STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD MEETING PUBLIC HEARING

IN PERSON WITH OPTIONAL TELEPHONIC/WEBEX ATTENDANCE

9:00am, May 19, 2023 Town of Gilbert

Municipal Building 1, Community Room 202 50 East Civic Center Drive Gilbert, Arizona 85296

Call to Order

Chairman Gary Knight called the State Transportation Board Meeting to order at 9:00 a.m.

Pledge

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Floyd Roehrich, Jr.

Roll Call by Board Secretary, Sherry Garcia

A quorum of the State Transportation Board was present. **In attendance (in person):** Chairman Gary Knight, Vice Chairman Richard Searle, Board Member Ted Maxwell, Board Member Jesse Thompson, Board Member Jenn Daniels, Board Member Jenny Howard, and Board Member Jackie Meck. There were approximately 63 members of the public on-line and approximately 25 attendees in person.

Opening Remarks

Chairman Knight reminded members of the public, to keep their computer or phone muted during the meeting, unless called to speak during the Call to Audience.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

Floyd Roehrich, Jr., read Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. Floyd, also reminded individuals to fill out survey cards, with the link shown on the agenda.

Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided to members of the public to address the State Transportation Board. Members of the public were requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments.

ARIZONA STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VIA WEBEX AND IN PERSON AT:

Town of Gilbert
Town Hall Council Chambers
Municipal Building 1, Community Room 202
50 East Civic Center Drive
Gilbert, Arizona 85296

May 19, 2023 9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY: TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter Certificate No. 50876

PREPARED FOR:
ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

(Certified Copy)

Perfecta Reporting (602) 421-3602

1	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF EXCERPT OF ELECTRONIC
2	PROCEEDINGS, ADOT - STATE TRANSPORTATION BOARD PUBLIC HEARING,
3	was reported from electronic media by TERESA A. WATSON,
4	Registered Merit Reporter and a Certified Reporter in and for
5	the State of Arizona.
6	
7	PARTICIPANTS:
8	Board Members:
9	Gary Knight, Chairman Richard Searle, Vice Chairman
10	Ted Maxwell, Board Member Jesse Thompson, Board Member
11	Jenn Daniels, Board Member Jackie Meck, Board Member
12	Jenny Howard, Board Member
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CALL TO THE AUDIENCE	
2	In-Person Speakers:	Page:
3	Nancy Smith, Mayor, City of Maricopa	5
4 5 6 7 8 9	Bill Robertson, Maricopa Planning & Zoning Commissioner and Maricopa Resident	8 10 13 14 16
11	Vinny Gallegos, Executive Director CYMPO	
12	Kevin Biesty, Freeport-McMoRan Bagdad	
13 14	Mark Thompson, Gilbert Resident/Commuter Edigar Kajirwa, City of Bullhead City Asst. City Manager	
15	Webex/Telephonic Speakers:	Page:
16 17	Donald Huish, Mayor, City of Douglas	28
18	Kate Morley, Interim Executive Director, MetroPlan	30
19	John Moffatt, Director of Infrastructure Policy, Southern Arizona Leadership Council	52
20	Katelynn Peterson, Resident of Maricopa	XX
21		
22	<u>PUBLIC HEARING AGENDA ITEMS</u>	
232425	Item 1 - Overview of the Tentative FY 2024-2028 Five-Year Transportation Facilities Construction Program - Paul Patane, Multimodal Planning Division Director	32

1 (Beginning of excerpt.) 2 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Moving on to call to the audience. I'd like to remind everyone calling in 3 telephonically, through Webex, everyone will be muted when they 4 5 call in to the meeting. When your name is called to provide your comments, you will indicate your presence by virtually 6 7 raising your hand. I believe on your keyboard or phone that's 8 star three. And then the Webex host will guide you through the 9 unmuting and muting process following instructions included in 10 the meeting agenda. 11 For those speaking in person, there's an 12 opportunity for members of the public to discuss items of 13 interest with the Board. Please fill out a Request For Public 14 Input Form and give it to the Board Secretary if you wish to 15 address the Board. 16 In the interest of time, there will be a 17 three-minute limit imposed. Please try to comply with that. 18 Today's meeting is going to be rather long, and we'd 19 appreciate -- we'd appreciate if you stick to the three-minute 20 time limit. 21 Floyd, would you call the first speaker? 22 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We have a number of speakers in person as well as online. We will start 23

Our first speaker is Mayor Nancy Smith. Mayor

with the speakers in person first.

24

25

Smith.

MAYOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, Board
Members, ADOT employees. Thank you for the opportunity to speak
here today.

I have a funny story to start off with. I was at a restaurant in Chandler a couple weeks ago, and I was waiting in line for our table to be ready, I saw that there was a group of about eight ADOT employees with their badges on. And I commented to them, "ADOT. I love ADOT." And they kind of looked at me a little weird like they had never received a compliment like that. So they really appreciated the comment, and it was just funny to explain to them why I love ADOT, and that is because we have had many meetings with ADOT over the last six to eight months, and I appreciate the attention that the city of Maricopa is getting, and the road safety analysis that they just completed for us, and they plan on coming out and doing a town hall to disseminate that information to our residents in June. So I appreciate that very much.

I also appreciate our representative Jenny
Howard. She's coming out to the city of Maricopa next week, and
we look forward to giving her a tour and helping her understand
the challenges that the city of Maricopa has.

Just recently, the state legislator -Legislature, excuse me, approved \$18 million for improvements on
State Route 347 at intersections Casa Blanca Road and the Cement

```
1
     Plant Road. And so I am here today to tell you we are ready to
 2
     go. So as soon as you get ahold of those funds, let's make it
     happen, and let's get started on that, because it's one element
 3
     that will make that road just that much safer.
4
 5
                    So thank you for all that you do for us, and
     thank you for all that -- all the work that's done in the city
6
 7
     of Maricopa.
                   Thank you.
8
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Mayor.
                                                         I guess
9
     you're going to be replacing Christian Price at our meetings --
10
                    MAYOR SMITH: Yes.
11
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: -- as well as replacing him as
12
     mayor, but --
13
                    MAYOR SMITH: Yes.
14
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: -- but he's not here but you
15
     are, so...
16
                    MAYOR SMITH: You go it.
17
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Floyd.
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Bill
19
     Robertson. Mr. Robertson.
20
                    MR. ROBERTSON: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Board
21
               Thank you for the opportunity to present to you today.
22
     My name is Bill Robertson. I'm a resident of the city of
23
     Maricopa. I'm also vice chair of the Planning and Zoning
24
     Commission for the city of Maricopa.
25
                    I don't know if you saw just the other day, but
```

the U.S. Census Bureau has just recently named the city of Maricopa the eighth fastest growing city in the entire United States of America. We currently have about 27,000 rooftops that represent about 70 -- close to 70,000 people population. Here's the kicker. We have over 70,000 more rooftops entitled and in the planning phase. So we are poised to grow three or four times our current population over the next ten years.

The 347 scoping study and more recently ADOT transportation safety survey that the mayor talked about actually designated State Route 347 as needing additional lane miles, travel lanes in the form of a parkway and nonstop-type design. Assembling funds through a funding source is a high priority for all stakeholders involved, and it is aggressively being researched and sought on many levels. This is likely a couple-year process in and of its own.

Currently, State Route 347's full expansion is not in the proposed ADOT five-year plan. Not being in the five-year plan will likely hamper our assembly of funding sources, as the State Legislature and other federal granting agencies will not see it as a priority if we don't have it in the plan. So hampering the funding sources will also delay movement of the project.

