think we should be building vehicle charging stations. I think the private sector, which sells electricity, should be, and the -- of course, the other -- we've got Circle Ks and all kinds of convenient stores that sell gas that can have -- they can put in charging stations and actually make revenue off of them, but for us to use the -- our money that we would normally use for roads to build charging stations, I've got a real problem with that.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. WARD: So if I may --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Kristine.
MS. WARD: -- Mr. Chairman, Mr. Knight, another aspect, and I thank my phone-a-friend in Karla, reminding me that, you know, that 20 percent match, it is a 20 percent match requirement, but that can be met by private sector, if the private sector comes in to participate. Just an additional facet I thought that I should mention.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Kristine. As long as it's private sector, then I have no problem with it. So that would make it a P3.

MR. ROEHRICH: Well, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton --

MS. WARD: Yeah. It really remains to be seen.

MR. ROEHRICH: That's exactly right. You know, the requirement of the law is that the state develop an implementation plan, which is due August 1st, and we are just starting the process. We're taking the guidance that has been given to date. We're doing some research on what kind of possibilities are out there. We are bringing a consultant onboard. We will develop a draft implementation plan by August 1st. That will at least identify a path forward as we look to involve the NEVI electric vehicle -- National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan as far as how the state's strategy is going to be.

Comments like yourself, comments we're going to get from stakeholders and comments from the public, because
there will be a public involvement process, will all be analyzed as we decide as a state how we are going to move that initiative forward.

MR. SEARLE: Jesse.

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, you have another comment.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard.

MR. SEARLE: Gary, thank you for those comments. I think they're spot on, and it sounds like -- the information is good this morning. It sounds like we have a great grant opportunity for the private sector on this. So with that, thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Richard.

Ted.

MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

For follow-up probably, Floyd, to you, and then I've got a couple for Kristine. And the follow-up question I've got is that plan that's being developed by ADOT, who's the approval authority for that plan? Is it the department or is it the Board?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell, it's an administrative point at this time, but as the Board advises the director on, you know, transportation issues, as well as then any implementation that would come through to the five-year program, you would have direct relationship in that.
MR. MAXWELL: Okay.

MR. ROEHRICH: Your input will be through the guidance that you give, the advice you give, and we do tend to bring back to you the implementation plan when we have it drafted prior to implementing it to, again, get further comments from the Board, but it is an administrative function that the director will submit.

MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. And for the record, I tend to agree with Member Knight and Member Searle on it, that the final investment after it's made is going to be in private sector hands, then it should be the private sector funding that comes up, I think, and I think you're not going to have a shortage of people looking to get that kind of -- with a 20 percent match, getting 100 percent built. So I'd strongly encourage the department to consider that as they develop the plan. I know you will.

So I did have two follow-ups for Kristine. The first one is on the bridge money. One of the questions I get -- I hear more than any from the municipalities in the region is they've already identified the bridges they want to get done. So is any of that fundamental -- or that supplemental funding for the bridge programs going direct to the municipalities or is it all going to be managed at the state level?

MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell, the 45 million breaks down into two components. The amount that is
for off-system bridge that would -- that would be the the local
side would -- is about 6.8 million, and I would defer some of
this, addressing of your question, to Greg if I'm off in any way
here, or Paul. I'm sorry. But I believe we got about
6.8 million of that -- of that 45 that is -- that is for off
system.

Now, how that gets programmed, I'm going to --
I'm going to defer to Paul or Greg, because it is slipping my
mind right this moment. The 38 million component will run down
and go through the normal RAC allocation and be programmed in
the 50, 37, 13 breakdown between, you know, Greater Arizona, MAG
and PAG.

I think that's a -- you know it's a really
important point that I've had to bring up with COGs and the MPOs
or I had brought up with COGs and the MPOs through our
discussions is if it's -- it's either -- if it's -- it's either
passed through to them or it goes -- it comes to them through
the Casa Grande accord and those allocations.

So I don't know if that helps you, sir. I -- did
that answer your question? And I phone -- I'll call a friend, a
virtual friend, in Paul and Greg if I've missed any part of
that. Does that help you, sir?

MR. MAXWELL: Kristine, I appreciate that answer.
It really does. That lets me know a little bit more about the
funding, when the municipalities are mentioning it also, working
within the COGs and the MPOs as well. And I know Greg, your
call-a-friend, is standing at the podium, so I'm sure he's going
to give us some more information. So thank you for that answer.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The off-system bridge portion that is going out
to basically the locals, there's a caveat that goes with that in
that the bridges that those dollars are used on has to take
those bridges from poor or fair condition to good. So that's
going to be the number one criteria that we have to go through.
So as either locals bring that to us through the COGs, through
the MPOs, then there's a consideration that will be made for
those -- for those dollars.

MR. MAXWELL: Outstanding. Thank you for that
answer, and Mr. Chair, just one more question for Kristine.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Ted.

MR. MAXWELL: The follow-up is 91.3 of the funds,
in the formula funding had been apportioned, and I know that's a
good thing to know, because now we know the number now. My
question is what happens to that 8.7 percent remaining?

MS. WARD: Oh, okay. There -- Mr. Chairman,
Mr. Maxwell, so that is the -- what you're asking about is the
difference between apportionments and obligation authority. So
that's all lovely federal lingo.

So when they get apportionment, the -- those are
the numbers I've been reporting out to you. When you saw that blue -- that chart with the blue bars that said 801 in FF -- 801 million in FFY '21, and then in FFY '22, you saw the new IIJA program numbers, and that was, like, 969. That 801 went to 969.

Those -- that whole chart represents and conveys to you apportionments. I won't get into any more detail than that on that, because there are some variations, but apportionments are not actual funding. They're not actual money. They are upper limits. It says you may spend -- think of it -- think of apportionments a little bit like checks, and think of yourself as having multiple checkbooks that -- and the -- then you've got this cash, and that cash that we get to fund those apportionments is only 91.3 percent. That's what the feds told us. You -- of those apportionments, you only get 91.3 percent in actual cash.

Now, those apportionments go over multiple categories, like those multiple different bank accounts. We have -- think of it as a -- as a bank account for national -- our National Highway Program, NHPP. We have a bank account for the safety program. HSIP, Highway Safety Improvement Program, we have a bank account. So you're kind of -- hopefully you're following -- you're kind of getting the -- that differentiation. Then -- and you have checks associated with each one of those programs, but much like, you know, the old joke of,
hey, I have checks, I can -- as long as I have checks, I can
still spend money, you need apportionments and you need the
cash, the fund -- that funding level, a/k/a the actual
obligation authority.

That money comes through the budget, and what
they -- what the feds have -- what Congress has said is of those
apportionments, you get 91.3 percent in actual funding, and the
max you can spend in any one of those categories, the banking --
bank account that was for safety or the bank account that was
for national highway -- the max you can spend is those -- is
topped off by those checks, the number of checks you had. So I
hope this is kind of coming across, because I can't really see
your facial expression to see how badly this is going. Is this
starting to (indiscernible) --

MR. MAXWELL: I guess my question is -- I guess
my question, if we spend 95 percent of what they've told us we
could spend, we're 3 percent short, what happens from what
they've already given us cash, or do we have to -- can we not
spend it until we go back and get their authority to obligate?

MS. WARD: Sir, we cannot over-expend, because we
only have 91.3 percent of the -- that -- those total
apportionments. You really -- you just -- you don't -- we do
not have -- that is not available to us. The actual
(indiscernible) --

MR. MAXWELL: (Indiscernible.) I understand.
Kristine, thank you. I understand that now, and I guess it's important when we talk about the amount of money that they've got, it's really only 91.3 that we've got. So despite what the numbers in the books may be, we don't have all that money to expend. We've got 91.3 percent of that money. So it's -- you know, what's out in the public and what's being told what we can actually do are two different things. I appreciate the clarification, and I hope the rest of the Board's not looking at me like you should already know that, but -- but as the new person, I ask a lot of those questions. So thank you.

MS. WARD: Mr. Chair (indiscernible) thank you.

(Speaking simultaneously.)

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online wish to make a comment?

MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: If not, I'd just like to say that there are certain bridges that were part of the state highway system that they know that the state has no longer a need for (indiscernible) take a different route. But the public (indiscernible) responsibility of the state, even though they kind of set it aside, because they got new road -- new bridges. So that's the only comment I'm going to make, but that's -- as we go along, they'll be, you know -- they'll be presented to us.

With that, and I think a lot of the discussion we
have talked about the funding for electric vehicles. We talked
about that. I know (indiscernible). I know that the
administration is hearing us loudly, and we'll move forward with
those (indiscernible) recommendation. So with that, if there's
no other comments to be made, like to go on to --

MR. STRATTON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Go ahead. Stratton?

MR. ROEHRICH: It's Mr. Stratton. Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Proceed.

MR. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would like to echo the comments of my fellow
board members about the charging stations. I feel like it's
almost a misuse of funds. We don't build gas stations to help
those producers. Why should we build electric charging stations
at our cost or even our 20 percent?

And then, Kristine, I'll ask you this. It -- I
believe our normal match on road projects is 5.7 percent to the
federal monies?

MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, you are --

that is very accurate. You are correct, sir.

MR. STRATTON: So the 2.2 million, which is 20
percent of the 11 million, approximately, we could fund about
$39 million worth of road projects if we use that for the 5.7
percent; is that correct?

MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Stratton, I haven't
done the math in my head, but that sounds about right.

MR. STRATTON: Thank you.

So that's just a point I'd like to make to the board members as these opportunities come up that that would be another $39 million worth of road projects we could utilize that money for to match federal funds.

So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody else on the line?

MS. DANIELS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is Jenn Daniels.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels, proceed.

MS. DANIELS: Thank you.

I just wanted to state historically, actually, if we go back quite a few years, before any of us were alive, there was quite a bit infrastructure that the government supported in order to make sure that we have the gas station network that we have today. It definitely laid the groundwork for that, and so I just want to make the comment that, yes, while this is new technology, and on its face it appears as though we may be investing in something that the private sector should be doing, I think it's really important to note that we didn't get the gas station network that we have today without government support way back when, and the infrastructure that we need for electric vehicles is definitely a component.

Now, do I believe that people should be paying
their fair share when they go to connect or use the actual electricity just as someone would pay for their own gas? Yes. Absolutely, but it does take a bit of sometimes investment and intervention on behalf of -- for government on behalf of new technologies in order to see those things become available and prolific, and given the network that we have in the state of Arizona and the opportunities that we have here, I actually think it behooves us to support an electric vehicle network. I think both in the short and long term it supports the state on a variety of levels.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for those comments, Ms. Daniels.

Anybody else? Jackie?

If not, let's go move on to Agenda Item 5. Paul. Thank you, Paul.

MR. PATANE: Good morning, Mr. Chair, board members. I'm Paul Patane, the Multimodal Planning Division. Today I'd like to give you an update on what's happening in the Multimodal Planning Division.

The areas I'll cover, the tribal transportation update, some planning updates and some studies we're working on, along with an update and some of the comments received for the 2023 Tentative Five-Year Transportation Program.

So the first area, the tribal transportation update, is with the Intertribal Council of Arizona. This is a
transportation working group with a focus of having state and federal partners working with our tribal partners and going over some of the transportation issues.

The last couple meetings -- the last meeting was held on April 7th. The topics they covered were the Highway Traffic Safety Improvement Program, the HSIP, along with federal tribal transportation program. They also covered different areas of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law provisions. We had nine representatives from six tribes, and the next meeting will be held May 5th to cover the Long Range Transportation Plan, along with the NEVA study, the National Electrical Vehicle Deployment Plan.

Next slide, please.

So this is a new group that's starting up. It's a multi-state agency tribal liaison group. It consists of various areas, both private and non-government and governmental, ADOT, Advisory Council on Indian Health Care, First Things First, Health Care Containment System, Office of Tourism. And their out- -- their focus of their outreach meetings is really to help tribal liaison understand the roles and responsibilities going over agency tribal consultation policies and discuss recommendations for and improve outreach.

So some of the planning updates, some of the studies we're working on. Just a quick update on these. The first one is the Long Range Transportation Plan. This is a
requirement that's due. It must be completed every five years. We've got two review proposals. We have two proposals that we received, and we'll be making the -- hopefully the consultant selection by the end of April with the notice to proceed into May.

Floyd -- as Floyd mentioned earlier, the National Electrical Vehicle Infrastructure Plan, the NEVA program, again, our plan is due August 1st, and currently we received three proposals that are currently under review. And both these programs and plans do require tribal consultation and tribal liaison involvement, a requirement.

So a little update on the discretionary grant programs. So right now the ones that are out, the National Infrastructure Project Assistance, that's the Mega program, and ADOT is currently having a consultant on board. We're working on that to apply for a project using the Mega grant. The project there will be along the I-10 corridor.

Then the next grant would be the INFRA grant. We are getting a consultant on board, and the project -- projects we're reviewing for the INFRA grant are on US-93.

And the Road Surface Transportation Grant Program, we're not submitting an application for that one as of yet.

New ones that came out were the National Scenic Byways Program and the RAISE grant, just closed yesterday for
grant applications.

So what the -- this is kind of the new -- just wanted to put this on your radar. This is a new link that the Department of Transportation is publishing now. Gives us a heads up on the notice of -- Notice of Funding Opportunity announcements, and so this way we have a heads up of potential discretionary grant programs that are coming out (indiscernible) may be eligible for.

So update on the five-year program. Floyd kind of went over a lot of the things. The public comment period ends June 2nd. We do have a meeting scheduled for May 20th at the Salt River Pima Maricopa Community Center, and so we're moving toward that.

So I just want to kind of give you a update on some of the comments that we received to date. So we've received over 120 comments, okay, so far, and -- both from using the SurveyMonkey along with the email we received, and some of the major things of the comments were the widening from I-10 from Phoenix to Casa Grande, along with improvements on I-17 from Anthem to Sunset Point, along with the need to repair along State Route 88, Apache Trail.

So some of the things that we've gone (indiscernible) to date to increase the public participation is we've done news releases. We've done two government delivery notices. We've done ADOT blog posts and -- ADOT blog posts
about the tentative program, used social media promotion on Facebook -- on Facebook and Twitter. We have ADOT websites update and media articles written from the ADOT news release. So those -- we've done a pretty progressive, you know, campaign of trying to get the word out we're soliciting comments on the five-year program.

Next slide, please.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any questions from board members?

MS. DANIELS: Chairman, this is Jenn Daniels again.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Thank you.

It came up yesterday, it was brought up about the State Route 88. Can you tell me where we are and if a portion of that or some component of it is being included in the five-year plan or is being moved into the five-year plan?

MR. PATANE: Mr. Byres will help us out there.

MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Daniels, right now where we're at is with the funding that we received last year from the Legislature for a design concept report and study, we are currently in that phase right now. We're just getting that DCR going. We've done some preliminary work to get that study up and going with the scoping that was necessary for
it. The consultant is -- I believe that consultant is on board.
If not, he will be within -- I was going to say within the month
so that we can get that going.

The deadline for that, we are trying to get the
study completed by the end of the year. So one of the big
things that we're concentrating on in that study is the
stabilization of the upslope above the roadway. Without us
being able to stabilize that slope above the road, any road
improvements are in danger of the same thing happening -- or
occurring again with the first big rain, so -- but that's the
status that we're at right now.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Daniels, does that answer
your question?

MS. DANIELS: -- any portion included in the
five-year plan?

MR. BYRES: Board Member Daniels, Mr. Chair, at
this point in time, no, there are none. What we're looking for
at this point in time with the DCR is we have to have a scoped
project to be able to put something in the five-year program
with an associated cost, and we've got to make sure that we can
-- we know where it's going to go as far as timing goes. That
will all be determined with the DCR.

MS. DANIELS: So I know we've all gotten a lot of
emails about that particular project. So is it safe to say
that -- to the groups that are working on this that we ask them
to continue their efforts, but next year after the DCR is complete, because there's not room for -- not necessarily room for it, but because we're not ready to include it in the five-year plan for this year.

MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Board Member Daniels, that is correct. As soon as we have a determined project that we can put into the five-year program, provided that there's funding available, we will most certainly be able to start advancing those projects.

MS. DANIELS: Okay. So at that point it will be more of a funding source conversation for next year rather than trying to sort that out this year?

MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, board members, that's correct.

MS. DANIELS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Ms. Daniels.

Paul, do you have any other information?

MR. PATANE: Not at this time, sir.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any questions from the board members?

There being none, thank you very much for that presentation.

Now we will move on to Item 6.

Again, Paul.

MR. PATANE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, board members.
At this time I'd like to make recommendations from our Priority Planning Advisory Committee, PPAC, to the Board to -- for their consideration on changes to the FY '22-26 Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program.

So at this time -- I had to break it into pieces. I wanted to talk about -- you know, (indiscernible) a few projects, so -- for -- the first action I'm requesting is requesting approval of Item 6A through 6D, project modifications.

MR. SEARLE: So moved.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We need the motion to approve, again, 6A through -- Paul?

MR. PATANE: 6D, sir.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 6A through 6D. There's a motion to approve it.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And there's a second by Gary.

Any discussion on that?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a discussion. Paul, the agenda, the way it was print out -- printed shows project modifications from 6A to 6I. And I know you said 6A to 6D, but I'd like to verify. Is it Item 6A through 6I?

MR. PATANE: Yeah. I was -- Mr. Chair, board members, going off my -- the -- some notes I got from staff,
and -- but no, I could -- I could do it either way, sir
(inaudible).

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, I would like to
amend the motion, if we could.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes.

MR. ROEHRICH: That -- to approve contract -- or
excuse me -- project modifications 6A through 6I.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard, you want to restate
your motion?

MR. SEARLE: That's -- my motion will remain,
yeah, as correct by Floyd.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I'll second it, but I do have
a question on 6H.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Why don't you do that? Okay.
There is a motion and second to approve Items 6A through 6I as
presented. Now we can go to discussion. Gary.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes. My question is the
5 million increase on 6H, is that -- whose money is that? Is
that MAG money? State money? Where's that money coming from?
All it's got is an account number, and I...

MR. PATANE: Being this job is in Maricopa
County, I would make the assumption that it is coming from the
RARF, but I think --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible.)
MR. PATANE: RARF funding. RARF funding, Mr. --

Board Member Knight.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Is there any further
discussion on that? There being none, all those in favor say
aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct the roll call for board members
attending remotely.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman. For the
record, all the present board members voted aye.

So I'll now go to Board Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Stratton.

Oh, Mr. Chairman, it looks like Board Member
Stratton did have to leave early. So he is gone. So no vote,
but we have -- motion passes with six ayes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. Let's go on
to the next set of projects. Paul.

MR. PATANE: Okay. Chairman Thompson, Board
Members, requesting approval of new projects, Items 6I through
6N.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, we already did I.

MR. ROEHRICH: So Mr. Chair --

(Speaking simultaneously.)

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6J through 6N.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. 6J through 6N. Yes, sir.

Thank you.

MR. MAXWELL: So moved.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion and second to approve Items 6J to 6N as presented. Is there any discussion?

There being none, all those in favorite say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct roll call vote for board members attending remotely.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So we'll go to Board Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries. With that, move on to Item 7. State engineer's report with Greg Byres, information and discussion only.
Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board members. Before I get into the slide that's -- we have up there, I just wanted to go over a couple of things that you are -- make sure that you're aware of that we're seeing across the state.

One of the big things that we're seeing on construction is we've seen a 20 percent increase in construction costs since the beginning of the year. So it is substantial, and we don't see it slowing down. So along with that cost that we're seeing, we're also starting to see some shortages. The state right now is experiencing shortages on Portland cement, as well as some difficulties in trying to acquire different types of steel. Specifically, Ductal (indiscernible).

So we're seeing this. It is having an effect on cost. We also believe it is having an effect on the competition that we're getting for projects. You'll see -- if you recall last month when you approved projects, we had several projects with only one bidder or two bidders. What you're going to see today is pretty much that same thing. So that's becoming much more of a trend that we're seeing than we've seen before.

So just trying to make you aware of what's going on. We're keeping a very close eye on it. So we're trying to be as strategic as we possibly can in putting projects out. No matter what, we still have to get these projects out. We have
to spend dollars. We have to be able to stay fiscally constrained with our program. So we're still putting these projects out as quick as we can, but we are using some strategic initiatives and trying to get those out. So I just wanted to make that clear to the Board.

As far as the state engineer's report goes, we do have 76 projects under construction, worth roughly $1.9 billion. We had six projects finalized in March, worth $115 million. Fiscal year to date, we've had 60 projects finalized.

