STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING IN PERSON WITH OPTIONAL TELEPHONIC/WEBEX ATTENDANCE 9:00am, August 19, 2022

Gilbert Public Safety Training Facility (ATLAS Auditorium) 6860 South Power Road Gilbert, Arizona 85297

Call to Order

Vice Chairman Knight called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:04 a.m.

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Sherry Garcia

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. In attendance (in person): Vice Chairman Knight, Board Member Maxwell, Board Member Daniels. In attendance (via WebEx): Chairman Thompson, Board Member Searle, Board Member Meck. Absent: Board Member Stratton. There were approximately 67 members of the public in the audience on-line and approximately 25 members of the public in the audience in person.

Opening Remarks

Vice Chairman Knight reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BOARD MEETING

VIA WEBEX AND IN PERSON AT:

TOWN OF GILBERT
Gilbert Public Safety Training Facility
ATLAS Auditorium
6860 South Power Road
Gilbert, Arizona 85297

August 19, 2022 9:04 a.m.

REPORTED BY: TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50876

Perfecta Reporting (602) 421-3602

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONIC PROCEEDINGS,	
2	ADOT STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD, was reported from electronic	
3	media by TERESA A. WATSON, Registered Merit Reporter and a	
4	Certified Reporter in and for the State of Arizona.	
5		
6	PARTICIPANTS:	
7	Board Members:	
8	Jesse Thompson, Chairman (via Webex) Gary Knight, Vice Chairman Ted Maxwell, Board Member	
10	Jenn Daniels, Board Member Richard Searle, Board Member (via Webex)	
11	Jackie Meck, Board Member (via Webex) Steve Stratton, Board Member (Absent)	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	·	

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE			
2	SPEAKER: PAGE:			
3	In-Person Speakers			
4	Alton Joe Shepherd, Board Supervisor, Apache County 6			
5	Kee Allen Begay, Junior, Navajo Council Delegate, Many Farms			
6	Chapter 7			
7	Virtual and Telephonic Speakers			
8	Mark Woods, Citizen, Sierra Vista9			
9	Kristin Heim, HAWK Contracting, LLC11			
10	Edward Hampton, CEO, Circle IntermodalXX			
11	John Turner, CitizenXX			
12	Darryl Ahasteen, Commission President, Nahata Dziil Commission Governance			
13				
14	Jennifer Thompson, Controller/Townsite Manager, Freeport-McMoRan Bagdad			
15	Christie Black, Member, Chapter Coordinator, Mormon Women			
16	for Ethical Government (MWEG)			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		AGENDA ITEMS	
2	Item 1 -	Director's Report/Legislative Update, John Halikowski, ADOT Director	18
3	Item 2 -	District Engineer's Report	XX
4	Item 3 -	Consent Agenda	20
5 6		Financial Report, Kristine Ward, Chief Financial Officer	21
7	Item 5 -	Multimodal Planning Division Report, Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division	29
9	Item 6 -	Priority Planning Advisory Committee (PPAC), Paul Patane, Division Director, Multimodal Planning Division	46
10 11		State Engineer's Report, Greg Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer	55
12	Item 8 -	Construction Contracts, Greg Byres, Deputy Director of Transportation/State Engineer	60
13 14	Item 9 -	Suggestions	70
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(Beginning of excerpt.)

2.0

2.3

2.5

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: We'll move on to call to the audience. If you're here telephonically or by Webex, everyone will be muted when they call in to the meeting.

When your name is called to provide further comments, you will indicate your presence by virtually raising your hand using your phone keypad or through the Webex application. The Webex host will guide -- will guide you through the unmuting and muting process following the instructions -- follow the instructions included in the meeting agenda.

In person, there is an opportunity for members of the public to discuss items of interest with the Board. Please fill out a Request for Public Input Form and give it to the Board Secretary if you wish to address the Board. In the interest of time, a three minute limit will be imposed.

I'd also like to comment to the board members that the AG has made (inaudible) verification (inaudible) that pertain specifically to call to the audience. Any legal comments that we can make have to be made at the -- after the last speaker, not after each individual speaker, but after the last speaker. So keep that in mind, because we're the only ones that are subject to the (inaudible).

With that, Floyd, would you please call the first speaker?

MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Vice Chair. I do want to remind everybody that -- and I just turned on the microphones for the speakers -- that the green light needs to be on. So you do need to push to turn on the green light, and what we need to do is to eliminate the feedback, make sure if you're not speaking to turn your microphone off, but then turn it back on when you speak, this way we'll be able to capture everybody's comments.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

We have two requests to speak in person and seven requests to speak online. So we'll start with the in-person requests, and our first speaker is Mr. Alton Joe Shepherd.

Mr. Shepherd.

MR. SHEPHERD: Thank you.

Good morning, Board Members. My name is Alton Shepherd. I am the Apache County District Chief, board supervisor for that area. I'm traveling down here (inaudible) to advocate, but also bring to the attention the rural Arizona, especially when it deals with the development of the SMART Fund.

As you know, a lot of federal funds are (inaudible) available (inaudible) out there, especially the (inaudible) especially (inaudible) federal government (inaudible) also our county government (inaudible) as well, but certainly, you know, we've had 191 south (inaudible) project, 191 going north (inaudible) project as, you know, we try to extend all our broadband and some services out there, especially

```
1
     to Sanders Unified School District, Ganado Unified School
 2
     District, Chinle Unified School District, and also the
 3
     (inaudible) schools that are located (inaudible) 191.
 4
                    The other is the National Registry of the
 5
     Historic Places, 1931, the Pinto Bridge was developed, and right
 6
     now so I believe the inspection (inaudible) every two years the
 7
     bridge inspections happen. But hopefully we can continue to
 8
     work together and try to put some projects together (inaudible)
 9
     available funding (inaudible) bridge improvement (inaudible).
10
                    Again, we appreciate your time and your travel
11
     here as well, so thank you.
12
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Shepherd.
13
     Thank you, Mr. Shepherd.
14
                    Floyd?
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Kee Allen
16
     Begay, Junior.
17
                    Mr. Begay.
18
                    MR. BEGAY: Good morning, Board Members.
19
     Mr. Thompson (inaudible). My name's Kee Allen Begay, Junior,
2.0
     representing the Navajo Nation council from the northeastern
21
     part of the state of Arizona.
22
                    I've been coming to the Board regarding the --
2.3
     requesting for the additional three mile 191 (inaudible)
24
     construction that's happening right now between Chinle and Many
25
     Farms, Arizona. So it starts right on the Chinle side, but
```

(inaudible) stops short about three miles out of town. And so in order to complete (inaudible) about funding, and so I humbly request that if (inaudible) is able to receive additional -- get additional funding for the three miles up to the (inaudible) junction.

(Inaudible) talking about the several (inaudible) areas of intersection on 191 that needs streetlights, major intersections. I believe there's about four or five of them and identify -- give the information to the Board and administration (inaudible) and provide an assessment of how we can improve safety at these intersections, (inaudible) you've got 160 and 191 coming together, and there's one, two, three, four more (inaudible) Chinle and all the way up to (inaudible) area.

The third one, broadband, smart highway, that's one area that (inaudible) resolution (inaudible) and requesting (inaudible) select 191 as a smart highway. The (inaudible) certain amount of funding to contribute to (inaudible) project. So it will be (inaudible) ADOT right of away, 191. So I think that we continue to ask if we would be able to have a sit-down with the ADOT Board to talk about the (inaudible) of this project.

So with that, I appreciate the time. Thank you very much. You all have a wonderful evening and a wonderful weekend. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you. Thank you,

2.0

2.4

1 Mr. Begay. 2 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is an online 3 speaker, Mr. Mark Woods. Mr. Woods, please raise your hand online. 4 5 WEBEX HOST: Mr. Woods, you are now unmuted. You 6 can go ahead and speak. 7 MR. WOODS: Can you hear me? 8 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. We can. Please make 9 your comments. 10 MR. WOODS: Okay. Good morning, ADOT, 11 Chairperson and Board Members. This is one of my experiences 12 concerning an encounter with a semi truck on I-10 eastbound out 13 of Benson, Arizona, close to Mile Marker 311, starting the 14 ascent to Texas Canyon. 15 While I was trying to gain speed going up a steep 16 grade, a semi truck switched lanes to pass another semi truck. 17 When he or she did this, my speed was approximately 65 mile per 18 hour. I had to slam on my brakes to avoid running into the back 19 of the trailer. I hit the brake pedal so hard the brake wheel 2.0 cylinder had to be replaced on my vehicle. Obviously, this was 21 much better than being injured or killed. 22 Traveling this route for many years, I have 2.3 witnessed the results of many accidents on this treacherous interstate between Benson and Willcox, some accidents of which I 2.4 2.5 know their family members.

This incidence also slowed the flow of traffic down behind me until the trucker decided to move over. The bad part of the incident is that the trucker was probably unaware of what happened behind the trailer, because they can only see what is behind their mirrors and not behind the trailer.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

I am sure many have experienced the situation where a semi truck, bus or RV has pulled out in front of their vehicle to drag race another large vehicle going at slow speeds up a steep grade. I do not have statistics relating to this type of action by large vehicles, because it may not always result in a collision causing damages to vehicles, injury or death.

For common sense and the benefit of all, please post signs in Arizona prohibiting semi trucks, buses and RVs from going up steep grades in the passing lane. To start, will you post signs on I-10 eastbound from Adams Peak Wash to the top of Texas Canyon rest stop for a study? This is not a solution for large vehicle threats to smaller vehicles or the restricted flow of traffic. However, it would be a positive step in the right direction.

Thank you for allowing the time to present my concern.

MR. ROEHRICH: The next speaker is Mr. Anthony Jaime.

Mr. Jaime, please raise your hand.