Members of the Board, we hope to have construction options decided and design underway to have the shovel-ready project in just a short time and ready for funding

1 when it becomes available. Now is the time to set the table. 2 Now is the time to move the needle forward towards completing this project sooner rather than later. The need is now. 3 time is now. 4 5 I'd like to request careful consideration to get 347 expansion into the current five-year plan. Any further 6 7 delay is going to put us out a decade or more. Thank you very much for your time. Thank you for 8 9 the work that you do. 10 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Ron 11 Angerame. 12 MR. ANGERAME: Thank you to the Board for 13 allowing me to address you today. Celia Fernandez wanted to be here today, but it's too soon for her. She wrote a letter that 14 15 she asked me to read to you, so -- were you able to pass out 16 those? 17 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. They have the photo. 18 Could you get a little closer to the microphone, please? 19 MR. ANGERAME: Thank you. 20 MR. ROEHRICH: Thank you. 21 MR. ANGERAME: Hi. My name is Celia Fernandez. 22 I am the mother of Suheiry Hydie Fernandez. She was ten years 23 old when her life was taken on Highway 347. The accident happened on March 26th, 2023. She was declared brain dead on 24

March 29th, 2023, and her heart stopped on March 31st, 2023.

25

She was the second oldest of five siblings.

Here is a little information about my daughter: She was a catcher for the Maricopa Sandlot softball team in Maricopa. Her dream was becoming a professional softball player. She was outgoing, happy, always dancing, always had a smile on her face. She was my mini-me. But her life was taken away from me on this horrible day when a careless driver was speeding and distracted. He hit my mom's car, which hit my brother's car, while my daughter and my sister, age 28, my brother, age 21, and my mom was standing outside the car, and due to my -- due to my brother's car shutting off on him on his way home.

My daughter and sister were the ones that were injured worst of all the people involved in the car accident.

My daughter had a serious head injury, and due to that she lost her battle and God called her home.

My sister was just 28; the second person with serious injuries. Her injuries included, but not limited to, her breaking a couple of her back discs, her ear cut off, her left leg was broken in three parts. Her right knee was fracture.

My brother has a broken disc in his back, and my mother has her ankle completely broken.

I want to see change, because right now no one cares about how fast or how reckless anyone drives on 347.

```
1
     Never in my 30 years of living in Arizona have I witnessed so
 2
     many car accidents on one highway, freeway or even a city
 3
     street. My family and I moved to Maricopa in 2020, and three
     years later I have to bury my ten-year-old daughter. That
4
 5
     should never happen.
                    She had so many dreams and goals, but someone
 6
 7
     carelessly took her away from her family, friends and teammates.
8
     I don't want her memory or her name go in vain, because her life
9
     mattered and still matters. We have to do something so no
10
     family has to go through what my family is still going through.
11
                    Help me keep my daughter's name alive and her
12
     memory. Let's change the 347 for the better and not for the
13
             I'm afraid that if we don't do anything about it, other
     worse.
14
     innocent people will be killed because of reckless drivers.
15
                    Thank you for your time and God bless.
16
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you. Thank you for your
17
     comments.
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mayor
19
     Stephanie Irwin. Mayor Irwin.
20
                    MAYOR IRWIN: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members
21
     of the Board and staff. My name is Stephanie Irwin.
                                                           I'm the
22
     mayor of the town of Pinetop-Lakeside. Thank you for the
23
     opportunity to address you this morning.
24
                    My concerns today center around Highway 260
25
     within our town boundaries, which is Nottingham Lane,
```

1 | Milepost 347.1, to Box Springs Road, which is Milepost 354.4.

Roadways are meant to create connectivity, open up opportunities

and provide official pathways to life's necessities. In a rural

4 | setting, roads are key to function and development.

When commuting along the lifeline of our community becomes dangerous, it affects so much more than our comfort levels. Our first responders face delayed response times and technical issues from equipment being rattled loose. They risk -- the risk they take on a daily basis is now magnified by drivers who can't see the lane lines, who are concentrating more on avoiding the potholes than the traffic behavior around them.

Our community is made up of hard working people. Many of them have lived paycheck to paycheck. We repeatedly hear about damage to their vehicles, that they go as far as eliminating a family's only option for transportation. But then, even if they're able to make the effort to walk, our sidewalks are disappearing right before our eyes. They're just crumbling away. It's risky to even try to travel any distance on them for the most agile, and a complete impossibility for anyone with disabilities.

On an economic level, the current road conditions could be devastating for us. Pinetop-Lakeside survives off our sales tax revenue. This makes tourism our largest revenue generator. With Highway 260, which is our main drag through

town, the only route to traverse the area, people may start to avoid coming to visit just to avoid traveling on horrendous roads.

We have a major car show that comes up the weekend of September of every year, of classic cars, and I'm hearing from their organizers that many of these individuals are reluctant to come and visit our community.

We're not asking for help because we don't enjoy driving on these rough roads. We're asking for help because the conditions of Highway 260 have a direct impact on our quality of life, and quite frankly, the survival of our town and its residents. We sincerely appreciate the money that recently has been allocated to road repairs on certain sections of our town.

It is also my understanding that there may be another allocation of these emergency road repair funds the coming fiscal year. I would humbly request that this section of Highway 260 be strongly considered for these emergency repair funds that will be coming out, and we would also request that the complete project be considered for inclusion on the upcoming five-year transportation plan. That would include upgrades to the sidewalks, making them ADA compliant, as well as various other improvements along this stretch of road.

In closing I would just like to thank you for your time and invite you to come bring of your board meetings back up to Pinetop-Lakeside. We would love to host you. I

believe the last time you visited our community was in 2019. So
we would on love to invite you to come back. So thank you
again.

CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Mayor.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Matt Patterson. Mr. Patterson.

MR. PATTERSON: Good morning, Chairman Knight and Board Members. My name is Matt Patterson. I'm the public works director for the town of Pinetop Lakes.

First off and foremost, I want to thank you for all of the ADOT support throughout this wintertime. It was tremendous for you guys and us, and we had a great team up there in the White Mountains, and we are so appreciative of you guys helping us all the time.

I stood in front of you when Jesse Thompson was appointed in 2017, and we discussed lack of funding at that time. The state didn't have enough funds to complete the pavement preservation project that was designed to go from Show Low, through Pinetop-Lakeside, all the way to the Apache Tribe. This project was cut in half to fit it into the five-year project -- five-year plan. The last -- last year, the first half of this project was completed. As you can imagine, the phone calls started this year, and not just for us, for you guys as well.

At this point we still have not made the project

```
1
     back on the five-year plan, and it's a story of all things that
 2
     could have gone wrong have gone wrong. The pandemic, the
     economy, the cost of construction, the HURF funding failing.
 3
     Our project has not made the cut even though it has been the top
4
 5
     project for District 5 for many, many years. We currently sit
     16th this year, and the state was able to only fund 12 projects.
6
 7
                    In 2017 the Board approved 30 projects. Today I
8
     have two questions for you. Is the P2P process feasible at this
9
     point? Is there not enough funding available to make it
10
     sustainable? The top 40 projects definitely need to happen.
11
     The idea of the P2P process is to make data-driven decisions.
12
     However, to make it work, we need to have more than 1 percent of
13
     our pavement preservation in our system.
14
                    The second question is I'm extremely concerned.
15
     As you know, our project was 16 this year, and we are going to
16
     receive about 4.5 million in emergency funds. The 260 pavement
17
     preservation project is $33 million, and I leave you with this
18
     question: Will the emergency repairs affect our technical score
19
     and drop us out of the race?
20
                    Thank you for your time today.
21
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you very much for your
22
     comments.
23
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Annie
     DeRosier.
24
25
                    MS. DEROSIER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
```

members of the Board and the staff. My name is Annie DeRosier, and I work for the Town of Pinetop-Lakeside. I'd like to thank you for your time today.