And that's the state engineer's report.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Greg, there's a lot of projects that we've already approved. There's more coming up. All these projects now that there's an increase in the construction dollars (indiscernible) for these projects, it appears to me that every project is going to be impacted.

MR. BYRES: So, Mr. Chairman, board members, several of the projects that you just approved through PPAC --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Uh-huh.

MR. BYRES: -- have an increased cost, and what we're trying to do is making sure that when those projects go out, they're -- we're putting together the best budgets possible for those projects so that when they're going out, the engineer's estimates are accurate to the date of expenditure, and that's exactly what we're doing. We're making sure -- we're trying to be as progressive as we possibly can with the
tentative five-year program. We have done just that. We've gone through every single project that is in the five-year program, adjusted those to the date of expenditure so that we're trying to be as proactive as we can and realistic as we can with the costs for construction. So we're not sitting on our laurels not doing anything. We're -- we know this is happening. We're having to adjust some of our contingencies to be able to make sure that those projects are realistic in their costs.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg.

Any of the board members have questions for Greg?

MR. SEARLE: Just a quick comment.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Richard.

MR. SEARLE: You know, going back to Kristine's report, you know, we're all appreciative of the extra money we're getting from the feds, but it also sounds like with the increase in costs, it might just be a wash. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Searle, I would like to quick answer that. I think that's a very observant point at this point in time, realizing that we've got a five-year program. So as we evaluate what happens with industry over the next few years, we may see some normalization of that. I don't know that we'll continue to see costs climb. We don't know, but that's why year to year we will have to balance each budget to make it fiscally constrained.
So I agree, we're going to be challenged this next year because of the way costs go, but over the five-year life, it might normalize. I'm trying to put some hope into this, because I don't want to sound like it's going to be all -- all this difficult and challenging.

MR. SEARLE: I appreciate your optimism, Floyd.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you (indiscernible).

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And to that point, Floyd, I agree there's some normalization. The question is what's the normal going to be? Is it going to be the new normal -- I don't think --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Exactly.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- as with all things, as they prove that people are willing to pay more for something, the chance of it going all the way back to where it was is probably not likely.

So I appreciate the fact, Greg, and I was going to ask about the five-year plan, but I'm glad to hear that you're on top of trying adjust that as we go through this process so that we're not overpromising and then under-delivering when we find out that the costs just haven't returned to where either they were or what's realistic. We also don't know when the shortages are going to go away. So I appreciate the work that you're doing to keep that five-year plan as accurate as possible.
MR. BYRES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I think we do all feel the same way. Thank you for all the work that you're doing on that, keeping up updated, (indiscernible) a couple things. Those those were the concerns that we have.

So moving on to Agenda Item 8, construction contracts for discussion and possible action.

Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, board members.

With the projects that were approved under the consent agenda, thank you very much. We do have four items that we'll be bringing up to you, but I did want to kind of go through and show you where we're at.

To date for the fiscal year, we're about 4.9 percent over what our projected costs were. So we're not too far off. We're staying fairly accurate with our estimates or the engineer's estimates for the projects overall. Some not so much and some were under, but we're staying fairly close. Less than 5 percent.

Next slide, please.

So the first project that I'm bringing up is Item 8A. This is a pavement preservation project on US-89. This runs south of Page to the Utah state line. We have three bidders on this project. The low bid was $3,624,256.
Engineer's estimate was $3,140,531. The difference was $483,725, or 15.4 percent.

One of the biggest items that we had as far as the differential goes on this was the cost of mobilization. Just basically because of the remoteness of the project. Other than that, it was -- we -- everything fell pretty much in line. We do find the low bid as responsive and a responsible bid and recommend award to Staker & Parsons Companies.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Greg.

Is there a motion to award Item 8A to Staker & Parsons Companies as presented?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So moved.

MR. SEARLE: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and second by Richard. Any discussion?

MR. SEARLE: Just a quick comment.


MR. SEARLE: It's not serious, but I see we had a bidder out of Utah on this one, and maybe they'd bid on that I-11 project that we had to turn down last time.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any other discussion?

There being none --

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, I'd just like to comment that I was happy to see funding is 100 percent.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you, Gary.
All those in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct roll call.

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

For the record, all members present voted aye.

On the line, Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And, Mr. Chairman, I did receive a note from Board Member Stratton that at 8 -- excuse me -- 10:40, he had to leave the meeting. So he'll no longer be participating.

With that said, the motion passes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: The motion carries. Going to Item 8B.

Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Item 8B, this is another pavement preservation project. This is SR-89A. Glassford Hill Road to Coyote Springs Road. On this particular project, we had four bidders. The low bid was $818,459. The State estimate was $1,030,022. The difference was $211,563 under the engineer's estimate, or 20.5 percent under the engineer's estimate.
The main difference on this was the milling of the friction course was a little bit faster than what was originally estimated, as well as the maintenance and protection of traffic. They have less in what they were putting into it than what we had projected. Mobilization was also less. The contractor -- or the low builder on this particular case was right there close to the project. Construction surveying/layout is also a little bit lower.

After analyzing the bids, this is a responsive and responsible bid, and we recommend award to Asphalt Paving and Supply, Inc.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members, is there a motion to award Item 8B to Asphalt Paving and Supply?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chair, since this is in my district, I would like to recommend approval and award to Asphalt Paving and Supply, Inc.

MR. MAXWELL: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion by Gary and a second by Ted. Any discussion?

All in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct roll call.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

All presented voted aye.
Online. Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRIC: Mr. Meck.

MS. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRIC: Motion passes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion passes.

Going on to Item 8C, Greg.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Item 8C is another pavement preservation project. This is on SR-90. It goes from I-10 to Railroad Drive. We had one bidder on this project. The low bid was $5,426,215. The State's estimate was $4,089,276. It was $1,336,939 over, or 32.7 percent.

The reason for the differential was the cost of the milling of the existing friction course, the bonded wearing course that was recommended in the specifications, the costs of the asphaltic concrete, which at this point in time was going to be hauled all the way from Phoenix to Benson due to the lack of supply within the Tucson region, and mobilization.

With that, we have done some research and found that at this point in time in the Tucson area, we do not -- there's so much work from the County and from the City, it would be best to reconsolidate this and repackage this project and rebid at a later date. So at this point in time, we recommend rejection of all bids.
CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members, is there a motion to reject all bids?

MR. SEARLE: So moved.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion (indiscernible) second. Motion by Richard and second by Gary. Any discussion on it?

MR. SEARLE: Just a comment, Jesse, that --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, Richard.

MR. SEARLE: I appreciate that although this is in the work (indiscernible) work, it has plenty of time. We've got time to wait on this one. It's not in that bad of shape. So I think it's a wise move to postpone it until we can bring the costs (indiscernible).

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any other discussion?

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted.

MR. MAXWELL: First, I appreciate Member Searle's input to it, and obviously he's been out and taken a look or has gotten good feedback on it not being in that bad of shape.

I appreciate that you've already looked into what's going on in the region in Tucson, and there's no doubt if you drive through Tucson right now, especially within the city limits, there's projects going all the over the place. They're also looking at an extension of their half cent sales tax to
continue even more road repair and maintenance, particularly in
the neighborhood.

So I -- there may be something we need to explore
a little bit more about options, because I'm not sure that
construction in the region is going to necessarily go down as we
approach the renewal of the RTA. In Pima County, there's going
to be a flurry to make sure we get those projects done, to
provide the voters the confidence that's going on, and there --
you're absolutely right. There is a lot of effort going on
there. So I think we need to a look at it, and I would say
derfer on this one to the board member of that region and his
recommendations.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you for your reporting
on those. So there's a motion and a second to reject all bids
as presented. Anybody opposed?

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, you -- call for the
vote, please. You need to ask for the vote

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Well, he did.

MR. ROEHRICH: Did you all vote?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) any

opposed. Nobody opposed it.

MR. ROEHRICH: Okay. So --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We need that. We need --

MR. ROEHRICH: -- (indiscernible) maybe call for

approval?
CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Oh, so -- well, all those in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Floyd.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I'm not trying to be a stickler. I really wanted to hear you all say aye. It makes me happy, because I know projects that get out there.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible.)

MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. Yeah. We'll go online.

Board Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Motion carries.

Thank you for your vote, and let's go to Item 8D.

Greg.

Thank you, Mr. -- thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Item 8D, this is another pavement preservation project. This is on SR-264. It's running from Milepost 465 to the New Mexico state line. With this we had two bidders. The low bid was $5,995,500. The State's estimate was $5,044,546. It's a difference of $950,954, or 18.9 percent.

The big differences that we saw in this was the
cost of the friction course, maintenance and protection of the traffic, in other words, the traffic control that was -- is going to be necessary for the project itself, as well as mobilization.

After taking and reviewing the low bid, we do find it as being a responsive and a responsible bid and recommend award to Sunland Asphalt and Construction, Inc. or -- excuse me -- Construction, LLC.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Is there a motion to approve the award as presented?

MR. SEARLE: So moved.

Richard.

MR. SEARLE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Second?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Second.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Gary seconds it. Any discussion?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I think Ted -- I think Ted said --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ted, did you second it?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Oh, okay. (Indiscernible) did. I thought he beat me.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. MAXWELL: You got me that time, Gary.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We're restating it. So Board
Member Richard, motion, and second by Gary.

And discussion?

Let the board know that as I was traveling on I-40, and then -- going this way, I'm taking 264, there's a shout-out ADOT for all that have been down on that route from Window Rock to Tubac. Remember that -- remember the day we met down in Hopi land, in Tuba City. A lot of discussions that took place. But that short -- that went right through the state line. That was the only part that they mentioned they needed -- you know, they needed here, and we pay attention (indiscernible). So thank you in their behalf, everyone.

So with that, is there any other discussion?

All in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Any opposed?

Floyd, conduct roll call.

MR. ROEHRICH: For online, Board Member Daniels.

MS. DANIELS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Meck.

MR. MECK: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: Motion carries.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: The motion carries.

Moving on to Agenda Item 9. Suggestions. Board members will have the opportunity to suggest items they would like to have placed on future board meeting agenda.
MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Chairman, if I could interrupt real quick, you did comment once about Mr. Jarvis, who was not able to -- or was not online at the time, call to the audience.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Right.

MR. ROEHRICH: Did you want to open call to the audience to see, please?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Please.

MR. ROEHRICH: Or do you just want to go on to Item 9?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes. Kind of move back and (indiscernible).

MR. ROEHRICH: So, with that, with the Chairman opening the call to the audience, I would ask if Mr. Joseph Jarvis is online. Mr. Jarvis, if you are there, will you please raise your hand?

Kristi, do you see any -- anything?

WEBEX HOST: No. We don't have any hand raised at this time.

MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I would say let's move on to Item No. 9.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Board members?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, sir.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Anybody online?
MR. ROEHRICH: I don't see anything, Mr. Chairman. So you can adjourn and go home or...

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

MR. ROEHRICH: If you choose. I mean, it's your choice.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I think we'll take it, you know, for a motion.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Motion to adjourn.

MR. MAXWELL: Second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

MR. ROEHRICH: The motion was made by Member Knight. The second one was by Member Maxwell.

MR. MAXWELL: Ah, beat him to it.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

(Indiscernible conversation.)

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All those in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

MR. ROEHRICH: That's all you can need. You can gavel. We're done. Thank you everyone. Travel safe.

(Meeting adjourned at 11:16 a.m.)
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A motion to adjourn the April 15, 2022, State Transportation Board meeting was made by Vice Chairman Gary Knight and seconded by Board Member Ted Maxwell. In a voice vote, the motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 11:16 a.m. PST.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-017
PROJECT: 303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303-A(209)N
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: Camelback Road – Olive Avenue (Northern Avenue T.I.)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCEL: 7-12818

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the donation and establishment of a new right of way access control easement as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway, State Route 303 Loop within the above referenced project.

Lying within the Preliminary Transportation Corridor recommended by the Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Council, the right of way to be abandoned was originally adopted and approved as the State Route Plan for the Cotton Lane Highway by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 85-08-A-58, dated August 16, 1985, and was therein designated State Route 517. Resolution 87-11-A-105 of December 18, 1987, renumbered and redesignated it as State Route 303 Loop. Resolution 88-04-A-39 of April 14, 1988, established a refined State Route Plan Corridor. Resolution 91-02-A-11 of February 15, 1991, established it as a state highway, designated as the Estrella Freeway. Following coordinated right of way acquisition with Maricopa County, Resolution 2006-06-A-025 of June 23, 2006, effective on June 30, 2006, established the new county-acquired right of way as an access controlled state route and state highway. A 2008 administrative action by the State Transportation Board redesignated the Cotton Lane Highway, the Northwest Loop Highway, and Estrella Freeway as the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway. Resolution 2009-11-A-068 of November 20, 2009; Resolution 2011-05-A-038 of May 20, 2011; and Resolution 2012-07-A-029, dated July 20, 2012, established additional new rights of way as a state route and state highway under the above referenced improvement project.
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The donation of a new right of way access control easement is being established for public transportation purposes to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way access control easement as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way access control easement being donated for this improvement, and established as a state route and state highway, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY, Camelback Road – Olive Avenue, Project 303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303-A(209)N”.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way access control easement depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route and state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way access control easement, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.
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I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state route and state highway, which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046, recommending the acquisition and establishment of a new right of way access control easement as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway, State Route 303 Loop, as set forth in the above referenced project.

The donation of a new right of way access control easement is being established for public transportation purposes to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way access control easement as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way access control easement being donated for this improvement, and established as a state route and state highway, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY, Camelback Road – Olive Avenue, Project 303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303-A(209)N”.

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
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WHEREAS acquisition of the new right of way access control easement, and its establishment as a state route and state highway, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28–7092 and 28–7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended acquisition and establishment of the new right of way access control easement as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans, and depicted in Appendix “A” herein; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways, as delineated on said maps and plans, are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action; and this resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-017
PROJECT: 303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303-A(209)N
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: Camelback Road – Olive Avenue (Northern Avenue T.I.)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCEL: 7–12818

RESOLVED that the right of way access control easement depicted in Appendix “A” is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans, and depicted in Appendix “A”. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; and that this resolution is the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated – with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway.
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CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
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303L MA 007 H7138 02R / 303-A(209)N
BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
Camelback Road - Olive Avenue
(Northern Avenue T.I.)
Maricopa County
APPENDIX "A"

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
ACCESS CONTROL

Control of access to Northern Avenue over the following described Line 1 and Line 2 from the Grantors property located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 1, Township 2 North, Range 2 West, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Maricopa County, Arizona, as delineated on plans on file in the Office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure, Delivery and Operations, Arizona Department of Transportation, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled Right of Way Plans of the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway, Camelback Road – Olive Avenue, Project Number 303L MA 007 H7138 02R, described as follows:

LINE 1:

COMMENCING at a Maricopa County Highway Department brass cap in handhole, marking the Northwest Corner of said Section 1, from which the North Quarter Corner of said Section 1 marked by a Maricopa County aluminum cap bears South 89°48'26" East, 2633.29 feet;

Thence along the North section line of said Section 1, South 89°48'26" East, 1043.29 feet;

Thence South 0°11'34" West, 65.00 feet to the existing southerly right of way line of Northern Avenue at station 03+90.00, 65.00 feet Right and the POINT OF BEGINNING of said line;

Thence along said existing southerly right of way line, South 89°48'26" East, 272.66 feet to the POINT OF ENDING of said Line 1 at Station 6+62.66, 65.00 feet Right.

LINE 2:

COMMENCING at a Maricopa County Highway Department brass cap in handhole, marking the Northwest Corner of said Section 1, from which the North Quarter Corner of said Section 1 marked by a Maricopa County aluminum cap bears South 89°48'26" East, 2633.29 feet;

Thence along the North section line of said Section 1, South 89°48'26" East, 1043.29 feet;

Thence South 0°11'34" West, 65.00 feet to the existing southerly right of way line of Northern Avenue at station 03+90.00, 65.00 feet Right;

Thence along said existing southerly right of way line, South 89°48'26" East, 312.66 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING of said Line 2 at Station 7+02.66, 65.00 feet Right;

Thence continuing along said southerly right of way line, South 89°48'26" East, 453.34 feet;

Thence continuing along said southerly right of way line, South 0°11'34" West, 28.77 feet;

Thence continuing along said southerly right of way line, South 87°15'55" East, 199.40 feet;
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Thence continuing along said southerly right of way line, South 88°21'48" East, 27.22 feet to the POINT OF ENDING of said Line 2 at Station 13+82.41, 103.31 feet Right.
# APPENDIX "A"

## LINE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE</th>
<th>BEARING</th>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>S89° 48' 26&quot;E</td>
<td>1043.29'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>S0° 11' 34&quot;W</td>
<td>65.00'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>S89° 48' 26&quot;E</td>
<td>272.66'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>S89° 48' 26&quot;E</td>
<td>40.00'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>S89° 48' 26&quot;E</td>
<td>453.34'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>S0° 11' 34&quot;W</td>
<td>28.77'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>S87° 15' 55&quot;E</td>
<td>199.40'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>S88° 21' 48&quot;E</td>
<td>27.22'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## LEGEND

- **ADOT ACCESS CONTROL DONATION**

## BASIS OF BEARING

- **THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 1 BEARING S 89°48'26" E**

## 303 AND LOWER BUCKEYE LLC

- **303 & NORTHERN BUCKEYE WAREHOUSE**
- **SECTION 1, T2N, R2W**

## ADOT ACCESS CONTROL DONATION

- **DATE: 02/23/22**
- **SHEET: 5 OF 5**
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-018
PROJECT: 030 MA 000 H6876
HIGHWAY: TRES RIOS FREEWAY
SECTIONS: S.R. 303L–127th Avenue; and 127th Avenue–S.R. 202L
ROUTE NO.: State Route 30
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCELS: 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594,
7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment, approval and adoption of portions of the State Route Plan for the Tres Rios Freeway, State Route 30, and the early and advance acquisition of parcels within the above referenced project.

Improvements are planned and this project is included in the Department's Five Year Construction Program.

An investigation has determined that the land does lie within the area of the proposed corridor limits of the project.

The areas of establishment, the location of the State Route Plan and the land to be acquired by early or advance acquisitions are shown in Appendix “A”, depicting Parcels 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813, in accordance with that certain Location/Design Concept Report, dated April 2020, on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona.

The Department has determined that early and advance acquisition of corridor rights of way should commence in order to alleviate hardship situations, and provide for an orderly acquisition and relocation program; and
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-018
PROJECT: 030 MA 000 H6876
HIGHWAY: TRES RIOS FREEWAY
SECTIONS: S.R. 303L - 127th Avenue; and 127th Avenue - S.R. 202L
ROUTE NO.: State Route 30
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCELS: 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594,
7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7094, it has also been
determined that a reasonable need exists for the land depicted
in Appendix “A”, and that early and advance acquisitions will
forestall development, resulting in a substantial savings to the
State, and will ensure critical construction bid dates are met.
Accordingly, I recommend that the parcels of land referenced
above and depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state
route, designated the Tres Rios Freeway, State Route 30.

I further recommend that these parcels of land be approved and
adopted as a portion of the State Route Plan for the Tres Rios
Freeway and that early or advance acquisition of Parcels
7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746,
7-12812, and 7-12813 be authorized.

Therefore, in the interest of public safety, necessity, and
convenience, and pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046,
I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this
recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director
for Transportation/State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT AND EARLY AND ADVANCE ACQUISITION

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report recommending the establishment and the approval and adoption of a portion of the State Route Plan for the Tres Rios Freeway, State Route 30, and the early and advance acquisition of parcels within the above referenced project.

Improvements are planned and this project is included in the Department's Five Year Construction Program.

The areas of establishment, the location of the State Route Plan, and the portions of land to be acquired by early or advance acquisitions are shown in Appendix “A”, depicting Parcels 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813, in accordance with that certain Location/Design Concept Report, dated April 2020, on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona.

The Department has determined that early and advance acquisition of corridor rights of way should commence in order to alleviate hardship situations, and provide for an orderly acquisition and relocation program; and

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7094, it has also been determined that a reasonable need exists for the land depicted in Appendix “A”, and that early and advance acquisitions will forestall development, resulting in a substantial savings to the State, and will ensure critical construction bid dates are met.
Accordingly, it is recommended that the parcels of land referenced above and depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route, and approved and adopted as the State Route Plan for the Tres Rios Freeway, and that early and advance acquisition of the properties be authorized.