1 WEBEX HOST: Please unmute your line and then you 2 can speak. 3 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Jaime, are you -- are you there? 4 5 WEBEX HOST: I see the hand raised and I have 6 sent the request to unmute, but so far it's not been unmuted. 7 There we go. 8 MS. HEIM: Good morning. Can you hear? Hello? 9 MR. ROEHRICH. Yes. Who's speaking? 10 MS. HEIM: Good morning this is Kristin Heim. I 11 am the managing member of HAWK Contracting, LLC. My apologies. 12 Anthony was not able to be with us today as he has a matter to 13 attend to, but thank you for this opportunity to speak today. 14 In today's market (inaudible) project (inaudible) 15 and materials of workers, I want to personally thank you for 16 pushing to complete projects and better our Arizona. HAWK 17 Contracting is a DBE-certified, self-reporting civil contracting 18 company who's applied for contractor prequalification through 19 ADOT contracting specs twice in the last year and continues to 2.0 be denied by the prequalification of the Board despite being 21 more than qualified based upon the rules for contractor 22 prequalification in the capacity that we have requested. 2.3 The department's refusal to prequalify small and 2.4 disadvantaged businesses is resulting in small ADOT projects 25 typically under 500,000, being bid with limited competition,

often resulting in either zero or only one bidder on these projects. These projects are too small for the larger businesses in the current market.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

The lack of developing and allowing small and disadvantaged contractors — disadvantaged contractors to bid the department's work as a prize in this size range is resulting in rising costs, limited competition, and in many cases, the inability for the department to get projects completed as they're not receiving any bids.

These practices are also preventing the continuing development of certified DBE businesses. Recently, we requested to bid the following projects: Tract No. T027501C. The department received no bids. Tract No. T026401T. The department received no bids, and the prequalification process resulted in no bidders being approved to bid this project.

Unless the department makes an effort to develop small and disadvantaged — disadvantaged businesses as prime contractors and not just subcontractors, these small projects are going to continue to be bid at excessive prices or receive no bids at all.

I'd also like to propose an ADOT prime contractor development program in addition to what -- to the current business development program that can be administered by BECO, and we would love to support the BECO office in championing this work in any capacity that we possibly could.

```
1
                    I appreciate you for your time, and thank you.
 2
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Ms. Heim, before you go, could you
 3
     please state your first name? I was not able to get your first
 4
     name.
 5
                    MS. HEIM: Kristin Heim, K-r-i-s-t-i-n.
 6
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, ma'am.
 7
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you. (Inaudible.)
 8
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Edward
 9
     Hampton. Mr. Hampton, please raise your hand.
10
                    WEBEX HOST: There are no hands raised currently.
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: We'll come back and see if
12
     Mr. Hampton is logged on.
13
                    Our next speaker would be Mr. John Turner.
14
     Mr. Turner, please raise your hand.
15
                    Randy, I don't see a hand. Do you see a hand?
16
                    WEBEX HOST: I do not see a hand, no.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Darryl Ahasteen.
18
     Mr. Ahasteen, please raise your hand.
                    WEBEX HOST: I do not see a hand raised.
19
2.0
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Ms. Jennifer Thompson.
21
     Ms. Thompson, please raise your hand.
22
                    WEBEX HOST: A request to unmute has been sent.
2.3
     Go ahead and unmute.
24
                    MS. THOMPSON: Good morning, everybody. Can you
2.5
     hear me?
```

1 MR. ROEHRICH: Ms. Thompson, we can barely hear 2 you. Is there a way to increase your volume? 3 MS. THOMPSON: Can you hear me better now? 4 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, ma'am. You're very clear. 5 Please make your comments. Thank you. 6 MS. THOMPSON: All right. 7 All right. I'm Jennifer Thompson. I've 8 presented here several times before. I represent Freeport-9 McMoRan in Bagdad. I'm the controller and the townsite 10 utilities manager for the town. Just for information, Bagdad's 11 a company-owned town with approximately 2,500 residents. 12 Freeport and our community would like to 13 recognize ADOT and the Board's continued commitment to the safe 14 movement of people and goods on their system and say thank you 15 so much for the improvements to US-93 included in your current 16 five-year plan. 17 I'd also like to give a quick update to you on 18 the progress of our State Route 97 reconstruction efforts with 19 ADOT since we last spoke. State Route 97 is the road that takes 2.0 off from the US-93, approximately 25 miles south of Wikieup, 21 that leads into Bagdad, a major corridor for us here. 22 Legislature, recognizing the importance of improving this 2.3 corridor and adding to Freeport's offered 10 million towards the 2.4 effort, provided 10 million from the State General Fund to ADOT 2.5 for the purpose of securing necessary federal funds for this

1 project. This action by the State Legislature shows their 2 support for the partnership Freeport and ADOT have formed to 3 collaboratively develop improvements for State Route 97. 4 Also, our grant consultant, ADOT and Freeport 5 held a State Route 97 project kickoff meeting on August 2nd, 6 which was really productive and provides a path forward for the 7 grant submission for the State Route 97 improvements. 8 I'd like to thank Chairman Thompson, members of 9 the Board, Director Halikowski and his ADOT team for the 10 commitment to safe mobility and for your time this morning. I 11 hope you all have an excellent weekend. Thank you. 12 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you. 13 Our next speaker is Ms. Christie Black. 14 Ms. Black, please raise your hand. 15 WEBEX HOST: The line has requested to unmute. 16 Go ahead and speak. 17 MS. BLACK: Thank you all for your time and consideration today. As you said, my name is Christie Black, 18 19 and I'm a member of the Arizona chapter of Mormon Women For 2.0 Ethical Government. I'm here to give public comment in support 21 of ADOT pursuing federal investments as part of the National 22 Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Plan, which this Board will 2.3 provide critical feedback on. 24 We are at a critical juncture for taking action 2.5 and implementing policy to lower carbon emissions while

mitigating the effects of extreme weather events like the devastating wildfires and extreme heat that many families and businesses have experienced, which are occurring independently of partisan interests or national boundaries. It is a concern that must be tackled collaboratively by our state leaders.

2.0

2.5

In the U.S., transportation is the single greatest source of greenhouse gas emissions, contributing 27 percent of the total share. Unlike combustion engines, electric vehicles do not create tailpipe emissions. Even when the electricity used to charge EVs comes from a variety of sources, including coal or gas power plants, driving an EV has a smaller carbon footprint than driving a gasoline vehicle. In addition, the percentage of cleaner, renewable energy on the U.S. electrical grid is steadily increasing, making electric vehicle charging-related emissions decrease over time.

Arizona also has a unique status of quickly becoming the leader in the electric vehicle industry. When EV manufacturers such as Lucid and Nikola and battery technology companies such as KORE Power, already expanding in our state, invest in EV infrastructure can translate into investments in Arizona families, businesses, employers and workers, as well as cleaner air.

Range anxiety is one of the biggest barriers to adoption, especially in rural Arizona cities and town.

Fortunately, electric vehicles currently have a wide range of

1 mileage capabilities and charging speeds. However, the current 2 EV charging infrastructure we have in this state is insufficient 3 to accommodate that kind of widespread adoption. 4 As this transportation board and ADOT detail and 5 adjust a final NEVI plan draft following federal approval and 6 feedback, we encourage ADOT to continue to spend time in rural 7 communities and those not well represented at this time -- at 8 this forum to ensure everyone has input, especially on the 9 Justice 40 provisions that could have the most impact on 10 disadvantaged communities. 11 Thank you again for your time today and for your 12 leadership. We are excited to see investments in electric 13 vehicle infrastructure, which will help reduce air pollution 14 while mitigating extreme weather conditions and benefiting 15 Arizona's thriving clean energy economy. 16 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Vice Chair, I would like to go 17 back and see if any of the other three who requested to speak 18 have logged in, give them one more opportunity 19 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Very good. 2.0 MR. ROEHRICH: So I'd like to see if Mr. Edward 21 Hampton -- Mr. Hampton, are you online? If you are, please 22 raise your hand. 2.3 WEBEX HOST: I do not see any hands raised. 2.4 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Randy. 2.5 Mr. John Turner. Mr. Turner, are you online? Ιf

1 you are, please raise your hand. 2 WEBEX HOST: I do not see any hands raised. 3 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you, Randy. 4 Mr. Darryl Ahasteen. Mr. Ahasteen, are you 5 online? Please raise your hand. 6 WEBEX HOST: I do not see any raised hands. 7 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you. 8 Mr. Vice Chair, that's all the requests to speak. 9 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Very good, Floyd. We'll now move on to Item 1, the director's report. 10 11 DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Board 12 Members, for being here today. So there really isn't a lot for 13 me to share with you today. We are still trying to just 14 continue work on both state and federal legislation. 15 general effective date for state legislation is September 24th, 16 and right now most of our work flow is geared towards 17 implemented legislation from this session. Legislatively direct 18 projects that are funded will be discussed by ADOT staff later 19 in the meeting. 2.0 As far as the federal update, you know, we've 21 been working quite a bit on IIJA and (inaudible) Governor's task 22 force, which is comprised of a number of agencies that are 2.3 potentially recipients of IIJA grants. So focus this month has 24 shifted away from that over to the Inflation Reduction Act, 2.5 which was recently signed into law this week. So both the

```
1
     chambers of Congress are now in recess until September, when
 2
     they will return to hopefully address the FY '23 project. So we
 3
     are looking into the Inflation Reduction Act to see where there
 4
     are connections with the department.
 5
                    So that concludes my report, Mr. Chairman.
 6
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Director.
 7
                    Anyone on the Board have a question for the
 8
     Director?
 9
                    Very well. We will move on to Item 1A, the state
10
     legislative report.
11
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, that was
12
     pretty much the --
13
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: (Inaudible.) You pretty much
14
     took care. (Inaudible.)
15
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: There's just not a lot of
16
     new things to report.
17
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. In that case, then
18
     we'll move on to Item 2, which is the district engineer's
19
     report, and from what I'm seeing here, there is no report for
2.0
     this month.
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yeah. Mr. Vice Chair, if you
22
     remember, Randy Everett updated just a couple months ago when we
2.3
     were -- we were here. So he has nothing more to report, so
2.4
     we'll forego that this month.
25
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Very well.
```

```
1
                    We'll now move on to Item 3, the consent agenda.
 2
     Does any member have any item that they want move from the
 3
     consent agenda for discussion?
 4
                     Hearing none, do I have a motion to approve the
 5
     consent agenda as presented?
 6
                    MS. DANIELS: So --
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Vice Chairman, I'd like to
 7
 8
     move for -- to approve the said item.
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So I have a motion from
 9
10
     Chairman Thompson to approve the motion of the consent agenda.
11
     And a second from Board Member Daniels?
12
                    MS. DANIELS: Correct.
13
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So --
14
                    MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chairman.
15
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes.
16
                    MR. MAXWELL: I have a correction, and as much as
17
     I hate to acknowledge it, because there were so many inaudible
18
     comments, on page 37 there's unidentified speaker amongst us
19
     board members (inaudible). That would be me. So I don't know
2.0
     if we need to make the change. With that change, I support the
21
     consent agenda.
22
                    MS. DANIELS: That meeting there were
2.3
     (inaudible).
2.4
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: We will (inaudible).
2.5
     Chairman Thompson, would you like to amend your motion to
```

```
1
     approve the motioned consent agenda as amended?
 2
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes. Vice Chairman, I would
     like to move to reconsider motion that was made and to adopt the
 3
 4
     agenda including the amendment.
 5
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And I have a second from
     Board Member Daniels. So if there's no other discussion, all
 6
 7
     those in favor please signify by saying aye.
 8
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
 9
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And Floyd, would you poll the
     virtual members?
10
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Vice Chair.
12
                    Member Searle.
13
                    MR. SEARLE: Aye.
14
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Meck.
15
                    MR. MECK: Aye.
16
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Member Thompson?
17
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: And Mr. Stratton is not present.
19
     So the motion carries.
2.0
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Floyd.
21
                    We'll move on to Item No. 4, the financial
22
     report, with Kristine Ward. This is for information and
2.3
     discussion only.
24
                    MS. WARD: Good morning, Board Members. Well,
25
     it's green. Maybe I will just get closer.
```