I think we can all acknowledge that your seat is not an envied position (inaudible). The challenges brought before you today are years in the making given the (inaudible) solution is dire. We are grateful for your consideration for our pleas and for the effort you have all put forth in finding solutions.

It has been explained to me that the Arizona Department of Transportation is primarily a data-driven entity. When asking how projects are addressed for priority, your response generally includes a very specific equation utilizing different metrics. Most plans that are data centric and that have a significant ability to affect the public have predetermined markers (inaudible) both success and failures. The failure markers would trigger necessary adjustments to avoid collapse.

We've watched the pavement preservation program in our area drop from a 5 percent maintenance average to 1 percent. We have watched funding drop covering 30-plus projects to about 12. Our road, that is now 38 years old, is only receiving partial emergency repair work. We have yet to be placed on the five-year plan, regardless of the dangerous driving conditions. It is well understood that ADOT, like

everyone, is dealing with the effects of higher costs of operations from materials to labor. Any analysis of this data would lead one to believe that staying with the same funding structure will lead to the collapse of our roadways. emergency band-aid work that is now replacing old preservation jobs, while we are very grateful for it, could leave us in a worse position four or five years down the road. What are the thresholds that would trigger a

restructuring of the funding system? Have we not yet met them? What is the plan for getting back to the 5 percent pavement preservation average? We by no means estimate the magnitude of this challenge. Unfortunately, the longer we wait, the harder it will be to dig ourselves out of this hole.

So I would like to leave you with one last question to consider. How can we as a rural community help with addressing this funding issue? We have turned in some images of (inaudible) and our sidewalks.

I thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you very much for your comments.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Isaac Blake. Mr. Blake.

MR. BLAKE: Good morning, Chairman Knight and Board Members. My name is Isaac Blake, and I'm a lifelong Arizona (inaudible) who has over 35 years of experience in

technology and a first responder who retired up in the Payson area.

The reason I drove here today rather than present via videoconference is to give you my comments in person as to highlight the importance of (inaudible). Now, the highway safety is one of if not the most important factors this board, ADOT and others must factor in to the numerous requests that they receive. Economic growth and other factors are certainly important, but highway safety and the safety of the people that are traversing those highways have to be top priority, as well as the first responders that use those roadways.

Sadly, most of the strategic five-year plans and long-term plans have very little for State Route 87 between the Verde River and Payson. Are you aware that that state highway is designated as an evacuation route for the Valley, the Payson area and the Rim Country? But this is (inaudible).

Are you aware that people have died on State
Route 87 because they were unable to contact 911? They can sit
on the side of the road overnight unable to call for help. They
have to be able to notify 911 because of a critical incident, to
wit, the Bush Fire. That fire could have been reported
significantly earlier if there was a way for the public on those
roadways to communicate successfully with 911. All of these add
up to not only a danger to the public, but to the first
responders that go to those areas to be able to have reliable

communication and access in those areas.

boards, cameras, sensors, call boxes or other highway safety technology between the Verde River and Payson, a distance of 60 miles? Which I drove down this morning, and I repeatedly drive up and down. There are no overhead message boards coming out of Payson, either north or southbound on State Route 87, nor at critical intersections such as the 87, (inaudible) that heads down towards Globe. This causes travelers to drive 16 or more miles when these highways are closed only to find that they need to turn around and return to Payson. This happened during some of our recent fire seasons.

Besides this overview and call to action, my specific ask is ADOT Project ID 103122. The road rehabilitation of State Route 87 within the town of Payson. This project is missing a key highway safety (inaudible). The installation of overhead message boards for northbound State Route 87 (inaudible) and southbound State Route 87 --

MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Blake, please finish your comments. You've exceed you time limit.

MR. BLAKE: I'm sorry?

MR. ROEHRICH: Please close your comments.

MR. BLAKE: I didn't see a clock up there, so...

MR. ROEHRICH: We're using the clock. It gives a beep when the time's up.

1 MR. BLAKE: May I close? 2 MR. ROEHRICH: Yes. Please close. MR. BLAKE: As the first step, please consider 3 amending this project for these overhead message boards. 4 5 In closing, where my comments were focused on this section, State Route 87, a more holistic approach 6 7 (inaudible) strategic planning inclusive of not only 8 (inaudible) Pinetop and Lakeside, but the growing (inaudible) in 9 rural areas throughout our country or in our state. 10 Thank you for your time today and for your 11 consideration for this important issue. Have a good day and a 12 good weekend. 13 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you for your comments. 14 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Vinny 15 Gallegos. Mr. Gallegos. 16 MR. GALLEGOS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 17 members of the Board. Vinny Gallegos, Executive Director of Central Yavapai CYMPO. Thank you for being here. 18 19 This past week Chairman Knight and our executive 20 board, along with the other executive board members, approved 21 our upcoming two-year work program. I'd like to provide some 22 highlights for the last two years that I've provided to them 23 that I think will absolutely highlight the success that all MPOs 24 are doing in Arizona and the success of our partnerships. 25 The last two years, CYMPO worked with a staff of

four. We had a \$3.3 million budget to cover the last two years. We were very proud to highlight what we were able to cover in central Yavapai with that \$3.3 million, but the real headline news that I shared with the Board, and again, this is what so many of the MPOs do throughout Arizona, is we were able to leverage those \$3 million into additional transportation projects. The number that I provided is we were able to add \$25.2 million of additional transportation projects for central Yavapai. That was possible primarily through working with the Legislature for one-time funding. For transportation, we were able to add about \$11 million two years ago, \$10 million this year. Many of those projects are on the state highway system that we were able to lead the efforts through the Rural Transportation Advocacy Council.

We also worked with Senator Mark Kelly's office last year and this year for some federal appropriations. Last year for a design concept report on State Route 89A; this year for some much needed transit vehicles. But those were not the only things that we did. We worked with and through ADOT for additional grant opportunities for transportation projects for transit funds.

So again, I really would like to take a moment just to highlight how incredible our MPOs are throughout Arizona, the partnerships that we share with you as board members, with ADOT, and that, again, with a staff of one, for

```
some MPOs, two -- for us it's four -- can do in a given year.
 1
     And we work with our local elected officials. And if we could
 2
     leverage our dollars for us specifically from 3 million into 25
 3
     for additional projects, it is something to highlight.
4
 5
     something to be proud about, and we're looking forward to this
     next two years, and I've projected that, again, working with
 6
 7
     Chairman Knight on our board, our other board members, our
8
     technical committee and our staff that our target is to do the
9
     same thing, to continue to identify those needs, those
10
     partnerships that will work together for this.
11
                    So again, thank you very much, specifically to
12
     Chairman Knight for serving on our board and for you and your
13
     service.
               Thank you very much.
14
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Thank you, Vinny.
15
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Kevin
16
     Biesty. Mr. Biesty.
17
                    MR. BIESTY: Good morning.
18
                    Time's up.
19
                    (Inaudible conversation)
20
                    MR. BIESTY: Am I ready to start?
21
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Yes, sir. You are.
22
                    MR. BIESTY: Good morning, Chairman Knight,
23
     members of the Board. For the record, my name is Kevin Biesty,
24
     and I'm here today representing Freeport-McMoRan in Bagdad,
25
     Arizona.
```

As mentioned in previous board meetings, Bagdad's
main product is copper, which is the metal of electrification
and a key component for the 2050 net zero emission energy
transition plan. It has been projected that the world -- the
world will need about twice as much copper between now and 2035
to meet that goal. A fundamental part of reaching that goal is

having the necessary transportation infrastructure that can

facilitate the safe and efficient movement of people and goods.

on this corridor.