WHEREAS design and construction are planned for the alignment, and the above referenced project is included in the Five Year Construction Program; and

WHEREAS early or advance acquisitions will alleviate hardship situations, and provide for an orderly acquisition and relocation program; and

WHEREAS pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7094, the Deputy Director has determined that a reasonable need exists for the above referenced parcels of land, and that early and advance acquisition would forestall development, resulting in a substantial savings to the State, and would ensure critical construction bid dates are met; and

WHEREAS the areas depicted in Appendix “A” should be established as a state route and adopted and approved as portions of the State Route Plan for the Tres Rios Freeway, State Route 30; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity, and convenience require the recommended establishment and the approval and adoption of portions of the State Route Plan, and early or advance acquisition of the parcels as recommended by the Deputy Director; therefore, be it
RES. NO. 2022-05-A-018
PROJECT: 030 MA 000 H6876
HIGHWAY: TRES RIOS FREEWAY
SECTIONS: S.R. 303L - 127th Avenue; and 127th Avenue - S.R. 202L
ROUTE NO.: State Route 30
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCELS: 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made a part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the portions of land as shown in Appendix “A”, depicting Parcels 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813, in accordance with that certain Location/Design Concept Report, dated April 2020, are hereby established as a state route and designated the Tres Rios Freeway, State Route 30; be it further

RESOLVED that the State Route Plan for the location of those portions of Parcels 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813, as depicted in Appendix “A”, is hereby approved and adopted; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is authorized to proceed with early and advance acquisitions, including exchanges, to acquire an estate in fee and/or easement and the appropriate rights of access needed for the corridor depicted in Appendix “A”, including material for construction, haul roads, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans, in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7094; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure appraisals of the properties to be acquired, and that necessary parties be compensated. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Deputy Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-018
PROJECT: 030 MA 000 H6876
HIGHWAY: TRES RIOS FREEWAY
SECTIONS: S.R. 303L–127th Avenue; and 127th Avenue–S.R. 202L
ROUTE NO.: State Route 30
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
PARCELS: 7-12476, 7-12477, 7-12544, 7-12592, 7-12594, 7-12595, 7-12746, 7-12812, and 7-12813

CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation

Seal
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the San Luis—Yuma—Quartzsite Highway, U.S. Route 95, within the above referenced project.

The existing alignment was previously established as a state route, running from Yuma to Quartzsite to Bouse, by a Resolution of the Arizona State Highway Commission, dated March 29, 1938, entered on Page 512 of its Official Minutes; and was soon after established as a state highway, designated State Route 95, by the Resolution dated June 20, 1938, shown on Page 620 of the Official Minutes. Additional right of way was established as a state highway for its location, relocation, alteration, and widening by the Resolution dated July 23, 1956, found on Page 279 of the Commission’s Official Minutes. An entry dated July 26, 1960, on Page 315 of said Minutes, disclosed that approval had been given by the numbering committee of the American Association of State Highway Officials for the redesignation of State Route 95 as U.S. Route 95. Thereafter, under Project 095 YU 038 H6518 01R/U-095-B-702, Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 2005-12-A-065, dated December 16, 2005, established additional right of way as a state route for improvements to the Wellton-Mohawk Canal Bridge. However, the project was later cancelled. On December 17, 2021, the Board’s Resolution 2021-12-A-041 established new right of way as a state route for the above referenced improvement project.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced roadway widening and drainage improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for necessary improvements is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE HIGHWAY, Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal, Project 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T”.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route and state highway.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO.    2022-05-A-019
PROJECT:   095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY:   SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION:   Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT:  Southwest
COUNTY:    Yuma

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a state route and state highway, which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046, recommending the acquisition and establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the San Luis—Yuma—Quartzsite Highway, U.S. Route 95, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced roadway widening and drainage improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE HIGHWAY, Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal, Project 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T”.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended acquisition and establishment of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways, as delineated on said maps and plans, are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action; and this resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix “A” is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further
RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; and that this resolution is the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated — with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Deputy Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-019
PROJECT: 095 YU 034 F0359 / 095-B(214)T
HIGHWAY: SAN LUIS — YUMA — QUARTZSITE
SECTION: Rifle Range Road — Wellton-Mohawk Canal
ROUTE NO.: U.S. Route 95
DISTRICT: Southwest
COUNTY: Yuma

CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation

Seal
May 20, 2022

RES. NO.  2022-05-A-020
PROJECT:  010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY:  CASA GRANDE - TUCSON
SECTION:  Ina Road - Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.:  Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT:  Southcentral
COUNTY:  Pima

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of Interstate Route 10, within the above referenced project.

May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE - TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced widening and improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the CASA GRANDE – TUCSON HIGHWAY, Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road, Project 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A”.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route and state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE – TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state route and state highway, which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes § 28–7046, recommending the acquisition and establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Casa Grande–Tucson Highway, Interstate Route 10, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced widening and improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as necessary for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be established as a state route and state highway and acquired for this improvement, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the CASA GRANDE – TUCSON HIGHWAY, Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road, Project 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A”.
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RES. NO. 2022–05–A–020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010–D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE – TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima
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RES. NO. 2022-05-A-020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE – TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended acquisition and establishment of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways, as delineated on said maps and plans, are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action; and this resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix “A” is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further
RES. NO. 2022-05-A-020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE - TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road – Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28–7092 and 28–7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes §28–7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; and that this resolution is the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated – with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Deputy Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-020
PROJECT: 010 PM 249 H7583 / 010-D(211)A
HIGHWAY: CASA GRANDE - TUCSON
SECTION: Ina Road - Ruthrauff Road
ROUTE NO.: Interstate Route 10
DISTRICT: Southcentral
COUNTY: Pima

CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation

Seal
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022–05–A–021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303–A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway, State Route 303 Loop, within the above referenced project.

Being the Preliminary Transportation Corridor recommended by the Regional Council of the Maricopa Association of Governments, the existing alignment was previously adopted and approved as the State Route Plan for the Northwest Loop Highway by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 85–08–A–59, dated August 16, 1985, and was designated State Route 517. Resolution 87–11–A–105, dated December 18, 1987, renumbered and redesignated the Northwest Loop Highway and the Cotton Lane Highway as the State Route 303 Loop. In Resolution 2007–01–A–006, dated January 19, 2007, the Transportation Board approved, adopted and established a refined alignment of the State Route Plan for the segment between Happy Valley Road and Interstate Route 17, therein referred to as the Estrella Freeway Corridor; advance acquisition was authorized therein. Thereafter, Resolution 2008–12–A–063, dated December 19, 2008, established that and additional right of way between 75th Avenue and Interstate 17 as an access controlled state route and state highway to accommodate design change and facilitate the construction phase. This Resolution was also the first to designate the highway as the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway. On January 21, 2022, Resolution 2022–01–A–006 established new right of way as a state route for the above referenced project.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced traffic interchange improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as required for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be acquired for this improvement, and established as a state route and state highway, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY, 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s, Project 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T”.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route and state highway, and that access is controlled.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, as an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a controlled access state route and state highway, which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation. This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required.

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7046, recommending the acquisition and establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Bob Stump Memorial Parkway, State Route 303 Loop, as set forth in the above referenced project.

New right of way is now needed to accommodate design change and facilitate the imminent construction phase of the above referenced traffic interchange improvement project to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway, and that access be controlled as required for this improvement project.

The new right of way to be acquired for this improvement, and established as a state route and state highway, to include access control as necessary, is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plans of the BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY, 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s, Project 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T”.

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§28-7092 and 28-7094 to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended acquisition and establishment of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement and that access to the highway be controlled as delineated on the maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways, as delineated on said maps and plans, are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action; and this resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix “A” is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways, and that ingress and egress to and from the highway and to and from abutting, adjacent, or other lands be denied, controlled or regulated as delineated on said maps and plans. Where no access is shown, none will be allowed to exist; be it further
RES. NO.  2022-05-A-021  
PROJECT:  303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T  
HIGHWAY:  BOB STump MEMORIAL PARKWAY  
SECTION:  51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s  
ROUTE NO.:  State Route 303 Loop  
DISTRICT:  Central  
COUNTY:  Maricopa  

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28–7092 and 28–7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as is required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes §28–7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; and that this resolution is the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired, including access rights, and that necessary parties be compensated – with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway. Upon failure to acquire said lands by other lawful means, the Deputy Director is authorized to initiate condemnation proceedings.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-021
PROJECT: 303L MA 136 F0424 / 303-A(228)T
HIGHWAY: BOB STUMP MEMORIAL PARKWAY
SECTION: 51st Avenue and 43rd Avenue T.I.s
ROUTE NO.: State Route 303 Loop
DISTRICT: Central
COUNTY: Maricopa

CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation

Seal
May 20, 2022

RES. NO.  2022-05-A-022
PROJECT:  F-027-1(11)
HIGHWAY:  SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION:  Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.:  State Route 77
DISTRICT:  Northeast
COUNTY:  Navajo
PARCELS:  9-1512 and 9-1513

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

TO THE HONORABLE ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD:

The Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division has made a thorough investigation concerning the establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Show Low–Holbrook Highway, State Route 77, within the above referenced project.

Previously a Navajo County Road known as Holbrook–McNary Road, this portion of State Route 77 was taken into the State Transportation System and established as a state highway by the Arizona State Highway Commission, as disclosed on Page 317 of its Official Minutes dated May 12, 1930. New right of way for the location and relocation of the Show Low–Holbrook Highway, under Federal Aid Project 136, Section B, was established as a state highway by the Commission’s Resolution of February 07, 1939. On July 25, 1986, new right of way was established as a state highway for further improvements along this segment of State Route 77 under Project F-027-1(11) by Arizona State Transportation Board Resolution 86-07-A-53, which was thereafter amended on November 21, 1986 by Resolution 86-12-A-78 to establish additional right of way necessary due to design enhancements.

A donation of new fee right of way is being established for public transportation purposes, encompassing recently completed improvements including a new traffic signal, curbing, sidewalk and driveway opening, constructed by a developer under ADOT Permit to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-022
PROJECT: F–027–1(11)
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 77
DISTRICT: Northeast
COUNTY: Navajo
PARCELS: 9–1512 and 9–1513

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The donated improved right of way to be acquired and established as a state route and state highway is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plan of the SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK HIGHWAY, Taylor – Snowflake, Project F–027–1(11)”.

In the interest of public safety, necessity and convenience, I recommend that the new right of way depicted in Appendix “A” be established as a state route and state highway.

I recommend the acquisition of the new right of way, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§28–7092 and 28–7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, including advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans.

I further recommend the immediate establishment of existing county, town and city roadways into the state highway system as a state route and state highway, which are necessary for or incidental to the improvement as delineated on said maps and plans, to be effective upon signing of this recommendation.

This resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-022
PROJECT: F-027-1(11)
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 77
DISTRICT: Northeast
COUNTY: Navajo
PARCELS: 9-1512 and 9-1513

Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §28-7046, I recommend the adoption of a resolution making this recommendation effective.

Respectfully submitted,

GREGORY D. BYRES, P. E., Deputy Director
for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation
RESOLUTION OF ESTABLISHMENT

GREGORY D. BYRES, Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, on May 20, 2022, presented and filed with the Arizona State Transportation Board his written report under Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7046, recommending the acquisition and establishment of new right of way as a state route and state highway for the improvement of the Show Low–Holbrook Highway, State Route 77, as set forth in the above referenced project.

A donation of new fee right of way is being established for public transportation purposes, encompassing recently completed improvements including a new traffic signal, curbing, sidewalk and driveway opening, constructed by a developer under ADOT Permit to enhance convenience and safety for the traveling public.

Accordingly, it is necessary to acquire and establish the new right of way as a state route and state highway for this improvement project.

The donated improved new right of way to be acquired and established as a state route and state highway is depicted in Appendix “A” and delineated on maps and plans on file in the office of the State Engineer, Infrastructure Delivery and Operations Division, Phoenix, Arizona, entitled: “Right of Way Plan of the SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK HIGHWAY, Taylor – Snowflake, Project F-027-1(11)”. 
WHEREAS because of these premises, this Board finds public safety, necessity and convenience require the recommended acquisition and establishment of the new right of way as a state route and state highway needed for this improvement; and

WHEREAS establishment as a state route and state highway, and acquisition of the new right of way as an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, is necessary for this improvement, with authorization pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; and

WHEREAS the existing county, town or city roadways, as delineated on said maps and plans, are hereby established as a state route and state highway by this resolution action; and this resolution is considered the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is required; therefore, be it

RESOLVED that the recommendation of the Deputy Director is adopted and made part of this resolution; be it further

RESOLVED that the right of way depicted in Appendix “A” is hereby designated a state route and state highway, to include any existing county, town or city roadways necessary for or incidental to the improvements as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further
May 20, 2022

RES. NO.  2022-05-A-022
PROJECT:  F-027-1(11)
HIGHWAY:  SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION:  Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.:  State Route 77
DISTRICT:  Northeast
COUNTY:  Navajo
PARCELS:  9-1512 and 9-1513

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director is hereby authorized to acquire by lawful means, pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes §§ 28-7092 and 28-7094, an estate in fee, or such other interest as required, to include advance, future and early acquisition, access rights, exchanges or donations, haul roads, material for construction, and various easements in any property necessary for or incidental to the improvements, as delineated on said maps and plans; be it further

RESOLVED that written notice be provided to the County Board of Supervisors in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes § 28-7043, and to the affected governmental jurisdictions for whose local existing roadways are being immediately established as a state route and state highway herein; and that this resolution is the conveying document for such existing county, town and city roadways; and no further conveyance is legally required; be it further

RESOLVED that the Deputy Director secure an appraisal of the property to be acquired and that necessary parties be compensated – with the exception of any existing county, town or city roadways being immediately established herein as a state route and state highway.
May 20, 2022

RES. NO. 2022-05-A-022
PROJECT: F-027-1(11)
HIGHWAY: SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK
SECTION: Taylor – Snowflake (West 7th Street Donations – APS)
ROUTE NO.: State Route 77
DISTRICT: Northeast
COUNTY: Navajo
PARCELS: 9-1512 and 9-1513

CERTIFICATION

I, GREGORY D. BYRES, as Deputy Director for Transportation and State Engineer of the Arizona Department of Transportation, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy from the minutes of the Arizona State Transportation Board, made in official session on May 20, 2022.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Arizona State Transportation Board on May 20, 2022.

GREGORY D. BYRES, P.E., Deputy Director for Transportation / State Engineer
Arizona Department of Transportation

Seal
APPENDIX “A”

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A PORTION OF THAT PARCEL DESCRIBED IN DOCKET 406, PAGE 810, RECORDS OF NAVAJO COUNTY, SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 13 NORTH, RANGE 21 EAST, GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, NAVAJO COUNTY, AS DELINEATED ON PLANS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER, INFRASTRUCTURE, DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, PHOENIX, ARIZONA, ENTITLED RIGHT OF WAY PLANS OF THE SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK HIGHWAY, PROJECT F-027-1(11), DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS;

COMMENCING AT AN AXLE AND HUB, MARKING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 23, FROM WHICH AN IRON PIPE MARKING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 23 BEARS SOUTH 88°48’01” WEST (REVISED) SOUTH 88°48’21” WEST (RECORD), 5301.37 FEET;

THENENCE SOUTH 88°48’01” WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 23, 85.78 FEET TO THE EXISTING SURVEY AND CONSTRUCTION CENTER LINE OF STATE ROUTE 77 AT STATION POC 944+01.83;

THENENCE FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF NORTH 02°04’39” WEST, ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11459.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 259.03 FEET TO STATION POC 946+60.86;

THENENCE NORTH 89°13’02” EAST, 50.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENENCE FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF NORTH 00°46’58” WEST, ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11409.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 72.42 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL DESCRIBED IN DOCKET 406, PAGE 810;

THENENCE NORTH 89°57’40” EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL DESCRIBED IN DOCKET 406, PAGE 810, 15.00 FEET;

THENENCE CONCENTRIC WITH AND 15.00 FEET FROM THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77, FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF SOUTH 00°25’12” EAST, ALONG A CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11394.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 72.33 FEET;

THENENCE SOUTH 89°13’02” WEST, 15.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
APPENDIX "A"

A parcel of land, said parcel being a portion of that parcel of land described in Docket 406 of Official Records, Page 810 of the Records of Navajo County, said parcel situated in Section 23, Township 13 North, Range 21 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Navajo County, Arizona.

INSTRUMENT No. 2006-32573

LS 15328
1/4
23 24
T13N R21E
1997

STA 947+33.60 R 50.00'

L3

STA 947+33.50 R 65.00'

N 89°55'43" E 282.44'

DOCKET 406 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, PAGE 810

SUBJECT PARCEL
AREA = 0.0249 Acres

TOTAL CENTERLINE CURVE
Radius = 11,459.16'
Delta = 5° 30' 17" Rt
Length = 1,167.61'
Chord Bearing = N 02° 30' 44" W,
Chord Length = 1,167.11'

LINE BEARING DISTANCE
L1 N 89°13'02" E 50.00'
L2 S 89°13'02" W 15.00'
L3 N 89°34'51" W 15.00'
L4 N 89°57'40" E 15.00'

CURVE RADIUS ARC LENGTH
C1 11,459.16' 259.03'
C2 11,459.16' 72.74'
C3 11,409.16' 72.42'
C4 11,394.16' 72.33'

528 West Aspen Avenue / Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 / (928) 774-5058

Page 175 of 329
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

A PORTION OF THAT PARCEL DESCRIBED IN INSTRUMENT No. 2006-32573, RECORDS OF NAVAJO COUNTY, SITUATED IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 23, TOWNSHIP 13 NORTH, RANGE 21 EAST, GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, NAVAJO COUNTY, AS DELINEATED ON PLANS ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER, INFRASTRUCTURE, DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, PHOENIX, ARIZONA, ENTITLED RIGHT OF WAY PLANS OF THE SHOW LOW – HOLBROOK HIGHWAY, PROJECT F-027-1(11), DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS;

COMMENCING AT AN AXLE AND HUB, MARKING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 23, FROM WHICH AN IRON PIPE MARKING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 23 BEARS SOUTH 88°48’01” WEST (REVISED) SOUTH 88°48’21” WEST (RECORD), 5301.37 FEET;

THENCE SOUTH 88°48’01” WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 23, 85.78 FEET TO THE EXISTING SURVEY AND CONSTRUCTION CENTER LINE OF STATE ROUTE 77 AT STATION POC 944+01.83;

THENCE FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF NORTH 02°04’39” WEST, ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11459.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 331.77 FEET TO STATION POC 947+33.60;

THENCE NORTH 89°34’51” EAST, 50.00 FEET TO THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING;

THENCE FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF NORTH 00°25’10” WEST, ALONG THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND ALONG A CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11409.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 19.39 FEET;

THENCE NORTH 89°40’42” EAST, 15.00 FEET;

THENCE CONCENTRIC WITH AND 15.00 FEET FROM THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77, FROM A LOCAL TANGENT BEARING OF SOUTH 00°19’12” EAST, ALONG A CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11394.16 FEET, A LENGTH OF 19.46 FEET, TO THE SOUTH PARCEL LINE OF SAID PARCEL DESCRIBED IN INSTRUMENT No. 2006-32573;

THENCE SOUTH 89°57’40” WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL DESCRIBED IN INSTRUMENT No. 2006-32573, 15.00 FEET, TO THE EXISTING EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID STATE ROUTE 77 AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
APPENDIX "A"

A parcel of land, said parcel being a portion of that parcel of land described in Instrument No. 2006-32573 of the Records of Navajo County, said parcel situated in Section 23, Township 13 North, Range 21 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian, Navajo County, Arizona.

INSTRUMENT No. 2006-32573

SUBJECT PARCEL

AREA = 0.0057 Acres

FD ALUM CAP

T13N R21E

1997

STA 947+33.60

R 50.00'

PI POC STA 947+09.71

TOTAL CENTERLINE CURVE
Radius = 11,459.16'
Delta = 5° 50' 17" Rt
Length = 1,167.61'
Chord Bearing = N 02° 30' 44" W.
Chord Length = 1,167.11'

STATE ROUTE 77

C7

LINE BEARING | DISTANCE
--- | ---
L2 | S 89°13'02" W 15.00'
L3 | N 89°34'51" E 50.00'
L4 | N 89°57'40" E 15.00'
L5 | N 89°40'42" E 15.00'

CURVE RADIUS | ARC LENGTH
--- | ---
C5 | 11,409.16 19.39'
C6 | 11,394.16 19.46'
C7 | 11,459.16 331.77'

528 West Aspen Avenue / Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 / (928) 774-5058
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*ITEM 6a.