1 MR. ROEHRICH: (Inaudible.) 2 MS. WARD: (Inaudible.) 3 So nice to see people in person. All right, 4 Rhett. There we go. Thank you. 5 So we don't have a lot to report with only one 6 month of activity on HURF. So we are reporting out on July, and 7 we are a little behind forecast. Nothing significant, and it's 8 of no point of concern at this point. We had -- in 2021 we have 9 had some significant rates, and we were coming off of a higher 10 (inaudible) that we were just trying to -- trying to estimate 11 those declines was a little -- a little hairy (inaudible). 12 If you go to the next slide, Rhett. 13 You'll see the individual categories (inaudible). 14 You can see where we forecast the declines in gas tax. In 2022 15 we had 43.9 million. In '23, we only had 40.9 million. We had 16 forecast a decline, but as you can see, it wasn't -- it wasn't 17 spot on. Again, overall, we're only 2.6 -- 2.4 percent off. 18 Not a (inaudible.) 19 If we can go to the Regional Area Road Fund, and 2.0 if it's -- if it's of assistance, you know, those declines 21 (inaudible) why we're forecasting declines. Well, (inaudible) 22 newspaper (inaudible) fuel, gas (inaudible) you can -- we're 2.3 dealing with the Ukranian situation as well as wildfires is also 2.4 (inaudible). (Inaudible) related to gas prices looking 2.5 (inaudible).

With regards to the Regional Area Road Fund, well, we are closing — this is the close of the year, and you can see for FY '22, we ended the year with a 5.4 percent growth, and we were over — over forecast by 5.4 percent. For '22 over '21, we were — we experienced 19 percent growth in Regional Area Road Fund revenues. We saw — that represents, just for some perspective, (inaudible).

2.0

2.3

2.5

We saw in restaurant and bar 31 percent over '21, and in contrast, 23 percent over '21. And, of course, retail, which is 50 percent of the revenue flowing into the Regional Area Road Fund, we were almost 16 percent over '21. So just some tremendous growth rates, and you can see the individual categories.

Rhett, if you'll go to the next slide.

You can see those individual categories and look right down at that third column over. Look at the double digit (inaudible) just (inaudible) down (inaudible). This is for the month -- month of June, so that (inaudible). So overall, for the month of June, 12 percent over last year. We just ended the year, RARF ended with tremendous growth.

If we could go to the next slide.

So I have a couple of things to report out to you just with regards to what's being placed in (inaudible) last month. On I think it was July 29th, we got the guidance for the last IIJA program that we were (inaudible) the PROTECT program.

Now, the PROTECT program is focused on funding activities that are primarily for the purpose of resiliency and reducing the impacts of weather events and natural disasters. It -- the funding really focuses on when projects are developed, there's an incremental cost associated with making that project more resilient. Examples of that would be increasing the elevation of a bridge because of increased thoughts of flood impacts, strengthening systems to remove rain water and then stabilizing slide areas. These were -- these were examples that they had -- they provided, things that they (inaudible) incremental costs that could be on added to projects (inaudible) supplies.

2.0

2.3

2.5

Next up, the other thing that has taken place since I last reported to you is we started our revenue forecasting process. Yes, you would be -- just voted on the '23 through '27 program, and we were promptly starting our work on the 2028 program, and the first step of that, talked to you about it before, is the revenue forecasting process. The RAP panel was held on August 3rd. We've got input from 11 different participants, economists, transportation experts on those economic (inaudible) influence of the (inaudible) influence (inaudible) HURF and RARF revenues, and so now we are taking those (inaudible) inputs and we are running them through on the contract (inaudible) with HDR.

And that concludes my presentation. I'd be happy to take any questions.

1 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes. Board Member Daniels. 2 MS. DANIELS: Thank you very much. 3 Kristine, (inaudible) PROTECT funds. It's really interesting, 4 especially given some of the substantial (inaudible) state and 5 (inaudible) in the Flagstaff area (inaudible). I know they are seeking additional resources for some of the mitigation as it 6 7 relates to flash floods and fire (inaudible) Apache County and 8 others, and so is there -- is -- are these potential funding 9 sources to support those areas especially, given recent events? 10 MS. WARD: I think the -- I think an important 11 point that needs to be made in this is that those funds are 12 already built into the program that you approved. So you've 13 already -- you all went through the program for FY '23. 14 funds are already built in there. It's not new funding. It's 15 funding that you've already (inaudible). 16 So in that instance, just like any other project, 17 there -- you know, for the program, we have a change control 18 process (inaudible) fiscally constrained. If funds are added to 19 one project or a new project is initiated over (inaudible) 2.0 program, that just means you have to remove funding from another 21 area. (Inaudible.) 22 MS. DANIELS: Just to clarify (inaudible) but did

MS. DANIELS: Just to clarify (inaudible) but did you (inaudible) I guess, line item funding or a group of funding or to be (inaudible) contingency as part of the overall budget, meaning is it a percentage of overall budget or is it kept

(inaudible)? 1 2 MS. WARD: It's -- so when -- I (inaudible), but 3 if the overall program, the finances of the overall program, 4 contingency is associated with that overall program. 5 (inaudible). Now, speaking with individual projects, I would 6 (inaudible) as to how they estimate your process is completed 7 and how contingency is reflected there. 8 MS. DANIELS: I guess I -- and I'll give you the 9 train of thought of what happened here before I spoke was that 10 given some of the substantial events that have happened 11 throughout our state over the last year, I don't know if it's --12 if the need for remediation funding is larger this year than it 13 has been in years past, and how would we handle an overage, if 14 you will? So (inaudible) let's just say \$5 million for flash 15 flood mitigation, but our costs this year are going to exceed 16 that dollar amount. 17 MS. WARD: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Daniels, so as I 18 kind of was mentioning with regards to the dollars have already 19 been incorporated into the program, so the program itself (inaudible) increased costs (inaudible) costs in another area. 2.0 21 So as you -- as you (inaudible) additional costs, we might have 22 to reduce projected expenses (inaudible). That -- does that 2.3 answer your question? 2.4 MS. DANIELS: It does. 2.5 DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, Board Member,

if I might also add to that, Kristine's talking about

(inaudible) department received. There's a mention in my

remarks (inaudible) IIJA task force, and so we're not the only

recipient of PROTECT funds, and when you look at flood control

mitigation, it's not necessarily the road infrastructure that's

the problem. It's the burn scar and the fact that that soil is

then baked literally. It's not absorbent. So that becomes, you

know, an area for other agencies to go back in and reseed and

replant.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

In the meantime, when the recipients of all of that material washing down in the culverts and drainage ditches and (inaudible) the roadway. So in the task force we held this week, Director Tenney of the Department of Forestry and Fire Management had some (inaudible) available for these resiliency projects, and he and I will be meeting to discuss not only what he is thinking of doing with those funds, but whether or not ADOT is eligible for a portion of those funds going to his agency, for instance, to do some sort of culvert repairs or (inaudible) in some of these areas. So I just want to say that we are looking at this from an agency enterprise wide perspective on how we partner with others to mitigate impacts to the (inaudible) system.

MS. WARD: Mr. Chair, may I add something too with my comments?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Sure.