For Bagdad, SR-93 and SR-97 are crucial. That is where we would like to express our support for the proposed improvements to SR-93 identified in your tentative FY '24 and '28 plan. There were seven projects identified between Cane Springs and Vista Royale that will continue the commitment that ADOT and this board has to safety and operational improvements

I'd like to give you a quick update on the progress of the efforts that we -- that Freeport has had with ADOT to advance the necessary safety and operational improvements on SR-97. With the recent budget enacted by the Legislature and signed by the Governor, Arizona has reaffirmed its commitment to improving this roadway by maintaining the \$10 million appropriation from the General Fund that was made last session.

Along with the \$10 million that Freeport-McMoRan has committed to the project, this effort continues the

partnership between the private sector, the federal, state and local governments. It is these types of collaboration that the Legislature and this Board and the federal government look for when developing important projects.

There is currently a RAISE grant application in with USDOT for this project, which if awarded will provide the necessary federal piece. We are expecting to hear if the grant awards by the end of June.

In closing, I'd like to publicly thank Director Administrator Brozich and his team for all their efforts in this endeavor, and I also want to publicly thank you, Mr. Chairman, the members of this Board, Director Toth and the good folks at ADOT for all their dedication and service. Thank you and have a great weekend.

CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Biesty.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Alton Joe Shepherd. Mr. Shepherd.

MR. SHEPHERD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Vice Chairman and members of the ADOT Board. My name is Alton Joe Shepherd. I am a county board supervisor for Apache County District 2.

I just want to lend my support and also I'm very appreciative (inaudible) in the tentative five-year plan, which is averaging about 155 million in Apache County. Secondly, I don't know if we can tie in to some of my previous requests to

the Board here in public hearings was to -- again, to talk about the (inaudible) bridge improvement, and at this point I don't know what else we can do as a county as far as our funding is very, very limited, meaning that there's tribal lands and public lands and probably about 13 percent economic development. So again, back when Route 66 was developed and -- or I-40 was developed, all that Route 66 was abandoned and given to the county to take care of, but there is a bridge that spans 269 feet and 18 feet wide and over (inaudible) by local travelers.

And again, when people -- when there's accidents along I-40, DPS reverts a lot of those heavy trucks to go across (inaudible). And it is listed on the National Register for Historic Places, so -- and I know we can tie it in to -- and I know this is all tentative with the five-year plan, but there is a project, Number 100193, which is about (inaudible) million down to Allentown bridge. I don't know if there is any way that we could (inaudible) put that alongside of that or wherever we can fit it in (inaudible) with all of the projects that are listed here. There is another (inaudible) a lot of these are along the I-40 bridge improvements, and so hopefully we can get some technical assistance (inaudible) modifications to do that improvement project, which is going to be about less than 2.5 million to make that structure sound.

The other is US-191, south of the (inaudible) about 15 miles, and the shoulder widening needs to be proposed

and planned for. It's been many, many years. (Inaudible)
starting to utilize that, and again, if the interstate's shut
down, a lot of the truckers use that between Window Rock and
Ganado to come back down to the interstate. And a lot of
shoulder is broken enough to where even the white striped lines
are starting to deteriorate.

Lastly, Burnside roundabout was constructed many, many years ago, back in the 90s and the 80s, and I guess back then the design might have been a little too small. It's -- as we have a lot of our heavy equipment that we drive through there and transport, it's kind of difficult for a lot of these semi trucks to traverse through that roundabout, and maybe if we can take a look at that as well to see if there's a different redesign or (inaudible).

Again, thank you.

CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Supervisor.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Mark

Thompson. Mr. Thompson.

MR. MARK THOMPSON: Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board and ADOT staff. I am a Gilbert resident, but I'm also here, downtown Phoenix, for work, and I also use that commuter option of I-10 for entertainment downtown, for the Diamondbacks and the Suns.

Maricopa County's Regional Transportation Plan is expiring here in about 18 months, and we need your assistance to

extend that tax, because if we don't improve that extension, we're -- our road and infrastructure is going to collapse on ourselves. We already have major congestion on our major freeways, but they need more improvements, and there's nothing in the plan for transit. We have railroad tracks that are not very -- used very often during commuter hours, and it would be nice to use that option like I've done in other metro areas like Salt Lake City, Denver and San Diego.

With these transportation improvements, we need to improve our (inaudible) quality. Maricopa County is in (inaudible) for ozone, and with the new standard, we -- without these improvements, our ozone is going to deteriorate even more. Today and tomorrow, we're having high condition advisories (inaudible) standard. And also, in your regional -- in your five-year plan, there is not much money being set aside for airport improvements. Gateway Airport is a major economic (inaudible) here in the Gilbert area, and without that new terminal, their expansion is going to be very limited. You will see right now there's only ten gates there, and they really need a lot more. So some funding to improve the airport, to expand that new terminal on the east side, that will help commerce in (inaudible) area.

I thank you very much for your time and have a great day.

CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you. Thank you for your

1 | comments.

MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. Edigar
Kajirwa. I apologize for that. If you know who you are, please
(inaudible).

MR. KAJIRWA: Good morning, Chair, Board and ADOT staff. It's a pleasure to come before you once again. We came before you -- apologies for that. My name is Edigar Kajirwa, Assistant City Manager with the Bullhead City (inaudible) all of you (inaudible) for you during last month's meeting, and the Board the funding for Highway 95. We'd just like to stay thank you (inaudible). That was really, really welcomed by the community. It's a project that they've been longing for, so we appreciate that.

And also, I'd just like to take the opportunity to put it on your radar and bring it to your attention the budget did get approved last -- recently, as everyone knows. Within the budget, there's a special allocation for 8 million for right turn lanes in Bullhead City on Highway 95. It might be a tough ask to have those funds expedited to align with the resurfacing of Highway 95, but we just wanted to bring it to your attention, and hopefully have those funds expedited through the right parameters of the projects to be done as we look forward to increasing the safety and efficiency of traffic flow through Highway 95 in Bullhead City.

And as I wrap up, just once again, thank you. We

1 are grateful for the partnership, relationship and communication 2 we have had with ADOT staff and the Board, and we look forward to enhancing this relationship. Thank you. 3 4 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you for your comments. 5 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, that's the in-person requests that I have. We can now go to the online requests. 6 7 And a reminder, online requests, please raise your hand and the 8 Webex host will work to unmute your line. 9 Our first speaker is Mayor Donald Huish. Mayor Huish, please raise your hand. 10 11 WEBEX HOST: I have requested to unmute your 12 line. You are now unmuted. You may speak. 13 MAYOR HUISH: -- members of the Board. My name 14 is Donald Huish, and I am the Mayor of the City of Douglas. 15 is a pleasure to appear before you once again. 16 I wanted to provide a quick update for you on the 17 progress that has been made on the new port of entry at Douglas, 18 where the city is close to completing the Donation Acceptance 19 Agreement with the General Services Administration for 80 acres 20 that the City is donating to the federal government to build the 21 new facility. 22 In addition to the \$8.9 million awarded by the 23 State of Arizona last year towards the water and wastewater 24 infrastructure needed for the project, the recently-adopted

budget signed by the Governor just a few days ago included

25

\$8.2 million towards construction of the connector road that will be needed to connect the new port of entry to State Route 80. And we continue our efforts with a number of federal and regional institutions in applying and securing grants needed to help pay for the infrastructure and utility services needed to support the new port of entry and the anticipated industrial and commercial investment around the new facility.