**Route & MP:** I-40 @ MP  48.0  
**Project Name:** US 93/I-40 WEST KINGMAN TI  
**Type of Work:** CONSTRUCT TI  
**County:** Mohave  
**District:** Northwest  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** H799301D TIP#: 9031  
**Project Manager:** Rashidul Haque  
**Program Amount:** $6,563,000  
**New Program Amount:** $9,063,000  
**Requested Action:** Increase Budget.  
Scope change.
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By:
4/28/2022
Rashidul Haque

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
Rashidul Haque
205 S 17th Ave, 295, 614E - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name:
US 93/I-40 WEST KINGMAN TI

7. Type of Work:
CONSTRUCT TI

8. CPSID:
9. District:
10. Route:
11. County:
12. Beg MP:
13. TRACS #:
14. Len (Mi.):
15. Fed Id #:
KG1K Northwest 40 Mohave 48.0 H799301D 1.3 040-A(212)S

16. Program Budget: $6,563
17. Program Item #: 9031
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $6,563
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $2,500
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $9,063

19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9031</td>
<td>$4,963</td>
<td>US 93/I 40 WEST KINGMAN TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHANGE REQUEST:

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:

22. CURRENT BID READY:

23. CURRENT ADV DATE:

24a. PROJECT NAME:

24b. TYPE OF WORK:

24c. SCOPE:

24d. CURRENT STAGE:

24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:

24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP:

24g. U&RR CLEARANCE:

24h. C&S CLEARANCE:

24i. R/W CLEARANCE:

24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE:

24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT:

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Increase Budget
Scope change

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

Additional scope items were identified and were added to the project:
1) 700 foot acceleration lane on NB US93
2) Approximately 2 miles of noise walls are needed along I-40 west of Stockton Hill Rd TI per noise report
3) Additional public outreach efforts for noise walls
4) Design exceptions are needed for horizontal stopping sight distance
5) Additional environmental tasks for cultural survey, data recover, 404 Individual Permit and biology

Additional funding is also required due to originally underestimated tasks at project initiation for the following: utility coordination, environmental tasks, right of way coordination, bridge and roadway design hours and project management hours.

The requested funding is for the originally underestimated tasks and the efforts required for the additional scope items.

Staff: $1,512K
Consultant: $750K
ICAP: $238K
### 27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

### 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**
- Change in scope
- Change in budget

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**
- Request approved
- Subject to PPAC approval - 5/4/2022

![PRB APPROVED]
*ITEM 6b.

Route & MP: SR 101L @ MP 17.0
Project Name: 75TH AVE - I-17
Type of Work: DESIGN GENERAL PURPOSE LANE & 75TH AVE TI IMPROVEMENT
County: Maricopa
District: Central
Schedule: Project: F031601R TIP#: 8894
Project Manager: Rashidul Haque
Program Amount: $1,658,000
New Program Amount: $0
Requested Action: Delete subphase.

SR 101L, 75th Ave - I-17
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/28/2022
Rashidul Haque

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
Rashidul Haque  (602) 712-7352

6. Project Name:
75TH AVE - I-17

7. Type of Work:
DESIGN GENERAL PURPOSE LANE & 75TH AVE TI IMPROVEMENT

16. Program Budget: $1,658

MX1O Central 101L Maricopa 17.0 F031601R ? 6 101-A(214)T

17. Program Item #: 8894

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $1,658

18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: 18b Total Program Budget After Request: ($1,658) $0

19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8894</td>
<td>$1,658</td>
<td>75th AVE - I-17 ROW &amp; Utility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20. JPA #s: SIGNED: NO ADV: NO

PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM

CHANGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:
21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 
22A. REQUEST BID READY: 
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 

24a: PROJECT NAME: NO 24b: TYPE OF WORK: NO
24c: SCOPE: NO 24d: CURRENT STAGE: STAGE III
24e: ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24f: MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO
24g: U&RR CLEARANCE: NO 24h: C&S CLEARANCE: NO
24i: R/W CLEARANCE: NO 24j: CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO
24k: SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Delete subphase.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
No new Right of Way or TCEs are needed for the project. This request is to delete the funding for the subphase.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: DELETE PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
*ITEM 6c.  

**Route & MP:** SR 303L @ MP 136.0  

**Project Name:** 51ST AVE AND 43RD AVE INTERCHANGES  

**Type of Work:** CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES  

**County:** Maricopa  

**District:** Central  

**Schedule:**  

**Project:** F042401R TIP#: 102447  

**Project Manager:** Thomas O'Reilly  

**Program Amount:** $2,037,000  

**New Program Amount:** $1,000,000  

**Requested Action:** Reduce budget.
3. Form Date / 5. Form By:
4/28/2022

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
Thomas Oreilly  @ (602) 712-2587
205 S 17th Ave, 293, 614E - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name:
51ST AVE AND 43RD AVE INTERCHANGES

7. Type of Work:
CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES

8. CPSID: QY1P
9. District:
14. Len (Mi.): 3.0
10. Route: 303L
11. County: Maricopa
12. Beg MP: 136.0
13. TRACS #: F042401R
15. Fed Id #: 303-A(228)T

16. Program Budget: $2,037
17. Program Item #: 102447

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $2,037
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: ($1,037)
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $1,000

19. BUDGET ITEMS:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102447</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>RARF Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102447</td>
<td>$1,037</td>
<td>RARF Funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHANGE / REQUEST:

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49922</td>
<td>($1,037)</td>
<td>RARF FY22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

24a: PROJECT NAME: NO
24b: TYPE OF WORK: NO
24c: SCOPE: NO
24d: CURRENT STAGE: STAGE V

24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: YES
24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: NO
24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO
24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES
24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO
24h. C&S CLEARANCE: YES
24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES
24l. PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM: YES

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Reduce budget.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
R/W funds were programmed for $1M in FY21 and $1.0373M in FY22. The estimated costs for R/W are just less than $1M. The FY22 funds are not required.

TIP ID DOT21-024R

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: CHANGE IN BUDGET

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
*ITEM 6d.  
Route & MP: SR 303L @ MP 136.0  
Project Name: 51ST AVE AND 43RD AVE INTERCHANGES  
Type of Work: CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES  
County: Maricopa  
District: Central  
Schedule: FY 2022  
Project: F042401C TIP#: 102447  
Project Manager: Thomas Oreilly  
Program Amount: $55,938,000  
New Program Amount: $123,500,000  
Requested Action: Increase Budget.
51ST AVE AND 43RD AVE INTERCHANGES Central 303L Maricopa CONSTRUCT INTERCHANGES

16. Program Budget: $55,938 17. Program Item #: 102447
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $55,938 18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $67,562 18b Total Program Budget After Request: $123,500

**19. BUDGET ITEMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102447</td>
<td>$57,938</td>
<td>For procurement of Department Furnished Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49922</td>
<td>($2,000)</td>
<td>For procurement of Department Furnished Materials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>City of Phoenix and Utility Companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49922</td>
<td>$64,062</td>
<td>RARF FY22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 22
22. CURRENT BID READY:  
6/30/2022

**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Increase Budget

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

Additional funding is needed based on the Stage IV construction estimate. The original funding was based on an early 2021 parametric estimate and did not take into consideration the full scope of the project including a shift in the location of the 51st Ave TI and a frontage road. In addition, unit costs have increased. This request is contingent upon MAG Regional Council approval on May 25, 2022. ICAP is included in this request.

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

CHANGE IN BUDGET

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
Contingent upon MAG Regional Council approval on May 25, 2022.
*ITEM 6e.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>Statewide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>Statewide Truck Parking &amp; Freight Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>Design / Construct Truck Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>TIP#: 100277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Bret Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$6,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Delete Project from FY 2022.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Current Schedule:**

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 2022
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

**Change Request/New Schedule:**

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 
22A. REQUEST BID READY: 
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Delete Project from FY 2022

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
Truck Parking Projects are complete for FY 2022.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

**Requested Actions:**
DELETE PROJECT

**Approved / Recommended Actions:**
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

**Budget Items:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100277</td>
<td>Statewide Truck Parking &amp; Freight Operations Design / Construct Truck Parking Statewide</td>
<td>$6,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change / Request:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>$6,700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**JPA #’s:**
SIGNED: NO  ADV: NO
*ITEM 6f.

Route & MP: Local Routes

Project Name: QUEEN CREEK BRIDGE/S. EL CAMINO @ QUEEN CREEK

Type of Work: BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

County: Pinal

District: Southeast

Schedule: FY 2022

Project: T025401C TIP#: 101850

Project Manager: Christ Dimitroplos

Program Amount: $1,000,000

New Program Amount: $1,000,000

Requested Action: Defer Project to FY23.
Defer Project to FY23.

**JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

This project is part of the Off-System Bridge program which has an upper limit of Federal funding at $1M. Request is to defer the project to the next Fiscal Year. The current estimate is significantly greater than the current program budget. Pinal County will pay the overage but their funding will not be available until Q1 FY 23. The County has committed to pay $61K for the 5.7pct match and $1.29M 100pct contribution.

Pinal County is responsible for the R/W clearance, and an agreement is pending with ASLD. The bid date will likely be in Q2 in FY 23 but is a function of the R/W clearance.

**CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

- CHANGE IN SCHEDULE
- CHANGE IN FY

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

- REQUEST APPROVED
- SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

**PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM**

☑️ PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>($1,000)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76223</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>($1,000)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76223</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>($1,000)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76223</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>Off System Bridge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ITEM 6g.

Route & MP: I-40 @ MP 351.0
Project Name: ALLENTOWN TI UP
Type of Work: BRIDGE REHABILITATION
County: Apache
District: Northeast
Schedule:
Project: F031901D TIP#: 100193
Project Manager: Kirstin Huston
Program Amount: $486,000
New Program Amount: $663,000
Requested Action:
Increase budget.
Change scope.
Change Type of Work.
**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Increase budget.
Change scope.
Change Type of Work.

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

During scoping, various alternatives were evaluated including deck replacement, superstructure replacement, and full bridge replacement. It was found that the bridge warranted a full replacement. Additional staff time is needed to design the full bridge replacement.

Change Type of Work to: Replace Bridge

Staff: $160K
ICAP: $17K

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

CHANGE IN SCOPE
CHANGE IN TYPE OF WORK
CHANGE IN BUDGET

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**PPAC - PROJECT MODIFICATIONS - DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

**ITEM 6h.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>US 93 @ MP 193.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>TEGNER ST - WICKENBURG RANCH WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>CONSTRUCT DIVIDED HIGHWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Maricopa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td>FY 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>F003101C TIP#: 8373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Pedram Shafieian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$41,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$54,950,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Increase budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Move to 4th Quarter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*US 93: Tegner St – Wickenburg Ranch Way*
3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/28/2022
Pedram Shafieian

4. Project Manager / Presenter: Pedram Shafieian  @  (602) 712-8166

6. Project Name: TEGNER ST - WICKENBURG RANCH WAY
7. Type of Work: CONSTRUCT DIVIDED HIGHWAY

8. CPSID: AK1O
9. District: 93
10. Route: 193.0
11. County: Maricopa
12. Beg MP: 193.0
13. TRACS #: F003101C
14. Len (Mi.): 5.0
15. Fed Id #: NHPP093-B(215)S

16. Program Budget: $41,000
17. Program Item #: 8373

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $41,000
19. BUDGET ITEMS:
   
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8373</td>
<td>$41,000</td>
<td>TEGNER ST - WICKENBURG RANCH</td>
<td>RURAL CORRIDOR RECONSTRUCTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE / REQUEST:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item #</td>
<td>Amount</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$13,950</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   CURRENT SCHEDULE:
   21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 22
   22. CURRENT BID READY: 2/4/2022
   23. CURRENT ADV DATE: 5/13/2022

   CHANGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:
   21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 5/13/2022
   22A. REQUEST BID READY: 6/3/2022
   23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 5/13/2022

   24. PROJECT NAME: NO
   24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO
   24c. SCOPE: NO
   24d. CURRENT STAGE: NO
   24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: YES
   24h. C&S CLEARANCE: YES
   24i. R/W CLEARANCE: YES
   24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

   REQUESTED ACTIONS:
   CHANGE IN SCHEDULE
   CHANGE IN BUDGET

   APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
   REQUEST APPROVED
   SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Increase budget.
Move to 4th Quarter.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
The request is based on the Stage IV estimate by C&S. The increase is due to inflation and additional cost of labor and material. Construction cost increases include the cost of Asphaltic Concrete, Asphalt Binder, Aggregate Base, and other miscellaneous concrete items.
Request to move advertisement to the 4th Quarter of FY22.

ICAP is included in this request.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED
*ITEM 6i.*

**Route & MP:** I-40 @ MP 357.5  
**Project Name:** WINDOW ROCK TI OP EB/WB & LUPTON TI OP EB/WB  
**Type of Work:** BRIDGE REHABILITATION  
**County:** Apache  
**District:** Northeast  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** _ TIP#: 100195  
**Project Manager:** Pedram Shafieian  
**Program Amount:** $200,000  
**New Program Amount:** $0  
**Requested Action:** Delete scoping phase project.
**Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0**

**ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

1. **PRB Meeting Date:** 4/26/2022
2. **Teleconference:** No

3. **Form Date / 5. Form By:** 4/28/2022
Pedram Shafieian

4. **Project Manager / Presenter:**
Pedram Shafieian
205 S 17th Ave, 068R - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT
(602) 712-8166

6. **Project Name:**
WINDOW ROCK TI OP EB/WB & LUPTON TI OP EB/WB

7. **Type of Work:**
BRIDGE REHABILITATION

8. **CPSID:**
PH1N
9. **District:**
Northeast
10. **Route:**
40
11. **County:**
Apache
12. **Beg MP:**
357.5
13. **TRACS #:**
_?
14. **Len (Mi.):**
3.0
15. **Fed Id #:**
NHPP

16. **Program Budget:**
$200
17. **Program Item #:**
100195

18. **Current Approved Program Budget:**
$200
**18a. (±) Program Budget Request:**
($200)
**18b Total Program Budget After Request:**
$0

19. **BUDGET ITEMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100195</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>Window Rock TI OP EB/WB &amp; Lupton TI OP EB/WB - Bridge rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>($200)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:**

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

21. **CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:**

22. **CURRENT BID READY:**

23. **CURRENT ADV DATE:**

20. **JPA #'s:**

SIGNED: NO
ADV: NO

**CHANGE IN:**

24a. **PROJECT NAME:**
NO
24b. **TYPE OF WORK:**
NO
24c. **SCOPE:**
NO
24d. **CURRENT STAGE:**
NOT APPLICABLE

24e. **ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:**
NO
24f. **MATERIALS MEMO COMP:**
NO
24g. **U&R CLEARANCE:**
NO
24h. **C&S CLEARANCE:**
NO
24i. **R/W CLEARANCE:**
NO
24j. **CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE:**
NO
24k. **SCOPING DOCUMENT:**
NO

25. **DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**
Delete scoping phase project

26. **JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**
Bridge group has revised their priorities and decided to delete the scoping phase from this project. Additional funds will be added to the final design of this project which is programmed in FY23.

27. **CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

28. **OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**
DELETE PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
*ITEM 6j.

Route & MP: SR 69 @ MP 293.8

Project Name: PRESCOTT LAKES PKWY - FRONTIER VILLAGE

Type of Work: Roadway Widening

County: Yavapai

District: Northwest

Schedule:

Project: H873901D TIP#: 100248

Project Manager: Vivian Li

Program Amount: $1,000,000

New Program Amount: $1,350,000

Requested Action: Change Scope.
Increase budget.
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/19/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/22/2022
   Pei-jung Li
   205 S 17th Ave, 605E - 4983 STATEWIDE PROJECT MANAGEMENT

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
   Pei-jung Li     @    (602) 712-8708

6. Project Name:
   PRESCOTT LAKES PKWY - FRONTIER VILLAGE
   Roadway Widening

8. CPSID: QI1M
   9. District: Northwest
   10. Route: 69
   11. County: Yavapai
   12. Beg MP: 293.8
   13. TRACS #: H873901D
   14. Len (Mi.): 1.0
   15. Fed Id #: 069-A(217)T

16. Program Budget: $1,000
17. Program Item #: 100248

18. Current Approved Program Budget:
   $1,000
   18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request:
   $350
   18b Total Program Budget After Request:
   $1,350

19. BUDGET ITEMS:
   CURRENTLY APPROVED:
   Item #  Amount  Description
   OTHR18 $150  City of Prescott
   OTHR18 $700  CYMPO
   OTHR18 $75  Yavapai County Flood Control District
   OTHR18 $75  Yavapai County

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:
   CHANGE / REQUEST:
   Item #  Amount  Description
   OTHR22 $117  CYMPO STBGP Funds
   OTHR22 $116  City of Prescott ($116.5k)
   OTHR22 $117  Yavapai County ($116.5k)

20. JPA #s: 17-0006334-I
   SIGNED: YES  ADV: YES

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: YES

24. PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM
   24a. PROJECT NAME: Change Scope
   24b. TYPE OF WORK: Increase budget
   24c. SCOPE: YES
   24d. CURRENT STAGE: STAGE V
   24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: YES
   24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: YES
   24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: NO
   24h. C&S CLEARANCE: YES
   24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO
   24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES
   24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
   Change Scope
   Increase budget

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
   The project will be moved forward without the Multi-Use Pathway and pedestrian lighting elements, as agreed to by the City of Prescott and CYMPO.
   Staff $65K
   Consultant $251K
   ICAP $34K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
CHANGE IN SCOPE
CHANGE IN BUDGET

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
**Route & MP:** SR 87 @ MP 133.0  
**Project Name:** SR-87 - KENWORTHY RD & SR-287 @ CHRISTENSEN RD  
**Type of Work:** CONSTRUCT TURN LANES  
**County:** Pinal  
**District:** Southcentral  
**Schedule:** FY 2022  
**Project:** F037301C TIP#: 101003  
**Project Manager:** Rehnuma Rahman  
**Program Amount:** $883,000  
**New Program Amount:** $1,138,000  
**Requested Action:** Defer Project to FY23. Increase Budget.

---

![Map of SR 87 - Kenworthy Rd & SR 287 at Christensen Rd](image_url)
3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/14/2022
Rehnuma Rahman @ (602) 712-7342

6. Project Name: SR-87 -肯沃思路 & SR-287 @克里斯蒂森路
7. Type of Work: CONSTRUCT TURN LANES

8. CPSID: CL1P
9. District: Southcentral
10. Route: 87
11. County: Pinal
12. Beg MP: 133.0
13. TRACS #: F037301C
14. Len (Mi.): 0.0
15. Fed Id #: HSIP087-A(213)T

16. Program Budget: $883
17. Program Item #: 101003


19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>101003</td>
<td>$883</td>
<td>SR-87 -肯沃思路 &amp; SR-287 @克里斯蒂森路 - Construct Turn Lanes</td>
<td>CURRENTLY APPROVED:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>($883)</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70123</td>
<td>$1,138</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CURRENT SCHEDULE:
21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 22
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: 4/15/2022

CHANGE / REQUEST:

CHARGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:
21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 23
22A. REQUEST BID READY: NO
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 12/23/2022

20. JPA #'s: SIGNED: NO ADV: NO

CHANGE IN:
24a: PROJECT NAME: NO
24b: TYPE OF WORK: NO
24c: SCOPE: NO
24d: CURRENT STAGE: STAGE IV
24e: ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: YES
24f: MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO
24g: U&RR CLEARANCE: NO
24h: C&S CLEARANCE: NO
24i: R/W CLEARANCE: NO
24j: CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES
24k: SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Defer Project to FY23
Increase Budget

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
Due to the time required to complete the cultural investigation for the construction, the project team is recommending the project advertisement be deferred to FY 23.

The initial construction cost estimation was based on pre-scoping level. After the Stage III submission, the construction cost increased due to Specialty Signs, Barricades, Portable Sign Stands, Warning Lights, Traffic Cones, Embedded Sign Posts, TMAs and Temporary Signs not being itemized in the traffic control estimate, prior to Stage III, since traffic control was based on a lump sum of 4pct of initial roadway cost. The Pavement Markings, Pavement Legends, Pavement Symbols, Slip Bases, Sign Posts, Sign Panels and Object Markers were not itemized prior to Stage III in the signing and striping estimate, since signing and striping was based on a lump sum of 4pct of initial roadway cost. In addition, the below the line items of CE cost, post design were estimated too low.