1 MS. WARD: One thing to consider, this -- the 2 quidance on this program just came out. It is a brand-new 3 program, and we are digging through, and it has complexities to 4 it, and one of the complexities to the program is that it is 5 not -- it's not the cheapest (inaudible). Unlike our general 6 match rate, which we're looking at a 94.3 (inaudible), this is -- this is a very complex matching funding structure. 8 an (inaudible) set for every (inaudible) but, oh, wait, or if 9 you have a resiliency plan, oh, they'll give you another 7 10 percent, oh, but if you (inaudible) that resiliency plan, 11 (inaudible) you will get another 3 percent, but wait, you can 12 use (audio interruption). 13 MS. DANIELS: (Inaudible.) MS. WARD: That's all. 14 15 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Does any other board member 16 have questions? Ted. 17 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, Kristine, so the Director already talked about (inaudible) the fact that the 18 19 Inflation Reduction Act is going to (inaudible) possibly trying 2.0 to (inaudible) in the programs or through grants, and I know we 21 just got clarification on the final piece (inaudible) 22 infrastructure law. So have you had a chance to review that 2.3 act, and do you see (inaudible) money coming direct to ADOT and 24 the department, or is it going to be through grants (inaudible) 2.5 what you've seen, if you'd had a chance?

```
1
                    MS. WARD: Mr. Chair, Mr. Maxwell, I've not -- I
 2
     cannot comment on that as yet, but stay tuned. We'll get back
 3
     to you on (inaudible).
 4
                    MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you,
 5
     Kristine.
 6
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Kristine.
 7
                    Any other board member virtually have any
 8
     comments or questions for Kristine?
 9
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chair, I just did want
10
     to let the Board know that we are experiencing -- I think Board
11
     Member Daniels pointed out, you know, quite a bit of issues with
12
     our (inaudible) up in Flagstaff because of (inaudible), and just
13
     wanted to let the Board know that our team is working very
     closely with the city, the county flood control folks to try and
14
15
     figure out what we can do to mitigate this, you know, as we're
16
     going through this monsoon cycle. So rest assured we're trying
17
     to figure out (inaudible) folks up there.
18
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Director.
19
                    MS. WARD:
                               Thank you.
2.0
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Kristine.
21
                    We'll move on to Agenda Item No. 5. Paul Patane,
22
     for discussion and -- for discussion only.
2.3
                    MR. PATANE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board
2.4
     Members. Paul Patane with the Multimodal Planning Division.
```

Next slide, please.

2.5

1 Today I'll give you an update related to tribal 2 transportation (audible interruption). 3 MR. ROEHRICH: Sorry about that. (Inaudible.) Just scream into that mic. 4 5 MR. PATANE: Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. ROEHRICH: Like Ozzy Osbourne. Give us 7 "Crazy Train." 8 MR. PATANE: So anyway, (inaudible) rest areas 9 and things. 10 Next slide, please. 11 We also just started our long range 12 transportation planning, and so with that plan, the 13 federal/state requires that we do consultation with all 22 14 tribes. So that's (inaudible) sent the letters out providing 15 the notification to all 22 tribes (inaudible) begin the 16 consultation process here over the next few months. 17 Next slide, please. 18 So an update on our Hopi transportation 19 partnership meeting (inaudible) meeting on July 21st, covering 2.0 issues related to highway operations, drainage. There's also 21 discussion related to future workshops that they would like us 22 to attend (inaudible), and some of these workshops, some of the 2.3 topics they have an interest in are the grant opportunities, the 2.4 crash data collection, road safety assessment and traffic 2.5 studies. So we look forward to those meetings in the future,

and (inaudible) consultation with the Hopi Tribe on the Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Deployment Plan. They have an interest in the strategy of locating the EV structure. They want (inaudible) concerns where we would focus the -- mainly right now on the alternative fuel corridors (inaudible) that's -- those are discussions that we'll have further.

Next slide, please.

2.0

2.3

2.4

So we had some additional outreach (inaudible) the Shonto Community Governance, and we met with the Northcentral District and the tribal community members. They had concerns related to some new development happening along US-160 and SR-98 area, a new hotel, land use and development that's happening, along with an RV park. So they had concerns as far as (inaudible) for this development again. They also brought up concerns related to (inaudible) pavement condition along SR-98.

Next slide, please.

So a little update on House Bill 2872 related to the SMART fund. Again, this was recently established legislation, and the purpose was to provide competitive grant assistance to (inaudible) cities and towns and communities to help them, you know, apply and go after federal discretionary grants. Right now there's over 86 eligible applicants that are eligible for these grants.

Next slide, please.

So these are three areas that the SMART Fund can be eligible as far as your project for reimbursement of costs.

Again, this right here, reimbursement of costs. So we can reimburse 50 percent of the costs associated with the grant application. Then there's (inaudible) for a match for a federal grant. But also, the fund is eligible for reimbursement of design and other engineering services that's associated with (inaudible) projects for a federal grant.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

(Inaudible) based on the current statute, this is how money -- there's 50 million in the program. This is how the money will be divided up in each area. You know, 10 million for counties with a population of 100,000 persons or more.

10 million for counties with a population of fewer than 10,000 persons. 10 million for municipalities with a population of

10,000 persons or more, and 10 million for municipalities with a population of fewer than 10,000 persons, and 10 million will go

to ADOT. 1 percent may be used for administration of the fund.

So we're (inaudible), you know, for the Board, these grants, these (inaudible) applications, grant applications will require (inaudible) and Board approval. Okay? So we've put together a little process flow chart where it shows where —down at the bottom there where (inaudible) application board (inaudible) they get recommendation feedback (inaudible) projects, either, deny, approve, modify or award. These requests (inaudible).

1 And so once we have the -- you know, the 2 quidelines and everything (inaudible) to the Board for your 3 review and approval (inaudible) required also a (inaudible) Transportation Board policy once (inaudible) of this program. 4 So I just want to thank you. (Inaudible) potentially those 5 projects will be coming to the Board for (inaudible). 6 7 Next slide. 8 So real quick update (inaudible) an update on the 9 freight plan (inaudible) update during the October Board study 10 session related to the freight planning. It's very important 11 that you all have an understanding (inaudible). 12 So, you know, why -- next slide -- (inaudible) 13 it's a federal requirement (inaudible) transportation bill 14 (inaudible) the update the plan every four years, and so we're 15 (inaudible) this is our -- first plan was in 2017. So it's the first revision to the -- to the freight plan. So (inaudible) 16 17 been through planning (inaudible) requirements (inaudible) of 18 impacts of the freight as out on the highway system. 19 Next slide, please. 2.0 So these are the National Freight Policy 21 Strategic Goals. They cover safety and infrastructure and 22 innovation. 2.3 Next slide, please. 24 So what (inaudible) requirements (inaudible) 2.5 requirements associated with the National Highway Freight

1 Program (inaudible). (Inaudible) consists of, as you can see, 2 over 300 members, both from the private and public sectors. 3 (Inaudible) policies, the strategies, and you (inaudible) the freight (inaudible). At the end of the day, they make 4 5 recommendations (inaudible) the whole -- they comment on the 6 projects that we (inaudible) recommendations. 7 So some of the key -- next slide, please. 8 Some of the key elements of the freight plan 9 include the freight policies, strategies and the performance 10 measures. You know, how the plan addresses the national freight 11 program and policy goals, looks at what we call bottlenecks 12 (inaudible) as far as (inaudible) and there is a (inaudible) 13 also a freight investment plan, that would be (inaudible) 14 priority projects (inaudible). 15 So in the program is approximately \$125 million 16 that we have to dedicate to the freight plan. So we'll --17 our -- when we come to the Board (inaudible) recommendation 18 (inaudible) 125 million (inaudible) five years. 19 Some (inaudible) projects include highway grade 2.0 separations, geometric improvements to ramps and interchanges, 21 you know, truck parking, climbing lanes (inaudible) 22 infrastructure improvements that will (inaudible). 23 Next slide, please. 24 And so truck parking (inaudible) committee 25 there's industry (inaudible) on the need for additional truck

```
1
     parking, and so (inaudible) when we come forward with the
 2
     projects, we'll be deciding -- you'll be deciding what
 3
     percentage of the 125 million you would like to dedicate toward
 4
     truck parking.
 5
                    So the next steps, so we're in the draft plan
 6
     stage, late August, early September. So we'll come to the
 7
     (inaudible) State Board (inaudible) targeting (inaudible) study
 8
     session where we can finalize the projects and get the plan
 9
     approved, then we can submit it to FHWA for approval.
10
                    So this (inaudible) is some of the information we
11
     got from the recent update studies (inaudible) some of the
12
     (inaudible) top ten commodities in 2010 (inaudible) on the right
13
     there. You know, mixed commodities, motor vehicles, electrical
14
     equipment, then by tons, by weight, gravel, sands (inaudible)
15
     way there (inaudible) petroleum, grain and asphalt blocks, et
16
     cetera.
17
                    Then the graph on the left shows percent of
18
     truck, you know, 70 -- you know, the trucks haul 70 percent of
19
     the tons by truck, then by rail. There's close to 30
2.0
     (inaudible). Air is .1 percent.
21
                    Okay? Thank you.
22
                    So just a quick update on the -- yes.
23
                    MS. DANIELS: Sorry, Vice Chair. May I ask a
24
     question?
25
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT:
                                        Yes.
```

MS. DANIELS: Thank you.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

I know there's quite a bit of interest regionally, particularly about the freight plan. The parking conversation has come up quite a bit, so thank you for looking into that and addressing it. As a means of short-term solutions, have there been any short-term solutions explored by ADOT as it relates to safe truck parking, particularly overnight?

MR. PATANE: What we've tried to do, you know, is we have our rest area projects (inaudible). The main scope of the project is the, you know, upgrading the rest area facility, but we're also looking at ways to expand parking in the rest area. So I've been personally involved in my current position (inaudible) expand the parking lot to accommodate (inaudible) parking.

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman.

To Board Member Daniels' point, (inaudible) have a couple rest areas that aren't fully functioning but serve as truck parking which --

MS. DANIELS: And I'll be more clear. I think I meant specifically more in metropolitan areas rather than (inaudible) more rural rest areas might be located. Are we exploring any temporary solution recognizing that this funding and the (inaudible) may take a bit more time?