I would like to remind you that ADOT is spending \$1.5 million for the design concept report and the environmental compliance required for the project. The initial estimates by the southeast Arizona organization, SEAGO, puts the cost of the connector road at 12 to 14 million dollars. I know that we will not have a final estimate until the DCR is complete, but with the funding that is part of the state budget, I think it's safe to say that we are more than halfway there.

On the Mexican side, 56 acres of land have been donated by the landowner to the Mexican federal government for the construction of a new customs facility. That same landowner is in the process of donating the easement for the connector road from the border to Mexican Federal Highway 2, some two miles south of the border. And just last week we received confirmation that the U.S. government has received the formal diplomatic note from the Mexican government confirming the location of the new port of entry. We are now working with our federal partners in both countries to conduct the necessary

1 technical meetings so that the U.S. and Mexican inspection 2 facilities are aligned and fully coordinated in their design. As you deliberate on what projects need to be 3 part of the five-year plan, I hope you will consider the new 4 5 connector road for the new port of entry as a project that is worthy of inclusion. 6 7 Thank you for your attention, and God bless you 8 all, and keep up the good work. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you very much. 10 MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Ms. Kate 11 Morley. Ms. Morley, please raise your hand. 12 WEBEX HOST: I have requested to unmute your 13 line. You are now unmuted. 14 MS. MORLEY: I'm Kate Morley, the interim 15 executive director of MetroPlan in greater Flagstaff. I'm here 16 to express our thanks and thanks on behalf of the City of 17 Flagstaff for the SMART Fund award for the downtown mile. While 18 not the full ask, we wanted to make sure the Board was aware 19 that we are very grateful for what was allocated to the project. 20 I'm also here to express support for the 21 MetroPlan regional projects in the tentative five-year program, 22 particularly the addition of bike and pedestrian facilities on 23 Milton Road and US-180. As identified in those corridor master

plans, we're very grateful to ADOT staff who recognized these

projects were eligible under the carbon reduction program, and

24

25

```
1
     we very much hope to see them included, along with all the other
 2
     regional projects in the adopted program.
                    Thank you.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you very much for your
4
 5
     comment.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Our next speaker is Mr. John
 6
     Moffatt. Mr. Moffatt, please raise your hand.
 7
8
                    WEBEX HOST: As a reminder, please press star
9
     three to unmute your line. I'm not seeing him at this time.
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, we'll come back to
     see if Mr. Moffatt is online. I don't know if he's attending
11
12
     the meeting on not, but our next speaker is Ms. Katelynn
13
     Peterson. Ms. Peterson, please raise your hand.
14
                    WEBEX HOST: Again, please press star three to
15
     unmute your line. If you are on your computer, there's also a
16
     raise hand button at the bottom of your screen.
17
                    I'm not seeing anything at this moment.
18
     Chairman, I'm not seeing either raising their hand.
19
                    Mr. Moffatt, are -- let's see if he's still --
20
     Mr. Moffatt, are you there? Please raise your hand.
21
                    WEBEX HOST: Let me check the list.
22
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Actually, I see -- I see
23
     Mr. Moffatt is here. I don't know if he's having technical
     difficulties.
24
25
                    Mr. Moffatt, if you're on your phone, please use
```

```
1
     your phone pad, or if you're on your computer, please use the
 2
     raise hand signal -- symbol at the bottom.
                    WEBEX HOST: I have requested to mute his line --
 3
     unmute his line.
4
 5
                    MR. ROEHRICH:
                                   John, are you able to unmute your
     line?
 6
 7
                    Mr. Chairman, those are all the requests that I
8
     have. If -- maybe if Bryce can see if she can work off line
9
     with Ms. Peterson and Mr. Moffatt, maybe open it up at the end
10
     of the meeting for additional comments.
11
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Okay, Floyd. Thank you.
                                                                And
12
     thanks to everyone that has made comments this morning.
13
                    We'll now -- I'll now open the meeting for the
14
     public hearing, which will be the public hearing for the
15
     tentative FY 2024-2028 Five-Year Transportation Facilities
16
     Construction Program. Paul Patane will provide an overview for
17
     information and discussion only.
18
                    Good morning, Paul.
                    MR. PATANE: Good morning, Chairman Knight, Board
19
20
     Members. I'm Paul Patane with the Arizona Department of
21
     Transportation Multimodal Planning Division. Today I'll present
22
     the FY 2024-2028 Tentative Five-Year Construction --
23
     Transportation Construction Facilities Program.
24
                    Next slide, please.
25
                    So the areas I'll cover today, a little bit of
```

background, an overview of the asset condition. We'll cover highlights of the tentative five-year program. We'll also cover the tentative MAG program, the tentative PAG program, also will go over the Airport Capital Improvement Program and also the next steps as we move forward through this process.

And a (inaudible) background. The five-year program complies with Arizona Revised Statutes and sets forth the plan for developing projects and accounts for the spending of funds over the next five years. The five-year program must be a fiscally constrained.

Next slide, please.

And so now I'll get into an overview of the asset condition.

Next slide, please.

So just a real quick update here on the value of the state highway system infrastructure. The value of the highway system is over \$24 billion. This is the single largest asset for the state of Arizona, and to totally replace this system, we're talking in excess of \$300 billion.

Next slide, please.

So first off, I'll go over how we evaluate the bridge as far as the ratings, the condition of our bridges. So we have the conditions in either good, fair or poor. Good condition are structural components with no problems that have very minor deterioration. As we move into the fair condition,

some of the structural components begin to -- begin deterioration and begin to have erosion and scouring around piers. And poor condition is where we have advanced concrete deterioration, scour and some of the primary structural members are -- have serious issues components. A poor condition bridge is not unsafe. Unsafe bridges are closed.

Next slide, please.

So up here we have over the last 12 years the condition of the bridges throughout the state highway system. Currently, approximately 64 percent of our bridges are in good condition. As you can see over the last eight years or so, you know, we have put substantial investment into our bridges so our -- you know, our percentage of good, fair and poor have remained somewhat constant, but again, it is -- as our system continues to age, we have to, you know, further invest in our bridge conditions to -- just to maintain where we're at today.

Next slide, please.

So next I'll talk about our pavement ratings, and again, similar to the bridge condition, our condition ratings are based on good fair and poor. A good condition is a smooth road surface with little or no cracking. A fair condition, moderate amounts of cracking and we begin to see rutting in the wheel path. And I think you've all heard today what poor condition pavement is when we have, you know, severe potholes, you know, disintegration on the roadway surface and numerous

cracks.

Next slide, please.

So here I'll go over the pavement condition for our interstate system, which is primarily our key commerce corridors. As you can see, a little over -- the last 12 years, currently we have 52.4 percent in good condition, along with (inaudible) 46.7 in fair, a little -- around .9 percent in poor condition.

Again, over the last few years we've done some strategies to kind of maintain the levels of service, to maintain pavement condition, but again, these are short-term (inaudible) which do require a substantial investment to get more of the pavement in good condition. Again, if you look at over the last 12 years, it is somewhat of a downward trend as our system continues to age.

Next slide, please.

The next is the (inaudible) the national highway system. Again, many of these routes lead to our rural communities, so very important to (inaudible) interstates (inaudible) and currently we have a little over 32 percent in good condition, but as we -- we prioritize the projects, we have to make those tough decisions (inaudible) our interstates or secondary routes. And so again, over the last 12 years, we've experienced a downward trend as far as pavement condition. We have over 65.7 percent in poor, but the 2.2 percent -- 65.7 in

fair, with 2.2 percent in poor condition. Again, the issue we have here is that, you know, how can we, you know, find ways to invest more (inaudible) national highway system (inaudible).

Next slide, please.

Here we have the non-NHS system. We have a little over 20.9 percent in good condition. Got a significant amount (inaudible) 73.9 percent in fair condition, and with 5.2 percent in poor condition.