ICAP is included in this request.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
CHANGE IN SCHEDULE
CHANGE IN FY
CHANGE IN BUDGET

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6i.

Route & MP: SR 95 @ MP 227.0
Project Name: NEEDLES BRIDGE #2435
Type of Work: BRIDGE REHABILITATION
County: Mohave
District: Northwest
Schedule: FY 2022
Project: F018201C TIP#: 101756
Project Manager: Shantala Ramaiah
Program Amount: $1,600,000
New Program Amount: $2,400,000
Requested Action: Increase construction budget.
**PROJECT REVIEW BOARD (PRB) REQUEST FORM - VERSION 4.0**

1. **PRB Meeting Date:** 4/5/2022  
2. **Teleconference:** 4805606996

---

**6. Project Name:** NEEDLES BRIDGE #2435  
**7. Type of Work:** BRIDGE REHABILITATION

**8. CPSID:** ELIP  
**9. District:** Mohave  
**10. Route:** 95  
**11. County:** Mohave  
**12. Beg MP:** 227.0  
**13. TRACS #:** F018201C  
**14. Len (Mi.):** 1.0  
**15. Fed Id #:** STBG095-D(217)T

**16. Program Budget:** $1,600  
**17. Program Item #:** 101756

**18. Current Approved Program Budget:** $1,600  
**18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request:** $800  
**18b Total Program Budget After Request:** $2,400

**19. BUDGET ITEMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>BRIDGE REPLACEMENT &amp; REHABILITATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$800</td>
<td>City of Needles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**19A. BUDGET ITEMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76222</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>BRIDGE REPLACEMENT &amp; REHABILITATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>City of Needles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM**

---

**21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:** 22  
**22. CURRENT BID READY:** 11/17/2021  
**23. CURRENT ADV DATE:** 5/27/2022

**24a. PROJECT NAME:** NO  
**24b. TYPE OF WORK:** NO  
**24c. SCOPE:** NO  
**24d. CURRENT STAGE:** STAGE V  
**24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:** YES  
**24g. U&RR CLEARANCE:** YES  
**24i. R/W CLEARANCE:** YES  
**24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT:** YES  
**24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP:** YES  
**24h. C&S CLEARANCE:** YES  
**24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE:** YES

---

**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Increase construction budget.

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

The project will be re-advertised with improved plans that are more efficient and revised specifications which provide more flexibility to allow construction in multiple shifts. The updated construction estimate reflects the year of expenditure, revised maintenance of traffic costs, and impacts of rise of fuel costs for this remote project location. This bridge is co-maintained by the City of Needles. The Construction IGA is 20-00007812-I. The Design IGA is 18-00006762-I. Contingent upon funding from the City of Needles.

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:** CHANGE IN SCHEDULE  
**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:** REQUEST APPROVED  
**SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022**

---

Page 202 of 329
*ITEM 6m.

Route & MP: US 95 @ MP 34.5
Project Name: RIFLE RANGE RD - WELLTON-MOHAWK
Type of Work: ROADWAY WIDENING

County: Yuma
District: Southwest
Schedule:

Project: F035901D TIP#: 102079
Project Manager: Trent Kelso
Program Amount: $2,450,000
New Program Amount: $3,183,000
Requested Action: Increase Budget.
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/5/2022  2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/7/2022  Trent Kelso  (602) 712-6685
4. Project Manager / Presenter:
Trent Kelso 205 S 17th Ave, 295, 614E - 4980 ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SECT

6. Project Name: 7. Type of Work:
RIFLE RANGE RD - WELLTON-MOHAWK  ROADWAY WIDENING

NM1P  Southwest 95 Yuma 34.5  F035901D 3 095-B(214)T

16. Program Budget: $2,450  17. Program Item #: 102079
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $2,450  18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $733
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $3,183

CURRENTLY APPROVED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73321</td>
<td>$700</td>
<td>STATEWIDE MINOR PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79921</td>
<td>$1,750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHANGE / REQUEST:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77722</td>
<td>$124</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT SERVICES</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$609</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

20. JPA #'s: SIGNED: NO  ADV: NO

CHANGE IN:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24a: PROJECT NAME: NO</th>
<th>24b: TYPE OF WORK: NO</th>
<th>24c: SCOPE: NO</th>
<th>24d: CURRENT STAGE: STAGE IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24e: ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO</td>
<td>24f: MATERIALS MEMO COMP: YES</td>
<td>24h. C&amp;S CLEARANCE: NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24g: U&amp;RR CLEARANCE: NO</td>
<td>24i. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES</td>
<td>24j. R/W CLEARANCE: NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO</td>
<td>24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Increase Budget

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
The original scope was approved based upon the use of 30pct plans previously prepared by ADOT in 2011. Out of scope work was requested by ADOT and additional effort beyond that in the approved scope is required in the following areas:

Environmental:
- Ethnographic study for US 95 roadway to mitigate adverse effects related to the historical significance of the route.
- Additional consultation and review submittals of CE and cultural documents.

Engineering:
- WMIDD Siphon - Originally proposed to be extended in place; two alternatives were prepared requiring extensive research and coordination with Wellton Mohawk Irrigation district. Ultimately construction drawings and details will be prepared for full replacement of the siphon in a relocated crossing of US 95.
- Extensive design refinements to minimize ROW needs and UPRR impacts. Avoided time-consuming effort with UPRR by redesigning features adjacent to the RR ROW.
- Extensive out of scope hydrologic evaluation and alternatives comparison resulting in re-design and adding numerous culvert crossings to mitigate RR ROW and roadway flooding.

Admin:
- Discovery of above additional items required additional administrative time and meetings.

Staff: $50K
Consultant: $612K
ICAP: $71K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST
28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**ITEM 6n.**

**Route & MP:** SR 95 @ MP 34.5  
**Project Name:** RIFLE RANGE RD - WELLTON-MOHAWK  
**Type of Work:** ROADWAY WIDENING  
**County:** Yuma  
**District:** Southwest  
**Schedule:** FY 2022  
**Project:** F035901C TIP#: 102079  
**Project Manager:** Trent Kelso  
**Program Amount:** $6,536,000  
**New Program Amount:** $28,900,000  
**Requested Action:** Increase Budget.
PRB Item #: 09

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/5/2022
   2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 5/6/2022
   Trent Kelso

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
   Trent Kelso @ (602) 712-6685
   205 S 17th Ave, 295., 614E - 4980 ENGINEERING CONSULTANT SECT

6. Project Name: RIFLE RANGE RD - WELLTON-MOHAWK ROADWAY WIDENING

7. Type of Work:
   ROADWAY WIDENING

8. CPSID: NM1P
   9. District: Southwest
   10. Route: 95
   11. County: Yuma
   12. Beg MP: 34.5
   13. TRACS #: F035901C
   14. Len (Mi.): 3
   15. Fed Id #: 095-B(214)T

16. Program Budget: $6,536
17. Program Item #: 102079
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $6,536
19A. BUDGET ITEMS:
   Item # | Amount | Description | Comments
   ------- | ------- | ----------- | -----------
   79921  | $3,286  | .           | .           
   73322  | $3,250  | STATEWIDE MINOR PROJECTS | . 

20. JPA #'s: 22-8488
   SIGNED: NO
   ADV: YES

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 22
22. CURRENT BID READY: 5/20/2022
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: 6/20/2022
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 

24a: PROJECT NAME: NO
24b: TYPE OF WORK: NO
24c. SCOPE: NO
24d. CURRENT STAGE: STAGE IV

24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO
24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: YES
24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: NO
24h. C&S CLEARANCE: YES
24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO
24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES
24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
   Increase Budget

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
   This project was underfunded when it was established. The additional funding will allow construction of the entire 3+ miles of widening plus replacement of the Wellton-Mohawk Canal Bridge. ICAP is included in the request.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: CHANGE IN BUDGET

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Route &amp; MP</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Type of Work</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Manager</th>
<th>Program Amount</th>
<th>New Program Amount</th>
<th>Requested Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6o</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Transfer Subprogram funds</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Subprograms</td>
<td>Brenda Contreras</td>
<td>$25,029,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>Request to transfer subprograms to 72322 Statewide Contingency fund.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Transfer Subprogram funds**

Brenda Contreras     @    (602) 712-8010

**PROJECT REVIEW BOARD (PRB) REQUEST FORM - VERSION 4.0**

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/27/2022
4. Project Manager / Presenter: Brenda Contreras

6. Project Name: Transfer Subprogram funds

7. Type of Work:


16. Program Budget: $25,029
17. Program Item #:

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $25,029
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $(25,029)
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $0

---

### CURRENTLY APPROVED:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70822</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>UTILITY GROUP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71422</td>
<td>$2,494</td>
<td>BRIDGE INSPECTION &amp; REPAIRS, DECK REPLACEMENT &amp; SCOUR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71622</td>
<td>$1,572</td>
<td>TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72122</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
<td>EMERGENCY PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76522</td>
<td>$358</td>
<td>FEDERAL AGENCY SUPPORT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78222</td>
<td>$210</td>
<td>ADA Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78922</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>TSM&amp;O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72622</td>
<td>$102</td>
<td>RAILWAY HIGHWAY CROSSING</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70022</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>ENGINEERING SUPPORT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71022</td>
<td>$1,835</td>
<td>R/W ACQUISITION, APPRAISAL &amp; PLANS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72522</td>
<td>$7,590</td>
<td>PRESERVATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77722</td>
<td>$383</td>
<td>ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT SERVICES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79022</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$4,531</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72222</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74522</td>
<td>$2,013</td>
<td>PRIVITIZATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78822</td>
<td>$352</td>
<td>TSM&amp;O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79522</td>
<td>$600</td>
<td>REGULATORY COMPLIANCE PLAN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CHANGE / REQUEST:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(250)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>70822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(2,494)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>71422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(1,572)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>71622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(1,100)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>72122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(358)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>76522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(210)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>78222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(500)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>78922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(102)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>72622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(100)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>70022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(1,835)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>71022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(7,590)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>72522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(383)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>77722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(539)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>79022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>$(4,531)</td>
<td>CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>70122 &amp; 70122 666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79922</td>
<td>$(500)</td>
<td></td>
<td>72222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79922</td>
<td>$(2,013)</td>
<td></td>
<td>74522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79922</td>
<td>$(352)</td>
<td></td>
<td>78822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79922</td>
<td>$(600)</td>
<td></td>
<td>79522</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:
22. CURRENT BID READY:
23. CURRENT ADV DATE:

20. JPA #: SIGNED: NO ADV: NO

### CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR:
22A. REQUEST BID READY:
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE:

24. PROJECT NAME: NO
24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO
24c. SCOPE: NO
24d. CURRENT STAGE: NOT APPLICABLE

24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NOT APPLICABLE
24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: NOT APPLICABLE
24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NOT APPLICABLE

24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NOT APPLICABLE
24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NOT APPLICABLE
24i. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NOT APPLICABLE
25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Request to transfer subprograms to 72322 contingency fund.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
Funds in these subprograms will not be utilized before June 30, 2022. By moving funds to contingency the monies can be transferred to FY22 projects that are ready to proceed.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUESTED ACTIONS:</th>
<th>APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHANGE IN BUDGET</td>
<td>REQUEST APPROVED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRB APPROVED
Route & MP: US 180 @ MP 309.0  
Project Name: FIVE MILE WASH BRIDGE & LITTLE CO BRIDGE  
Type of Work: BRIDGE REHABILITATION  
County: Navajo  
District: Northeast  
Schedule:  
Project: F031301D TIP#: 100219  
Project Manager: Thomas O'Reilly  
Program Amount: $850,000  
New Program Amount: $1,172,000  
Requested Action: Establish project.
Establish project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The project will develop the clearances and construction documents for the replacement of two bridges east of Holbrook.

Staff = $140  
Consultant = $920  
ICAP = $112

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:  
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:  
REQUEST APPROVED  
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6q.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>SR 86 @ MP 105</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>Tribal Route 232 - Sells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>PAVEMENT PRESERVATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Pima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Southcentral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>F041701C TIP#: 102314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Angela Galietti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$2,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish construction project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SR 86, Tribal Route 232 - Sells
32

Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/5/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By:
4/7/2022
Angela Galietti
205 S 17th Ave, 614E - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name:
Tribal Route 232 - Sells

7. Type of Work:
PAVEMENT PRESERVATION

QU1P Southcentral 86 Pima 105 F041701C 7.6 086-A(226)T

16. Program Budget: $0
17. Program Item #: 102314

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $0
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $2,300
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $2,300

19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72522</td>
<td>$2,300</td>
<td>PRESERVATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:

20. JPA #: SIGNED: NO ADV: NO

CHANGE / REQUEST:

CHANGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 22
22A. REQUEST BID READY: 23
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: 5/3/2022

CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:
22. CURRENT BID READY:
23. CURRENT ADV DATE:

24a: PROJECT NAME: NO 24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO 24c. SCOPE: NO 24d. CURRENT STAGE: STAGE V

24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: YES
24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: YES 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NO
24i. R/W CLEARANCE: YES 24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO
24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: NOT APPLICABLE

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Establish construction project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

This request is to establish a construction project that includes a full width Cape Seal surface treatment along SR86 between MP 105 and MP 112.59.

ICAP is included in this request.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
**ITEM 6r.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>Local Routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>NORTHERN AVENUE COMPLETE STREETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>SIDEWALKS, BIKE LANES, CROSSWALKS &amp; TRAFFIC SIGNAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>T027201D TIP#: 100499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Bharat Kandel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish Project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Map

Map showing Northern Avenue Complete Streets.
Establish Project

The scope of this Mohave County HSIP project along Northern Avenue includes sidewalks (on Northside from Stockton Hill Road to Castle Rock Road and on south side from Stockton Hill Road to Bank Street), separated bike lanes with buffer striping, two high visibility cross walks, one at Stardust Road and another at Benton Street, Colored bicycle lanes at signalized intersection crossings, and Traffic Signal upgrade for bicycle control at Bank Street.

The requested amount of $45k is based on the complexity and innovative nature of the project, and is consistent with the signed Intergovernmental Agreement with the County.

Staff - $45k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST
28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6s.

Route & MP: Local Routes
Project Name: NORTHERN AVENUE COMPLETE STREETS
Type of Work: SIDEWALKS, BIKE LANES, CROSSWALKS & TRAFFIC SIGNAL
County: Mohave
District: Northwest
Schedule:
Project: T027203D TIP#: 100499
Project Manager: Bharat Kandel
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $173,000
Requested Action: Establish Project.
Establish Project

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The scope of this Mohave County HSIP project along Northern Avenue includes sidewalks (on Northside from Stockton Hill Road to Castle Rock Road and on south side from Stockton Hill Road to Bank Street), separated bike lanes with buffer striping, two high visibility cross walks, one at Stardust Road and another at Benton Street, Colored bicycle lanes at signalized intersection crossings, and Traffic Signal upgrade for bicycle control at Bank Street.

Consultant - $173k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6t*

**Route & MP:** I-19 @ MP 0  
**Project Name:** PORT OF ENTRY - I-10  
**Type of Work:** INSTALL CCTV, DMS & WWD DEVICES  
**County:** Santa Cruz  
**District:** Southcentral  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F051401D TIP#: 103293  
**Project Manager:** Chris Moore  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $330,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish new project.
Establish new project.

This project will install three DMS, three CCTV, four paired DMS/CCTV, and two WWD devices on I-19 from the Port of Entry to I-10/I-19 TI to improve traffic management.

Staff $50k
Consultant $248k
ICAP $32k

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

# REQUESTED ACTIONS:

Establish a new project.

# APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

Request approved
Subject to PPAC approval - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6u.  
**Route & MP:** I-17 @ MP 251  
**Project Name:** SUNSET POINT - I-17/I-40 TI  
**Type of Work:** INSTALL CCTV, DMS & RWIS  
**County:** Coconino  
**District:** Northcentral  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F051501D TIP#: 103296  
**Project Manager:** Chris Moore  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $375,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish new project.
Establish new project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This project will design two DMS, six CCTV devices, five WWD, and 1 RWIS devices on I-17 from Sunset Point to I-17/I-40 TI to improve traffic management.

Staff $63k
Consultant $276k
ICAP $36k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**ITEM 6v.**

**Route & MP:** I-40 @ MP 195  
**Project Name:** I-17 - COUNTRY CLUB DR  
**Type of Work:** Install conduit, 288 strand fiber, DMS, and CCTV devices  
**County:** Coconino  
**District:** Northcentral  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F051601D TIP#: 103298  
**Project Manager:** Chris Moore  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $300,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish new project.
Establish new project.

**JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

This project will install conduit with 288 strand fiber to include pull boxes, one DMS, and one CCTV device from the I-17/I40 TI to Country Club Drive to improve traffic management.

Staff $50k
Consultant $221k
ICAP $29k

**CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**ITEM 6w.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>SR 169 @ MP 3.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>GRANT WOODS PARKWAY - I-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>CENTERLINE RUMBLE STRIPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Yavapai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>F051201D TIP#: 101702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Chris Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$91,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish New Project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Map of SR 169, Grant Woods Parkway - I-17](image)
Establish New Project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

Both Design and Construction are programmed in FY23. This action is to establish Design in FY22. This HSIP Project is to install centerline rumble strips from Grant Woods Parkway to I-17 on SR 169. The milepost limits are MP 3.5 to MP 15 (11.5 miles). This road safety improvement project was identified through the state network crash data screening process and meets all requirements of Title 23. The centerline rumble strip will give drivers an audible warning and is a proven countermeasure to reduce head on and sideswipe crashes.

Staff $67K
Consultant $15K
ICAP $9K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6x.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>Various Routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>MOHAVE COUNTY - SYSTEMIC - VARIOUS LOCATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS &amp; CURVE SIGNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>T035401D TIP#: 102703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Chris Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish New Project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mohave County - Various Locations
PROJECT REVIEW BOARD (PRB) REQUEST FORM - VERSION 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022  
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By:  
4. Project Manager / Presenter:  
4/29/2022  
Chris Rodriguez  @  (602) 712-2132

5. Project Name:  
6. Type of Work:  
MOHAVE COUNTY - SYSTEMIC - VARIOUS LOCATIONS  
RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS & CURVE SIGNS

WP1P  Kingman 0000  Mohave  MMO  T035401D  ?  0.0  HSIPMMO-0(228)T

16. Program Budget: $0  
17. Program Item #: 102703

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $0  
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $30  
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $30

 Currently Approved: Change / Request:

19A. Budget Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>100pct HSIP Funding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Schedule: Change Request/NEW SCHEDULE:

21. Current Fiscal Year: 
22. Current Bid Ready: 
23. Current Adv Date: 

20. JPA #: 22-0008566-1  
SIGNED: YES  ADV: YES

Change In:  
24a. Project Name: NO  24b. Type of Work: NO  24c. Scope: NO  24d. Current Stage: NOT APPLICABLE

| Change In:  
24e. Environmental Clearance: NO  24f. Materials Memo Comp: NO |
| 24g. U&RR Clearance: NO  24h. C&S Clearance: NO |
| 24i. R/W Clearance: NO  24j. Customized Schedule: NO |
| 24k. Scoping Document: NO |

25. Description of Request

Establish New Project

26. Justification of Request

This HSIP Project is to install raised pavement markers and curve warning signs on various Mohave County roads to increase driver awareness and aid in the reduction of crash rates. The design year was moved from FY23 to FY22.