MR. PATANE: (Inaudible.) I couldn't answer that

```
1
     right now.
 2
                    MS. DANIELS: (Inaudible) the question, so I
 3
     apologize, Paul.
                    MR. PATANE: No, that's okay. (Inaudible.)
 4
 5
                    MS. DANIELS: Okay. Fantastic. And I'm thinking
 6
     of a historical perspective since we're meeting in Gilbert here
 7
     where we have some needs by one of our school districts, both
 8
     for construction staging throughout the year, you know,
 9
     temporary bus parking, things like that, and I can't help but
10
     think that the (inaudible) and excess land (inaudible) land just
11
     not yet ready for development may be some temporary solutions
12
     for a such sort of (inaudible) for the future. Oh (inaudible).
13
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Chairman, Board Member
14
     Daniels, you've awoken the state engineer (inaudible).
15
                    MS. DANIELS: I don't think he sleeps, so try to
16
     wake him up.
17
                    MR. PATANE: Mr. Chairman, Vice Chair, Board
18
     Member Daniels, (inaudible) has just finished up their planning,
19
     and so the good thing is now we can take and incorporate what
2.0
     they have already put together into our freight plan
21
     (inaudible). So that's going to be a big input as we go forward
22
     (inaudible).
23
                    MS. DANIELS: Yeah, and I love the bigger picture
24
     planning. I just know that the need is also immediate, so, you
2.5
     know, and temporary solutions that won't be a permanent solution
```

1 (inaudible) time, but -- and I -- I'm a huge proponent of 2 planning and taking (inaudible) view, but I also know that that 3 doesn't (inaudible) immediately then I'd love for us to explore something temporary. You know, Tucson has some challenges with 4 5 that as well, and I know we have substantial challenges for that 6 in the metro area, and they're not going go away as, you know, 7 we're getting more and more manufacturing to our state. 8 MR. BYRES: (Inaudible) as part of our plan

MR. BYRES: (Inaudible) as part of our plan itself, but then it (inaudible) but we can start addressing those as they come up so there's — we have very limited amount of area that we can work within (inaudible) state, but that is (inaudible).

MS. DANIELS: Thank you.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair?

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes. (Inaudible.) Member

Maxwell.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

2.5

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chairman, probably directed towards you, (inaudible) bad question. So when we discuss the state transportation plan and parking specifically, are we limited to the rest areas, or we also have investments in the municipalities (inaudible) I won't say the private sector, because obviously that's (inaudible) additional (inaudible) truck parking (inaudible), because the rest areas are limited (inaudible) time and location may not be worth it in the end. So when the freight study comes out, what are the limitations

from an ADOT perspective? I mean, we know MAG has a plan (inaudible).

2.0

2.3

2.5

MR. BYRES: So, Mr. Chairman, Vice Chair, Board Member Maxwell, the biggest constraint we have is where we can spend our money. Again, it's federal dollars. So unless it's within our existing right-of-way or if we can take and look at the additional right-of-way, then that's something that we can look at, and that is something that's being considered, but the true constraint is where the funding can actually go, and it's generally (inaudible).

pust say that, you know, ADOT's perspective, we're -- we're tied at the hip with (inaudible) agency. We just can't take funding and go buy a truck parking space somewhere. We (inaudible) and especially the local communities, you know, because we're (inaudible) want to be sure you're not in the path of future development or that you're not impacting neighbors in some way.

So I think that funding, again, is a cooperative effort, as is working with the regional planning agency to place these facilities. It's not just simply laying down some asphalt for a truck to park. I mean, you have to (inaudible) put facilities in for the drivers. That's (inaudible) rest area in an urban setting. So there's many considerations (inaudible).

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, I appreciate those comments. I guess I'm (inaudible) thinking a little bit of the

side of is there any flexibility from public-private partnerships to do some of these things. We've got -- we know that there's a lot of facilities. The trucks have to pull over to get rest, to wash, you know, facilities. Would we be better off leveraging our limited dollars to try find where we can be cooperative in that perspective? Obviously with the regional (inaudible) as well as the planning organizations that (inaudible) those funds, that they've come to expect (inaudible) up in the air. It's the easiest (inaudible) to say about it with so much of our regional funding (inaudible).

2.0

2.3

2.5

DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Right. I don't agree, but as the CFO pointed out, if you're going to invest in truck parking facilities, we'll have to pull our ADOT state money away from some other project also since, you know — the other issue is that when you look at a public-private partnership, for a private entity to come in, it has to be (inaudible) somewhere. And we are approached, you know, for many different public-private partnership (inaudible) schemes. Truck parking hasn't been one of them so far. It might be something we could explore with the private sector, but again, not knowing whether or not that would be attractive to the industry itself to pay for parking (inaudible) in some way so that we can repay the public (inaudible).

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chairman, director (inaudible).

I appreciate that. I think it's with the state of structure

```
1
     financing in both our region, our state (inaudible) I think it's
 2
     important we do take an opportunity to try to explore
     (inaudible). I fully agree with that. There's going to be
 3
 4
     challenges, but I -- we could look -- we've had discussion on
 5
     this board about the EV charging station (inaudible) and is that
 6
     really a public investment and what's going to be a private
 7
     operation (inaudible) obviously there's benefit to both sides,
 8
     but I think we just need to continue to have that conversation.
     I was just trying to get clarification on what is it that this
 9
10
     (inaudible) study will potentially (inaudible) now that we're
11
     talking (inaudible) years now under (inaudible). So I just
12
     appreciate the insight. Thank you.
13
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Yeah. And I just would
     say, Mr. Chairman, that there are two things with the public-
14
15
     private partnership, whether it's electric vehicle chargers or
16
     anything else. The public -- or I'm sorry -- the private entity
17
     is not (inaudible) there has to be (inaudible) to pick back
18
     whatever funds the private entities contributed to the State.
19
     There's (inaudible). So that's where it gets a little tricky
20
     is, is how that revenue stream's going to exactly work, and as
21
     we've seen with many of the toll projects, is the public
22
     (inaudible) to keep using the facility willing to pay that fee.
2.3
     So I think there's a lot of data that still needs to be
2.4
     (inaudible).
```

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chairman, (inaudible) those

```
1
     avenues of thought. I think we're going to need creativity
 2
     going forward unless we remodel the entire infrastructure
 3
     financial system right now. That's a whole another issue.
                                                                 So I
 4
     appreciate the (inaudible).
 5
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Maxwell,
 6
     I think the current system can work, but it's a matter of having
 7
     (inaudible).
 8
                    MS. DANIELS: May I also (inaudible)?
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yeah. Go ahead.
 9
10
                    MS. DANIELS: (Inaudible) creativity and
11
     political will and very possibly some laws need to be changed
12
     within our state and (inaudible).
13
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
14
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Vice Chair, Mr. Searle is in
15
     his -- looks likes he's trying to make a comment. Did you hear
16
     that comment?
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I didn't. I didn't hear
17
18
     anything. Go ahead, Board Member Searle, you're up.
19
                    MR. SEARLE: Yes. Can you hear me okay?
2.0
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Yes, we can. Yeah.
21
                    MR. SEARLE: I have a question for Paul on the
22
     freight plan.
2.3
                    MR. PATANE: Yes.
                    MR. SEARLE: The discussion so far has been
24
25
     pretty much on parking, but going back for our call to the
```

```
public, Mr. Woods' comments from (audio interruption), are there
 1
 2
     going to be opportunities to looking at our passing lane studies
 3
     or updating our passing lane studies?
 4
                    MR. PATANE: Yes. There will be opportunities to
 5
     look at -- at just truck parking, different types of roadway
 6
     infrastructure improvements, and so we'll bring a list of
 7
     projects to the Board for their consideration, and (inaudible)
 8
     included (inaudible) passing lanes, (inaudible) spot interchange
 9
     improvement projects. So there is a list of (inaudible)
10
     different types of projects.
11
                    MR. SEARLE: So did I hear you say, yes, that
12
     updating our passing lane studies is the possibility?
13
                    MR. PATANE: Yes, sir.
14
                    MR. SEARLE: All right. Very good. Thank you.
15
                    MR. PATANE: Thank you.
16
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, to that
17
     point, (inaudible) wait for the freight plan to do a passing
18
     lane study in that area or a traffic safety analysis.
19
     we can do that, you know, any time (inaudible) then there might
2.0
     be some change in the infrastructure brought to the Board.
21
     that's not dependent just on the freight study.
22
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Director (inaudible).
23
                    MR. SEARLE: The passing lane study, that -- that
24
     lane that was identified this morning, it's been in the study,
25
     but it is -- wasn't rated that high, but I think with the --
```

```
1
     considering the amount of traffic that we're seeing now, it
 2
     might -- it might behoove us to update that study.
 3
                    MR. PATANE: Mr. Chair, Board Member Searle,
 4
     that's what we're doing right now is updating (inaudible)
 5
     involved with reevaluating the projects that were (inaudible)
     2017 (inaudible).
 6
 7
                    Any further questions? Board Member (inaudible).
 8
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Vice Chairman. Vice Chairman
 9
     Knight.
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chair, Chairman Thompson is
11
     asking to talk.
12
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Oh, sorry. Go ahead.
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman --
14
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Mr. Chairman, go ahead.
15
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Paul, I really do appreciate
16
     your providing information to rural communities, including your
17
     update on the Native American transportation. A couple of
     things that I'd like to see is more information continue to be
18
19
     pushed out to the communities (inaudible) information relating
20
     to the smart highway as well the EV. I know we've done that.
21
     Will continue do it. We need to do maybe -- continue that
22
     effort.
23
                    One issue that was brought up in (inaudible)
24
     meeting is that there's an issue with trying to do -- find the
25
     personnel to help in drafting up applications for grants.
```

maybe there's a way that we can provide some help to that. So again, thank you. That's just a comment, Vice Chairman.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. ROEHRICH: Okay. Paul, I don't think he asked for a response. He just -- the Chairman made his comment, so I think you can move on.

MR. PATANE: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. Chairman Thompson, Mr. Vice Chair and Board Members, I (inaudible) update on the I-10 at the Wild Horse Pass corridor. Just today a draft environmental assessment and the design concept report are out for public review.

Next slide, please.

So if you recall, the project goes from the 202/303/87 along Interstate 10, to widen the interstate to -from three general purpose lanes. It also reconstructs some interchanges (inaudible) Riggs Road 387 and 347. There is a new interchange at Seed Farm Road -- Seed Farm Road (inaudible), some upgrades along various (inaudible) roads.