Next slide, please.

And so as we -- you know, as we look at strategies and ways to, you know, maximize our dollars that we have in our pavement preservation, pavement rehabilitation, one of the things we looked at, how our current five-year program, you know, how much have we invested in our pavements? How much -- how much of the system we're actually touching.

So if you look at the bottom graph, you know, in the last, you know, three years, you know, we've programmed, you know, 329 miles, 492 lane miles, but you look at the percentage of the overall system that we're touching. In each of these, you know, we're barely at 2 percent. You know, we're over -- in '24, we've raised it to a little over 2 percent, but the last fiscal years, '23 and '24, but we're not even touching, you know, 2 percent of the system. So that -- you know, that's -- it's an alarming trend that, you know, somehow as we develop our program, we have to be able to keep that in mind. How much of

the system are we actually touching with these pavement projects?

So next slide, please.

And so we (inaudible) some more scenario planning and, you know, what we show here is the level (inaudible) that we needed to maintain the current condition. And so it won't improve it, but it will -- it will keep us where we're at today, maintaining the current condition. And so you're looking at the bridge and pavement investments, you know, in essence of, in some cases, 400 million just to maintain (inaudible) condition.

And so to bring everything up into good condition, our estimates are in excess of \$5.8 billion, for both bridge and pavement.

So next slide, please.

So as we look to, you know, do the investment into the program, our long range transportation plan provides us with strategic direction, priorities and goals, how we do our recommended investment choices of the program. And so the latest program that's currently -- the '24 program has three investment categories: Preservation, modernization and expansion. These categories are moving forward as we look to program projects in the five-year program.

Next slide, please.

So what this slide here does, it kind of shows the connection between the long range plan, the planning-to-

1 | programming process, and how we develop the tentative program,

2 | which ultimately (inaudible) the Board for further approval.

3 | And so, you know, the long range plan provides us those

4 | investment choices. We use the planning to program to

5 | prioritize projects, and those priorities are based on feedback

6 | we get from both the locals, the MPOs, COGs and along with

7 | technical staff and also the district staff as well. But that's

the foundation of how the tentative program is built. Then we

give it to the Board for their approval.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next slide, please.

And so what this slide here does, it kind of shows the process of how we develop the five-year program. You know, currently, it's -- it's a never-ending process. As you see, we bring updates to the program every month to the Board for their consideration and for approval. And so here we're bringing to the Board, you know, the 2024-2028 program next month for further consideration for approval, but we've already started developing the next program, the 2025 to 2029. So it's a process -- iterative process that's ongoing, receiving feedback, getting projects into the (inaudible) process so we can get evaluated and considered (inaudible) required. It has

Next slide, please.

to be based on performance.

And so as we get into the actual program itself, this slide here depicts the amount of funding available in the

overall tentative program for the next five years. This program
here is in excess of \$7.7 billion. This is the largest program
that ADOT has ever (inaudible).

Next slide, please.

And so this slide here shows how, based on the projects, the investment categories, the percentage of each of the categories for the total for the overall program, which includes the MAG and PAG program. So for expansion, we have over 26 -- we have 26 percent expansion, the blue.

Modernization is at 36 percent, along with the preservation

Next slide, please.

being at 38 percent for the overall (inaudible).

So now we look at Greater Arizona, which excludes Maricopa and Pima County. As you can see that the program in 2024 is a little over 804 million, but by the time we get to 2028, it's in excess of \$962 billion. And the blue is an expansion for Greater Arizona. The yellow is the statewide planning. Then we have the purple is project development, along with statewide modernization in red and the preservation in green.

Next slide.

So here we have the ADOT system only. The previous slide was -- included local projects. This is what's -- you know, the ADOT state highway system, this is what's (inaudible) as far as the funding with the amounts. In

1 2024, we're over 693 million, with a steady increase to 2028 in excess of \$867 million. 2 Next slide, please. 3 So the next slide shows what -- the local 4 5 We're responsible outside the Maricopa and Pima County We're responsible for administration of these projects, 6 areas. 7 and so they're -- you know, in 2024 we're at over 100 million, 8 and this includes types of programs with the Highway Safety 9 Improvement Program, includes the NEVI program, the Off-System 10 Bridge Program, along with the Transportation Alternative 11 Program. 12 Next slide. 13 So the distribution for Greater Arizona, 14 excluding Maricopa and Pima Counties, we have -- in 15 preservation, we have 64 percent in preservation, we have 20 16 percent in the modernization, with the 16 percent in expansion. 17 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Paul, can I stop you for just a 18 minute? Do any of these numbers include the earmarked funds 19 that the Legislature has thrown into the system? 20 MR. PATANE: No, they do not at this time. 21 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Okay. 22 MR. PATANE: This was developed back in --23 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Yeah. 24 MR. PATANE: -- February, I believe, and so that's (inaudible). 25

1 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you. 2 MR. PATANE: Yes, Chairman. So now I'll get into some of the projects that 3 are within the program. 4 Next slide, please. 5 And so, again, I think maybe you've seen this 6 7 slide before. This is (inaudible) will be the number one 8 priority for the agency (inaudible) I-10 expansion from the 202 9 to 387. And so things are moving along quite positively the 10 right direction, and so we still -- there's some -- a little bit of funding shortfall, but we're working on that to get the 11 12 project fully funded. The project consists of, you know, 13 reconstructing traffic interchanges, widening the main line 14 along with (inaudible) changes (inaudible) crossroads that will 15 (inaudible). 16 Next slide, please. 17 So when it's all said and done, we look forward 18 to, you know, a new six-lane section from Loop 202 to Riggs 19 We'll have six general purpose lanes along with the HOV 20 lanes, and as we get south of Riggs Road, the HOV lanes will drop off and we'll have six general purpose lanes. 21 22 Next slide, please. 23 So for FY '24, some of the expansion projects we 24 have. We have the I-40 West Kingman TI. We have US-93, some 25 design work at Cane Springs, and we're looking at also on State

```
1
     Route 260, some design work or right-of-way work for Lion
     Springs, and also US-191, the Cochise Railroad overpass.
 2
                                                                Then
     we had some funding dedicated for the I-10 corridor.
 3
4
                    Next slide, please.
 5
                    So in FY '25, expansion construction continues.
     Along US-93, with the projects, Cane Springs and some design
6
 7
     work for Vista Royale and Big Jim Wash, along with further
8
     funding investment in US-191 and Cochise Railroad overpass.
9
                    Next slide, please.
10
                    So in 2026, expansion projects consist of the
11
     SR-260, the Lion Springs, and further expansion along US-93.
12
     These are projects for construction on (inaudible) Springs and
13
     Vista Royale.
14
                    Next slide, please.
15
                    FY '27. 2027, we have the remainder of the Lion
16
     Springs for that section along with the Big Jim Wash on US-93.
17
                    Next slide, please.
18
                    Unfortunately, in FY '28, we currently have no
19
     expansion construction.
20
                    Next slide.
21
                    So next, I'll go to the tentative program for
22
     Maricopa County.
23
                    MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair.
24
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Yes. Member Maxwell.
25
                    MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, Paul, if you don't mind
```

1 before we go on to the next construction, I had a quick question 2 about the five-year plan. The one thing we noticed, the percentages of how 3 the funds were being allocated was really a significant increase 4 5 in the amount of percentage of the funds that are going to modernization. Now, those don't show up as pavement 6 7 preservation; doesn't show up as new construction either. So can you just talk to us a little bit about what those projects 8 9 are and potentially why that this five-year plan versus last year's, it takes up a much larger, significant portion of the 10 11 budget? 12 MR. PATANE: As far as the investment in 13 modernization? Well, like, what types of 14 MR. MAXWELL: Yeah. 15 projects are and why is there such an increase versus putting 16 more money in preservation. 17 MR. PATANE: Well, there's various types of projects we look at for the modernization. You know, we have --18 19 because it can go anywhere from traffic signal improvements, you 20 know, intersection improvements, turn lanes and dynamic message 21 boards. All those are considered modernization, and so as we --22 there seems to be a bigger need right now for modernization-type 23 of projects, so... 24 MR. MAXWELL: All right. Thank you.