Staff $30K

27. Concerns of Request

28. Other Alternatives Considered

Requested Actions:

Establish a New Project

Approved / Recommended Actions:

Request Approved  
Subject to PPAC Approval - 5/4/2022

PRB Approved
**ITEM 6y.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>Various Routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>MOHAVE COUNTY - SYSTEMIC - VARIOUS LOCATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS &amp; CURVE SIGNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Mohave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>T035403D TIP#: 102703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Chris Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish new project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mohave County - Various Locations**
**PRB Item #:** 43a

**Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0**

1. **PRB Meeting Date:** 4/26/2022  
2. **Teleconference:** No

---

**3. Form Date / 5. Form By:**  
4/29/2022  
**Chris Rodriguez**  
**205 S 17th Ave, , - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

**6. Project Name:**  
**MOHAVE COUNTY - SYSTEMIC - VARIOUS LOCATIONS**

**7. Type of Work:**  
RAISED PAVEMENT MARKERS & CURVE SIGNS

**8. CPSID:**  
**9. District:**  
**10. Route:**  
**11. County:**  
**12. Beg MP:**  
**13. TRACS #:**  
**14. Len (Mi.):**  
**15. Fed Id #:**  
**WP1P**  
Kingman  
0000  
Mohave  
MMO  
T035403D  
?  
0.0  
HSIPMMO-0(228)T

**16. Program Budget:**  
$0

**17. Program Item #:**  
102703

**18. Current Approved Program Budget:**  
$0

**18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request:**  
$180

**18b Total Program Budget After Request:**  
$180

---

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:**

**19. BUDGET ITEMS:**

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

**21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:**

**22. CURRENT BID READY:**

**23. CURRENT ADV DATE:**

---

**CHANGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:**

**21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR:**

**22A. REQUEST BID READY:**

**23A. REQUEST ADV DATE:**

---

**24A: PROJECT NAME:**  
**24B: TYPE OF WORK:**  
**24C: SCOPE:**  
**24D: CURRENT STAGE:**  
NO  
NO  
NO  
NOT APPLICABLE

**24A. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE:**  
**24G. U&RR CLEARANCE:**  
**24L. R/W CLEARANCE:**  
**24K. SCOPING DOCUMENT:**  
NO  
NO  
NO  
NO

**24J. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE:**

**24F. MATERIALS MEMO COMP:**

**24H. C&S CLEARANCE:**

---

**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Establish new project.

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

This HSIP Project is to install raised pavement markers and curve warning signs on various Mohave County roads to increase driver awareness and aid in the reduction of crash rates. The design year was moved from FY23 to FY22.

Consultant $180K

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

---

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**  
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**  
REQUEST APPROVED  
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

**PRB APPROVED**
*ITEM 6z.  

**Route & MP:** SR 87 @ MP 136.62  
**Project Name:** SR 87 at Skousen Road  
**Type of Work:** Install New Traffic Signals  
**County:** Pinal  
**District:** Southcentral  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F052101D TIP#: 103262  
**Project Manager:** Chris Rodriguez  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $400,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish New Project.
Establish New Project

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

This project is to improve the intersection at SR87 and Skousen Road by installation of new traffic control signals. The intersection operation may also improve with the addition of an EB SR 87 right turn lane and NB Skousen left turn lane. The additional lanes may require utility relocation, right of way considerations and structure widening. This project is currently not in the 5-year program.

Staff $45K  
Consultant $317K  
ICAP $38

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: 

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: 

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT  
REQUEST APPROVED  
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6aa.

Route & MP: I-10 @ MP 219.0
Project Name: WYMOLA - INA RD
Type of Work: INSTALL FIBER OPTICS
County: Pinal
District: Southcentral
Schedule:
Project: F043901C TIP#: 102706
Project Manager: Jeffrey Davidson
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $6,305,000
Requested Action: Establish new project.
Establish new project.

This project is to construct a fiber optic network along Interstate 10 from Wymola (MP219) to Ina Road (MP249). The work includes installing conduit, fiber optic cable, pull boxes, a connection to an existing DMS sign, and construction of a fiber node building/assembly. This request includes ICAP.
Route & MP: I-10 @ MP 24.0
Project Name: SCADDAN WASH - PLOMOSA
Type of Work: PAVEMENT REHABILITATION
County: La Paz
District: Southwest
Schedule: Project: F050201D TIP#: 102470
Project Manager: Jeffrey Davidson
Program Amount: $998,000
New Program Amount: $393,000
Requested Action: Establish New Design Project.

ITEM 6ab.
**PRB Item #: 15**

**ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**  
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/19/2022  
2. Teleconference: No

---

**3. Form Date / 5. Form By:**  
4/22/2022  
Jeffrey Davidson  
205 S 17th Ave, 295, 614E - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

---

**6. Project Name:**  
SCADDAN WASH - PLOMOSA

**7. Type of Work:**  
PAVEMENT REHABILITATION

---

**8. CPSID:**  
9. District: Southwest  
10. Route: 10  
11. County: La Paz  
12. Beg MP: 24.0  
13. TRACS #: F050201D  
14. Len (Mi.): 6.0  
15. Fed Id #: ?

---

**16. Program Budget:** $998  
17. Program Item #: 102470

---

**18. Current Approved Program Budget:** $998  
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: ($605)  
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $393

---

**19. BUDGET ITEMS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102470</td>
<td>$998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72322</td>
<td>($605)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:**

**CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:**

21. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR:  
22. REQUEST BID READY:  
23. REQUEST ADV DATE:

---

**20. JPA #’s: SIGNED: NO ADV: NO**

**PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM**

---

**24. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Establish New Design Project

---

**25. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

The project is located on I10 from Scadden Wash to Plomosa in La Paz County. The pavement is in need of rehabilitation. This project is to rehabilitate the existing pavement.

Staff $300K  
Consultant $55K  
ICAP $38K

---

**26. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

---

**27. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

---

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**  
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**  
REQUEST APPROVED  
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

Page 236 of 329
*ITEM 6ac.*

**Route & MP:** SR 202L @ MP 0.1  
**Project Name:** Loop 202 Regionwide  
**Type of Work:** Install Wrong-way Cameras  
**County:** Maricopa  
**District:** Central  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F048501X, 101797  
**Project Manager:** Joan Lovell  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $340,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish Project.
Establish Project

This request is to install wrong-way equipment on Loop 202. Equipment will be purchased through Procurement. ICAP is included in this request. MAG TIP ID DOT 22-833. Contingent upon MAG Regional Council approval on May 25, 2022.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6ad.  

Route & MP: Tribal Routes  
Project Name: IR 34 & IR 42, TOHONO O`ODHAM  
Type of Work: NATION SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS  
County: Pima  
District: Southcentral  
Schedule:  
Project: T026401C TIP#: 101215  
Project Manager: Judah Cain  
Program Amount: $0  
New Program Amount: $752,000  
Requested Action: Establish construction project.
### 19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$752</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>HSIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 20. JPA #'s: 19-0007519-I

#### SIGNED: YES  ADV: YES

### 21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: 22

### 22. CURRENT BID READY: NO

### 23. CURRENT ADV DATE: 6/24/2022

### 24a. PROJECT NAME: NO

#### 24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO

#### 24c. SCOPE: NO

#### 24d. CURRENT STAGE: STAGE V

#### 24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: YES

#### 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NOT APPLICABLE

#### 24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: YES

#### 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: YES

#### 24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO

#### 24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: YES

### 24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: YES

---

### 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Establish construction project.

### 26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

This request is to establish a construction project that will install traffic safety improvements on IR34 and IR42 for the Tohono O’odham Nation. This local agency project is fully funded with High Risk Rural Road (HRRR) apportionment from the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP).

### 27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

### 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

### REQUESTED ACTIONS:

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

### APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**PPAC - NEW PROJECTS - DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION**

*ITEM 6ae.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Route &amp; MP:</strong></th>
<th>SR 30 @ MP 113.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Name:</strong></td>
<td>67TH AVE - SR 202L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Work:</strong></td>
<td>DESIGN NEW FREEWAY INTERCHANGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County:</strong></td>
<td>Maricopa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District:</strong></td>
<td>Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schedule:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project:</strong></td>
<td>F050101D TIP#: 103175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Manager:</strong></td>
<td>Kirstin Huston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Program Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$35,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested Action:</strong></td>
<td>Establish New Project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Map Image]({{image_url}})
Establish New Project

This project will design the initial phase of a new system interchange at State Route (SR) 30 (Tres Rios) and SR 202 L South Mountain (SR 202 L). Includes NB and SB on-ramps from SR 202 L to WB SR 30, on-ramps from EB SR 30 to NB and SB SR 202 L, and SB and NB frontage roads along SR 202 L. Ramps and Frontage Roads will span over the Salt River and over various levels of the interchange. From the SR 30/SR 202 L interchange to 67th Avenue project will include three new general purpose lanes in each direction with 50-foot wide open graded median with cable barriers, and a TI at 67th Avenue.

Funding was approved at Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Council on February 23, 2022. DOT 22-202D.

Consultant: $29,900K
Staff: $1,774K
ICAP: $3,326K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
*ITEM 6af.

Route & MP: SR 30 @ MP 109.2
Project Name: 97TH AVE - 67TH AVE
Type of Work: DESIGN NEW FREEWAY
County: Maricopa
District: Central
Schedule: 
Project: F050401D TIP#: 103174
Project Manager: Kirstin Huston
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $12,000,000
Requested Action: Establish New Project.
25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Establish New Project

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

This project will design State Route (SR) 30 from 67th Avenue to 97th Avenue. Project includes three new general purpose lanes in each direction with 50-foot wide open graded median with cable barriers, and full TIs at 83rd Avenue, and 91st Avenue.

Funding was approved at Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Council on February 23, 2022. DOT 22-201D.

Consultant $9,900K
Staff: $960K
ICAP: $1,140K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6ag.

Route & MP: SR 202L @ MP 42.0
Project Name: VAL VISTA DR - SR 101L
Type of Work: GENERAL PURPOSE LANE
County: Maricopa
District: Central
Schedule:
Project: F012401R TIP#: 8898
Project Manager: Kirstin Huston
Program Amount: $559,000
New Program Amount: $55,000
Requested Action: Establish ROW Subphase.

---

[Map of SR 202L, Val Vista Dr - SR 101L]
Establish ROW subphase.

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**
Requesting Right of Way (ROW) funds for a UPRR easement. The easement will be extended to accommodate the widening of the SR 202 San Tan overpass. ROW funding was approved at Maricopa Association of Governments Regional Council on December 1, 2021. MAG TIP: DOT24-803

ROW: $50K
ICAP: $5K

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**
ITEM 6ah.  

**Route & MP:** Local Road  
**Project Name:** Pima County; Various County Roads (PH II)  
**Type of Work:** Replace Sign Panels  
**County:** Pima  
**District:** Southcentral  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** T035803D TIP#: 102476  
**Project Manager:** Madhav Mundle  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $200,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish a new project.
Establish a new project

Project involves replacement of signs panels on roadways owned by Pima County that do not meet the retro-reflectivity requirements as per the requirements of 2009 MUTCD. This is a CA project for Pima County.

Consultant - $200K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**ITEM 6ai.**

Route & MP: Local Routes
Project Name: Mission Road Overpass @ ASARCO Mine
Type of Work: Bridge Rehabilitation
County: Pima
District: Southcentral
Schedule:
Project: T033901D TIP#: 102820
Project Manager: Madhav Mundle
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $10,000
Requested Action: Establish a new project.
**Currently Approved:**

### 19. Budget Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76232.9</td>
<td>$9.00</td>
<td>PDA fees for CA Agency, 94.3% Federal Funds. ($9,430)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>5.7% Local Match ($570.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change / Request:**

### 19A. Budget Items:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current Schedule:**

### 21. Current Fiscal Year:

### 22. Current Bid Ready:

### 23. Current Adv Date:

### 20. JPA #:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIGNED</th>
<th>ADV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change in:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24a. Project Name</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24b. Type of Work</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c. Scope</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24d. Current Stage</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24e. Environ. Clear</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24f. Customized Schedule</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h. C&amp;S Clearance</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 25. Description of Request:

Establish a new project

### 26. Justification of Request:

The existing bridge on Mission Rd is in substandard condition. This project will rehabilitate the existing bridge. This is a CA project for Pima County.

Contingent upon receiving local funds, prior to PPAC.

Staff - $10,000

### 27. Concerns of Request:

### 28. Other Alternatives Considered:

### Requested Actions:

Establish a New Project

### Approved / Recommended Actions:

Request Approved

Subject to PPAC Approval - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6aj.

Route & MP: Local Routes
Project Name: Mission Road Overpass at the ASARCO Mine
Type of Work: Bridge Rehabilitation
County: Pima
District: Southcentral
Schedule: 
Project: T033903D TIP#: 102820
Project Manager: Madhav Mundle
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $177,000
Requested Action: Establish new project.
Establish new project

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The existing bridge on Mission Rd is in substandard condition. This project will rehabilitate the existing bridge. This is a CA project for Pima County

Local will not submit match to ADOT as this project will be administered by Pima County.

Consultant - $177K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED
*ITEM 6ak.

**Route & MP:** I-10 @ MP 156.0

**Project Name:** BASELINE RD TI

**Type of Work:** RECONSTRUCT BASELINE RD TI

**County:** Maricopa

**District:** Central

**Schedule:**

**Project:** F052301L TIP#: 103285

**Project Manager:** Olivier Mirza

**Program Amount:** $0

**New Program Amount:** $2,250,000

**Requested Action:** Establish a Study Project.
Establish a Study Project.

Increased travel demand on Baseline Road has strained the capacity of the existing Baseline Road and I-10 traffic interchange. This study will evaluate traffic interchange alternatives at Baseline Road and Interstate 10 that would increase capacity and improve safety. The project limits along Baseline Road are Calle Los Cerros at the west end and Priest Drive at the east end. The study will include preparation of a Design Concept Report (DCR) and an Environmental Clearance document. The construction phase is anticipated to be funded using Federal Aid, and is currently not programmed.

MAG ID is 35709. Amendment #4 request to add this project to the TIP will go to MAG Regional Council for approval on April 27, 2022.

Staff: $200K
Consultant: $1.836M
ICAP: $214K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED
*ITEM 6al.

Route & MP: Local Routes
Project Name: PATAGONIA HWY (SR82); MORLEY AVE - ROYAL RD
Type of Work: CONSTRUCT MULTI USE PATH
County: Santa Cruz
District: Southcentral
Schedule: 
Project: T035701D TIP#: 103141
Project Manager: Pedram Shafieian
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $30,000
Requested Action: Establish new project.
### Project Name:
**PATAGONIA HWY (SR82); MORLEY AVE - ROYAL RD**

### Type of Work:
CONSTRUCT MULTI USE PATH

### CPSID:
**WU1P**

### District:
Southcentral

### Route:
0000

### County:
Santa Cruz

### Beg MP:
NOG

### TRACS #:
T035701D

### Len (Mi.):
0.0

### Fed Id #:
CMAQNOG-0(211)T

### Program Budget:
$0

### Program Item #:
103141

### BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>Local Match (5.7pct)</td>
<td>$1,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70622</td>
<td>$28</td>
<td>PM 2.5 AIR QUALITY PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

This project will increase pedestrian safety by constructing a 10-foot-wide multi-use pathway along the Patagonia Highway for approximately 1.4 miles.

This sub-phase is to pay for staff charges.

Contingent upon receiving Local Matching funds prior to PPAC.

Staff $30K

### CONCERNS OF REQUEST

### OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

### REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

### APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

**PRB ANNOUNCEMENT**
*ITEM 6am.*

**Route & MP:** Local Routes

**Project Name:** PATAGONIA HWY (SR82); MORLEY AVE - ROYAL

**Type of Work:** RD CONSTRUCT MULTI USE PATH

**County:** Santa Cruz

**District:** Southcentral

**Schedule:**

**Project:** T035703D TIP#: 103141

**Project Manager:** Pedram Shafieian

**Program Amount:** $0

**New Program Amount:** $182,000

**Requested Action:** Establish new project.
Establish new project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This project will increase pedestrian safety by constructing a 10-foot-wide multi-use pathway along the Patagonia Highway for approximately 1.4 miles. This sub-phase is to pay for Design Consultant.

Contingent upon receiving Local Matching funds prior to PPAC.

Consultant $182K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST
28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
**ITEM 6an.**

**Route & MP:**  Local Routes  
**Project Name:**  GOLF COURSE RD AND COTTONWOOD WASH RD  
**Type of Work:**  SHOULders AND Rumble Strips  
**County:**  Graham  
**District:**  Southeast  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:**  T030501C TIP#: 101014  
**Project Manager:**  Pedram Shafieian  
**Program Amount:**  $0  
**New Program Amount:**  $2,176,000  
**Requested Action:**  Establish new project.
**PROJECT REVIEW BOARD (PRB) REQUEST FORM - VERSION 4.0**

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022
2. Teleconference: No

### 6. Project Name:
GOLF COURSE RD AND COTTONWOOD WASH RD

### 7. Type of Work:
SHOULders AND RUMBLE STRIPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM1P</td>
<td>SouthEast</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>Graham</td>
<td>GGH</td>
<td>T030501C</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>HSIPGGH-0(207)T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 16. Program Budget:
- $0

### 18. Current Approved Program Budget:
- $0

### 18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request:
- $2,176

### 18b Total Program Budget After Request:
- $2,176

### 19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$1,866</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>94.3pct HSIP Shoulder work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$113</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.7pct pct Local match</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHR22</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td></td>
<td>100pct Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$123</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>100pct HSIP Rumble strip and striping</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR:
-

### 22. CURRENT BID READY:
- NO

### 23. CURRENT ADV DATE:
- 6/3/2022

### CURRENT SCHEDULE:
- ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

### REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

### 25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish new project

### 26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This project will construct safety improvement by adding paved shoulders and installing shoulder rumble strips on Cottonwood Wash Rd and Golf Course Rd.

Contingent upon receiving Local Matching Funds prior to PPAC.

### 27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

### 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

### APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

**ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**
**Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0**
*ITEM 6ao.*

**Route & MP:** Local Routes

**Project Name:** FOURTH ST/CEDAR AVE/LOCKETT RD ROUNDABOUT

**Type of Work:** CONSTRUCT ROUNDABOUT

**County:** Coconino

**District:** Northcentral

**Schedule:**

**Project:** T024701R TIP#: 101020

**Project Manager:** Vivian Li

**Program Amount:** $0

**New Program Amount:** $92,000

**Requested Action:** Establish Right of Way Subphase.

---

Fourth St / Cedar Ave/ Lockett Rd Roundabout
Establish right of way sub-phase

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

Request to establish the Right-of-Way (01R) sub-phase for this roundabout project. The City of Flagstaff is administering the Right-of-Way work. The Environmental clearance was issued on 8/23/2021. The total Right-of-Way acquisition estimate is $623K ($92K [HSIP] and $531K [City of Flagstaff]). The city of Flagstaff approved their 100pct Right-of-Way funding contribution at the April 5, 2022 council meeting.

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:** ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:** REQUEST APPROVED SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6ap.

Route & MP: Local Route
Project Name: LONDON BRIDGE ROAD
Type of Work: BIKE LANES AND SIGNAGE
County: Mohave
District: Northwest
Schedule: 
Project: T035101D TIP#: 101553
Project Manager: Sandy Thoms
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $200,000
Requested Action: Establish design project.
1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/5/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/7/2022 Sandy Thoms

4. Project Manager / Presenter: Sandy Thoms, 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name: LONDON BRIDGE ROAD

7. Type of Work: BIKE LANES AND SIGNAGE


16. Program Budget: $0
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $0
18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $200
18b Total Program Budget After Request: $200

19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION</td>
<td>HSIP Design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

24a. PROJECT NAME: NO 24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO 24c. SCOPE: NO 24d. CURRENT STAGE: NOT APPLICABLE
24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24g. U&R CLEARANCE: NO 24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO 24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: NO 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NO 24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish design project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This is an HSIP project to install safety improvements including speed feedback signs, bike lanes, and associated bike lane signage.

Staff = $30k
Consultant = $170k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022 Contingent upon Lake Havasu Council approval, tentatively scheduled for 04-25-2022

CURRENTLY APPROVED:

CHANGE / REQUEST:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR:
21B. REQUEST BID READY:
21C. REQUEST ADV DATE:

CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:

24a. PROJECT NAME: NO 24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO 24c. SCOPE: NO 24d. CURRENT STAGE: NOT APPLICABLE
24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24g. U&R CLEARANCE: NO 24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO 24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: NO 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NO 24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish design project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This is an HSIP project to install safety improvements including speed feedback signs, bike lanes, and associated bike lane signage.

Staff = $30k
Consultant = $170k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022 Contingent upon Lake Havasu Council approval, tentatively scheduled for 04-25-2022

CURRENTLY APPROVED:

CHANGE / REQUEST:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR:
21B. REQUEST BID READY:
21C. REQUEST ADV DATE:

CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:

24a. PROJECT NAME: NO 24b. TYPE OF WORK: NO 24c. SCOPE: NO 24d. CURRENT STAGE: NOT APPLICABLE
24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24g. U&R CLEARANCE: NO 24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO 24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: NO 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NO 24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish design project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
This is an HSIP project to install safety improvements including speed feedback signs, bike lanes, and associated bike lane signage.

Staff = $30k
Consultant = $170k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022 Contingent upon Lake Havasu Council approval, tentatively scheduled for 04-25-2022

Page 264 of 329
PPAC - NEW PROJECTS - DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION

*ITEM 6aq.

**Route & MP:** SR 377 @ MP 0  
**Project Name:** SR 277 - Forest Service Bdry  
**Type of Work:** PAVEMENT REHABILITATION  
**County:** Navajo  
**District:** Northeast  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F050601D TIP#: 103292  
**Project Manager:** Shantala Ramaiah  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $180,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish New Project.