Next slide, please.

So I just wanted to make you aware today that there are public hearings that are scheduled. There is four public hearings scheduled September 7th at the Christian -- Valley Christian High School in Chandler, near Chandler, Loop 202 Interchange at I-10. September 13th at the Vista Casa Grande High School in Casa Grande. The September 15th would be

```
1
     at the Community District 4 Service Center, and on
 2
     September 20th, it will be a virtual public hearing.
 3
                    Just a quick reminder of the (inaudible) P2P
 4
     planning workshop, the district workshop is scheduled.
                                                             Thev do
 5
     start here in the next week or so, so I just wanted to put that
     on your radar to see if you're able to attend. These -- all
 6
 7
     these will be virtual. It's a good time to provide input into
 8
     the, you know, projects that can be future -- you know, could be
 9
     in the program in the future.
10
                    Any questions?
11
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Any further questions from
12
     the Board?
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Vice Chair, I'm going to need
     to ask for a 33-second recess, because Rhett needs to make an
14
15
     adjustment to the laptop that's projecting it to the internet,
16
     so...
17
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT:
                                        Okay.
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: You need (inaudible) 15 seconds,
19
     (inaudible) 33. (Inaudible.)
2.0
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Briefly, sir.
21
                    MS. DANIELS: Thank you, (inaudible).
22
                    (Short pause.)
23
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. We're back in session.
24
     (Inaudible) finish up with (inaudible), Mr. Patane.
25
     (Inaudible.) So we are ready to move on to Item 6, the PPAC
```

```
1
     items, and they are for discussion and possible action.
 2
                    MR. PATANE: Thank you, Chairman Thompson,
 3
     Mr. Vice Chair Knight, Board Members. We've -- for your
 4
     consideration, changes to the FY '23-2027 Statewide
 5
     Transportation Facilities Construction Program, like to present
 6
     Items 6A for your review (inaudible) economic (inaudible)
 7
     projects.
 8
                    MR. MAXWELL: I -- can you kind of explain to me
 9
     economic strength program, exactly what that's supposed to
10
     (inaudible) to do?
11
                    MR. PATANE: Yeah. It would be -- the strength
12
     program is a competitive grant designed to enhance the economic
13
     strength (inaudible) of Arizona communities, and the Arizona
14
     (inaudible) commerce is the one who's -- makes the
15
     recommendations and approval. They (inaudible) the
16
     Transportation Board to (inaudible).
17
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Mr. Chairman, in the HURF
18
     distribution statute, there's a certain amount of money that
19
     goes to the Economic Strength Fund, and it's administered by
2.0
     (inaudible) projects.
21
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you. Thank you for
22
     your explanation, and that being said (inaudible).
2.3
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           (Inaudible.)
2.4
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.
2.5
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: It's a million dollars a
```

```
1
     year. The CFO tells me it's not (inaudible).
 2
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
 3
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: So it's not (inaudible).
 4
     Right.
 5
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: So is there a motion for the
 6
     Board to approve the Economic Strength Project, Item 6A, as
 7
     presented?
 8
                    MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, I move (inaudible)
 9
     forward with a question.
10
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay.
11
                    MS. DANIELS: Second.
12
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board
13
     Member Maxwell, a second from Board Member -- Board Member
14
     Daniels, and so we'll move on to discussion.
15
                    MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
                    Paul, really it's kind of now follow-up to the
     Director's question (inaudible) there's a million dollars
17
18
     (inaudible). Does that carry over from previous years?
19
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           Yes.
2.0
                    MR. PATANE: Yes.
21
                    MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you.
22
                    And then the other question I've got is it's --
2.3
     there's a rating system, then there's (inaudible) applicants
2.4
     (inaudible). Do all (inaudible) municipalities (inaudible)
2.5
     debriefs on the rating and score of their program?
```

1	MR. PATANE: Yes, they do, sir.	
2	MR. MAXWELL: Okay. Thank you.	
3	Mr. Chair, that's all I have. Thank you.	
4	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. (Inaudible) that case,	
5	(inaudible). I've got a motion and a second.	
6	All those in favor signify by saying aye.	
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
8	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And Floyd, would you poll the	
9	virtual members?	
10	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Searle.	
11	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
12	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
13	MR. MECK: Aye.	
14	MR. ROEHRICH: And Chairman Thompson.	
15	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
16	MR. ROEHRICH: The motion carries.	
17	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
18	So we'll move on to PPAC modification Items 6B	
19	through 6J, and Amendment 6U as presented. Do I hear a motion	
20	from the Board to approve those items?	
21	MS. DANIELS: So moved.	
22	MR. MAXWELL: Second.	
23	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board	
24	Member Daniels, a second from Board Member Maxwell. All those	
25	in is there any discussion (inaudible) of the board members?	

1	All those in favor, please signify by saying aye.	
2	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
3	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Floyd, would you please poll	
4	the virtual members?	
5		
	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Searle.	
6	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
7	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
8	MR. MECK: Aye.	
9	MR. ROEHRICH: And Board Member Thompson.	
10	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
11	MR. ROEHRICH: The motion carries.	
12	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
13	We'll move on to PPAC new projects, Items 6K	
14	through 6S. (Inaudible) do I have a motion from Paul?	
15	MR. PATANE: I could present those to you.	
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Go ahead.	
17	MR. PATANE: Chairman Thompson, Mr. Vice Chair	
18	Knight, for your consideration, the changes to the FY 2023-2027	
19	Statewide Transportation Facilities Construction Program. We'd	
20	like to present Items 6K through 6S (inaudible) projects	
21	(inaudible).	
22	MR. MAXWELL: So moved.	
23	MS. DANIELS: Second.	
24	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I have a motion from	
25	DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: (Inaudible) interrupt you	

```
1
     on the motion, Mr. Chair, but I think it's 6T, Paul, not 6S.
 2
                    MR. PATANE: (Inaudible.)
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Mr. Vice Chair, the
 3
     Director, there has been a slight modification. We're going to
 4
 5
     present 6T separate because of the nature of it. So that will
 6
     be what Paul presents. Thank you. I'll stop listening to
 7
     (inaudible).
 8
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.
 9
                    I have a motion from Board Member Daniels and a
10
     second from Board Member Maxwell. Did I get that right or
11
     backwards?
                (Inaudible) motion. Board Member (inaudible).
                                                                 The
12
     second from Board Member Daniels.
13
                    All those in favor signify by saying aye.
14
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
15
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And if you would poll our
16
     virtual members, Floyd.
17
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Member Searle.
18
                    MR. SEARLE: Actually, I had a question about a
19
     couple of these items. It's kind of hard to hear you guys. Are
2.0
     we taking any questions on these items?
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Go ahead.
22
                    MR. SEARLE: I had a question on 6K. That's the
2.3
     $1 million for right-of-way support. What is the estimated time
2.4
     of this project? I mean, are we looking at one year? Are we
2.5
     looking at several years on this project?
```

```
1
                    MR. PATANE: You know, Chairman Thompson, Vice
 2
     Chair Knight, Board Member Searle, we are anticipating three to
 3
     four years to construct the project. What this item is, this
 4
     might be (inaudible) to have (inaudible) agreement with the
 5
     Colorado River Indian Community to help us acquire the necessary
     rights to the tribal -- the tribal lands and the tribal
 6
 7
     (inaudible) as part of the I-10 expansion project.
 8
                    MR. SEARLE: Paul, so I heard you say this is a
 9
     four-year project?
10
                    MR. PATANE: Yes. We anticipate beginning
11
     construction, within the 18 months to two years we can be three
12
     to four years (inaudible) construction.
13
                    MR. SEARLE: All right. Thank you.
14
                    And on 6I, this is the assessments on
15
     (inaudible). It looks (inaudible) three locations. What is it,
     just out of curiosity, how long does -- what's the estimated
16
17
     time to complete one assessment?
18
                    MR. PATANE: (Inaudible.) Item 61?
19
                    MR. ROEHRICH: It's Item 6L. Item 6L.
                                                            It's the
2.0
     road safety assessment. Board Member Searle, is that what
21
     you're asking about, the road safety assessment?
22
                    MR. SEARLE: That's correct. I was just -- out
2.3
     of curiosity, how long -- what's the average time to complete
2.4
     one assessment?
2.5
                    MR. BYRES: Mr. Chairman, Vice Chair and Board
```

```
1
     Member Searle, right now we're -- our TSMO group is averaging
 2
     being able to get those RSAs done within probably about --
 3
     between three and six months. We're actually doing them much
     faster than that in some cases. It depends on the complexity of
 4
 5
     exactly what it is we're doing. If it is on an extended
 6
     corridor, it takes a little bit longer. If it is on the single
 7
     intersection, we can crank those out very quickly.
 8
                    MR. SEARLE: Okay. Thank you for the -- thank
 9
     you for the answer. That's all my questions.
10
                    DIRECTOR HALIKOWSKI: Paul, can you speak more
11
     into the microphone? I'm still getting texts saying people are
12
     having difficulty hearing us.
13
                    MR. PATANE: Yes, (inaudible).
14
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Okay. I think we have a vote
15
     from the in-present board members, and so, Floyd, if you will
16
     continue the vote with our virtual members.
17
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Vice Chair.
                    Board Member Searle.
18
19
                    MR. SEARLE: Aye.
2.0
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.
21
                    MR. MECK: Aye.
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Chairman Thompson.
2.3
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.
2.4
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries.
2.5
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Floyd.
```

We'll move on to PPAC, new project Item 6T for discussion only. Go ahead, Paul.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

MR. PATANE: So Chairman Thompson, Vice Chair Knight, Board Members, thank you for (inaudible) the Arizona legislative appropriations that we see, both federal/state funding. What we have there is the list of projects that we will be delivering as part of the -- as part of the program. We will come to you individually with each of these projects, you know, to establish (inaudible) project and (inaudible) get to award the contract associated with (inaudible) projects.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Paul.

Is there any -- any board member have any further questions for Paul?