I'm still concerned with the decreasing

25

1 percentage on preservation with the numbers we just saw again. 2 MR. PATANE: Right. MR. MAXWELL: We're not -- 5 percent will just 3 keep us in our shape, which right now is not good on many of our 4 5 state highways, and at 1 percent, 1 and a half, it's just going to get worse. So at some point I think it might be something we 6 7 need to have a good understanding of where that tradeoff is and 8 why it's -- don't get me wrong modernization's great critical. 9 We heard from speakers today about it. I'm just a little worried. 10 11 MR. PATANE: Your point's right on, Board Member 12 Maxwell. So we have to make those tough decisions where we have 13 to increase the investment in rehabilitation, because 14 preservation only moves the needle a little bit, and you know, 15 we put a significant amount of money in preservation, but 16 preservation, that category covers many other of the other 17 subprograms. And so what we need to focus in -- how much are we 18 putting in rehabilitation, not preservation. Preservation is a 19 lump sum category, (inaudible) in rehabilitation. 20 MR. MAXWELL: Thank you, Paul. Thank you, 21 Mr. Chair. 22 MS. DANIELS: Chair. 23 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you. Yes, Jesse. 24 MR. THOMPSON: Paul, during this five-year plan, 25 definitely I see there may be some changes from time to time.

1 What is one reason that you can think of that requires you to 2 make these funding adjustments or adjust your (inaudible)? MR. PATANE: I guess when we consider making 3 changes to the program, you know, it's based on the need and 4 5 severity of how necessary is the project. And so, you know, that goes into consideration whenever we look at projects. You 6 7 know, is the project -- is this the right project, is it the 8 right time for the project, and is it going to improve the 9 overall functionality of the state highway system? 10 Because there's several needs, you know, as we 11 develop our long range plan. Our funding only covers 30 percent 12 of the need throughout the state highway system, and so that's 13 why we use the planning-to-programming process to prioritize the projects that we (inaudible). And so if -- that's just, you 14 15 know, our way. We're -- it's -- we're not just picking the 16 projects. They're being scored both from a technical, safety 17 standpoint, policy standpoint. All those factors go in into the 18 project selection. 19 MR. THOMPSON: I do appreciate your response on 20 that, and also involving the stakeholders, to review these 21 projects throughout the year. So thank you very much. 22 MR. PATANE: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Member Thompson. 24 I believe Member Daniels has a question. 25 MS. DANIELS: And I'm going to go way back to,

```
like, your first slide, Paul. We don't have to go back there.
 1
 2
                    When we are talking about our system being
     evaluated or appraised, I guess, if you will, at $24.4 billion,
 3
     that actually seems really low, because the replacement value of
4
 5
     the system would be substantially, I would imagine, multiples of
     that dollar amount. How exactly are we getting to that
6
 7
     $24.4 billion, and why are we utilizing that number instead of a
8
     replacement cost or a replacement value?
9
                    MR. PATANE: I'll have to phone a friend
     (inaudible).
10
11
                    MS. DANIELS: I love it when you phone friends.
12
     It's always helpful. That's why we (inaudible).
13
                    MR. ROEHRICH: You're making Kristine walk with
14
     that leg, Paul?
15
                    MS. WARD: Good morning, Board Members,
16
     Mr. Knight -- Mr. Chairman, Ms. Daniels.
17
                    That $23.4 billion is -- actually comes right out
     of our financial statements. That is -- that is the amount of
18
19
     investment that has improved (inaudible) year. Your point is
20
     spot on, however. To actually replace the system is much, much
21
     greater, and 300 billion or something like that, I think it
22
     comes out like. That's really the number to focus on. But yes,
23
     you are correct, our financials, our financial statements will
     show a system of about 24 billion, but to replace that system is
24
25
     much, much greater. Does that --
```

1 MS. DANIELS: Why the massive discrepancy in the 2 two numbers? When you say \$24 billion -- and granted, that is still a huge sum of money, but that in no way to me reflects the 3 value of our system. 4 5 MS. WARD: Mr. Knight, Ms. Daniels, I regret to say it's accounting. It's the way -- it's the way that the 6 7 accounting methodology of saying, okay, here's what we've 8 invested in this -- in this roadway over time. So we take how 9 much we actually spent --10 MS. DANIELS: In what -- in what period of time? 11 MS. WARD: You know, I don't remember the precise 12 period of time. I can get that for you, though. I think it's 13 the existing roadways --14 MS. DANIELS: I feel like we're underselling the 15 value of the system by saying that it's a \$24 billion system. 16 So I guess I'll just leave it at that. That just seems like 17 municipality -- I'm used to municipal budgeting and bond, you 18 know, we have to create valuations that we have asking for 19 bonding and things like that. We're assessing, you know, the 20 assets within every community, but we're also looking at what 21 repair and replacement costs are long-term, and that \$24 billion 22 (inaudible) would get us vastly off the mark if we were 23 preparing for repair and replacement in the coming years. 24 MS. WARD: Mr. Knight, Ms. Daniels, your point is

very well taken. It's very -- it's kind of very much like,

25

```
1
     okay, this is how much we paid for this product, for this
 2
     facility, but this is what -- how much that facility has
     appreciated over time and how much it would cost to replace, and
 3
     very much like (inaudible). But what we could do is kind of
 4
 5
     (inaudible) that emphasis --
                    MS. DANIELS: I think I might just say the way
 6
 7
     that we've always done it might not be the best way that's
8
     serving the state. So I'll just leave it at that.
9
                    MS. WARD: So noted.
10
                    MS. DANIELS: Okay. Thanks.
11
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Any other questions?
12
                    Go ahead, Paul.
13
                    MR. PATANE: So now we'll talk about with the
14
     Maricopa County with the MAG program. And so based on the
15
     latest information with the tentative program, the tentative
16
     program was constructed, these are the projects scheduled for
17
     the Maricopa County Regional Transportation Freeway Program.
18
     It's a mixture of new traffic interchanges (inaudible) site
19
     projects improvements, along with freeway improvements.
20
                    Next slide, please.
21
                    So here we have the tentative program for Pima
22
     County.
23
                    Next slide, please. No, two slides. One more.
24
                    And so here we have -- we're showing projects in
25
     FY '24, the Irvington with I-19 Irvington TI, along with
```

1 improvements along I-10 for Country Club and Kino TI, along with 2 another improvement for I-19 (inaudible) Valencia Road and (inaudible). 3 4 Next slide, please. 5 So next we have the Airport Capital Improvement In conjunction with the Arizona public airports and 6 Program. 7 the Federal Aviation Administration, ADOT develops the tentative 8 five-year Airport Capital Improvement Program to parallel FAA's 9 Airport Capital Improvement Program, and so the program has a 10 dual objective of maximizing the use of state and federal 11 dollars for funding of -- for Arizona airports. 12 Next slide, please. 13 And so for -- you know, based on the current 14 tentative program, the federal, state and the FSL program, the local match is \$8 million. The state/local program is currently 15 16 at \$10 million. Along with the Airport Pavement Management 17 System known as the APMS programs is currently funded at 5 18 million with the air -- Grand Canyon national air park -- Grand 19 Canyon National Park Airport currently is a little over 20 20 million programmed, along with state planning services at 21 \$1 million. Again the total Airport Capital Improvement Program 22 was 44,705,000. 23 MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair. 24 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Yes. Member Maxwell.