---

SR 377, SR 277 - Forest Service Boundary
Establish New Project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The existing pavement is in substandard condition and needs rehabilitation. This project will construct spot repairs and address non-compliant ADA features and deficient guardrail, as needed. Construction is currently planned to come out of the Minor & Preventative Pavement Subprogram in FY23.

Consultant: $129k
Staff: $35k
ICAP: $16k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM

REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

Page 266 of 329
*ITEM 6ar.

**Route & MP:** SR 79 @ MP 132.0

**Project Name:** FANNIN-MCFARLAND AQUADUCT - JASON LOPEZ CIR

**Type of Work:** PAVEMENT REHABILITATION

**County:** Pinal

**District:** Southcentral

**Schedule:**

**Project:** F051801D TIP#: 103294

**Project Manager:** Shantala Ramaiah

**Program Amount:** $0

**New Program Amount:** $170,000

**Requested Action:** Establish New Project.
Establish New Project.

The existing pavement is in substandard condition and needs rehabilitation. This project will construct spot repairs and address non-compliant ADA features and deficient guardrail, as needed. Construction is currently planned to come out of the Minor & Preventative Pavement Subprogram in FY23.

Consultant: $120k
Staff: $35k
ICAP: $15k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6as.

**Route & MP:** Local Routes  
**Project Name:** BOUNDARY CONE RD & OATMAN HWY  
**Type of Work:** RUMBLE STRIPS  
**County:** Mohave  
**District:** Northwest  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** T035301D TIP#: 102701  
**Project Manager:** Sandy Thoms  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $252,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish design project.
PRB Item #: 03

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/5/2022
2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/7/2022
Sandy Thoms

4. Project Manager / Presenter: Sandy Thoms
   , , - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name: BOUNDARY CONE RD & OATMAN HWY
7. Type of Work: RUMBLE STRIPS


16. Program Budget: $0 17. Program Item #: 102701
18. Current Approved Program Budget: $0 18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $0 18b Total Program Budget After Request: $252

Currently Approved:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70122</td>
<td>$252</td>
<td>MODERNIZATION HSIP Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change / Request:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

19. Budget Items:

Change Request / New Schedule:

21A. Request Fiscal Year:
22A. Request Bid Ready:
23A. Request Adv Date:

20. JPA #'s: 22-0008556 SIGNED: YES ADV: YES

Change In:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change In:</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>-action-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24a. Project Name:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24b. Type of Work:</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24c. Scope:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24d. Current Stage:</td>
<td>NOT APPLICABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24e. Environmental Clearance:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24f. Materials Memo Comp:</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24g. U&amp;R Clearance:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24h. C&amp;S Clearance:</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24i. R/W Clearance:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>24j. Customized Schedule:</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24k. Scoping Document:</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. Description of Request
Establish design project.

26. Justification of Request
This is an HSIP project to install rumble strips along Boundary Cone Road from SR 95 to Oatman Highway and on Oatman Highway from Boundary Cone Road to Powell Lake Road.

Staff = $30k
Consultant = $222k

27. Concerns of Request

28. Other Alternatives Considered

Requested Actions:
Establish a new project

Approved / Recommended Actions:
Request Approved
Subject to PPAC Approval - 5/4/2022
Contingent upon Mohave County council approval on 04-18-2022

PRB Approved
ITEM 6at.  
**Route & MP:** US 60 @ MP  54.0  
**Project Name:** SALOME - WENDEN  
**Type of Work:** PAVEMENT REHABILITATION  
**County:** La Paz  
**District:** Northwest  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F051001D TIP#: 103295  
**Project Manager:** Shantala Ramaiah  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $190,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish New Project.
**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Establish New Project.

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

The existing pavement is in substandard condition and needs rehabilitation. This project will construct spot repairs and address non-compliant ADA features and deficient guardrail, as needed. Construction is currently planned to come out of the Minor & Preventative Pavement Subprogram in FY23.

Consultant: $138k
Staff: $35k
ICAP: $17k

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

Establish New Project

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ITEM</strong> 6au.</th>
<th><strong>Route &amp; MP:</strong></th>
<th>US 89 @ MP 425.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Name:</strong></td>
<td>TIMBERLINE - DEADMAN FLAT RD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Work:</strong></td>
<td>PAVEMENT REHABILITATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County:</strong></td>
<td>Coconino</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District:</strong></td>
<td>Northcentral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schedule:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project:</strong></td>
<td>F051701D TIP#: 103297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Manager:</strong></td>
<td>Shantala Ramaiah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New Program Amount:</strong></td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requested Action:</strong></td>
<td>Establish New Project.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Map of US 89, Timberline - Deadman Flat Rd](image-url)
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ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022  ✓ 2. Teleconference: No

3. Form Date / 5. Form By: 4/28/2022
Shantala Ramaiah

4. Project Manager / Presenter:
Shantala Ramaiah  @ - 4983 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

6. Project Name: TIMBERLINE - DEADMAN FLAT RD
7. Type of Work: PAVEMENT REHABILITATION


16. Program Budget: $0  17. Program Item #: 103297

18. Current Approved Program Budget: $0  18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: $180  18b Total Program Budget After Request: $180

19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72522</td>
<td>$180</td>
<td>PRESERVATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHANGE / REQUEST:

19A. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CURRENTLY APPROVED:

PROJECT FUNDING VERIFIED BY PM

CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: NO
22A. REQUEST BID READY: NO
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: NO


24e. ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: NO 24f. MATERIALS MEMO COMP: NO
24g. U&RR CLEARANCE: NO 24h. C&S CLEARANCE: NO
24i. R/W CLEARANCE: NO
24j. CUSTOMIZED SCHEDULE: NO
24k. SCOPING DOCUMENT: NO

25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish New Project.

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
The existing pavement is in substandard condition and needs rehabilitation. This project will construct spot repairs and address non-compliant ADA features and deficient guardrail, as needed.

Construction is currently planned to come out of the Minor & Preventative Pavement Subprogram in FY23.

Consultant: $129k
Staff: $35k
ICAP: $16k

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

PRB APPROVED
ITEM 6av.  

**Route & MP:** Various Routes  
**Project Name:** VARIOUS LOCATIONS IN MAG REGION  
**Type of Work:** UPGRADE CONTROLLERS  
**County:** Maricopa  
**District:**  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** F051301X TIP#: 103299  
**Project Manager:** Steven Cheshko  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $368,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish New Project.
Establish New Project

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**

ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**

REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

**CURRENTLY APPROVED:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79022</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHANGE / REQUEST:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Smart Highway Technology Investments Program FY22</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**CURRENT SCHEDULE:**

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO

22. CURRENT BID READY: NO

23. CURRENT ADV DATE: NO

---

**CHANGE REQUEST/NEW SCHEDULE:**

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: 22

22A. REQUEST BID READY: NO

23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: NO

---

**25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST**

Establish New Project

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

This project will go through a procurement contract for the purchase of ramp meter and count station controllers along various parts of the Interstate and National Highway System in the MAG region. Environmental, U&RR, and R/W Clearances are need. Installation will be done by ADOT staff.

This project was approved by SuPIRB using Smart Highway Funds. Approved by MAG Regional Council on April 27, 2022.

Project: $330K (for procurement)

Design: $3K (for clearances)

ICAP: $35K

---

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

---

---
*ITEM 6aw.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route &amp; MP:</th>
<th>Local Route</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Name:</td>
<td>JAMES RANCH RD; US BORDER - SR 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Work:</td>
<td>SCOPING (DCR/EA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County:</td>
<td>Cochise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District:</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project:</td>
<td>F051101L TIP#: 103259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Tazeen Dewan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Amount:</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Program Amount:</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requested Action:</td>
<td>Establish new project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

James Ranch Rd; US Border - SR 80
Establish new project

The purpose of this project is to provide connectivity from the proposed second international port-of-entry (IPOE) near Douglas to the state highway system (SR 80). The existing IPOE would remain in place to handle pedestrians, buses, and light vehicles.

Consultant = $1,250K  
Staff = $107K  
ICAP (10.50pct)= $143K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS: ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS: REQUEST APPROVED SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
Route & MP: SR 347 @ MP 174.0
Project Name: SR 238 - MARICOPA CITY LIMITS
Type of Work: WIDEN ROADWAY
County: Pinal
District: Central
Schedule: Project: F043601D TIP#: 103309
Project Manager: Trent Kelso
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $368,000
Requested Action: Establish Project.
Project Review Board (PRB) Request Form - Version 4.0

1. PRB Meeting Date: 4/26/2022
2. Teleconference: No

---

### 6. Project Name:
SR238 - MARICOPA CITY LIMITS

### 7. Type of Work:
WIDEN ROADWAY

UT1P Central 347 Pinal 174.0 F043601D 1.4 347-A(213)T

### 16. Program Budget: 17. Program Item #: 18. Current Approved Program Budget: 18a. (+/-) Program Budget Request: 18b Total Program Budget After Request:
$0 103309 $0 $368 $368

---

### 19. BUDGET ITEMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>73322</td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>STATEWIDE MINOR PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENTLY APPROVED:

### CHANGE / REQUEST:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item #</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$368</td>
<td>STATEWIDE MINOR PROJECTS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### CURRENT SCHEDULE:

21. CURRENT FISCAL YEAR: NO
22. CURRENT BID READY: NO
23. CURRENT ADV DATE: YES

### CHANGE REQUEST / NEW SCHEDULE:

21A. REQUEST FISCAL YEAR: NO
22A. REQUEST BID READY: NO
23A. REQUEST ADV DATE: NO

---

### 24. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

Establish Project

### 25. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST

The project will improve the operational efficiency and safety of the Lakeview/Cobblestone Farms/SR 347 intersection by adding a 3rd northbound lane from SR238 to north of the City limits and an acceleration lane north of Lakeview Drive. The design includes survey and mapping, geotechnical investigations, Coordination with GRIC, Final PS&E documents, and preparation of the three clearance documents (ROW, Utilities, and Environmental).

Staff: $50K
Consultant: $283k
ICAP: $35K

### 27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

### 28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

---

### REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

### APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022

---

**PRB APPROVED**
*ITEM 6ay.*

**Route & MP:** Local Routes  
**Project Name:** 6 INTERSECTIONS IN GLENDALE  
**Type of Work:** INSTALL FLASHING YELLOW ARROWS  
**County:** Maricopa  
**District:** Central  
**Schedule:**  
**Project:** T034901D TIP#: 101871  
**Project Manager:** Trent Kelso  
**Program Amount:** $0  
**New Program Amount:** $30,000  
**Requested Action:** Establish Project.
Establish Project

**26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST**

This project will provide for installation of flashing yellow arrow (FYA) protected/permissive phasing for six intersections within the City of Glendale, 3” retroreflective yellow tape on all signal heads, and will be installed on all the signal head at all the six intersections. Striping will be modified to eliminate negative offset at three of the intersections.

Staff $30K

**27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST**

**28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

**REQUESTED ACTIONS:**
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

**APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:**
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6az.

**Route & MP:** Local Routes

**Project Name:** 6 INTERSECTIONS IN GLENDALE

**Type of Work:** INSTALL FLASHING YELLOW ARROWS

**County:** Maricopa

**District:** Central

**Schedule:**

**Project:** T034903D TIP#: 101871

**Project Manager:** Trent Kelso

**Program Amount:** $0

**New Program Amount:** $243,000

**Requested Action:** Establish Project.
Establish Project

This project will provide for installation of flashing yellow arrow (FYA) protected/permissive phasing for six intersections within the City of Glendale, 3” retroreflective yellow tape on all signal heads, and will be installed on the all the signal head at all the six intersections. Striping will be modified to eliminate negative offset at three of the intersections.

Consultant $243K

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:  ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:  REQUEST APPROVED

SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
*ITEM 6ba.

Route & MP: SR 68 @ MP 1.8
Project Name: UNION PASS - TRUCK ESCAPE RAMPS
Type of Work: INSTALL MONITORING SYSTEMS
County: Mohave
District: Northwest
Schedule:
Project: F052501X TIP#: 103290
Project Manager: Trevor Eltringham
Program Amount: $0
New Program Amount: $120,000
Requested Action: Establish new project.
25. DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST
Establish new project

26. JUSTIFICATION OF REQUEST
The purpose of this project is to remove 2 old Truck Escape Ramp monitoring systems that are not working and are currently located at MP 1.8 and 5.7 along SR68. Replace these systems with state of the art solar systems complete with pre warning flashers and remote connections to TOC.

When trucks use these ramps, there is no notification to travelers that the ramps are occupied. TOC is not notified and the safety of first responders becomes compromised. The systems will be purchased through Procurement and installed by ADOT forces under statewide ITS supervision with help from Northwest traffic operations. ICAP is included in this request.

27. CONCERNS OF REQUEST

28. OTHER ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

REQUESTED ACTIONS:
ESTABLISH A NEW PROJECT

APPROVED / RECOMMENDED ACTIONS:
REQUEST APPROVED
SUBJECT TO PPAC APPROVAL - 5/4/2022
ITEM 6bb.

Route & MP: Various Routes

Project Name: STATEWIDE VARIOUS LOCATIONS

Type of Work: REPLACE VEHICLE DETECTION

County: SYSTEMS Statewide

District: Northwest

Schedule: 

Project: F052401X TIP#: 103291

Project Manager: Trevor Eltringham

Program Amount: $0

New Program Amount: $289,000

Requested Action: Establish new project.
Establish new project

NW unit has identified failing vehicle detection systems at 8 locations throughout the Northwestern region. TSMO has approved replacement systems at these 8 locations. Functional vehicle detection is the most important part of an efficient traffic signal. This new detection will be installed out of the roadway and attached to the signal mast arms preventing it from future damage caused by failing pavement and pavement preservation jobs. ICAP is included in this request.
The Status of Projects Under Construction report for April 2022 shows 91 projects under construction valued at $1,978,835,182.32. The transportation board awarded 5 projects during April valued at approximately $49.2 million.

During April, the Department finalized 7 projects valued at $31,574,290.28. Projects where the final cost exceeded the contractors bid amount by more than 5% are detailed in your board package.

Fiscal Year to date we have finalized 67 projects. The total cost of these 67 projects has exceeded the contractors bid amount by 1.4%. Deducting incentive/bonus payments, revisions, omissions and additional work paid for by others, fiscal year to date reduces this percentage to -1.0%.
MONTHLY CONSTRUCTION REPORT

April 2022

PROJECTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION 91

MONETARY VALUE OF CONTRACTS $1,978,835,182.32

PAYMENTS MADE TO DATE $839,810,141.64

STATE PROJECTS 74

LOCAL GOVERNMENT 17

OTHER

CONTRACTS EXECUTED IN FEBRUARY 2022 8

MONETARY AMOUNT OF CONTRACTS EXECUTED $54,104,176.28

FIELD REPORTS SECTION

EXT. 7301
Accumulation to Date (FiscalYear 2022 ONLY)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Contracts</th>
<th>Accumulative State Estimate</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Final Cost</th>
<th>Monetary</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>$436,688,004.19</td>
<td>$434,648,974.69</td>
<td>$440,623,215.00</td>
<td>$5,974,240.31</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared By: Ladd Bouts 5/4/2022
Field Reports Unit, X7301

Checked By: Irene Del Castillo 5/4/2022
Irene Del Castillo, Manager
Field Reports Unit, X7301
## Completed Contracts (Fiscal Year 2022)

### April, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Totals</th>
<th>No. of Contracts</th>
<th>State Estimate</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Final Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of Projects: 7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$31,912,449.78</td>
<td>$32,673,723.53</td>
<td>$31,574,290.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Monetary**
- $761,273.75
- ($1,099,433.25)
## Completed Contracts Fiscal Year 2022
### April, 2022

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Location District</th>
<th>State Estimate</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Final Cost</th>
<th>Monetary</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>093-A-(206)T</td>
<td>NorthWest District</td>
<td></td>
<td>FANN CONTRACTING, INC</td>
<td>$499,411.80</td>
<td>$12,227,249.80</td>
<td>$12,773,705.39</td>
<td>$546,455.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE0-0-(215)T</td>
<td>Central District</td>
<td></td>
<td>HAYDON BUILDING CORP</td>
<td>$399,994.95</td>
<td>$11,271,677.73</td>
<td>$11,153,893.32</td>
<td>($117,784.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>092-A-(204)T</td>
<td>SouthCent District</td>
<td></td>
<td>FISHER SAND &amp; GRAVEL CO. DBA SOUTHWEST ASPHALT PAVING</td>
<td>($131,737.00)</td>
<td>$6,969,696.00</td>
<td>$5,325,412.32</td>
<td>($1,644,283.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Location District</td>
<td>State Estimate</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Bid Amount</td>
<td>Final Cost</td>
<td>Monetary</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPN-0-(216)T T006501C</td>
<td>BARNES RD - FUQUA RD TO STANFI</td>
<td>SouthCent District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Days: 250</td>
<td>Days Used: 234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPN-0-(217)T T006701C</td>
<td>STANFIELD RD - TALLA RD TO MIL</td>
<td>SouthCent District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Days: 250</td>
<td>Days Used: 234</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sunland Asphalt &amp; Construction Inc.</td>
<td>Low Bid = ($6,396.00) or 0.29% under State Estimate</td>
<td>2,211,496.00</td>
<td>$2,205,100.00</td>
<td>$2,321,279.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## FINAL COST VS BID ADJUSTED

**FISCAL YEAR 2022.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE FINAL COST</th>
<th>REVISIONS/OMISSIONS #4 &amp; #5</th>
<th>INCENTIVE/BONUS #7</th>
<th>ADD'L WORK PD OTHERS #3</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE ADJ</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE BID AMOUNT</th>
<th>ADJUSTED FINAL COST</th>
<th>ADJ CUM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul-21</td>
<td>$13,114,728</td>
<td>$243,287</td>
<td>$(7,189)</td>
<td>$69,966</td>
<td>$306,064</td>
<td>$12,739,896</td>
<td>$12,808,665</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug-21</td>
<td>$159,659,933</td>
<td>$2,730,400</td>
<td>$500,755</td>
<td>$1,096,935</td>
<td>$4,634,153</td>
<td>$152,757,285</td>
<td>$155,025,780</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-21</td>
<td>$209,786,828</td>
<td>$709,024</td>
<td>$122,004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,465,181</td>
<td>$195,717,714</td>
<td>$204,321,647</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-21</td>
<td>$244,205,723</td>
<td>$246,754</td>
<td>$758,388</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$6,470,322</td>
<td>$229,753,407</td>
<td>$237,735,400</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov-21</td>
<td>$245,689,111</td>
<td>$75,870</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$6,546,192</td>
<td>$231,093,038</td>
<td>$239,142,919</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec-21</td>
<td>$275,836,516</td>
<td>$211,887</td>
<td>$738,037</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$7,496,116</td>
<td>$262,688,005</td>
<td>$268,340,400</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-22</td>
<td>$291,015,962</td>
<td>$36,891</td>
<td>$245,023</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$7,778,030</td>
<td>$278,914,736</td>
<td>$283,237,932</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-22</td>
<td>$293,995,890</td>
<td>$27,544</td>
<td>$(5,065)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$7,800,509</td>
<td>$281,943,678</td>
<td>$286,195,381</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar-22</td>
<td>$409,048,925</td>
<td>$1,266,749</td>
<td>$247,727</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$9,314,985</td>
<td>$401,975,251</td>
<td>$399,733,940</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr-22</td>
<td>$440,623,215</td>
<td>$568,414</td>
<td>$405,612</td>
<td>$3,846</td>
<td>$10,292,857</td>
<td>$434,648,975</td>
<td>$430,330,358</td>
<td>-1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,292,857</td>
<td></td>
<td>$(10,292,857)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$10,292,857</td>
<td></td>
<td>$(10,292,857)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$6,116,819 $3,005,291 $1,170,747 $10,292,857
Federal-Aid ("A" "B" "T" "D") projects do not need FHWA concurrence, but must comply with DBE regulations; other projects are subject to FHWA and/or local government concurrence and compliance with DBE regulations.