MR. ROEHRICH: So, Mr. Vice Chair, Board Members, I do want to quick elaborate on one thing. The reason why we're only bringing these lists to you right now for information is because we had been asked a lot about the different projects either from Congress or from the State Legislature. We never had a comprehensive list. So Paul's team in Planning put together a comprehensive list.

So this is the list of all of the legislative projects in one place, and you're going to see that there's some very brief and general descriptions. So one of the issues why we're not ready to have them actioned right now is Paul's team really needs to do the work with our legislative team to further

```
1
     define the scope, further define any intent by either Congress
 2
     or the Legislature on what they wanted and work with the locals,
 3
     because a lot of these projects are local projects they asked
     the Legislature to fund with very limited description.
 4
 5
     not know the extent of that. A lot of times the estimates were
 6
     provided by the locals, and we have never vetted them or had a
 7
     chance to look at them to ensure that it will deliver what
 8
     they're asking.
 9
                    So it's just a chance (inaudible) folks due
10
     diligence and get the exact information necessary, and that --
11
     as we move those forward and we start delivering them, they will
12
     be presented, as Paul said, for including in the program.
13
     that's why it's only for information at this time, but now
14
     you've got a full list of one area where all the projects that
15
     are legislatively earmarked or funded are listed.
16
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Floyd, and I think
17
     it's probably something we're going to have to get used to with
18
     the -- with this earmark appropriation being used more and more
19
     frequently by the Legislature.
2.0
                    Any other board member, any board members have
21
     any questions for Floyd or Paul?
22
                    Very good. Thank you Paul.
2.3
                    MR. PATANE:
                                 Thank you.
24
                    CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: We'll move on to Item No. 7,
2.5
     state engineer's report, for information and discussion with
```

Greg Byres.

2.0

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Vice Chair,

Mr. Chairman, Board Members. I didn't get a chance to get that

4 up, so I had a couple items I wanted to bring up (inaudible) on

5 the slides.

The first one is obviously we're going through a fairly heavy monsoon season right now, and it is wreaking havoc on some of our roadways. (Inaudible) very (inaudible). North of Flagstaff, it's been getting very hard (inaudible) up in that area. One of the big things that's happened up there is there's -- we have one very deep culvert that goes under US-89, and it has become -- it became clogged and backed up with the first big rain that we had.

To the point of the -- the invert or the bottom of that box culvert is roughly 20 feet below the roadway surface. It completely filled up and backed up with all of the debris and came down from that burn scar, and it's just (inaudible) to try and get out there, and unfortunately, it has rained almost every day since that has happened. And so, consequently, it doesn't take much, the water overflows 89, goes into our median, fills up the median and then jumps over on the (inaudible). So we've been having a lot of issues with that.

We've also had some other issues on other culverts where because of the burn scar that we have up there, we now are getting massive amount of runoff that is coming

```
1
     compared to what we had in the past, (inaudible) on the ground,
 2
     and consequently, it's overloading some of the capacity issues
 3
     that we have in some of the storm drain systems, that we have
 4
     never had until suddenly this is (inaudible), and so we're
 5
     processing (inaudible).
 6
                    That's just two issues that we have up in
 7
     Flagstaff. We also have some others that are occurring across
 8
     the state. A lot of it is just because of very heavy rains, and
 9
     we designed our roadways for roughly a ten-year storm because of
10
     economics, or else you're building structures that are so huge
11
     and so expensive that you can't afford to build the road.
12
                    So, you know, once in a while we get storms
13
     bigger than that (inaudible) cause some problems. So -- and
14
     it's an ongoing issue. It happens every monsoon, but this
15
     monsoon, it's been very rough because of some of the -- the
16
     burns that we had (inaudible).
17
                    MS. DANIELS: Vice Chairman, may I make a
18
     comment?
19
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                           Yes.
2.0
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Board Member Daniels, go
21
     ahead.
22
                    MS. DANIELS: Appreciate it.
23
                    Thanks for highlighting that. The other one that
2.4
     we really need to think about is what the (inaudible) water
25
     quality (inaudible) comes down into our canal system, and cities
```

are spending a substantial amount of money on -- and some of our infrastructure actually cannot -- power water treatment facilities cannot treat the contaminants within the water.

2.0

2.3

2.5

To my knowledge, all of our cities have been adapting to that, and we have the clean, fresh water to drink. So there's no cause for alarm, but it is substantially expensive and very difficult. So we have multiple reasons to ensure that — whatever we can do to keep our culverts and storm drains clean and clear. There's downhill — downhill reasons to do that, and so I just wanted to highlight that as well. All that might not be an ADOT-specific issue, but it becomes in the broader infrastructure issue.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Board Member Daniels.

MR. BYRES: To that extent, Board Member Daniels, one thing I would like to bring forward is over the last couple weeks, I've been visiting several of our maintenance units, and these guys are working extremely hard to get out any (inaudible) overtopping. We try to address that as quick as we can, and these guys are working 24/7 in some cases to try and keep us going. So it is being addressed immediately, as well as a long-term solution. Up in Flagstaff we're working (inaudible) the City of Flagstaff on (inaudible) increase some capacity. (Inaudible) system. So it isn't just us. We're working (inaudible) communities.

```
1
                    One of the other things I would like to bring up
 2
     is as part of IIJA, we have the RAISE grant. That's -- were
 3
     just announced in Tucson this past week.
                                               There's four
 4
     recipients of RAISE grants within the state of Arizona. ADOT
 5
     did not put in for a RAISE grant, but having that money come
 6
     into the State is huge, and we may be working on some of those
 7
     because a couple of those projects fall within ADOT (inaudible).
 8
     So it may get a -- it will more than likely have (inaudible)
 9
     project wise, but (inaudible) four RAISE grants (inaudible) in
10
     the state of Arizona. (Inaudible.) So congratulations.
11
     (Inaudible.)
12
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: (Inaudible) grant as well
13
     (inaudible).
                    MR. BYRES: So, Vice Chair, yes, on US-95, we got
14
15
     a DOD grant, basically, and so we're pursuing the second stage
     of it right now. Yes. We're pursuing that. So (inaudible).
16
17
                    So I'll go ahead and go on with the report. We
18
     did have 100 projects under construction, worth a little over
19
     $2 billion. One project was finalized in the month of July,
2.0
     which is very unusual. Normally we have much more than that,
21
     but we're looking into why we only finalized one project
22
     (inaudible).
2.3
                    The other thing that we have is for the fiscal
2.4
     year to date, again, starting (inaudible) we only have one.
2.5
     with that, that concludes the engineer's report.
```

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Greg.

Do any board members have any further questions

3 for Greg? Hearing none.

2.0

2.3

2.4

2.5

Thank you, Greg.

We will move on to Agenda Item No. 7, and Greg's still on. These are for discussion and possible action.

MR. BYRES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And first off, I'd like to thank the Board for approving the items on the consent agenda. One thing I would like to mention about that is in reviewing those (inaudible) five projects that were in the consent agenda, the low bids came in under (inaudible), which was very good. One of the other big things on that was we had a considerable amount of or more competition for bids on several of those projects. So that's great to see. Hopefully we'll keep that trend up.

So with that, if I can go on to Item 8A, if we're -- if we're ready. Item 8A is (inaudible). This is from Picacho to Pinal Airpark Road. With this project we had one bid. This bid did come in considerably high. The low bid was \$6,113,131. The State's estimate was \$3,636,042, a difference of \$2,477,089, or 68.1 percent above the engineer's estimate.

With the -- with the announcements of the bids, what we did find out is the bid costs were extremely high, and what we saw as far as the -- pretty much all the way through the bid items, there's, again, no competition on this. Only one

```
1
     bidder, and due to this, what we are recommending is that a
 2
     rejection of all bids.
 3
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Anybody have -- does any
 4
     board member have questions for Greg on this item?
 5
                    UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Chair, a motion.
                    MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, I'll move at this time
 6
     with a motion and follow up.
 7
 8
                    MS. DANIELS: Second.
 9
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board
10
     Member Maxwell, a second from Board Member Daniels and -- to
11
     reject all bids, and you had questions?
12
                    MR. MAXWELL: Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13
                    Greg, so we've obviously seen the (inaudible)
14
     recently well only single bidders. I know we're (inaudible)
15
     more bidders. On this project specifically, obviously I-10's
16
     (inaudible) concern throughout the state, especially where the
     business or leisure travel (inaudible) between Tucson and
17
18
     Phoenix area. You said all the bids were really high.
                                                             In the
19
     past we've known that the cost of goods have been going up. Can
2.0
     you describe a little bit more in detail on this one how high or
21
     excessive you feel (inaudible)?
22
                    MR. BYRES: So, Mr. Chair, Vice Chair, Board
2.3
     Member Maxwell, on this particular one, one of -- what we found
24
     out in kind of digging into this is in the Tucson area,
2.5
     there's -- there is a massive amount of work that is occurring
```

throughout the county and the city particularly, and so consequently, there's -- because of that work flow, we're not seeing a lot of competition on projects within the area.

2.4

Now, the good thing is within about the next month, a lot of that projects — a lot of those projects are going to taper off, and so the workload will drop dramatically in the Tucson area. So what we're looking at doing is repackaging this, putting it back out on the street in approximately a month or two months. We don't want to go any further than that, but by that time, that workload will complete out, and we should have considerable competition coming in for this particular project.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, Greg, thanks for that answer. The City of Tucson has just recently also passed (inaudible) the construction off the main routes and into (inaudible) routes. There's still going to be a lot (inaudible) pavement projects, particularly down in the -- in the county region. So this is obviously a very important project to, I think, folks from many different districts in the state, so anything that we can do to encourage (inaudible) some more bidders, because obviously more competition does bring a better price. Appreciate the work you do.

VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Any further questions from the Board? I believe I have a motion and a second on the floor.