MR. MAXWELL: Mr. Chair, thank you.

25

Paul, as a private pilot, I'm curious. What is		
the \$20 million plus going into the Grand Canyon National Park		
Airport doing right now?		
MR. PATANE: Well, actually, you know, that		
number is going to change. They we're not ready for the		
project. The project was for some terminal runway improvements,		
but based on latest conversations, when we present the final		
program at the Board study session (inaudible).		
MR. MAXWELL: So will those funds go elsewhere		
inside of our Capital Improvement Program, or are they federal		
dollars designated just for the Grand Canyon National Park?		
MR. PATANE: Yeah. This is just an (inaudible)		
amount of (inaudible) funding that's dedicated for (inaudible).		
MR. MAXWELL: So we won't see that move		
elsewhere. Just slide to another fiscal year		
MR. PATANE: Yes.		
MR. MAXWELL: or the feds may not ever		
actually it's appropriated, but they may not actually provide		
us the funds?		
MR. PATANE: Correct.		
MR. MAXWELL: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.		
CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Maxwell.		
Any other questions at this point?		
Continue, Paul.		
MR. PATANE: Thank you, Chairman.		

1 So looking out for the 6 to 10 year program --2 next slide, please -- we're (inaudible) expansion based on the currently long range investment strategies, but we'll carry the 3 same dollar amount from the fifth year of the program through 4 5 years six through ten. Next slide, please. 6 7 So next steps moving forward is, you know, today 8 we have the public hearing. So we're still in the public 9 comment period, which is March through May. We have an upcoming 10 Board study session to show -- present the revisions based on 11 public comments and feedback to the tentative five-year program. 12 We present to the Board the recommendation for approval on 13 June 16th at the State Board -- Transportation Board meeting, 14 and the fiscal year will start July 1st, 2023. 15 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Does any board member have 16 questions for Paul? 17 Hearing none. Floyd, either one of those two 18 speakers wanted to speak before we close the public hearing, did 19 they want to speak on the five-year plan? 20 MR. ROEHRICH: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Moffatt had to 21 leave. He had another commitment. He couldn't stay on, but he 22 did ask me to follow up with him after the meeting, if I will, 23 and the other speaker has not shown up. 24 CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Okay. Thank you. 25 In that case --

```
1
                    WEBEX HOST: Floyd, it does look like Mr. Moffatt
 2
     is here and raised his hand.
                    MR. ROEHRICH: Okay. Mr. Chairman, he is back.
 3
     So if you want to open the call to the audience, Mr. Moffatt can
 4
     make his comments.
 5
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Okay. Is he -- is he here to
 6
 7
     speak on the five-year plan?
 8
                    MR. ROEHRICH:
                                   I don't know.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Okay.
10
                    MR. ROEHRICH: He just requested to speak and --
11
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Okay. Go ahead.
12
                    MR. ROEHRICH: -- (inaudible). So please unmute
13
     Mr. Moffatt, Bryce, and he will get his comments.
14
                    WEBEX HOST: All right. You are now unmuted.
15
     You may speak.
16
                    MR. MOFFATT: Thank you very much. Good morning
17
     Chairman Knight and Board Members. My name is John Moffatt.
     Today I'm speaking for myself, as I have been involved in the
18
19
     planning and promotion of the Sonoran Corridor for nearly ten
20
     years at this point. My comments today are focused on the
21
     tentative five-year transportation plan, and thank you for
22
     bringing me back into the picture here.
23
                    First of all, thank you for including the
     billion -- 817 million for PAG projects, most of which are out
24
25
     around the Tucson International Airport. In the past few
```

months, I've highlighted the need to plan for the timely 2 construction of the Sonoran Corridor once the Tier 2 study is completed, which is scheduled for three years beginning this 3 We continue to see increased manufacturing and logistics 4 development in the vicinity of the planned Sonoran Corridor, primarily south and east of Tucson International Airport, 6 including a new 1.2 million square foot logistics center that 8 just broke ground.

1

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tucson Airport Authority continues to make progress with the FAA to develop approximately 4,000 acres adjacent to the planned Sonoran Corridor route. State Land Trust has over 16 sections of land positively impacted by the Sonoran Corridor. Sierrita continues to grow as a bedroom community, with jobs primarily in Tucson, thus increasing new commute traffic load on I-19. And Tech Park Arizona, that Rita Road terminus of the planned corridor continues to flourish with new tenants and startups, driving increased traffic.

This was -- the long range plan of route -- State Route 202 and Mesa Gateway Airport have been critical to Gilbert. The Sonoran Corridor, State Route 410, is critical for the orderly long range development of the region south and east of Tucson and Tucson International Airport.

I speak specifically today about page 220, where there's roughly 68 million in unprogrammed PAG regional funds in fiscal years 2027 and 2028. The community will be working with

```
1
     PAG to begin focusing some of those funds to continue the
 2
     development of the Sonoran Corridor following the Tier 2 study.
                    As I have stated in past meetings, it is critical
 3
     for ADOT to stay focused on the timely implementation of the
4
 5
     Sonoran Corridor, as it has significant impact not only in Pima
     County, but also regionally and for the state for Santa Cruz
6
 7
     County and our increasing international trade.
8
                    Thank you very much for allowing me to speak.
9
     Have a great day.
10
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Thank you Mr. Moffatt.
11
                    MR. ROEHRICH: The only other speaker that we
12
     didn't hear from was Ms. Katelynn Peterson, and so
13
     Ms. Peterson -- I do not see her on the attendee list, but
14
     Ms. Peterson, if you're there, if you raise your hand, we will
15
     get your comments.
16
                    WEBEX HOST: I do not (inaudible) I do not see
17
     her on the list of attendees either.
18
                    MR. ROEHRICH: I don't believe she's there,
19
     Mr. Chairman.
20
                    CHAIRMAN KNIGHT:
                                      Thank you. Thank you, Floyd.
21
                    In that case, do I have -- do -- does any other
22
     board member have any comments to make while we have the public
23
     hearing open?
24
                    In that case, do I have a motion to adjourn the
25
     public hearing on the FY 2024-2028 Tentative Five-Year
```

1	Transportation Facilities Construction Program?
2	MS. DANIELS: So moved.
3	MR. MECK: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: I have a motion from Member
5	Daniels and a second from Member Meck to close the public
6	hearing.
7	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN KNIGHT: Anyone opposed?
10	The meeting is now closed.
11	(Public Hearing adjourned at 10:27 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 STATE OF ARIZONA SS. COUNTY OF MARICOPA 2 3 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were reported 4 by me, TERESA A. WATSON, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified 5 Reporter, Certificate No. 50876, State of Arizona, from an 6 7 electronic recording and were reduced to written form under my 8 direction; that the foregoing 56 pages constitute a true and accurate transcript of said electronic recording, all done to 9 the best of my skill and ability. 10 11 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of 12 the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the 13 outcome hereof. DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 19th day of September 14 15 2023. 16 17 18 /s/ Teresa A. Watson 19 TERESA A. WATSON, RMR Certified Reporter 20 Certificate No. 50876 21 22 23 24 25

2023.				
Meeting adjourned at 10:27 a.m. PST.				
	Not Available for Signature			
	Gary Knight, Chairman			
	State Transportation Board			
Not Available for Signature				
Jennifer Toth, Director				

Chairman Gary Knight adjourned the State Transportation Board Public Hearing Meeting on May 19,

<u>Adjournment</u>

Arizona Department of Transportation