*ITEM 8a: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 1
  BIDS OPENED: APRIL 15, 2022
  HIGHWAY: EHRENBERG – PHOENIX HIGHWAY (I-10)
  SECTION: MP 105.95 WEST OF BUCKEYE TO JCT SR 85
  COUNTY: MARICOPA
  ROUTE NO.: I-10
  PROJECT: TRACS: 010-A(234)T: 010 MA 105 F026101C
  FUNDING: 99.34% FEDS 0.66% STATE
  LOW BIDDER: FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.
  LOW BID AMOUNT: $13,940,973.68
  STATE ESTIMATE: $10,070,661.00
  $ OVER ESTIMATE: $3,870,312.68
  % OVER ESTIMATE: 38.4%
  PROJECT DBE GOAL: 6.25%
  BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 6.25%
  NO. BIDDERS: 2
  RECOMMENDATION: REJECT ALL BIDS
*ITEM 8b: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 6

BIDS OPENED: APRIL 22, 2022
HIGHWAY: CORDES JCT – FLAGSTAFF HIGHWAY (I-17)
SECTION: SUNSET POINT REST AREA
COUNTY: YAVAPAI
ROUTE NO.: I-17
PROJECT: TRACS: 017-A(257)T: 017 YV 251 F025801C
FUNDING: 94.34% FEDS  5.66% STATE
LOW BIDDER: HAYDON BUILDING CORP
LOW BID AMOUNT: $ 7,529,000.00
STATE ESTIMATE: $ 4,400,506.40
$ OVER ESTIMATE: $ 3,128,493.60
% OVER ESTIMATE: 71.1%
PROJECT DBE GOAL: 6.74%
BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 6.79%
NO. BIDDERS: 3
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD
*ITEM 8c:  BOARD DISTRICT NO.:  5

BIDS OPENED:  APRIL 22, 2022
HIGHWAY:  BITTER SPRINGS – FREDONIA HIGHWAY (US 89A)
SECTION:  SR 67 JCT TO NF-248D
COUNTY:  COCONINO
ROUTE NO.:  US 89A

PROJECT TRACS:  A89-C(208)T: 089A CN 579 F041401C
FUNDING:  94.3% FEDS  5.7% STATE

LOW BIDDER:  STAKER & PARSON COMPANIES
LOW BID AMOUNT:  $8,090,335.04
STATE ESTIMATE:  $6,151,323.00
$ OVER ESTIMATE:  $1,939,012.04
% OVER ESTIMATE:  31.5%
PROJECT DBE GOAL:  2.04%
BIDDER DBE PLEDGE:  2.13%

NO. BIDDERS:  2
RECOMMENDATION:  AWARD

US 89A: SR 67 Jct - NF-248D
*ITEM 8d: BOARD DISTRICT NO.: 6

BIDS OPENED: APRIL 15, 2022
HIGHWAY: KINGMAN – WICKENBURG HIGHWAY (US 93)
SECTION: SOUTH FORK SANTA MARIA – SR 71
COUNTY: YAVAPAI
ROUTE NO.: US 93
PROJECT: TRACS: 093-B(220)T: 093 YV 161 F038001C
FUNDING: 100% FEDS
LOW BIDDER: PAVEMENT MARKING LLC
LOW BID AMOUNT: $ 545,098.04
STATE ESTIMATE: $ 405,061.00
$ OVER ESTIMATE: $ 140,037.04
% OVER ESTIMATE: 34.6%
PROJECT DBE GOAL: 1.46%
BIDDER DBE PLEDGE: 1.50%
NO. BIDDERS: 2
RECOMMENDATION: AWARD

US 93: S Fork Santa Maria River - SR 71, S of Wickieup
*ITEM 8e:*

**BOARD DISTRICT NO.:** 6  
**BIDS OPENED:** APRIL 15, 2022  
**HIGHWAY:** CITY OF COTTONWOOD  
**SECTION:** BLOWOUT WASH BRIDGE  
**COUNTY:** YAVAPAI  
**ROUTE NO.:** LOCAL  
**PROJECT : TRACS:** CWD-0(203)T: 0000 YV CWD T025101C  
**FUNDING:** 94.3% FEDS  5.7% LOCAL  
**LOW BIDDER:** VASTCO, INC.  
**LOW BID AMOUNT:** $ 828,822.75  
**STATE ESTIMATE:** $ 223,150.45  
**$ OVER ESTIMATE:** $ 605,672.30  
**% OVER ESTIMATE:** 271.4%  
**PROJECT DBE GOAL:** 10.29%  
**BIDDER DBE PLEDGE:** 11.71%  
**NO. BIDDERS:** 1  
**RECOMMENDATION:** POSTPONED
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION  
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION  

BID RESULTS  

Completion Date:  
120 Working Days  
The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 60 between mileposts 352.50 and 353.18, at the junction of SR 61. The work consists of the construction of turn and merging lanes. Other work includes placing pavement markings and other miscellaneous work.  

Bid Opening Date: 4/22/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Patwary Mohammed  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termin</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>060 AP 352</td>
<td>F035801C 060-F-(203)T</td>
<td>SHOW LOW – SPRINGERVILLE HIGHWAY (US 60)</td>
<td>US 60 NORFOLK RD TO SR61 NorthEast District 101873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,519,743.02</td>
<td>SHOW LOW CONSTRUCTION, INC.</td>
<td>1801 W. DEUCE OF CLUBS, SUITE 300 SHOW LOW, AZ 85901-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,574,000.00</td>
<td>SUNLAND ASPHALT &amp; CONSTRUCTION LLC</td>
<td>1625 E. NORTHERN AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85020-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 0.5% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $8,160.02)
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, APRIL 22, 2022, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 060 AP 352 F035801C
PROJECT NO 060-F(203)T
TERMINI SHOW LOW – SPRINGERVILLE HIGHWAY (US 60)
LOCATION NORFOLK RD. – SR 61

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE NO.</th>
<th>MILEPOST</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>060</td>
<td>352.20 to 353.18</td>
<td>NORTHEAST</td>
<td>101873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount programmed for this contract is $1,950,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Apache County on US 60 between mileposts 352.50 and 353.18, at the junction of SR 61. The work consists of the construction of turn and merging lanes. Other work includes placing pavement markings and other miscellaneous work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 120 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 8.75.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.

The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.
This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 03/22/2022
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION  
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:  
110 Calendar Days  
The proposed work is located in Pinal County on State Route 77 between mileposts 88.88 to 95.05 and 103.60 to 110.90 near Oracle. The proposed work consists of removing the existing asphaltic concrete surface course by milling and replacing it with an Asphaltic Rubber – Asphaltic Concrete Friction Course and a bonded wearing course overlay. The project also includes spot repair work by milling and replacing with asphaltic concrete. Additional work includes replacing pavement markings and other miscellaneous work.

Bid Opening Date: 4/15/2022,  
Prequalification Required,  
Engineer Specialist: Sunder Shiva

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termin</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>077 PN 088</td>
<td>F040101C 077-A-(220)T</td>
<td>TUCSON-ORACLE JCT-GLOBE HWY (SR 77) SADDLEBROOKE BLVD - REDINGTON SouthCent District</td>
<td>102282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,494,477.00</td>
<td>GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY</td>
<td>4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$4,546,896.50</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$4,840,000.00</td>
<td>SUNLAND ASPHALT &amp; CONSTRUCTION LLC</td>
<td>1625 E. NORTHERN AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85020-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 1.2% Under Department Estimate (Difference = ($52,419.50))
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, APRIL 15, 2022, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 077 PN 088 F0401 01C
PROJECT NO 077-A(220)T
TERMINI TUCSON – ORACLE JCT – GLOBE HWY (SR 77)
LOCATION SADDLEBROOKE BLVD – REDINGTON RD

The amount programmed for this contract is $6,300,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed work is located in Pinal County on State Route 77 between mileposts 88.88 to 95.05 and 103.60 to 110.90 near Oracle. The proposed work consists of removing the existing asphaltic concrete surface course by milling and replacing it with an Asphaltic Rubber – Asphaltic Concrete Friction Course and a bonded wearing course overlay. The project also includes spot repair work by milling and replacing with asphaltic concrete. Additional work includes replacing pavement markings and other miscellaneous work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 110 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 5.78.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).
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Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.

The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier’s check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.
Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 02/28/2022
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION  
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:  
150 Calendar Days  
The proposed project is located in Cochise County on SR 90 south of Benson, from Milepost 298 to Milepost 299 and from Milepost 306 to Milepost 307. The work consists of pavement rehabilitation. The work includes milling the existing asphaltic concrete and replacing it with new asphaltic concrete and asphaltic concrete friction course for northbound SR 90, spot repair by grading roadway for pavement, pavement marking and other related work.

Bid Opening Date: 4/8/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Vian Rashid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$2,625,592.20</td>
<td>FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.</td>
<td>115 S. 48TH STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281-8504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$2,745,172.00</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3,040,000.00</td>
<td>SUNLAND ASPHALT &amp; CONSTRUCTION LLC</td>
<td>1625 E. NORTHERN AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85020-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$3,083,425.00</td>
<td>GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY</td>
<td>4115 E ILLINOIS ST TUCSON, AZ 85714-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 4.4% Under Department Estimate (Difference = ($119,579.80))
The amount programmed for this contract is $3,750,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Cochise County on SR 90 south of Benson, from Milepost 298 to Milepost 299 and from Milepost 306 to Milepost 307. The work consists of pavement rehabilitation. The work includes milling the existing asphaltic concrete and replacing it with new asphaltic concrete and asphaltic concrete friction course for northbound SR 90, spot repair by grading roadway for pavement, pavement marking and other related work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 150 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 3.58.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.

The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.
This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

For Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 02/07/2022
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:
220 Working Days
The proposed project is located on Interstate 10 in Maricopa County, in the Southwest District, within the City of Buckeye. The project limits begin at milepost 105.95 and end at milepost 112.33. The work consists of milling the existing pavement surface and replacing it with new asphalt concrete, project also includes guardrail installation, drainage work, bridge deck rehabilitation work, pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

Bid Opening Date: 4/15/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Rene Teran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termini</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>010 MA 105</td>
<td>F026101C 010-A-(234)T</td>
<td>EHRENBERG - PHOENIX HWY (I-10)</td>
<td>MP 105.95 W OF BUCKEYE TO JCT SouthWest District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$13,940,973.68</td>
<td>FNF CONSTRUCTION, INC.</td>
<td>115 S. 48TH STREET TEMPE, AZ 85281-8504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$16,924,000.00</td>
<td>SUNLAND ASPHALT &amp; CONSTRUCTION LLC</td>
<td>1625 E. NORTHERN AVENUE PHOENIX, AZ 85020-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 38.4% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $3,870,312.68)
The proposed project is located on Interstate 10 in Maricopa County, in the Southwest District, within the City of Buckeye. The project limits begin at milepost 105.95 and end at milepost 112.33. The work consists of milling the existing pavement surface and replacing it with new asphalt concrete, project also includes guardrail installation, drainage work, bridge deck rehabilitation work, pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 220 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 6.25.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.
The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally.
The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: March 8, 2022
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:
210 Calendar Days

The proposed project is located in Yavapai County on southbound I-17 at milepost 251. The work will include the rehabilitation of existing site features including restroom and vending buildings, ramadas, the sundial monument, parking lot pavement, pavement marking and signage, sidewalks and curbs, landscaping, and the water and wastewater systems. Site improvements will be made to include the required ADA compliance upgrades.

Bid Opening Date: 4/22/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Brandon Campbell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termini</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>017 YV 251</td>
<td>F025801C 017-A-(257)T</td>
<td>CORDES JCT - FLAGSTAFF HWY (I-17)</td>
<td>Sunset Point Rest Area NorthWest District 100245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7,529,000.00</td>
<td>HAYDON BUILDING CORP</td>
<td>4640 E. COTTON GIN LOOP PHOENIX, AZ 85040-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$7,677,777.00</td>
<td>FANN CONTRACTING, INC</td>
<td>PO BOX 4356 PRESCOTT, AZ 86302-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$7,954,480.27</td>
<td>TECHNOLOGY CONSTRUCTION, INC.</td>
<td>5430 SIDE ROAD PRESCOTT, AZ 86301-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 71.1% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $3,128,493.60)
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY, APRIL 22, 2022, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO 017 YV 251 F0258 01C
PROJECT NO 017-A(257)T
TERMINI CORDES JCT – FLAGSTAFF HIGHWAY (I-17)
LOCATION SUNSET POINT REST AREA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUTE NO.</th>
<th>MILEPOST</th>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-17</td>
<td>251.5 to 252.3</td>
<td>NORTHWEST</td>
<td>100245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amount programmed for this contract is $5,800,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Yavapai County on southbound I-17 at milepost 251. The work will include the rehabilitation of existing site features including restroom and vending buildings, ramadas, the sundial monument, parking lot pavement, pavement marking and signage, sidewalks and curbs, landscaping, and the water and wastewater systems. Site improvements will be made to include the required ADA compliance upgrades.

A site visit will be held on Tuesday, April 5, 2022. The site visit will be at 10:00 AM, beginning at the large ramada just south of the main restroom building at the Sunset Point Rest Area. An ADOT representative will be on site to unlock buildings for contractors. All questions shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 210 calendar days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 6.74.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime. The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted
through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 3/10/2022
**Completion Date:**
95 Working Days

The proposed project is located on US 89A in Coconino County, SE of Fredonia, within ADOT Northcentral District, beginning at approximately MP 579 and extending northwesterly to approximate MP 594. Work consists of removing and replacing 2" of existing asphalt concrete full width, followed by a chip seal coat (full width). The work also includes pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

**Bid Opening Date:** 4/22/2022, **Prequalification Required,** **Engineer Specialist:** Rene Teran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termin</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>089A CN 579 F041401C A89-C-(208)T</td>
<td>BITTERSPRINGS - FREDONIA HIGHWAY (US 89A)</td>
<td>SR 67 Jct to NF-248D NorthCent District</td>
<td>102308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$8,090,335.04</td>
<td>STAKER &amp; PARSON COMPANIES</td>
<td>2530 S 1900 W Suite 100 OGDEN, UT 84401-3481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10,079,790.93</td>
<td>CACTUS TRANSPORT, INC.</td>
<td>8211 WEST SHERMAN STREET TOLLESON, AZ 85353-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Apparent Low Bidder is 31.5% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $1,939,012.04)**
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADVERTISEMENT FOR BIDS

BID OPENING: FRIDAY APRIL 22, 2022, AT 11:00 A.M. (M.S.T.)

TRACS NO  89A CN 579 F0414 01C  
PROJECT NO  A89-C(208)T  
TERMINI  BITTER SPRINGS - FREDONIA HIGHWAY (US 89A)  
LOCATION  SR 67JCT TO NF-248D

ROUTE NO.  MILEPOST  DISTRICT  ITEM NO.
US 89A  579 to 594  Northcentral  102308

The amount programmed for this contract is $ 5,900,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located on US 89A in Coconino County, SE of Fredonia, within ADOT Northcentral District, beginning at approximately MP 579 and extending northwesterly to approximate MP 594. Work consists of removing and replacing 2" of existing asphalt concrete full width, followed by a chip seal coat (full width). The work also includes pavement marking, traffic control and other related work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 95 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 2.04.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.
The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links.
The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: March 15, 2022
ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY AND OPERATIONS DIVISION  
CONTRACTS AND SPECIFICATIONS SECTION

BID RESULTS

Completion Date:  
50 Working Days  
The proposed project is located in Yavapai County on US 93 from milepost 161.8 through 182.6, near Congress, Arizona. The proposed work consists of the installation of centerline rumble strips, cracks seal, applying pavement marking and other related work.

Bid Opening Date: 4/15/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Yusuf Kadem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termin</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>093 YV 161</td>
<td>F038001C 093-B-(220)T</td>
<td>KINGMAN-WICKENBERG HIGHWAY (US93) SOUTH FORK SANTA MARIA-SR 71 NorthWest District</td>
<td>100974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$545,098.04</td>
<td>PAVEMENT MARKING LLC</td>
<td>8949 SOUTH BECK AVE TEMPE, AZ 85284-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$565,565.65</td>
<td>NEVADA BARRICADE &amp; SIGN COMPANY, INC.</td>
<td>9530 NORTH VIRGINIA ST RENO, NV 89506-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 34.6% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $140,037.04)
The amount programmed for this contract is $392,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Yavapai County on US 93 from milepost 161.8 to 182.6 near Congress, Arizona. The proposed work consists of the installation of centerline rumble strips, cracks seal, applying pavement marking and other related work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 50 working days.

This contract includes an abbreviated period for execution of contract and start of work.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 1.46.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.
To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.

The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.

This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT’s Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole
discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

For Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 3/02/2022
Completion Date:
75 Working Days

The proposed project is located in Yavapai County within the City of Cottonwood, on Pima street between N. 2nd St. and 3rd St. The work consists of replacing the existing bridge deck, replacing bridge barrier, reconstructing the roadway approaches, and other related work.

Bid Opening Date: 4/15/2022, Prequalification Required, Engineer Specialist: Layth Al Obaidi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Highway Termin</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000 YV CWD T025101C CWD-0-(203)T</td>
<td>CITY OF COTTONWOOD</td>
<td>Blowout Wash Bridge NorthCent District</td>
<td>LOCAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
<th>Contractor Name</th>
<th>Address of Contractor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$828,822.75</td>
<td>VASTCO, INC.</td>
<td>425 INDUSTRIAL DRIVE CHINO VALLEY, AZ 86323-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$223,150.45</td>
<td>DEPARTMENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent Low Bidder is 271.4% Over Department Estimate (Difference = $605,672.30)
The amount programmed for this contract is $450,000. The location and description of the proposed work are as follows:

The proposed project is located in Yavapai County within the City of Cottonwood, on Pima street between N. 2nd St. and 3rd St. The work consists of replacing the existing bridge deck, replacing bridge barrier, reconstructing the roadway approaches, and other related work.

The time allowed for the completion of the work included in this contract will be 75 working days.

The Arizona Department of Transportation, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000d to §§ 2000d-4) and the Regulations, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively ensure that any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, Disadvantaged Business Enterprises will be afforded full and fair opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, or national origin in consideration for an award.

The minimum contract-specified goal for participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in the work, as a percentage of the total amount bid, shall be 10.29.

Contract documents, and other project documents, if applicable, are available as electronic files, at no charge, from the Department’s website through the ADOT Contracts and Specifications Group (https://azdot.gov/business/contracts-and-specifications/current-advertisements).

Documents will be available within one week following the advertisement for bids.

To submit a valid bid, the bidder must (1) have prequalification from the Department as necessary for the project, and (2) be included on the project Plansholder List as a Prime.

The Application for Contractor Prequalification may be obtained from the Contracts and Specifications website.
This project requires electronic bidding. If a request for approval to bid as a Prime Contractor is received less than 48 hours prior to bid opening, the Department cannot guarantee the request will be acted on.

This contract is subject to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes Section 42-5075 -- Prime contracting classification; exemptions; definitions.

No award will be made to any contractor who is not a duly licensed contractor in accordance with Arizona Revised Statutes 32-1101 through 32-1170.03.

All labor employed on this project shall be paid in accordance with the minimum wage rates shown in the General Wage Decision. These rates have been determined in accordance with the requirements of the law and issued by the Secretary of Labor for this project. The wage scale is on file in Contracts and Specifications Section and copies may be obtained at all reasonable times.

Persons that require a reasonable accommodation based on language or disability should contact ADOT's Contracts and Specifications Office by phone (602) 712-7221. Requests should be made as early as possible to ensure the State has an opportunity to address the accommodation.

Las personas que requieran asistencia (dentro de lo razonable) ya sea por el idioma o discapacidad deben ponerse en contacto con ADOT (602) 712-7221.

A proposal guaranty in the form of either a certified or a cashier's check made payable to the State Treasurer of Arizona for not less than 10 percent of the amount of the bid or in the form of a surety (bid) bond for 10 percent of the amount of the bid shall accompany the proposal.

Surety (bid) bonds will be accepted only on the form provided by the Department and only from corporate sureties authorized to do business in Arizona.

Bids will be received until the hour indicated and then publicly opened and read. No bids will be received after the time specified.

Prior to the bid opening date, any questions pertaining to the plans, specifications, and bid schedule for this project shall be submitted to the Department in a written format through the Bid Express (Bidx) website at https://www.bidx.com/az/lettings. Questions can be submitted through the Questions and Answers link located within the corresponding letting date and project proposal number links. The Department will post answers exclusively to the Bidx website. Questions will not be answered verbally. The Department may not answer all questions, and any decision on whether a question is answered will be within the sole discretion of the Department. Any questions received less than three working days prior to the bid opening date may not be answered.

Iqbal Hossain, P.E.
Group Manager
Contracts & Specifications

PROJECT ADVERTISED ON: 03/15/2022