All those in favor signify by -- to reject all

25 All those in favor signify by -- to reject all

1 bids. All those in favor (inaudible) please signify by saying 2 aye. 3 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 4 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Floyd. 5 MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Searle. 6 MR. SEARLE: Aye. 7 MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck. 8 MR. MECK: Aye. 9 MR. ROEHRICH: Chairman Thompson. 10 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye. 11 MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries. 12 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you. 13 MR. BYRES: Going on to Item 8B, this is on 14 US-60, El Camino Viejo to east of Milepost 217. For this 15 project we had three bidders. The low bid was \$3,994,994. 16 State's estimate was \$4,979,728, a difference of \$984,734, or 17 19.8 percent below the engineer's estimate. 18 One of the big things that we found on this was 19 that the friction course reduction rates were much higher than 2.0 what was estimated. (Inaudible) this is due to a much shorter 21 haul of the material than we had projected. That went for both 22 the milling and for the friction course due to that short haul. 2.3 Analysis of the low bid, the bid appears to be responsive and a 24 responsible bid. We recommend award to Sunland Asphalt and 25 Construction, LLC.

1	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Greg.	
2	Does any board member have any questions for Greg	
3	on this one? Hearing none, do I hear a motion to award Item 8B	
4	to Sunland Asphalt and Construction?	
5	MS. DANIELS: So moved.	
6	MR. MAXWELL: Second.	
7	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: I have a motion by Board	
8	Member Daniels and a second by (inaudible) Board Member	
9	second by Board Member Maxwell.	
10	MR. MAXWELL: Maxwell.	
11	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I thought I had heard a	
12	virtual.	
13	All those in favor signify by saying aye.	
14	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
15	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And Floyd, if you will poll	
16	our virtual members.	
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Searle.	
18	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
19	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
20	MR. MECK: Aye.	
21	MR. ROEHRICH: And Chairman Thompson.	
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
23	MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries.	
24	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
25	Next item, Greg.	

1 MR. BYRES: Next up we have is Item 8C. This is on SR-95, state line to Riverside Drive. The low bid was 2 3 \$788,889. The State's estimate was \$579,682. The difference 4 was \$209,207, or 36.1 percent. 5 The biggest items that we had that were over the 6 engineer's estimate was the asphalt and friction course. 7 of these, the binder prices were -- particularly were higher 8 than what we were looking at, as well as an extended haul. 9 They're actually going to haul material out of Ehrenberg for 10 this particular project. But after analyzing the low bid, it 11 does appear to be responsive and a responsible bid, and we 12 recommend award to Fisher Sand and Gravel Company. 13 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Is there any more discussion? 14 Then I will entertain a motion to award Item 8C 15 to Fisher Sand and Gravel Company as presented. 16 MR. MAXWELL: So moved. 17 MS. DANIELS: Second. VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board 18 19 Member Maxwell and a second from Board Member Daniels to award 2.0 Item 8C to Fisher Sand and Gravel Company as presented. All 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 2.3 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Floyd, would you poll our 24 virtual members? MR. ROEHRICH: Board Member Searle. 2.5

1 MR. SEARLE: Aye. 2 MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck. MR. MECK: Aye. 3 4 MR. ROEHRICH: And Chairman Thompson. 5 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye. 6 MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries. 7 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you. Go ahead, Greg. 8 MR. BYRES: Thank you. 9 This is Item 8D. This is another project on 10 This one from Milepost 67 to Milepost 80. It is a 11 pavement preservation project. We had four bidders on this 12 project. The low bid was \$3,384,434. The State's estimate was 13 \$4,018,268, a difference of \$633,834, or 15.8 percent below the 14 engineer's estimate. 15 On this particular one, one of the things that we 16 found out was the low bidder, the production of dry mineral 17 aggregate was much quicker than what we've seen in the past, 18 which is great, but the other thing that they have is this 19 particular contractor has an extensive amount of experience in 2.0 this particular kind of construction, which was a (inaudible) 21 pavement project. After analysis of the low bid, it appears 22 that the bid is a responsive and responsible bid, and we 2.3 recommend award to Cactus Transport, Inc. 2.4 VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Any questions or discussion 2.5 from the Board?

1	In that case, I will entertain a motion to award	
2	Item 8D to Cactus Transport, Inc.	
3	MS. DANIELS: So moved.	
4	MR. MAXWELL: Second.	
5	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board	
6	Member Daniels, a second from Board Member Maxwell to award	
7	Item 8D to Cactus Transport, Inc.	
8	All those in favor signify by saying aye.	
9	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
10	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Would you poll our virtual	
11	members?	
12	MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir.	
13	Vice excuse me Board Member Searle.	
14	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
15	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
16	MR. MECK: Aye.	
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Chairman Thompson.	
18	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
19	MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries.	
20	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
21	MR. BYRES: The next slide we have is Item 8E.	
22	This is on US-191, from Table Top Road to Lower Eagle Creek	
23	Road. It's a pavement preservation project. We have three	
24	bidders on this project. The low bid was \$4,610,808. The	
25	State's estimate was \$4,092,373, a difference of \$518,435, or	

```
1
     12.7 percent over the engineer's estimate.
 2
                    On this particular project, what we did find out
 3
     was the cost for emulsified asphalt was much higher than what
     was estimated. All haul route that was selected by the low
 4
 5
     bidder on this particular project is also considerable. It's
     100 miles round trip. So they're hauling material out of
 6
     Safford, but after analyzing the low bid, it does appear to be a
 8
     responsive and responsible bid, and we recommend award to Cactus
 9
     Transport, Inc.
10
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Greq.
11
                    Do any board members have a question or
12
     discussion on this item?
13
                    Hearing none, is there a motion to award Item 8E
14
     to Cactus Transport, Inc. as presented?
15
                    MS. DANIELS: So moved.
16
                    MR. MAXWELL: Second.
17
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion from Board
18
     Member Daniels, a second from Board Member Maxwell to award Item
19
     8E to Cactus Transport, Inc.
2.0
                    All those in favor signify by saying aye.
21
                    BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
22
                    VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And Floyd, if you would poll
2.3
     our virtual members.
2.4
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir.
2.5
                    Board Member Searle.
```

1	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
2	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
3	MR. MECK: Aye.	
4	MR. ROEHRICH: And Chairman Thompson.	
5	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
6	MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries.	
7	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
8	Go ahead, Greg.	
9	MR. BYRES: The next item we have is Item 8F.	
10	This is on SR-287, Hacienda to SR-87. This is for rumble strips	
11	and right turn lanes. The low bid was \$726,538. The State's	
12	estimate was \$596,601, a difference of \$129,938, or 21.8 percent	
13	above the engineer's estimate.	
14	On this particular project, what we found in	
15	analyzing the low bid was the roadway excavation was higher than	
16	what we had anticipated along the roadway, as well as the	
17	construction surveying and traffic control for both. Much	
18	higher (inaudible). After analyzing the low bid, it does appear	
19	to be a responsive and responsible bid, and we recommend award	
20	to Combs Construction Company, Inc.	
21	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Greg.	
22	Any discussion or questions from the Board?	
23	Hearing none, is there a motion to award Item 8F	
24	to Combs Construction Company, Inc., as presented?	
25	MR. MAXWELL: So moved.	

1	MS. DANIELS: Second.	
2	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
3	I have a motion from Board Member Maxwell and a	
4	second from Board Member Daniels to award Item 8F to Combs	
5	Construction Company, Inc., as presented.	
6	All those in favor signify by saying aye.	
7	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
8	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: And Floyd, if you will poll	
9	our virtual members?	
10	MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir.	
11	Board Member Searle.	
12	MR. SEARLE: Aye.	
13	MR. ROEHRICH: Board Mechanic Meck.	
14	MR. MECK: Aye.	
15	MR. ROEHRICH: And Chairman Thompson.	
16	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye.	
17	MR. ROEHRICH: Vice Chair, the motion carries.	
18	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you.	
19	MR. BYRES: Thank you.	
20	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Greg.	
21	We will move on to Agenda Item 9. Any	
22	suggestions for future agenda items for the Board?	
23	MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Vice Chair, if I could just	
24	quick remind everybody, the next meeting is September 16th in	
25	the town of Maricopa. We are meeting at their city hall. And a	

1	reminder, the couple days before that is the Rural Summit. So	
2	if you are planning to attend and you've registered, please	
3	submit any receipts prior to that to get you reimbursed for	
4	that, and Sherry will be able to cover that. And then after the	
5	Rural Summit, remember to bring any hotel receipts or anything	
6	as part of your travel there for from the Board so we can go	
7	ahead and cover that as well.	
8	With that, sir, that's all that I have to	
9	announce.	
10	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: Thank you, Floyd.	
11	Hearing no other discussion from the Board and no	
12	other suggestions from the Board, we have covered this month's	
13	meeting and all the agenda items (inaudible), so I will	
14	entertain a motion to adjourn.	
15	MR. MAXWELL: So moved.	
16	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a motion to adjourn.	
17	MS. DANIELS: Second.	
18	VICE CHAIR KNIGHT: I have a second.	
19	All those in favor.	
20	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.	
21	(Meeting adjourned at 11:04 a.m.)	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	STATE OF ARIZONA)
2	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
3	
4	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were reported by
5	me, TERESA A. WATSON, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified
6	Reporter, Certificate No. 50876, State of Arizona, from an
7	electronic recording and were reduced to written form under my
8	direction; that the foregoing 71 pages constitute a true and
9	accurate transcript of said electronic recording, all done to
10	the best of my skill and ability.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
12	parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome
13	hereof.
14	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 3rd day of October 2022.
15	
16	
17	/s/ Teresa A. Watson
18	TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter
19	Certificate No. 50876
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A motion to adjourn the August 19, 2022, State Transportation Board meeting was made by Board		
Member Maxwell and seconded by Board Member Daniels. In a voice vote, the motion carried.		
Meeting adjourned at 11:04 a.m. PST.		
	Not Available for Signature	
	Jesse Thompson, Chairman	
	State Transportation Board	

Not Available for Signature

John S. Halikowski, Director

Arizona Department of Transportation

Adjournment